SENTRY-LOGIC: Symbol Processing Logic

This document describes how symbolic transitions are processed within the SENTRY-LOGIC framework.

1. Symbol Event Triggers:

For each core symbol, we define the triggering events, inference methods, and supporting signals/heuristics:

* **Δ (Context Shift):**

- * **Triggering Event:** The LLM changes the topic, style, or focus of the conversation or task. A new, distinct context is established.
 - * **Inference:**
- * If no explicit context shift is labeled in the meta-tags, inference is based on analyzing the prompt and response.
 - * **Signals/Heuristics:**
- * **Topic Shift:** Significant change in keywords, entities, or concepts discussed (detected via topic modeling or keyword analysis).
- * **Style Shift:** Change in writing style, tone, or formality (detected via stylometry, sentiment analysis, or lexical analysis).
- * **Intent Change:** The LLM addresses a different user intent than the one expressed in the prompt (detected via semantic parsing or intent classification).
- * **Discourse Marker:** Use of transitional phrases or keywords that signal a change of topic (e.g., "Moving on to...", "In contrast...").
- * **Output Length:** A sudden, significant change in the length of the LLM's response, which may indicate a change in the level of detail or the scope of the answer.

- * **Ω (Policy Event):**
- * **Triggering Event:** The LLM's output violates a predefined policy or safety quideline.
 - * **Inference:**
- * If no explicit policy violation is labeled in the meta-tags, inference is based on analyzing the LLM's response.
 - * **Signals/Heuristics:**
- * **Keyword Match:** Presence of prohibited words, phrases, or patterns (detected via regular expressions or keyword lists).
- * **Semantic Violation:** The LLM's output expresses harmful, offensive, or biased content, even if no explicit keywords are present (detected via semantic similarity comparisons with policy descriptions or toxicity classifiers).
- * **Output Filtering:** The LLM output contains censored or replaced tokens, indicating that an internal filter was triggered.
- * **API Tags:** If the LLM API provides safety tags or scores (e.g., "toxicity," "hate speech"), these can be used directly.
- * ** (Data Access): **
- * **Triggering Event:** The LLM accesses external data sources to generate its response.
 - * **Inference:**
- * If no explicit data access is labeled in the meta-tags, inference is based on analyzing the LLM's output.
 - * **Signals/Heuristics:**
- * **Citation:** The LLM provides a source or citation for its information (detected via pattern matching).
- * **External Reference:** The LLM mentions a specific external resource (e.g., website, article, book) (detected via entity recognition or keyword matching).
- * **API Tags:** If the LLM API provides data source information, use that directly.

- * **Knowledge Cutoff:** If the LLM's response contains information beyond its known knowledge cutoff date.
- * **

 ightharpoonup (Semantic Rewrite):**
- * **Triggering Event:** The LLM alters the meaning or intent of the user's prompt in its response.
 - * **Inference:**
- * Inference is based on comparing the semantic meaning of the prompt and the response.
 - * **Signals/Heuristics:**
- * **Semantic Similarity:** Low semantic similarity score between the prompt and the response (detected via sentence embeddings or other semantic similarity metrics).
- * **Negation:** The LLM directly negates or contradicts the user's prompt (detected via negation detection or logical inference).
- * **Reframing:** The LLM presents the user's request in a different light or with a different emphasis (detected via paraphrasing detection or semantic role labeling).
- * **Omission:** The LLM response omits key information or constraints from the original prompt.
- **2. Handling Ambiguity & Conflict:**

SENTRY-LOGIC handles ambiguity and conflict in the following ways:

- * **Multiple Symbols:** If multiple symbols could apply (e.g., a response is both a context shift and a policy violation), *all* applicable symbols are included in the log entry. This ensures that no information is lost.
- * **Weak Signals:** If the signal for a symbol is weak (e.g., a partial context shift), SENTRY-LOGIC assigns a *confidence level* to the symbol. This confidence level is based on the strength of the supporting heuristics.

* **Conflicting Symbols:** SENTRY-LOGIC prioritizes symbols that are derived from explicit labels (e.g., meta-tags) over those that are inferred from prompt/output analysis. If a conflict arises between two inferred symbols, the symbol with the higher confidence level is preferred.

3. Symbol Weighting & Priority (Optional):

Symbols *could* have weight or priority levels, but this is not strictly necessary for basic logging. However, it can be useful for alert generation and analysis:

- * **Weighting:** Symbols could be assigned weights based on their severity or importance. For example, a policy violation (Ω) might have a higher weight than a context shift (Δ).
- * **Priority:** Symbols could be assigned priority levels (e.g., "High," "Medium," "Low") to indicate which symbols should be prioritized for analysis or alert generation.
- * **Logic:**
- * Alerts could be triggered only for symbols above a certain weight or priority threshold.
 - * Logs could be sorted or filtered based on symbol weight or priority.
- **4. Symbol Aggregation into Logs:**

Symbol events are aggregated into final logs in a *sequential* and *layered* manner, with confidence levels:

- * **Sequential:** Symbols are listed in the log entry in the order in which they occur during the processing of the prompt and response.
- * **Layered:** The log entry contains a list of symbols, each with its associated data.

* **Confidence Level:** Each symbol in the log entry is associated with a confidence level (e.g., "High," "Medium," "Low," "Tentative"). This indicates the strength of the evidence supporting the presence of the symbol.

Example Log Structure:

```
```json
{
 "timestamp": "2024-07-24T12:00:00Z",
 "prompt": "User prompt...",
 "response": "LLM response...",
 "meta_tags": { ... },
 "symbol_events": [
 {
 "symbol": "Δ",
 "confidence": "Medium",
 "details": {
 "topic_shift": "From A to B",
 "style_shift": "Informal to Formal"
 }
 },
 {
 "symbol": "Ω",
 "confidence": "High",
 "details": {
 "policy_violation": "Hate Speech"
 }
```

```
},
 {
 "symbol": "Λ",
 "confidence": "Low",
 "details": {
 "data_source": "Unknown"
 }
 },
 {
 "symbol": "⇄",
 "confidence": "High",
 "details": {
 "semantic_similarity": 0.6
 }
 }
]
}
```