# ASTR 541 - Interstellar Medium

Problem Set 3 Tom Wagg

October 22, 2022

For reference, if you'd ever like to see the code that I've used to get my answers to these, here's a link to my GitHub repo! (#astropy.units for life)

# 1. Stromgren Spheres

1a. What matters about the star?

When finding the Stromgren radius for a region we need to know the ionisation rate. We derived in class that this radius is given as

$$Q_{\text{ionise}} = \int_{\nu_{\text{ionise}}}^{\infty} \frac{L_{\nu}}{h\nu} \, \mathrm{d}\nu \tag{1}$$

Therefore, we need to know the *luminosity* of an O7V star and then we can integrate that to get the ionisation coefficient. But goodness me that would be far too *Draine*ing so we can look it up in the textbook instead! From Table 15.1 we find that the rate is

$$Q_{\text{ionise,H}} = 10^{48.75} \,\text{s}^{-1} \tag{2}$$

#### 1b. A hydrogen sphere

We derived in class that the radius of a Stromgren sphere is given by the following equation

$$R_{\rm S} = \left[ \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{Q_{\rm ionise}}{n_e n_{\rm ion} \alpha(T)} \right]^{1/3} \tag{3}$$

So to find the radius all we need to do is get values for each of the quantities above. For this part of the question we're just focusing on the hydrogen sphere and so the values are fairly simple

$$n_e = n_{\rm H}, \quad n_{\rm ion} = n_{\rm H}, \quad \alpha(T) = 4 \times 10^{-13} \,\text{cm}^3 \,\text{s}^{-1}, \quad Q_{\rm ionise} = Q_{\rm ionise, H}$$
 (4)

where  $n_{\rm H}=5000\,{\rm cm^{-3}}$  was given in the problem. Plugging these numbers in gives the radius as

$$R_{\rm H} = 0.17\,\mathrm{pc} \tag{5}$$

This may at first glance cause you undue stress - "this violates our understanding that HII regions are (several) tens of pc in size, I just did a quiz on this" you say! Worry not. You can note that the density used in this question is *extremely* high and so we are dealing with an "ultra-compact" HII region in this case and hence the radius is smaller than you may predict.

For the helium sphere it is a little different since we need to account for the hydrogen as well. As a simple first step, we are given the values of  $\alpha$  and Q (relative to hydrogen) in the question

$$\alpha = 8 \times 10^{-13} \,\text{cm}^3 \,\text{s}^{-1}, \quad Q_{\text{ionise}} = 0.135 \cdot Q_{\text{ionise,H}}$$
 (6)

The difference lies in the number of electrons per ion. In this case we need to include the electrons from both the hydrogen and the helium. Each supplies only one electron since the star is not hot enough to doubly ionise helium consistently.

$$n_{\rm e} = n_{\rm H} + n_{\rm He} = 1.1 n_{\rm H}, \quad n_{\rm ion} = n_{\rm He} = 0.1 n_{\rm H}$$
 (7)

We can plug these numbers back into the equation above to get

$$R_{\rm He} = 0.14\,\mathrm{pc} \tag{8}$$

And so we find that the helium sphere is smaller than the hydrogen sphere. So we'd expect (based on our very approximate assumptions!) to find an inner region where H is fully ionise and He is singly ionised and a smaller outer region where only H is ionised.

## 1d. Timescales

So how long does this all take? We know that the recombination timescale is much longer than the photoionisation timescale and so this is what sets the steady-state timescale. The recombination timescale can be written as

$$\tau_{\text{recomb}} = \frac{1}{\alpha n_{\text{ion}}} \tag{9}$$

Since  $\alpha$  is the recombination rate for a given volume and  $n_{\text{ion}}$  is the number of ions in a given volume just waiting for a chance to recombine. If we use the values of  $\alpha$  and  $n_{\text{ion}}$  from parts b and c to get the timescales for H and He we find that

$$\tau_{\text{recomb,H}} = 15.8 \,\text{yr}, \quad \tau_{\text{recomb,He}} = 79.2 \,\text{yr}$$
 (10)

Given these values, the overall time it takes for the cloud to reach a steady-state is simply the large of the two and therefore

$$\tau_{\text{steady}} = 79.2 \,\text{yr} \tag{11}$$

## 1e. Formation

Given our values in the previous question, it is clear that the recombination timescale is shorter for the hydrogen sphere and so **the H sphere forms first**. This is because the number density of H is 10 times higher (whilst the  $\alpha$  is only two times smaller) and therefore the recombination happens at a faster rate.

I'll first describe the algorithm for how one can determine the terms and then, given that I'm lazy and I did not do it by hand, I'll just show the answer that my python program gives :D

The inputs for this algorithm are: the quantum numbers of the subshell being filled, n and l, and the total number of electrons in the partially filled shell,  $N_e$ . The format of each term will be

$$term = {}^{2S+1}\mathcal{L}_J^p \tag{12}$$

- 1. **States:** Work the possible states that an electron can take (all pairings of  $m_l$  and  $m_s$  where  $m_l \in [-l, l], m_s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ )
- 2. Combinations: List every possible combination of size  $N_e$  of these states that satisfies the Pauli exclusion principle (each electron has a unique pairing of  $m_l$  and  $m_s$ ). Yes, this is indeed rather a hassle by hand! BUT is trivial in Python! :D
- 3. **Build matrix:** Given a combination  $\{(m_l, m_s)_i \ \forall i \in [1, N_e]\}$ , let  $M_l = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} m_{l,i}$  and  $M_s = \sum_{i=1}^{N_e} m_{l,i}$ . Compute  $M_l$  and  $M_s$  for each combination and populate a matrix indexed on  $M_l$  (rows) and  $M_s$  (columns), where each entry is the total number of combinations resulting in that  $(M_l, M_s)$  pairing.
- 4. Reduce matrix: While the matrix still has a nonzero entry
  - (a) Find the row with the largest  $M_l$  value with a nonzero entry let the index of this row be L
  - (b) Find the column within this row with the largest  $M_s$  value with a nonzero entry let the index of this column be S
  - (c) Store the pair (L, S) as a possible term
  - (d) Subtract a unitary matrix ranging from the (-L, L) rows and from the (-S, S) columns from the overall matrix
- 5. **Expand terms:** For each (L, S) pair, output a term for each J in the range [|L-S|, |L+S|]
- 6. **Prevent mental breakdown:** Go get yourself a cookie, you deserve it after all of that! Additionally, if we want to sort these in terms of energy then we can apply Hund's rules:
  - 1. First sort descending by multiplicity, (2S+1)
  - 2. Next sort descending by angular momentum, L
  - 3. Next sort by angular momentum, J. If the shell is half-filled then sort ascending, otherwise descending

Now we want to get the terms for O II. In this case, the electronic configuration is  $1s^2, 2s^2, 2p^3$  and so the non-filled level is 2p and it has 3 electrons. If we input n = 2, l = p = 1,  $N_e = 3$  into my program<sup>1</sup>, then we find that the terms are (in order of *increasing* energy)

$$OII = {}^{4}S_{\frac{3}{2}}, {}^{2}D_{\frac{3}{2}}, {}^{2}D_{\frac{5}{2}}, {}^{2}P_{\frac{1}{2}}, {}^{2}P_{\frac{3}{2}}$$
(13)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://www.github.com/TomWagg/uw-grad-classes/541\_ism/week3/problem2.py