

Thesis

Tom Zurales

July 2025

Abstract

This research details the implementation and analysis of a novel, viewpoint aware method for map point culling for use in keypoint based visual SLAM systems. This method makes use of perspective dependent shells around each map point, allowing for the storage of overall observability metadata using constant additional space. This metadata allows for the overall probability of a point’s existence to be continuously calculated using a simple Bayesian update step. This existence probability can be used in a myriad of ways. This research explores its use as a method of culling outdated map points, such as those originally seen on an object which has since moved, and as an extension to the RANSAC algorithm, providing the ability to select more robust map points. We provide the implementation of the perspective aware metadata shell as an open-source library, as well as an ORB_SLAM3 implementation utilizing the library for point culling and RANSAC improvement. Additionally, a set of co-registered visual-inertial-lidar datasets are released, containing scenarios specifically intended to exercise and characterize the performance of the system. Through our analysis, (discuss effects on well known, non-dynamic SLAM datasets, along with my datasets)

Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	Motivation	5
1.1.1	Problem Statement	5
1.1.2	Research Questions	5
1.2	Objectives and Scope	8
1.3	Contribution	8
1.4	Road Map	9
2	Background	10
2.1	SLAM Overview	10
2.1.1	The SLAM Pipeline	10
2.1.2	Keypoint-Based Visual SLAM	11
2.1.3	Extensions to Core SLAM	11
2.2	Additional Fields of Research	11
2.2.1	Directional Probability	11
3	Related Work	12
3.1	Point Removal Optimizations	12
3.1.1	Semantics Based Implementations	12
3.1.2	Probability Based Optimizations	12
4	Implementation	13
4.0.1	REWORDING	13
5	Experimental Analysis	19
5.1	Dataset Creation	19
5.1.1	Hardware	19
5.1.2	Structure	19

5.1.3	Dataset Overview	19
5.2	Evaluation Metrics	19
5.3	SLAM System Configurations	19
5.3.1	Parameter Tuning	19
5.4	Results	19
5.4.1	Quantitative Evaluation	19
5.4.2	Qualitative Evaluation	19
5.4.3	Ablation Study	19
6	Discussion	20
7	Conclusion and Future Work	21
	Appendices	22
	Algorithm Implementations	22
	Full Results	22

1 Introduction

The research presented in this thesis details the conception, implementation and analysis of a novel viewpoint aware probability model for the existence of map points in keypoint based visual SLAM maps.

1.1 Motivation

The inspiration for this research came from observations made while attempting to reuse maps of the International Space Station (ISS) for relocalization using SLAM. The primary issue discovered was that the maps quickly became outdated after being generated,

1.1.1 Problem Statement

1.1.2 Research Questions

Does a probability model which identifies and culls outdated map points provide significant improvements to relocalization and tracking during map reuse in keypoint-based SLAM? To what extent do dynamic changes in a map affect mapping and relocalization performance? Can this be used as a heuristic to determine when to re-enable mapping on MAVs?

The spacial understanding provided by SLAM is not only useful, but necessary for systems intending to operate in and interact with physical environments. Virtual reality, robotics, and industrial automation all make use of SLAM to generate an internal map of the local and global environments. SLAM does have the distinction of being a "solved" problem in the ideal case. If an agent is able to perfectly measure the environment, and is guaranteed to make correct data associations, then a perfect map can be generated and the agent's location within that map can be known with certainty. This ideal case makes several assumptions, paramount of which is the existence of ideal sensors, but there is a secondary assumption that the state of the world does not change.

It is obvious that the assumption of a static, unchanging world does not hold in practice.

In fact, the inspiration for this research came from attempts to perform localization on the International Space Station (ISS). As of the time of publication, there are three mobile autonomous vehicles (MAVs) on board the ISS known as Astrobee. While used for numerous experiments and product development tasks on the ISS, the Astrobees are prone to failure due to loss of localization. The primary cause of this localization failure is the constant changes occurring on the ISS, including equipment changes, resupply missions, and any other activities which may change the visual features of the ISS.

