3.7 Independent claims containing a reference to another claim or to features from a claim of another category

Section F-IV, 3.8, in the Guidelines for Examination in the EPO applies GL/ISPE 5.19 mutatis mutandis.

3.8 Claims directed to computer-implemented inventions

Section F-IV, 3.9, in the Guidelines for Examination in the EPO applies mutatis mutandis.

3.8.1 Cases where all method steps can be fully implemented by generic data processing means

Section F-IV, 3.9.1, in the Guidelines for Examination in the EPO applies *mutatis mutandis*.

3.8.2 Cases where method steps require specific data processing means and/or require additional technical devices as essential features

Section <u>F-IV, 3.9.2</u>, in the Guidelines for Examination in the EPO applies *mutatis mutandis*.

3.8.3 Cases where the invention is realised in a distributed computing environment

Section F-IV, 3.9.3, in the Guidelines for Examination in the EPO applies mutatis mutandis.

4. Clarity and interpretation of claims

4.1 Clarity

See ISPE Guidelines 5.31.

Art. 6

Where it is found that the claims lack clarity, it may be appropriate for the examiner to first invite the applicant to provide informal clarification before the search is carried out (see GL/PCT-EPO B-VIII, 3.3-3.6).

4.2 Interpretation

See <u>ISPE Guidelines 5.20</u>. The EPO applies option <u>A5.20[2]</u> of the Appendix to Chapter 5 of the ISPE Guidelines.

4.3 Inconsistencies

See ISPE Guidelines 5.29 and 17.70.

4.4 General statements, "spirit" of invention

See ISPE Guidelines 5.30.

4.5 Essential features

4.5.1 Objections arising from missing essential features

Section F-IV, 4.5.1, in the Guidelines for Examination in the EPO applies *mutatis mutandis*.