

# A Teacher’s Contribution to Group Theory - Sylow’s First Theorem

Christopher Burke    Tomás Gillanders    David Murphy

## Introduction - A Converse to Lagrange’s Theorem?

Lagrange’s Theorem is ubiquitous in the study of finite groups, a consequence of the group axioms that places a strong constraint on which subsets of a group can be subgroups.

**Theorem 1** (*Lagrange*). Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $|H| \mid |G|$ .

Given Lagrange’s Theorem, it is natural to ask whether the converse of Lagrange’s Theorem is true; given a positive integer  $n$ , such that  $n \mid |G|$ , does a subgroup  $H \leq G$  exist such that  $n = |H|$ ? In general, this is in fact, not true. However, there are special cases in which it does hold.

In this project, we explore “Sylow’s First Theorem”, which provides a case for which the converse does hold; namely when  $p^k$  is the highest power of a prime  $p$  that divides  $|G|$ . The objective of this project to to outline the material that is required to prove this theorem, assuming only a basic understanding of group and set theory, and an inquisitive disposition.

## Equivalence Relations

**Definition 2** (*Equivalence Relation*). Let  $S$  be a set and  $\sim$  be a relation on  $S$ . We say that  $\sim$  is an Equivalence Relation on  $S$  iff the following properties hold. Let  $x, y, z \in S$ , then,

- $x \sim x, \forall x \in S$ , (Reflexivity)
- If  $x \sim y$ , then  $y \sim x$ , (Symmetry)
- If  $x \sim y$  and  $y \sim z$ , then  $x \sim z$ . (Transitivity)

**Lemma 2.** Let  $G$  be a group that acts on a set  $S$ . Let  $\sim$  be the relation  $x \sim y$  iff  $x \in O_G(y)$ . Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  and  $S$  be as stated above. We consider each of the conditions that  $\sim$  must satisfy to be an equivalence relation:

- By definition of a group action, the permutation induced by  $\text{id} \in G$ ,  $\pi_{\text{id}}$ , is the identity permutation and thus,  $\pi_{\text{id}}(x) = x, \forall x \in S$ . Thus  $x \in O_G(x)$  and  $x \sim x, \forall x \in S$ .
- Suppose that  $x \sim y$ . Thus,  $x \in O_G(y)$ . Therefore,  $x = \pi_g(y)$  for some  $g \in G$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} x = \pi_g(y) &\Rightarrow \pi_{\text{id}}(x) = \pi_g(y) \Rightarrow \pi_{g^{-1}}\pi_{\text{id}}(x) = \pi_{g^{-1}}\pi_g(y) \\ &\Rightarrow \pi_{g^{-1}\text{id}}(x) = \pi_{g^{-1}g}(y) \Rightarrow \pi_{g^{-1}}(x) = \pi_{\text{id}}(y) \Rightarrow y = \pi_{g^{-1}}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $y \in O_G(x)$  and  $y \sim x$ .

- Suppose  $x \sim y$  and  $y \sim z$ . Then for some  $g, h \in G$ , we have  $x = \pi_g(y)$  and  $y = \pi_h(z)$ . Substituting the latter into the prior expression, we get  $x = \pi_g(\pi_h(z)) = \pi_{gh}(z)$ . Thus  $x \in O_G(z)$  and  $x \sim z$ .

Therefore, we have that the relation  $\sim$  is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. Thus,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $S$ . ■

**Corollary 1.** Since  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $S$ ,  $P = S/\sim$  is a partition of  $S$ .

## Sylow’s First Theorem

**Theorem 3 (Sylow’s First Theorem).** Let  $G$  be a group such that  $p^k m = |G|$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $p \nmid m$ . Then  $G$  has a subgroup of order  $p^k$  (A Sylow  $p$ -subgroup).

*Proof.* Let  $G, p$  and  $m$  be as described in the theorem. Now consider the set  $S$  of all  $p^k$ -element subsets of  $G$ . That is

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{S_i \subseteq G \mid |S_i| = p^k\} \\ &= \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\} \end{aligned}$$

We note that there are  $\binom{p^k m}{p^k}$  ways to choose  $p^k$ -element subsets from a set of size  $p^k m$ , and thus,  $n = |S| = \binom{p^k m}{p^k}$ . Note that by Lemma 3,  $p \nmid n$ .

