

Giant Planet Formation

ASTR558 Final Project

TOM WAGG ¹

¹*Department of Astronomy, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195*

(Received June 2, 2022)

ABSTRACT

There are currently two main theories for the formation of giant planets, core accretion and gravitational collapse. Core accretion can explain the formation of most planets but for those far from their host star, gravitational collapse is needed to explain their existence on the timescale of the age of the Universe. In this paper we explain the processes involved in each theory as well as their relative merits and limitations. We additionally discuss recent work on different methods for constraining giant planet formation and highlight some major unsolved questions.

1. KEY CONCEPTS

In this section, we explain the key concepts behind the two main theories of giant planet formation. Figure 4 provides a schematic illustration of the two formation channels that we discuss.

1.1. Core Accretion

1.1.1. How does it work?

At its simplest level, core accretion is where a central rocky core is formed and then accretes gas until it reaches a critical core mass and forms a giant planet (Pollack et al. 1996). This general process is illustrated in the left planet of Figure 4.

The formation occurs in three phases, which can be seen in Figure 1. In the first phase, the planet is formed primarily of solid material and continuously accretes planetessimals at a higher rate than gas until the planet clears its “feeding zone”, marking the end of the first phase. At this point the core of the planet has formed and now the planet slowly accretes gas in an envelope. This gas is accreted from a sphere of radius (Bodenheimer et al. 2013)

$$R = \min\{R_{\text{Bondi}}, R_{\text{Hill}}\} \quad (1)$$

where R_{Bondi} is the Bondi radius, defining the maximum distance inside which gas can be bound to the

core and R_{Hill} is the Hill radius, defining the radius in which the main gravitational influence is the planet. See Appendix A for a derivation of these radii.

The third phase of core accretion begins as the planetary core exceeds the critical core mass, resulting in rapid envelope contraction that leads to fast accretion of gas and thus planet mass growth. This finally results in a giant planet.

1.1.2. Critical core mass

During Phase 2 of formation via core accretion, slow accretion of gas and solids onto the core increases the envelope mass over time. For a given core mass, there exists an envelope mass above which hydrostatic equilibrium cannot be satisfied, which triggers the collapse of the envelope onto the core and the beginning of Phase 3.

This critical core mass can be derived using some basic assumptions about the locations of convective and radiative zones (Stevenson 1982; Lissauer et al. 2009). Previous work assumed that the envelope was fully radiative but a more accurate assumption is that there is an innermost convective zone and outermost radiative zone. The combination of these zones gives that the critical core mass is simply

$$M_c = \frac{1}{2}M \quad (2)$$

implying that once the core mass accounts for half of the overall planet mass, rapid contraction will occur and Phase 3 of formation begins.




Figure 1. Figure 1 of Pollack et al. (1996). Planet mass as a function of time in which the three phases of core accretion are evident. Phase 1 is rapid early accretion of solids ($\sim 0\text{--}0.4$ Myr), Phase 2 is slow accretion onto the core ($\sim 0.4\text{--}7.5$ Myr) and Phase 3 is rapid final accretion once the critical core mass is exceeded ($\sim 7.5\text{--}8$ Myr). The different curves show the masses of different compositions.

1.1.3. The end of accretion

A giant planet formed via core accretion will finish its formation once there is no gas left for accretion. This termination occurs once the gas in the surrounding protoplanetary disc is dispersed (mainly by photoevaporation). Prior to this dispersal, the accretion of a planet can also stall once it forms a gap in the protoplanetary disc after the end of Phase 3. This means that you can form different sorts of planets depending on what phase of formation they were in once the gas in the disc is dispersed.

Lissauer et al. (2009) showed that Saturn’s mass is around the maximum for disc-limited accretion, whereas Neptune and Uranus were slower to form and would still have been on Phase 2 when the gas in the disc dispersed. The final planet mass therefore clearly depends on the star (specifically how luminous and how quickly it evolves) in addition to the planet location, disc density and other initial planet properties.

1.1.4. Timescale limits

The timescale on which core accretion occurs is strongly dependent on the distance of the planet from its host star. This means that, if we assume that all planets are formed via core accretion, one would expect that at larger distances the planets’ masses would decrease (since they have less time to accrete or even reach Phase 3).