The situation on the ISS is not unique, and would be experienced by any agent running SLAM in all but the most tightly controlled environments. VR goggles using SLAM to determine their position in 3D space within a room must contend with new objects which are placed in the room, the changing images shown on the TV, people walking in and out of view, etc. Robots operating in an office environment must be robust to moved desks, the movement of people, and more. Even robotic operations in an unmanned space station (a situation proposed for the Lunar Gateway project) would need to be able to perform despite changing lighting conditions, moved equipment, other MAVs in view, etc. Overall, a requirement that a SLAM agent gets to operate in a pristine, unchanging environment would be an insurmountable barrier for real world use.

The field of research into making SLAM perform over long timeframes has been called lifetime SLAM[], eluding to the fact that SLAM systems with the requirement for an unchanging environment will still be able to operate successfully over short timeframes, but will struggle with missions which take place over multiple days, weeks, months, or years.

This research is specific to a subset of the greater SLAM problem known as keypoint-based, visual SLAM. The distinctions between these will be discussed in detail in the Background section, but a high-level overview is offered here. Keypoint-based visual SLAM operates on images taken over time. The core principal involves constructing a sparse 3D map of image features which are identifiable from multiple perspectives. This is accomplished through photogrammetry methods such as the 5-point method, which allows the depths of

5 matched pairs of points, and the parallax transformation to be determined from two 2d images [FACT CHECK and CITATION].

!– Go into a few more details about some of the other fields of research which are used by SLAM –i

There are numerous keypoint-based visual SLAM implementations seeing use today, but all follow a relatively straightforward pipeline, defined as follows:

1. Determine an initial set of 3D points from two images with sufficient parallax
2. For subsequent images, match features with previously identified 3D points
3. Determine the transformation between the previous image and the new image which maximizes the number of map point alignments

Implementation differences tend to come from optimization steps, pruning of redundant data, anomaly handling, and additional sensor integrations. In order to achieve lifetime SLAM, the system must be able to determine what data is remaining static, what data is changing, and act accordingly. Imagine an art gallery with many paintings on the walls. If you were to visit this gallery on two separate occasions one year apart, the paintings on the walls may change, but you are able to identify that you are in the same gallery. People perform this contextual elimination of data which may change on a subconscious level []. Replicating this contextual awareness in SLAM allows systems to recognize and focus on unchanging data while ignoring dynamic data which could clutter and confuse the agent’s internal map.

The benefits of eliminating data which is not helpful for long-term operations are plentiful. Just like culling redundant data, culling dynamic data reduces the overall size of the map. This reduces storage capacity requirements, while providing a smaller pool of data through which processes like Random Sample Consensus (RanSaC) need to search. A keypoint which was seen on an object which is later moved will always be an outlier in subsequent observations. Through culling of these dynamic data points, we can improve the speed and robustness of the several optimization steps, reduce overall system hardware requirements,

and increase confidence in the accuracy and validity of the produced maps.

Numerous methods for improving SLAM’s performance over long timeframes have been implemented, pushing the field of SLAM to the point where it is now seeing deployment in numerous dynamic environments. A discussion of several of these implementations takes place in the Background section, with a focus on each implementation’s strengths, weaknesses, and overall effectiveness. An area that remains lacking is implementations on MAVs with limited compute. Due to their mobile nature, MAVs are inherently compute limited, as any additional weight and power consumption decreases capability and operation time. This prevents the inclusion of many popular models for dynamic data elimination such as image segmentation and semantic identification. Other methods utilizing statistical methods for point existence exist, but fail to fully utilize the vast array of data to update their predictions

1.2 Objectives and Scope

This research intends to build upon the previously developed probability models, in order to distill the update step of each map point’s probability of existence into a simple Bayesian update step. The goals for this model are as follows:

1. To utilize constant additional space for each map point
2. To complete the update step in constant time
3. To resist updating confidence levels with redundant data

1.3 Contribution

Through this research, we introduce an incrementally updated directional confidence model for the existence of map points. This model differs from other point removal optimizations in several ways. First, this implementation avoids the use of neural networks, facilitating use on resource constrained hardware without facilities optimized to run them. Second, while other probability based point removal optimizations have been developed, this model introduces the idea of utilizing a continuously updated perspective dependent shell of metadata for each

keypoint, which can be used to reduce the problem of point existence to a simple Bayesian update step. This implementation allows perspective of observation to play a role in the point’s existence probability update step, and avoids some of the common a priori work such as prior estimation common to other point removal optimization techniques. This shell is implemented in both finite and continuous modalities, utilizing regular convex polyhedral shells in the finite case, and von-meiser fisher distributions on the sphere in the continuous case.

