



| **UNR** Universidad
Nacional de Rosario

FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS, INGENIERÍA Y
AGRIMENSURA

ANÁLISIS DE LENGUAJES DE PROGRAMACIÓN

Trabajo Práctico 4

Autor:
Tomás Castro Rojas

1 de diciembre de 2021

1. Ejercicio 1

Recordemos la definición de `State`:

```
newtype State a = State {runState :: Env → Pair a Env}
```

y su instancia de Mónica:

```
instance Monad State where
  return x = State (\s -> (x :: s))
  m >>= f = State (\s -> let (v :: s') = runState m s in runState (f v) s')
```

Vamos a demostrar que `State` es una mónica. Para ello basta probar que su instancia cumple con las siguientes 3 propiedades:

1. $\text{return } x \gg= f = f \ x$
2. $t \gg= \text{return} = t$
3. $(t \gg= f) \gg= g = t \gg= (\lambda x \rightarrow f \ x \gg= g)$

Comenzamos con la prueba

Monad.1

```
return x >>= f
= < def return >
State (\ s -> (x :: s)) >>= f
= < def >>=>
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState (State (\ s -> (x :: s))) s
in runState (f v) s')
= < def runState >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = (    s -> (x :: s)) s in runState (f v) s')
= < B-redex >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = (x :: s) in runState (f v) s')
= < def Let >
State (\ s -> runState (f x) s)
= < E-redex >
State (runState (f x))
= < State . runState = Id >
f x
```

Monad.2

```
t >>= return
= < def >>= >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in runState (return v) s')
= < def return >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in runState State (\ s -> (v :: s)) s')
= < def runState >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in (\ s -> (v :: s)) s')
= < B-redex >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in (v :: s'))
= < def Let >
State (\ s -> runState t s)
```

```
= < def E-redex >
State (runState t)
= < State . runState = Id >
t
```

Monad.3

Desarrollamos primero el lado izquierdo

```
(t >>= f) >>= g
= < def >>= f >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in runState (f v) s') >>= g
= < def >>= g >
State (\ e -> let (u :: c) = runState (State
(\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in runState (f v) s')) e in runState (g u) c)
= < def runState >
State (\ e -> let (u :: c) = (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in runState (f v) s') e
in runState (g u) c)
= < B-redex >
State (\ e -> let (u :: c) = (let (v :: s') = runState t e in runState (f v) s')
in runState (g u) c) (*)
```

Ahora desarrollamos el lado derecho

```
t >>= (\ x -> f x >>= g)
= < def >>= >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in runState ((\ x -> f x >>= g) v) s')
= < B-redex >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in runState (f v >>= g) s')
= < def >>= >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in
runState (State (\ e -> let (u :: c) = runState (f v) e in runState (g u) c) s')
= < def runState >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in
(\ e -> let (u :: c) = runState (f v) e in runState (g u) c) s')
= < B-redex >
State (\ s -> let (v :: s') = runState t s in
(let (u :: c) = runState (f v) s' in runState (g u) c)) (**)
```

Veamos que las expresiones obtenidas son equivalentes. Para esto nos valemos del siguiente

Lema:

Si $y \notin FV(g' \ x)$ entonces

let x = let y = f'		let y = f'
in h' y	<=>	in let x = h' y
in g' x		in g' x

Tomando la expresión obtenida del lado izquierdo (*) tenemos:

- $x = (u \text{ !! } c)$
- $y = (v \text{ !! } s')$
- $f' = \text{runState } t \text{ } e$
- $h' = (\backslash(a \text{ !! } b) \rightarrow \text{runState } (f \text{ } a) \text{ } b)$
- $g' = (\backslash(a \text{ !! } b) \rightarrow \text{runState } (g \text{ } a) \text{ } b)$

Es facil ver que efectivamente $y \notin FV(g' \text{ } x)$ entonces reemplazando nos queda

```
State (\ e -> let (u !!: c) = (let (v !!: s') = runState t e in runState (f v) s')
in runState (g u) c)
= < Lema >
State (\ e -> let (v !!: s') = runState t e in
(let (u !!: c) = runState (f v) s' in runState (g u) c))
```

Con lo cual concluimos que $(t \gg= f) \gg= g = t \gg= (\backslash barra \text{ } x \rightarrow f \text{ } x \gg= g)$.

Por lo tanto, al cumplirse las 3 propiedades, **State** es una mónada.