However, this is not what is seen observationally. In Figure 2 we show a distribution of detected planets,




Figure 2. Figure 13 from Bowler (2016). Demographics of detected exoplanets, highlighting the large number of directly imaged planets that are far from their star whilst being very massive. These planets could not have been formed via core accretion.

plotting their separation against mass. It is evident that there is an abundance of large mass-large separation planets that couldn’t possibly have been formed via core accretion. This leads to the second theory of giant planet formation - gravitational collapse.

1.2. Gravitational collapse

1.2.1. How does it work?

Often also referred to as disc instability or direct collapse, gravitational collapse is a formation mechanism that has been theorised for many years (Kuiper 1951), even before core accretion was more generally accepted. The idea is very similar to the formation of a star, a gravitational instability occurs in the protoplanetary disc, which leads to the formation of a self-gravitating collection of gas that becomes the giant planet.

Core accretion has been more popular for a long time but more recently gravitational collapse has been necessary to explain more massive planets far from their host stars and thus has been investigated in more detail (Durisen et al. 2007).

The gravitational instabilities occur once the Toomre stability parameter (Toomre 1964)

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{c_g \Omega}{\pi G \Sigma_g} \quad (3)$$

exceeds some critical value which is usually 1, but can be up to 2 for non-axisymmetric perturbations (Binney & Tremaine 1987). These instabilities can persist for a couple of orbits and so can have enough time to contract and form gaseous planets (Forgan et al. 2017). Figure 3 shows the fragmentations that can occur in the disc and lead to gravitational collapse.




Figure 3. Figure 4 from [Forgan et al. \(2017\)](#). A hydrodynamical simulation of a protoplanetary disc around a solar mass star. The colour indicates the column density and points of fragmentation can be seen as bright white spots.

The nature of this formation means that we'd expect planets formed in this to be enriched in heavy elements. This is because the perturbations that lead to gravitational instabilities sweep up dust and concentrate it prior to fragmentation ([Kratter & Lodato 2016](#)). This can be a potential tracer for the formation history of a planet (more enriched giant planets are more likely to have been formed via gravitational collapse).

1.2.2. Migration

Another consideration is that the instabilities may not last long enough to form a planet reasonably close to the star. However, these planet can move closer to the star through planetary migration. Strong gravitational torques from the protoplanetary disc can change the planet's orbital angular momentum and thus cause it to migrate through the disc.

For a planet that has not opened a gap in the disc, it would experience *Type I* migration for a large period of time ([Baruteau et al. 2011](#)). The orbital decay scales with the mass of the planet and the density of the surrounding gas.

The main effect of the migration is of course that planets that form far from the star and reach much closer to the centre. However, the migration can also have strong effects on the planet itself, in particular its mass and size. At the start of migration a planet can be as large as its Hill radius but as it migrates the planet's outer lay-

ers can go beyond the Roche lobe of the planet and thus be stripped away. This unbinding of gas can strongly reduce the mass and size of planets as they migrate.



Figure 4. An illustration of different giant planet formation channels. (Credit: NASA and A. Feild (STScI))

2. RECENT RESEARCH

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh




Figure 5. Figure 1 from Bitsch et al. (2021).

mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh

sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetur.

Suspendisse vel felis. Ut lorem lorem, interdum eu, tincidunt sit amet, laoreet vitae, arcu. Aenean faucibus pede eu ante. Praesent enim elit, rutrum at, molestie non, nonummy vel, nisl. Ut lectus eros, malesuada sit amet, fermentum eu, sodales cursus, magna. Donec eu purus. Quisque vehicula, urna sed ultricies auctor, pede lorem egestas dui, et convallis elit erat sed nulla. Donec luctus. Curabitur et nunc. Aliquam dolor odio, commodo pretium, ultricies non, pharetra in, velit. Integer arcu est, nonummy in, fermentum faucibus, egestas vel, odio.