To facilitate future research, this model is released as an open-source library, which is compatible with any keypoint based visual SLAM implementation. Additionally, a collection of co-registered visual-inertial and LIDAR datasets is provided, containing instances of multiple traversals through the same environments with changes to scene contents. Information regarding the locations of these environmental changes is included in the dataset, facilitating the benchmarking of point removal optimization implementations.

1.4 Road Map

Chapter X of this thesis discusses the background of the general SLAM problem, covering the history, use cases, and general pipeline utilized by SLAM systems. This is followed by a deeper dive into keypoint-based visual SLAM, the sensor modality targeted by this research. We provide a brief survey of widely utilized extensions to the core SLAM algorithm which target deficiencies in the core pipeline. Finally, we discuss fields outside the scope of SLAM which provide insight and methodology into this research.

Chapter X discusses works related to this research, specifically focusing on extensions targeting improved performance in dynamic situations, with additional focus given to those methods which utilize point removal optimizations.

2 Background

In this chapter, we provide a high level overview of the definitions, objectives, and history of SLAM, in addition to an overview of the common sensor modalities found in SLAM systems. Following this, we discuss the stages of the SLAM pipeline in the generic case, followed by a more in-depth exploration of keypoint-based visual SLAM, the sensor modality targeted by this research. Next, we look into several of the widely adopted extensions to the base SLAM pipeline which address core issues and enhance performance. A discussion of extensions which have similar goals to this research is held for the chapter on related works.

2.1 SLAM Overview

This research is acts as an extension to keypoint-based visual SLAM; a term which warrants some explanation. But before exploring the specifics of keypoint-based visual SLAM, some background on the general SLAM problem is required. The idea behind SLAM is to simultaneously produce a map of an environment, and determine the position of the observer within the map based on a set of sensor data. The process differs heavily based on the sensor types being utilized. For example, LIDAR provides a direct measurement of 3D distances from the sensor, while an RGB camera must calculate them from correspondences between multiple frames. While implementations differ heavily, a common SLAM pipeline could be described as follows:

2.1.1 The SLAM Pipeline

This stage is responsible for the creation of the initial map.

There have been hundreds of SLAM implementations for a wide variety of sensors, commonly targeting combinations of monocular, stereo or RGBD cameras, IMUs, LIDARs, etc.

Due to it providing the motivation for this project, the Astrobees robots will be mentioned several times throughout this work. The Astrobees project was motivated by the desire

to research human/robot interaction, robotic automation and inspection, and to provide a research platform on which companies and researchers could deploy software and hardware for testing in a micro-gravity environment. The Astrobees platform has been used to develop satellite rendezvous control algorithms, grippers to capture tumbling orbital debris, inspection methods to autonomously detect anomalous operation, and many other space habitation focused endeavors.

2.1.2 Keypoint-Based Visual SLAM

The term Keypoint-Based Visual SLAM refers to the SLAM modality which primarily utilizes key points extracted from images as the primary means of mapping and navigating. This is distinct from systems like LIDAR-based SLAM, which utilize direct distance measurements from a LIDAR sensor, or Dense Visual SLAM, which

2.1.3 Extensions to Core SLAM

2.2 Additional Fields of Research

2.2.1 Directional Probability

3 Related Work

Numerous methods have been developed to improve long-term SLAM performance, generalizing the problem to remove the constraint that the environment remains static. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2209.1>

- ChangingSlam - Uses a Bayes filter to remove changing map points. Utilizes semantic detection to determine dynamic objects before filter is used, which prevents it from working on deformable objects.

