

**Verjetnostne metode v
računalništvu - zapiski s
predavanj prof. Marca**

Tomaž Poljanšek

študijsko leto 2023/24

Kazalo

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Probability	1
1.2	Random variables	2
2	Quicksort, min-cut	4
2.1	Quicksort	4
2.2	Min-cut	6
3	Complexity classes	9
4	Chernoff bounds	11
5	Monte Carlo methods	16
5.1	Example 1	16
5.2	Example 2	16
5.3	(ϵ, δ) -approximation	17
5.4	DNF counting	18
6	Polynomials	20
7	Random graphs	24
7.1	$G(n, p)$ model	24

Poglavje 1

Introduction

1.1 Probability

(Ω, F, P_r) :

- $\emptyset \in F$,
- $A \in F \implies A^c \in F$,
- $A_1, A_2 \dots \in F \implies \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in F$.

$P_r(A) \geq 0$,

$P_r(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_r(A_i)$ if A_i disjoint,

$P_r(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_r(A_i)$,

$\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2 \dots\}$ - countable case.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \dots \\ p_1 & p_2 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

Primer.

`Alg():`

`while True:`

`B = sample as random from {0,1} # 1 with probability p`

`if B = 1:`

return

$$\Omega = \{1, 01, 001, 0001 \dots\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 01 & 001 & 0001 & \dots \\ p & (1-p)p & (1-p)^2p & (1-p)^3p & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

1.2 Random variables

$X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

$E[X] = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} c \cdot P_r(X = c)$ expected value of X .

Properties:

- $E[f(X)] = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} f(c) \cdot P_r(X = c)$,
- $E[aX + bY] = aE[X] + bE[Y]$,
- $E[X \cdot Y] = E[X] \cdot E[Y]$ if X, Y independent,
- $P_r(X \geq a) \leq \frac{E[X]}{a} \forall a > 0, X \geq 0$ Markov inequality.

Primer. (Continuing from before).

X = number of trials before return.

$X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

$X : 1 \rightarrow 1, 01 \rightarrow 2, 001 \rightarrow 3 \dots$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots \\ p & (1-p)p & (1-p)^2p & (1-p)^3p & \dots \end{pmatrix} - \text{geometric distribution.}$$

Trditev 1.2.1. $E[X] = \frac{1}{p}$.

Dokaz 1.2.2. $X = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$.

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if trial } i \text{ is executed} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[X_i] = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i-1} = \frac{i=0}{\infty} (1-p)^i = \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = \frac{1}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

$$E[X] = \frac{1}{p}.$$

$$P_r(X \geq 100 \cdot \frac{1}{p}) \leq \frac{E[X]}{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{1}{100}.$$

Definicija 1.2.3. $H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i}$.

Izrek 1.2.4. $H_n \leq 1 + \ln(n)$.

Dokaz 1.2.5.

$$H_n = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{i} \stackrel{\text{integral}}{\leq} 1 + \int_1^n \frac{dx}{x} = 1 + \ln(x)|_1^n = 1 + \ln(n).$$

Poglavje 2

Quicksort, min-cut

2.1 Quicksort

Input: set (no equal element) (unordered list) $S \in \mathbb{R}$
(or whatever you can compare linearly)

Output: ordered list

Code:

```
def Quicksort(S):  
    if |S| = 0 or 1:  
        return S  
    else:  
        a = uniformly at random from S  
         $S^- = \{b \in S \mid b < a\}$   
         $S^+ = \{b \in S \mid a < b\}$   
        return Quicksort( $S^-$ ), a, Quicksort( $S^+$ )
```

$C(n)$ - random variable, the number of comparisons in evaluation of Quicksort with $|S| = n$.

Izrek 2.1.1. $E[C(n)] = O(N \log(n))$.

