Chapter IV – Examination of the WO-ISA and replies

1. General procedure

Under <u>Chapter II</u>, the reply to the WO-ISA, WO-IPEA (Form 408) or telephone minutes with possible amendments will be examined.

The final result of this examination under <u>Chapter II</u> is the issuance of the IPER (see <u>GL/PCT-EPO C-VIII</u>).

The examiner will first consider whether the objections raised in the WO-ISA have been overcome by the submitted arguments and/or amendments. If this is the case, the IPER will be issued directly, provided that the top-up search does not yield any pertinent prior art (see <u>GL/PCT-EPO C-IV, 5.4</u>). If objections have not been overcome or if pertinent prior art is found in the top-up search (see <u>GL/PCT-EPO C-IV, 5.3</u> and <u>5.4</u>), a further WO-IPEA or telephone minutes should be issued as set out in <u>GL/PCT-EPO C-IV, 2.2</u>.

If a further WO-IPEA or telephone minutes setting a time limit for reply are issued, the examiner will examine any reply from the applicant and will then as a Rule draft the IPER directly even if objections still occur, unless there is an outstanding request for a telephone consultation (see <u>GL/PCT-EPO C-IV, 2.2</u>, and <u>C-VII, 1</u>). An exception could be if it is clear that minor amendments could be suggested during e.g. a short telephone consultation which would result in a positive IPER, so that it would appear procedurally expedient to solve these problems in the <u>Chapter II</u> phase.

OJ EPO 2011, 532

2. Despatch of a further written opinion (Form 408)

2.1 Procedure when the EPO was not the ISA

Where the ISR and WO-ISA were established by another European International Searching Authority (at present SE, ES, AT, FI, TR, NPI (XN) and VPI (XV)), the WO-ISA is not considered as the first written opinion for the procedure under <u>Chapter II PCT</u> and the examiner will examine the file, taking into account the WO-ISA and any reply from the applicant on file. If there are objections as to novelty, inventive step and/or industrial applicability, he will send a WO-IPEA with a time limit for the applicant to reply as laid down in <u>Rule 66.2(d)</u>, which is normally two months.

Rule 66.1bis GL/ISPE 3.19

If, despite the applicant's timely and substantive reply (in the form of amendments and/or arguments) to this WO-IPEA, there are still objections outstanding, possibly resulting from the top-up search in Chapter II (see GL/PCT-EPO C-IV, 2,2.

2.2 Procedure when the EPO was the ISA

The applicant must be given a further opportunity for interaction in <u>Chapter II</u> before a negative IPER is established, on condition that he has filed in due time a substantive reply to the WO-ISA in the form of amendments and/or arguments.

OJ EPO 2011, 532