Continuation Passing Style for Effect Handlers

Mateusz Urbańczyk

7 January 2020

Abstract

We provide an implementation of algebraic effects and handlers by doing continuation passing style tranformation of the functional programming language Freak, which is based on the existing Links langauge [9].

1 Introduction

In this article we present experimental programming language Freak, which is an implementation of Continuation Passing Style for Effect Handlers paper [9], with addition of a few basic constructs. We start by presenting the related work, then discuss syntax and operational semantics. Basic usage guide for playing with the language is provided, as well as implementation details and examples. We conclude by stating what are the possible augmentations, that are intended to be made in the future.

2 State of the art

Despite from Links language [9], on which the implementation is based, there are currently many other alternatives available. One may take a look at Frank [12], which provides a support for multihandlers, Koka [11], Helium [5] or Eff [2]. Except from separate languages, many libraries arose for existing ones like Haskell, Idris, Scala or Multicore OCaml.

As can be seen in the J. Yallop repository [6], algebraic effects and handlers are now trending branch of computer science.

3 Syntax

4 Operational semantics

The source language's dynamics has been described extensively by providing small-step operational semantics, continuation passing style transformation [9]

as well as abstract machine [7], which was proved to coincide with CPS translation. That being said, Freak introduces new basic constructs to the language, for which we shall define the semantics.

4.1 Integers

4.2 Binary operators

5 Usage guide

All commands are available within `src` directory.

5.1 Build and install

- Install dependencies: `make install`

- Compile: `make build`

- Link to PATH: `sudo make link`

- Remove artiacts: `make clean`

After compiling and linking program to PATH, one may evaluate program as follows: `freak programs/choicesList.fk`. The actual code is described in subsection 7.1 Choice

5.2 Running tests

Test cases are available <u>here</u>, they include both inline and file-based tests. For more details about writing tests, one may refer to *HUnit documentation* [10].

- Run tests: `make tests`

- Run code linter: `make lint`

- Compile, run linter and tests: `make check`

6 Implementation

The Freak implementation is available at https://github.com/Tomatosoup97/freak. While the paper provided a good overview on the language and the translation, the lower-level details were ommited. Therefore, the actual CPS implementation is based on A. Appel [1], which provides a translation for a simplified ML calculus.

The core data structure into which the source program is transformed, the `Continuation Computation`, is defined as follows:

```
data ContComp
    = CPSApp CValue [CValue]
    | CPSFix Var [Var] ContComp ContComp
    | CPSBinOp BinaryOp CValue CValue Var ContComp
    | CPSValue CValue
    | CPSLet Var CValue ContComp
    | CPSSplit Label Var Var CValue ContComp
    | CPSCase CValue Label Var ContComp Var ContComp
    | CPSIf CValue ContComp ContComp
    | CPSAbsurd CValue
```

The translation is based on the curried first-order translation. For improving the performance of the evaluation, uncurried higher-order translation should be adopted, so that administrative redexes are contracted and proper tail-recursion is obtained. The final answer is represented as a `DValue`, where the meaning of the coproduct is as one would expect:

```
type Label = String

data DValue
    = DNum Integer
    | DLambda Env FuncRecord
    | DUnit
    | DPair DValue DValue
    | DLabel Label
```

6.1 Type inference

Type system as of this day is not implemented, as the focus has been put on CPS transformation. Further work is required here, especially considering the fact that a huge advantage of algebraic effects is that they are explicitly defined in the type of a computation.

6.2 Source code structure

The source code is divided into a number of modules, each with a different responsibility.

```
AST.hs          - AST data structures
CPS.hs          - CPS translation
Eval.hs         - Evaluation of the target calculus
Freak.hs        - API for the language
Main.hs         - Main module running evaluator on given filename
Parser.hs       - Parser and lexer
Tests.hs        - Tests module
```

```
Typechecker.hs  - -- suspended --
Types.hs        - Common types definition
programs/       - Exemplary programs used in tests
```

7 Examples

In this section we present a few examples to show the capabilities of the language. The ideas has been based on [3], and thus will not be described in great details. More exemplary programs in Freak language can be found here.