3.1 Point Removal Optimizations

3.1.1 Semantics Based Implementations

3.1.2 Probability Based Optimizations

4 Implementation

A probability model for the elimination of inaccurate map points For each new frame which comes in, the tracking thread completes its estimation of the new pose, based on the motion model, the local map, or the reference keyframe. This pose is then optimized to improve local consistency. Post localization, the estimation of the current pose will be as good as possible based on the currently available information. This estimated pose, along with the set of map points in the map are then processed to update each map point’s probability of existence. Step one involves projecting each point to the camera’s image plane, determining the set of map points which should be visible given the currently estimated pose. We can then split these “expected” map points into two groups: those that are seen, and those that are not seen. For the map points which are seen, we set their probability of existence to 1, since we are sure they still exist. Next, their `icosFaceProbs` is increased using Bayes theorem to indicate that the point is visible from that perspective. Finally, the nearest vertex in `icosVertexDists` is set to the current distance between the camera and the map point, if it’s larger than the current setting. If all map points associated with the face are 0, we do something else I guess. If the point is not seen, things are a bit more interesting. First of all, the `icosFaceProbs` is updated using Bayes theorem, this time decreasing it. If the point’s overall probability drops enough for a specific face, the vertex distances begin to drop, in the hopes of moving to the inside of any obstructions. This prevents observations from one perspective from having too much power over the overall probability of existence. The distance is dropped by $\frac{1}{2}$, and the face probability is set to the average of the adjacent face’s probabilities.

4.0.1 REWORDING

For each observed map point, we require the ability to determine both the perspectives from which it can be observed, and the maximum distance from that perspective at which the point can be observed. This effectively eliminates instances where a point is observable from a

perspective while in front of a wall, but not while behind the wall.

Icosahedron Observability Shell

An icosahedron was selected as the geometry for the observability model because it has the highest number of faces for a convex, regular polyhedra. Effectively, by using 12 parameters for vertex distances MAYBE CHANGE TO 20 FACE DISTANCES LATER and 20 parameters for face weights, we are able to estimate the probability of observing a map point from every possible perspective and distance. This probability is utilized in a Bayesian update step to modify the map points overall probability of existence.

Observability Shell Construction

A standard construction of an icosahedron utilizes three perpendicularly intersecting rectangles of ratio $1:(1+\sqrt{5})/2$. The vertices of these rectangles become the vertices of the icosahedron, and the edges are formed from the short edges of the rectangles, and regular triangles drawn between them. A consistent naming scheme is needed for the vertices and faces, and can be arbitrarily decided. For simplicity, rectangles lying on the coordinate planes and centered on the origin are utilized for the construction. ORB-SLAM3 utilizes a right handed coordinate system based on the first frame of a new map with x pointing right in the image plane, y pointing down, and z pointing forward. Figure XX shows the relationships between the coordinate frames, construction rectangles, vertex names, and face names utilized for this construction. Placing this icosahedron shell around a map point is accomplished by adding the map point's global coordinates to the vertex coordinates.

Continuous Observability Shell Evaluation

Simulation methods for the quantification of the effect of inaccurate maps on tracking and relocalization Before implementing the probability model, significant work can be done through simulation to determine the degree to which an outdated map affects SLAM performance. I generated several 3D environments, and several visual-inertial SLAM datasets through these environments for the Dataset generation

Dataset generation

Dataset generation takes place in three steps. The first step is Path Recording, followed by Dynamics Recording, and finally, sensor generation. These steps are described in detail below.

Path Recording

In this step, a world (SDF) is selected, and the simulated robot is driven through it. At each frame update, the controls provided by the user are recorded

for future playback. The output of this step is a directory structure containing a copy of the world, and the file containing the recorded path. Dynamics Recording At this stage, the world is reloaded, and the paths are played one-by-one. The user can move simulated objects which are part of the world around, along with loading new objects to place around the world. Care is taken to avoid these objects getting in the way of the paths. The output of this stage is a patch containing the ‘diff’ between the original world and the modified world. A manual step is required to add the “dynamic” tag to the moved/modified objects.