Sed commodo posuere pede. Mauris ut est. Ut quis purus. Sed ac odio. Sed vehicula hendrerit sem. Duis non odio. Morbi ut dui. Sed accumsan risus eget odio. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Pellentesque non elit. Fusce sed justo eu urna porta tincidunt. Mauris felis odio, sollicitudin sed, volutpat a, ornare ac, erat. Morbi quis dolor. Donec pellentesque, erat ac sagittis semper, nunc dui lobortis purus, quis congue purus metus

ultrices tellus. Proin et quam. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Praesent sapien turpis, fermentum vel, eleifend faucibus, vehicula eu, lacus.

Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Donec odio elit, dictum in, hendrerit sit amet, egestas sed, leo. Praesent feugiat sapien aliquet odio. Integer vitae justo. Aliquam vestibulum fringilla lorem. Sed neque lectus, consectetur at, consectetur sed, eleifend ac, lectus. Nulla facilisi. Pellentesque eget lectus. Proin eu metus. Sed porttitor. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Suspendisse eu lectus. Ut mi mi, lacinia sit amet, placerat et, mollis vitae, dui. Sed ante tellus, tristique ut, iaculis eu, malesuada ac, dui. Mauris nibh leo, facilisis non, adipiscing quis, ultrices a, dui.

Morbi luctus, wisi viverra faucibus pretium, nibh est placerat odio, nec commodo wisi enim eget quam. Quisque libero justo, consectetur a, feugiat vitae, porttitor eu, libero. Suspendisse sed mauris vitae elit sollicitudin malesuada. Maecenas ultricies eros sit amet ante. Ut venenatis velit. Maecenas sed mi eget dui varius euismod. Phasellus aliquet volutpat odio. Vestibulum ante ipsum primis in faucibus orci luctus et ultrices posuere cubilia Curae; Pellentesque sit amet pede ac sem eleifend consectetur. Nullam elementum, urna vel imperdiet sodales, elit ipsum pharetra ligula, ac pretium ante justo a nulla. Curabitur tristique arcu eu metus. Vestibulum lectus. Proin mauris. Proin eu nunc eu urna hendrerit faucibus. Aliquam auctor, pede consequat laoreet varius, eros tellus scelerisque quam, pellentesque hendrerit ipsum dolor sed augue. Nulla nec lacus.

3. UNSOLVED QUESTIONS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices

bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

- Challenges with gravitational collapse (Forgan & Rice 2013)
- Core accretion doesn't account for compositional gradients (D'Angelo & Lissauer 2018)

REFERENCES

- Baruteau, C., Meru, F., & Paardekooper, S.-J. 2011, MNRAS, 416, 1971, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19172.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19172.x)
- Binney, J., & Tremaine, S. 1987, Galactic dynamics
- Bitsch, B., Izidoro, A., Johansen, A., et al. 2019, A&A, 623, A88, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834489](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834489)
- Bitsch, B., Raymond, S. N., Buchhave, L. A., et al. 2021, A&A, 649, L5, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202140793](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202140793)

- Bodenheimer, P., D’Angelo, G., Lissauer, J. J., Fortney, J. J., & Saumon, D. 2013, *ApJ*, 770, 120, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/770/2/120](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/770/2/120)
- Bowler, B. P. 2016, *PASP*, 128, 102001, doi: [10.1088/1538-3873/128/968/102001](https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/128/968/102001)
- Cameron, A. G. W., Decamp, W. M., & Bodenheimer, P. 1982, *Icarus*, 49, 298, doi: [10.1016/0019-1035\(82\)90038-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0019-1035(82)90038-0)
- D’Angelo, G., & Lissauer, J. J. 2018, in *Handbook of Exoplanets*, ed. H. J. Deeg & J. A. Belmonte, 140, doi: [10.1007/978-3-319-55333-7_140](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55333-7_140)
- Durisen, R. H., Boss, A. P., Mayer, L., et al. 2007, in *Protostars and Planets V*, ed. B. Reipurth, D. Jewitt, & K. Keil, 607. <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0603179>
- Forgan, D., Price, D. J., & Bonnell, I. 2017, *MNRAS*, 466, 3406, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw3314](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw3314)
- Forgan, D., & Rice, K. 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 3168, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt672](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt672)
- Kratter, K., & Lodato, G. 2016, *ARA&A*, 54, 271, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023307](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023307)
- Kuiper, G. P. 1951, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, 37, 1, doi: [10.1073/pnas.37.1.1](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.37.1.1)
- Lissauer, J. J., Hubickyj, O., D’Angelo, G., & Bodenheimer, P. 2009, *Icarus*, 199, 338, doi: [10.1016/j.icarus.2008.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2008.10.004)
- Pollack, J. B., Hubickyj, O., Bodenheimer, P., et al. 1996, *Icarus*, 124, 62, doi: [10.1006/icar.1996.0190](https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1996.0190)
- Schlaufman, K. C. 2018, *ApJ*, 853, 37, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aa961c](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa961c)
- Stevenson, D. J. 1982, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 30, 755, doi: [10.1016/0032-0633\(82\)90108-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633(82)90108-8)
- Suzuki, D., Bennett, D. P., Ida, S., et al. 2018, *ApJL*, 869, L34, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aaf577](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaf577)
- Toomre, A. 1964, *ApJ*, 139, 1217, doi: [10.1086/147861](https://doi.org/10.1086/147861)
- Tychoniec, L., Manara, C. F., Rosotti, G. P., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 640, A19, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202037851](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202037851)

