

EchoPulse – Security Considerations & Open Verification

This document outlines potential security considerations, assumptions, and recommended paths for community-driven open verification of the EchoPulse symbolic KEM system.

1. Assumptions

- *Adversaries do not know the internal δ -transition structure*
- *Replay resistance is based on public path entropy and mutation timing*
- *Mutation is non-deterministic but synchronized via shared schedule*

2. Attack Vectors (Hypothetical)

- *Symbol replay attacks (entropy prediction)*
- *Graph inversion via transition inference*
- *Structural weakness in δ -cycle collisions*
- *Side-channel timing in embedded transitions*

3. Verification Needs

- *Formal proof of mutation unpredictability*
- *Symbol-path coverage testing*
- *Statistical analysis of symbol reuse*
- *Code-level open-source audit suggestions*

4. Recommendations

- *Public test suite with randomized δ -generation*
- *Symbolic mutation trace visualizer*
- *Invite open contributions for state transition stress testing*

Document 18 – EchoPulse Security Considerations & Open Verification