Now consider the following action of  $G$  on  $S$ . For  $g \in G$  and  $S_i \in S$ ,

$$\pi_g(S_i) = gS_i = \{gx \mid x \in S_i\}$$

Recall that by Corollary 1,  $P = \{O_G(S_i) \mid S_i \in S\}$  is a partition of  $S$ , and thus,

$$|S| = \sum_P |O_G(S_i)|$$

Since  $p \nmid n$ , it follows that there must exist at least one  $S^* \in S$  such that  $p \nmid |O_G(S^*)|$ . Let  $O_G(S^*) = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ . We then note that by Theorem 2,

$$|G| = [G : \text{Stab}_G(S^*)] \cdot |\text{Stab}_G(S^*)| = |O_G(S^*)| \cdot |\text{Stab}_G(S^*)|$$

However, we note that  $p^k \mid |G|$  and  $p^k \nmid |O_G(S^*)|$ , and therefore,  $p^k \mid |\text{Stab}_G(S^*)|$ . Thus,  $p^k \leq |\text{Stab}_G(S^*)|$ .

Now note  $\text{Stab}_G(S^*) = \{g \in G \mid \pi_g(S^*) = gS^* = S^*\}$ . Let  $H = \text{Stab}_G(S^*)$  for notational simplicity and note that we can consider the following action of  $H$  on  $S^*$  (since  $S^*$  is itself a set). For  $h \in H$  and  $x \in S^*$ ,

$$\sigma_h(x) = hx = x$$

We now consider  $\text{Stab}_H(x)$  for any  $x \in S^*$ . We note that this set consists of all  $h \in H$  such that  $hx = x$ . However, while  $x \in S^*$ , by definition  $x \in G$ , and thus, by the group axioms,  $\exists x^{-1} \in G$  such that  $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = \text{id}$ . Therefore,

$$hx = x \implies (hx)x^{-1} = xx^{-1} \implies h(xx^{-1}) = \text{id} \implies h\text{id} = \text{id} \implies h = \text{id} \in G$$

Thus, by definition of a group,  $\text{Stab}_H(x) = \{\text{id}\}$ , and  $|\text{Stab}_H(x)| = 1$ . Once again applying Theorem 2, we find,

$$|H| = [H : \text{Stab}_H(x)] \cdot |\text{Stab}_H(x)| = |O_H(x)| \cdot |\text{Stab}_H(x)| = |O_H(x)|$$

But  $O_H(x)$  is the set of all elements of  $S^*$  that can be reached by acting on  $x$  by the elements of  $H$ , and thus, since  $|S^*| = p^k$  we have  $|H| = |\text{Stab}_G(S^*)| = |O_H(x)| \leq p^k$ .

Therefore, we have shown  $p^k \leq |\text{Stab}_G(S^*)| \leq p^k$ , and thus, we deduce,  $|\text{Stab}_G(S^*)| = p^k$ . We also note from Lemma 1 that  $\text{Stab}_G(S^*)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore, we have identified a subgroup  $H \leq G$  such that  $|H| = p^k$ ; a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. ■

## Group Actions

**Definition 1** (*Group Action*). A group  $G$  acts on a set  $S$  if every element  $g \in G$  induces a permutation  $\pi_g$  of the set  $S$ , such that

- For  $\text{id} \in G$ ,  $\pi_{\text{id}}$  is the identity permutation on  $S$ .
- For all  $g, h \in G$ ,  $\pi_g \pi_h = \pi_{gh}$ , where  $\pi_g \pi_h = \pi_g \circ \pi_h$  is read “ $\pi_g$  after  $\pi_h$ ”.