APPENDIX

A. DERIVATION OF BONDI AND HILL RADII

A.1. *Bondi Radius*

The Bondi radius is the maximum distance inside which gas can be bound to the core of the planet. The gas will be bound to the core if, in the frame of the core, its total energy becomes negative. This means that the gravitational potential energy needs to be greater than the total thermal energy and so we can write

$$\frac{GM_c}{R} \geq \frac{1}{2}(v_{\text{rel}}^2 + v_{\text{th}}^2), \quad (\text{A1})$$

where M_c is the core mass, R is the radius, v_{rel} is the relative velocity of the gas and the core and v_{th} is the thermal velocity of the gas. If we assume that the relative velocity is dominated by Keplerian shear (D'Angelo & Lissauer 2018) then

$$|v_{\text{rel}}| = R\Omega, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $\Omega = \sqrt{GM_*/a^3}$ is the angular orbital velocity. And the thermal velocity is given by

$$v_{\text{th}} = c_g \sqrt{8/\pi} \quad (\text{A3})$$

where c_g is the speed of sound in the gas. The sound speed is often expressed as $c_g = H_g \Omega$, where H_g is the pressure scale height of the disc. We can now plug this all into the original inequality (and make it an inequality to solve for the Bondi radius)

$$\frac{GM_c}{R_B} = \frac{1}{2}(R^2\Omega^2 + \frac{8}{\pi}H_g^2\Omega^2) \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\frac{GM_c}{R_B} = \frac{GM_*}{2a^3}(R^2 + \frac{8}{\pi}H_g^2) \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$R_B = \frac{M_c}{M_*} \frac{2a^3}{R^2 + \frac{8}{\pi}H_g^2} \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$R_B = a \left(\frac{M_c}{M_*} \right) \left(\frac{a}{2H_g} \right)^2 \quad (\text{A7})$$

A.2. *Hill Radius*

The Hill radius is a similar quantity but it is due to forces rather than energy. The radius is the maximum distance within which the main gravitational influence is the core of the planet. Let's assume a circular orbit in this case. Therefore, the Hill radius is found by equating the gravitational and centrifugal forces

$$\frac{GM_p}{R_H^2} - \frac{GM_*}{(a - R_H)^2} + \Omega(a - R_H) = 0 \quad (\text{A8})$$

which we can equivalently write as follows using the definition of Ω

$$\frac{M_p}{R_H^2} - \frac{M_*}{a^2} \left(1 - \frac{R_H}{a} \right)^{-2} + \frac{M_*}{a^2} \left(1 - \frac{R_H}{a} \right) = 0 \quad (\text{A9})$$

Next we can take just the leading order to find

$$\frac{M_p}{R_H^2} - \frac{M_*}{a^2} \left(1 + \frac{2R_H}{a} \right) + \frac{M_*}{a^2} \left(1 - \frac{R_H}{a} \right) = 0 \quad (\text{A10})$$

This gives the definition of the Hill radius as

$$R_H = a \left(\frac{M_p}{3M_*} \right)^{1/3} \quad (\text{A11})$$