SYMCUBE Dossier Addendum: Platform Integration & Performance

Date: May 5, 2025

Subject: Real-World Implementability and Delivery Strategy of the SYMCUBE Defense Core

This document outlines the estimated hardware resource requirements, platform compatibility, delivery models, integration workflow, and performance considerations for implementing the SYMCUBE defense core on embedded systems.

1. Hardware Resource Estimation:

The hardware footprint of SYMCUBE will vary depending on the target platform and the specific implementation choices. Approximate estimates are provided below:

- * Microcontrollers (e.g., ARM Cortex-M):
- * Flash: 10-30 KB (for core logic, IRN topology storage, secure key storage, and bootloader integration).
- * RAM: 200-500 bytes (for symbolic state storage, temporary buffers during unlock validation, and minimal stack space).
- * Power Consumption: Low, in the range of typical microcontroller operation (e.g., few mA at standard operating voltages).
- * Interrupt/Speed: Unlock validation latency will depend on the complexity of the IRN and the length of the unlock sequence. Expect tens to hundreds of milliseconds for typical configurations. Interrupts may be required for precise temporal window management.
- * FPGAs (e.g., Xilinx, Intel):
- * Logic Resources (LUTs/FFs): 500-2000 (depending on the complexity of the dedicated Rotation Control Unit (RCU) and Symbolic Sequence Validation Engine (SSVE) implemented in hardware).
- * Block RAM: 25-50 KB (for symbolic state storage, key storage, and potential internal buffers).
- * Power Consumption: Moderate, dependent on the utilized logic resources and operating frequency (ranging from tens to hundreds of mW).

* Speed: Unlock validation can be significantly faster due to parallel hardware implementation of the RCU and SSVE. Latency can be reduced to microseconds or low milliseconds.

2. Platform Compatibility Matrix:

SYMCUBE's design principles allow for broad compatibility across various embedded platforms:

| Platform | Architecture | Hardware-Specific Advantages | Caveats | |---|---|

| ARM Cortex-M Series | ARMv6-M/v7-M | Widely available, low power consumption, mature toolchains, often includes hardware security extensions (TrustZone-M). Suitable for resource-constrained applications. | Performance limitations may impact unlock validation speed for complex IRN topologies and long sequences. Careful memory management is crucial. |

| STM32 Family | ARM Cortex-M | Integrated security features (e.g., secure boot, hardware crypto accelerators), wide range of peripherals. Well-suited for secure applications. | Performance considerations similar to generic Cortex-M, but integrated security features can aid in secure implementation. |

| Xilinx FPGAs | FPGA | High degree of customization allows for hardware acceleration of critical SYMCUBE components (RCU, SSVE), leading to very low latency. Flexible IRN topology implementation. | Higher power consumption compared to microcontrollers. Requires expertise in HDL development. |

| RISC-V Architectures | RISC-V | Open standard, customizable instruction set allows for the inclusion of security-focused extensions. Growing ecosystem. | Maturity of security extensions and toolchains may vary depending on the specific RISC-V core and vendor. |

| AMD Platform Security Processor (PSP) | x86 | Hardware-based root of trust, secure boot capabilities, isolated execution environment. SYMCUBE could potentially run within a secure applet on the PSP. | Integration requires understanding of the PSP architecture and its security model. Resource constraints within the PSP environment need consideration. |

| Intel Management Engine (ME) | x86 | Similar to AMD PSP, offers a separate execution environment. However, security vulnerabilities have been historically identified. Careful isolation and hardening would be necessary. | Security

concerns and the proprietary nature of the ME firmware are significant caveats.

| Apple Secure Enclave | ARM-based | Hardware-isolated secure environment with strong cryptographic capabilities. SYMCUBE could potentially be implemented within the Secure Enclave for high-value data protection. | Highly proprietary and access is restricted. Integration requires adherence to Apple's security framework. |

3. Delivery Models & Integration Workflow:

SYMCUBE can be delivered in several forms depending on the target application and platform:

- * IP Core (HDL/FPGA): For FPGA-based systems, SYMCUBE can be provided as a synthesizable hardware description language (HDL) core (Verilog or VHDL). Integration involves instantiating the core within the FPGA design and connecting it to memory and control interfaces. The build pipeline utilizes standard FPGA synthesis and place-and-route tools (e.g., Xilinx Vivado, Intel Quartus).
- * Secure Firmware Library (SDK): For microcontroller-based systems, SYMCUBE can be delivered as a compiled library (e.g., ARM Cortex Microcontroller Software Interface Standard CMSIS compliant) with a well-defined API for initialization, unlock sequence input, and status checking. Integration involves linking the library with the application firmware and calling the appropriate API functions. The build pipeline uses standard embedded development toolchains (e.g., Keil MDK, IAR Embedded Workbench, GCC).
- * Bootloader Module: SYMCUBE's unlock validation can be integrated directly into a secure bootloader. This would involve providing the SYMCUBE validation logic as a module to be incorporated into the bootloader source code or as a pre-compiled object to be linked. The bootloader build process would need to be adapted to include the SYMCUBE module.
- * System-Level Enclave: For platforms with secure execution environments (e.g., ARM TrustZone, AMD PSP, Intel SGX-like), SYMCUBE could be packaged as a secure application or service to run within the isolated enclave, providing a protected environment for its operation and key material. Integration would involve developing the enclave application according to the platform's specific SDK and security guidelines.

The typical integration strategy involves:

- * Platform Selection: Choosing the appropriate embedded platform based on performance, security requirements, and resource constraints.
- * Delivery Model Selection: Selecting the most suitable delivery format for the chosen platform.
- * Integration: Incorporating the SYMCUBE core (IP, library, module, or enclave application) into the system design or firmware.
- * Configuration: Defining the IRN topology, unlock sequence, and security policies.
- * Testing and Validation: Rigorously testing the SYMCUBE integration to ensure correct functionality, performance, and security.

4. Performance Notes:

Performance will be a key consideration depending on the application's realtime requirements.

- * Unlock Latency: As noted in Section 1, unlock validation time varies significantly between microcontrollers and FPGAs. Applications with stringent real-time constraints may necessitate FPGA implementations for hardware acceleration.
- * CPU Load (Microcontrollers): During unlock validation, the SYMCUBE library will consume CPU cycles. The impact will depend on the complexity of the IRN and the length of the sequence. Careful optimization of the validation algorithm is necessary.
- * Power Consumption: While generally low, power consumption should be carefully considered for battery-powered devices, especially during active unlock attempts. Hardware acceleration on FPGAs may offer a trade-off between speed and power.
- * Interrupt Latency: Precise temporal window management may require the use of interrupts. The latency and priority of these interrupts need to be carefully managed to ensure the integrity of the unlock process.

5. Summary:

SYMCUBE offers a viable and adaptable security solution for a wide range of embedded platforms. Its hardware footprint is reasonable for modern

microcontrollers and well-suited for FPGAs, allowing for flexibility in performance and power consumption. The availability of different delivery models facilitates integration into various system architectures and build pipelines. Careful consideration of platform-specific advantages and performance implications is crucial for successful deployment in defense and industry applications. The final document in this series will explore specific use cases and deployment scenarios, leveraging the platform integration strategies outlined here.