H. Interpretation of the EPC

1.	The Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties	884
1.1.	Principles of interpretation of the Vienna Convention	885
1.1.1	The interpretation of good faith in accordance with the ordinary meaning	885
1.1.2	Supplementary means of interpretation – "travaux préparatoires"	886
1.1.3	Interpretation of exclusions from patentability under the Vienna	
	Convention	887
1.2.	Application of the rules of interpretation	888
1.2.1	Literal interpretation	889
1.2.2	Systematic interpretation	890
1.2.3	Teleological interpretation	890
1.2.4	Subsequent agreement or practice	890
1.2.5	Secondary considerations: dynamic interpretation	891
2.	Interpretation of the EPC affected by TRIPS Agreement	892
2.1.	General	892
2.2.	Application of the TRIPS Agreement	892
3.	The European Convention on Human Rights	893
4.	Impact of national decisions on the case law of the boards of appeal	894
4.1.	The duty of the boards of appeal to interpret and apply the EPC	894
4.2.	Effects on the case law due to the differences between national legislation	
	and the EPC	895
4.3.	National decisions: no binding effect on the boards of appeal	896
5.	Decisions of the Administrative Council: boards of appeal not formally	
	bound	896
6.	Implementing Regulations	897
7.	Changes in relation to an established practice and interpretation	898
8.	Interpretation of the various language texts of the EPC (Article 177 EPC)	899

On the interpretation of provisions of the EPC, the EPC itself provides relatively little guidance. There is the Protocol on the Interpretation of Art. 69 EPC of 5 October 1973 as revised by the Act revising the EPC of 29 November 2000. This deals with the extent of protection under Art. 69 EPC. Otherwise, the EPC contains only regulations like the hierarchy of legal provisions (Art. 150(2) and 164(2) EPC) and the equal authenticity of the EPC in its three official language versions (Art. 177 EPC). Art. 125 EPC is not concerned with the interpretation of the EPC but serves merely as a "fill-in" in case of missing procedural provisions. The EPC itself thus contains no general rules for its interpretation, and one must look outside the terms of the Convention itself for such rules (G 2/12, G 2/13, OJ 2016, A27 and A28).

As an international treaty, the EPC has to be interpreted in accordance with the rules of interpretation developed in the so-called "law of nations" or public international law. To the traditional kind of international treaty which regulates legal relations between States had to be added the treaty which directly creates and defines rights and duties for individuals and corporate bodies (**G** 5/83, OJ 1985, 64; **G** 2/12, **G** 2/13).