**Notation.** Let  $G$  be a group that acts on a set  $S$ . We define the following notation:

- $\pi_g$  is the permutation of  $S$  induced by  $g \in G$ .
- $O_G(x) = \{\pi_g(x) \mid g \in G\}$  is the orbit of  $x \in S$  under the action of  $G$ .
- $\text{Stab}_G(x) = \{g \in G \mid \pi_g(x) = x\}$  is the stabilizer of  $x \in S$  in  $G$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $G$  be a group that acts on a set  $S$ . Then for all  $x \in S$ ,  $\text{Stab}_G(x) \leq G$ . ( $\text{Stab}_G(x)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ )

## The Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem & A Useful Lemma

**Theorem 2** (*Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem*). Let  $G$  be a group that acts on a set  $S$ , and let  $x \in S$ , then,  $|O_G(x)| = [G : \text{Stab}_G(x)]$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $p$  be prime and  $m, k$  be positive integers such that  $p \nmid m$ . Then

$$p \nmid \binom{p^k m}{p^k}$$

*Proof.* Let  $p, m$  and  $k$  be as above. Recall the definition of the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{n}{r} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!} = \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-r+1)}{r!}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{p^k m}{p^k} &= \frac{p^k m (p^k m - 1) \cdots (p^k m - p^k + 1)}{p^k (p^k - 1) \cdots (p^k - p^k + 1)} \\ &= m \prod_{j=1}^{p^k-1} \frac{p^k m - j}{p^k - j} \end{aligned}$$

Note from above that for each term of the product,  $\frac{p^k m - j}{p^k - j}$  with  $0 < j \leq p^k - 1$ , the largest integer power of  $p$  that divides  $p^k m - j$  is equal to the largest integer power of  $p$  that divides  $j$ ; and similarly the largest integer power of  $p$  that divides  $p^k - j$  is equal to the largest integer power of  $p$  that divides  $j$ . [1] Therefore, the highest power of  $p$  that divides both  $p^k m - j$  and  $p^k - j$  is the same. Thus, after reduction of the quotients to lowest terms, no factor of  $p$  remains in the integer,  $\prod_{j=1}^{p^k-1} \frac{p^k m - j}{p^k - j}$ . Therefore, since  $p \nmid m$  and  $p \nmid \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p^k-1} \frac{p^k m - j}{p^k - j}\right)$ ,  $p \nmid \binom{p^k m}{p^k}$ . ■

## A Note on Peter Sylow

Sylow’s Theorems are attributed to the Norwegian mathematician Peter Ludvig Mejdell Sylow (1832-1918). From 1858 to 1898 he worked as a maths and science teacher in Halden Norway, and in 1898 he began lecturing in Christina University. Sylow published his theorems in a brief paper in 1872. Sylow proved the theorem in terms of permutations of groups as the abstract definition of a group had not yet been conceived. Georg Frobenius re-proved the theorems for abstract groups in 1887. [2, 6]



## Conclusion

In this project we set out to investigate Peter Sylow and his contributions to group theory. We decided to focus on his first theorem, which identifies a case in which the converse of Lagrange’s Theorem holds. Sylow’s First Theorem states that for every prime factor  $p$  with multiplicity  $k$  of the order of a finite group  $G$ , there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , of order  $p^k$ . Sylow’s First Theorem is a powerful statement which gives insight to the internal structure of a group.

## References

The proof of Sylow’s First Theorem was adapted from a proof presented in Durbin’s “Modern Algebra” [1]. The proof and its notation were altered in order to improve its clarity and readability for a wider audience. The statement and proof of Lemma 3 was also adapted from this text for clarity. Further information on the topic of Sylow’s First Theorem was obtained from Menini and Van Oystaeyen’s “Abstract Algebra” [5], and Hall’s “An Introduction to Abstract Algebra”, [3]. We would also have liked to have presented a more “complete” proof of Lemma 3. However, due to space restrictions, this unfortunately could not be done. The link to the image used is found in Reference [4].

- [1] J. R. Durbin, *Modern Algebra*. John Wiley & Sons, 2000. ISBN: 0-471-32147-6.
- [2] J. B. Fraleigh, *A First Course in Abstract Algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1999. ISBN: 0-201-47436-0.
- [3] F. M. Hall, *An Introduction to Abstract Algebra, Volume II*. Cambridge University Press, 1969. ISBN: 521-7055-4.
- [4] School of Mathematics and Scotland Statistics University of St Andrews. July 2014. URL: <https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/Biographies/Sylow/pictdisplay/>.
- [5] C. Menini and Van Oystaeyen, *Abstract Algebra, A Comprehensive Treatment*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., 2004. ISBN: 0-8247-0985-3.
- [6] J. J. O’Connor and Robertson E. F. *Peter Ludwig Mejdell Sylow*. July 2014. URL: <https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/Biographies/Sylow/>.