7.1 Choice

The first example will be based on modelling (nondeterministic) choice in the program. We will make two decisions, which will affect the computation result:

```
let c1 <- do Choice () in
let c2 <- do Choice () in
let x <- if c1 then return 10 else return 20 in
let y <- if c2 then return 0 else return 5 in
    return x - y
```

With that in hand, we may want to define effect handlers:

```
handle ... with {
    Choice p r ->
        let t <- r 1 in
        let f <- r 0 in
        <PLACEHOLDER> |
    return x -> return x
}
```

where in the `<PLACEHOLDER>` we can define on what to do with the computation. For example, min-max strategy for picking the minimum value:

```
if t < f then return t else return f
```

where the code evaluates to 5. Another example is a handler that collects all possible results, which can be achieved by putting `return (t, f)` in the `<PLACEHOLDER>`, which evaluates to `((10, 5), (20, 15))`.

4

7.2 Exceptions

Exceptions are simply algebraic effect handlers which drop the resumption.

```
handle
    if x == 0 then do ZeroDivisionError ()
              else return 1/x
with {
    ZeroDivisionError p r -> return 42 |
    return x -> return x
}
```

Where we imagine that x variable has been bound previously.

8 Future work

The Freak language is experimental and a lot of possible enhancements could be adopted. Here we provide a list of proposed directions in which further work could be made.

8.1 Alternative evaluation

The Links language also provides small-step operational semantics and an abstract machine [7]. Implementing another way of evaluation could serve as a way to empirically assert correctness, as opposed to formally.

8.2 Make the langugae more usable

While the language is turing-complete, for convenient usage it requires more basic constructs and syntactic sugar for common patterns that would ease the programming.

8.3 Multiple instances of algebraic effect

The Freak language is limited to a single instance of an effect. We would need to support cases where many instances of the algebraic effects, with the same handlers code, could be instantiated. The current state of the art introduces a concept of resources and instances, as in Eff [3], or instance variables, as in Helium [4].

8.4 Selective CPS

Other languages, like Koka [11], or even core of the Links, are performing selective CPS translation, which reduces the overhead on code that does not perform algebraic effects. Our current translation is fully embeded in the CPS.

8.5 Exceptions as separate constructs

Exceptions are a trivial example of algebraic effect where the resumption is discarded, and as described in §4.5 [9], they can be modeled as a separate construct to improve performance.

8.6 Shallow handlers

Shallow and deep handlers while being able to simluate each other up to administrative reductions, have a very different meaning from a theoretical point of view. Implementing them as defined by Lindley et al. [8] could be another way of enhancing Freak.

9 Conclusions

References

[1] Andrew W. Appel. *Compiling with Continuations*. New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press, 1992. ISBN: 0-521-41695-7.

[2] Andrej Bauer and Matija Pretnar. "An Effect System for Algebraic Effects and Handlers". In: *Logical Methods in Computer Science* 10.4 (2014). DOI: `10.2168/LMCS-10(4:9)2014`. URL: `https://doi.org/10.2168/LMCS-10(4:9)2014`.

[3] Andrej Bauer and Matija Pretnar. "Programming with Algebraic Effects and Handlers". In: *CoRR* abs/1203.1539 (2012). arXiv: `1203.1539`. URL: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.1539`.

[4] Dariusz Biernacki et al. "Binders by Day, Labels by Night: Effect Instances via Lexically Scoped Handlers". In: *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* POPL (2020).

[5] Dariusz Biernacki et al. "Handle with Care: Relational Interpretation of Algebraic Effects and Handlers". In: *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 2.POPL (Dec. 2017), 8:1–8:30. ISSN: 2475-1421. DOI: `10.1145/3158096`. URL: `http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/3158096`.

[6] *Collaborative bibliography of work related to the theory and practice of computational effects.* URL: `https://github.com/yallop/effects-bibliography`.

[7] Daniel Hillerström and Sam Lindley. "Liberating Effects with Rows and Handlers". In: TyDe 2016. ACM, 2016.

[8] Daniel Hillerström and Sam Lindley. "Shallow Effect Handlers". In: *Programming Languages and Systems*. Springer International Publishing, 2018.

[9] Daniel Hillerström et al. "Continuation Passing Style for Effect Handlers". In: *FSCD*. 2017.

[10]   *HUnit: A unit testing framework for Haskell.* URL: https://hackage.haskell.org/package/HUnit.

[11]   Daan Leijen. "Type Directed Compilation of Row-typed Algebraic Effects". In: POPL. ACM, 2017.

[12]   Sam Lindley, Conor McBride, and Craig McLaughlin. "Do be do be do". In: *CoRR* abs/1611.09259 (2016). arXiv: 1611.09259. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09259.