

# Continuation Passing Style for Effect Handlers

Mateusz Urbańczyk

7 January 2020

## Abstract

We provide an implementation of algebraic effects and handlers by doing continuation passing style transformation of the functional programming language Freak, which is based on the existing Links language [7].

## 1 Introduction

Implementation of Continuation Passing Style for Effect Handlers paper [7]

## 2 State of the art

Frank [10], Koka [9], Helium [4]

## 3 Syntax

## 4 Operational semantics

### 4.1 Integers

### 4.2 Binary operators

## 5 Usage guide

All commands are available within `src` directory.

### 5.1 Build and install

- Install dependencies: `make install`
- Compile: `make build`
- Link to PATH: `sudo make link`

- Remove artifacts: `make clean`
- Run tests: `make tests`
- Run code linter: `make lint`

After compiling and linking program to PATH, one may evaluate program as follows: `freak programs/choicesList.fk`. The actual code is described in subsection 7.1 Choice

## 5.2 Running tests

Test cases are available [here](#), they include both inline and file-based tests. For more details about writing tests, one may refer to HUnit documentation [8].

- Run tests: `make tests`
- Run code linter: `make lint`
- Compile, run linter and tests: `make check`

## 6 Implementation

The Freak implementation is available at <https://github.com/Tomatosoup97/freak>. CPS based on [1]

### 6.1 Row types

### 6.2 Type inference

Type system as of this day is not implemented, as the focus has been put on CPS transformation. Further work is required.

## 7 Examples

In this section we present a few examples to show the capabilities of the language. The ideas has been based on [2], and thus will not be described in great details.

### 7.1 Choice

The first example will be based on modelling (nondeterministic) choice in the program. We will make two decisions, which will affect the computation result:

```

let c1 <- do Choice () in
let c2 <- do Choice () in
let x <- if c1 then return 10 else return 20 in
let y <- if c2 then return 0 else return 5 in
  return x - y

```

With that in hand, we may want to define effect handlers:

```

handle ... with {
  Choice p r ->
    let t <- r 1 in
    let f <- r 0 in
    <PLACEHOLDER> |
    return x -> return x
}

```

where in the <PLACEHOLDER> we can define on what to do with the computation. For example, min-max strategy for picking the minimum value:

```

if t < f then return t else return f

```

where the code evaluates to 5. Another example is a handler that collects all possible results, which can be achieved by putting `return (t, f)` in the <PLACEHOLDER>, which evaluates to `((10, 5), (20, 15))`.

## 7.2 Exceptions

Exceptions are simply algebraic effect handlers which drop the resumption.

```

handle
  if x == 0 then do Raise ()
  else return 1 / x
with {
  Raise p r -> return 42 |
  return x -> return x
}

```

Where we imagine that `x` variable has been bound previously. More exemplary programs in Freak language can be found [here](#).

## 8 Future work

### 8.1 Abstract machine

Implement abstract machine described in [5]

## 8.2 Multiple instances of algebraic effect

Proposed Helium [3], or Eff [2]

## 8.3 Make the language more usable

While the language is turing-complete, for convenient usage it requires more basic constructs and syntactic sugar for common patterns that would ease the programming.

## 8.4 Selective CPS

As in [9]

## 8.5 Exceptions as separate constructs

Exceptions are a trivial example of algebraic effect where the resumption is discarded, and as described in §4.5 [7], they can be modeled as a separate construct to improve performance.

## 8.6 Shallow handlers

X [6]

# 9 Conclusions

## References

- [1] Andrew W. Appel. *Compiling with Continuations*. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 1992. ISBN: 0-521-41695-7.
- [2] Andrej Bauer and Matija Pretnar. “Programming with Algebraic Effects and Handlers”. In: *CoRR* abs/1203.1539 (2012). arXiv: 1203.1539. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.1539>.
- [3] Dariusz Biernacki et al. “Binders by Day, Labels by Night: Effect Instances via Lexically Scoped Handlers”. In: *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* POPL (2020).
- [4] Dariusz Biernacki et al. “Handle with Care: Relational Interpretation of Algebraic Effects and Handlers”. In: *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 2:POPL (Dec. 2017), 8:1–8:30. ISSN: 2475-1421. DOI: 10.1145/3158096. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/3158096>.
- [5] Daniel Hillerström and Sam Lindley. “Liberating Effects with Rows and Handlers”. In: *TyDe 2016*. ACM, 2016.
- [6] Daniel Hillerström and Sam Lindley. “Shallow Effect Handlers”. In: *Programming Languages and Systems*. Springer International Publishing, 2018.

- [7] Daniel Hillerström et al. “Continuation Passing Style for Effect Handlers”. In: *FSCD*. 2017.
- [8] *HUnit: A unit testing framework for Haskell*. URL: <https://hackage.haskell.org/package/HUnit>.
- [9] Daan Leijen. “Type Directed Compilation of Row-typed Algebraic Effects”. In: *POPL*. ACM, 2017.
- [10] Sam Lindley, Conor McBride, and Craig McLaughlin. “Do be do be do”. In: *CoRR* abs/1611.09259 (2016). arXiv: 1611.09259. URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09259>.