Sensor Generation The final step is to generate the simulated sensor readings which will be fed to the set of SLAM systems under test. For each combination of path and dynamics file, a stereo image stream, segmentation image stream, IMU stream and ground truth position stream are recorded to the world’s directory. Combination of pre-generated environments and custom generated environments using free assets Objects which are moved in future runs are marked “dynamic”, allowing them to be identified by the simulated segmentation camera

Multiple runs though the same environments with different levels of change from the baseline for different difficulties. Expect to see higher levels of inaccuracy when environment changes significantly between a run and its map generation Camera and IMU are attached to a simulated robot capable of 5dof motion in 3d environments. Evaluation of base performance

Evaluation of an “ideal” probability model The best possible performance of the model can be simulated by eliminating all keypoints which are moved between an initial environment and a modified environment. This is achieved using a segmentation camera as part of the dataset. Determining which keypoints fall on dynamic objects during the initial map generation allows those keypoints to be culled prior to loading the map for subsequent runs. This provides a simulacrum of an idealized “perfect” result of the probability model by using foreknowledge of which keypoints would ideally be marked as out-of-date. Limitations This model for “perfect” performance is capable of identifying objects in the original dataset which are moved or deleted, but is not capable of identifying objects which become occluded by new or existing objects. The decision could be made that objects which become occluded

should be marked “dynamic”, but this would raise issues if the occluded object remains visible from some perspectives contained in one or more test paths. It could be decided that occluded objects are marked “dynamic” if they are not seen due to the occlusion in a subsequent test path, but this would require additional decisions to be made (e.g. What should be done about objects which would not be seen by a path even if no occlusion exists? To what extent does an object need to be seen in order to avoid the “dynamic” mark?) For these reasons, objects may become occluded by other objects in a scene, but will not be culled by the ideal model. Test Plan

Implementation of a probability model for the elimination of outdated map points Definitions The following functions and parameters are being defined here for use in the several probability model implementations discussed below.

Implementation 1 The goal of this probability model is to estimate the probability that a keypoint

$$kp_n \in map_{initial}$$

exists at time

$$t$$

, given the current sensor input

$$Z_t$$

and state estimate

$$x_t$$

. Bayes’ theorem is used to determine a new probability for the existence of

$$kp_n$$

, written as:

This equation makes several assumptions: If

$$kp_n$$

is observed by

$$Z_t$$

, then we can be sure it exists. The probability of a keypoint's existence will not change without some observation of the area where

$$kp_n$$

is expected to exist. This implies that if we would not expect

$$Z_t$$

to observe

$$kp_n$$

(based on

$$kp_n$$

's last observed location and the current

$$x_t$$

),

$$p(\text{exists}(kp_n, t))$$

will not be changed. This means we can fix

$$p(\text{exists}(kp_n, t)) = p(\text{exists}(kp_n, t - 1))$$

. Each of these assumptions will be interrogated and analyzed to determine their validity in simulated and real world examples. Implementation 2 The assumption that the probability of a keypoint's existence does not change as a function of time may be false. This implementation makes use of the time between the loaded map's generation and the current time to increase the probability that a keypoint no longer exists based on the time delta to when it was last observed. Evaluation of probability model on simulated and real-world datasets

!– This is going to contain a section on comparisons with other methods of dynamics removal. This is difficult to do in practice due to the complications of using other people's numbers in direct comparison to my own. Add a section on potential research into context independent slam benchmarking. –j

5 Experimental Analysis

5.1 Dataset Creation

5.1.1 Hardware

5.1.2 Structure

5.1.3 Dataset Overview

5.2 Evaluation Metrics

5.3 SLAM System Configurations

5.3.1 Parameter Tuning

5.4 Results

5.4.1 Quantitative Evaluation

5.4.2 Qualitative Evaluation

5.4.3 Ablation Study

6 Discussion

7 Conclusion and Future Work

Appendices

Algorithm Implementations

Full Results

test