Dokaz 2.1.2. $C(0) = C(1) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
E[C(n)] &= n - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (E[C(i-1)] + E[C(n-i)]) \cdot P_r(a \text{ is } i\text{-it element}) \leq \\
&\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E[C(i)].
\end{aligned}$$

Induction:

$n = 1 : \checkmark$

$n - 1 \rightarrow n$:

$$\begin{aligned}
E[C(n)] &\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[C(i)] \leq \\
&\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 5i \log i \leq \\
&\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} 5i \log i + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1+\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{n-1} 5i \log i \leq \\
&\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} 5i \log \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1+\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{n-1} 5i \log n \leq \\
&(\log \frac{n}{2} = \log n - 1) \\
&\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n 5i \log n - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} 5i \right) = \\
&= n + \frac{10}{n} \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \log n - \frac{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2}+1)}{2} \right) \leq \\
&\leq n + 5(n-1) \log n - n < \\
&< 5n \log n.
\end{aligned}$$

$$P(C(n) \geq b \cdot 5n \log n) \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{1}{b}.$$

Dokaz 2.1.3.

2:

Let $S_1, S_2 \dots S_n$ sorted elements of S .

Define random variable $X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_i \text{ and } S_j \text{ are compared} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

$$C(n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} E[X_{ij}].$$

$$E[X_{ij}] = P(S_i \text{ and } X_j \text{ compared}).$$

S_{ij} - the last set including S_i and S_j .

$$E[X_{ij}] = \frac{2}{|S_{ij}|} \leq \frac{2}{j-i+1}.$$

$$|S_{ij}| \geq j - i + 1.$$

S_{ij} has everything in between.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow E[C(n)] &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{2}{j-i+1} = \\ &= \sum_{k=j-i+1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1-k} \frac{2}{k} \leq \\ &\leq 2 \cdot n \cdot H_n \leq \\ &\leq 2n(1 + \log n). \end{aligned}$$

2.2 Min-cut

G multigraph.

Cut: $U \subset V(G)$, $U \neq \emptyset, V(G)$.

$$(U, V(G) \setminus U) = \{uv \in E(G) \mid u \in U, v \in V(G) \setminus U\}.$$

Problem min-cut:

Input: G .

Output: $\min |(U, V(G) \setminus U)|$ - cut size.

Algorithm 1:

$x \in V(G)$

Call $\text{maxFlow}(G, x, y) \forall y \in V(G)$

Take \min

maxFlow is Edmonds-Karp algorithm $O(|V||E|^2)$.

Algorithm 2 (Stoer Wagner)

Is $O(|E||V| + |V|\log|V|)$.

Algorithm *randMinCut*:

```

G_0 = G
i = 0
while |V(G_i)| > 2:
    e_i = uniformly at random from G_i
    G_{i+1} = G_i / e_i
    i = i + 1
u, v = V(G_{n-2}) // n = |V(G)|
U = {w ∈ V(G) | w is merged into u}
return (U, V(G) \ U)

```

Izrek 2.2.1. Algorithm *randMinCut* gives you a minimal cut with probability greater or equal to $\frac{2}{n(n-1)}$.

Dokaz 2.2.2.

Fact 1: $\minCut(G_i) \leq \minCut(G_i)$;

$\not\propto$: *minCut* remains.

Fact 2: $\minCut(G) \leq \delta(G)$.

$k := \minCut(G)$.

Let (A, B) be an optimal cut.

ϵ_i not in (A, B) .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_r(\text{Algorithm not returning } (A, B)) \\
 &= P_r(\epsilon_0 \cap \dots \cap \epsilon_{n-3}) \\
 &= P_r(\epsilon_0 \cap \dots \cap \epsilon_{n-4}) \cdot P_r(\epsilon_{n-3} \mid \epsilon_0 \cap \dots \cap \epsilon_{n-4}) \\
 &= P_r(\epsilon_{n-3} \mid \cap_{i=0}^{n-4} \epsilon_i) \cdot P_r(\epsilon_{n-3} \mid \cap_{i=0}^{n-4} \epsilon_i) \\
 &\dots P_r(\epsilon_1 \mid \epsilon_0) \cdot P_r(\epsilon_0). (*)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$$P_r(\bar{\epsilon}_i \mid \epsilon_{i-1} \cap \dots \cap \epsilon_0) = \frac{k}{|E(G_i)|} \stackrel{(**)}{\leq} \frac{k}{\frac{(n-i)k}{2}} = \frac{2}{n-i}$$

$$|E(G_i)| \geq \frac{(n-i)\delta(G)}{2} \geq \frac{(n-i)k}{2}. (**) \tag{2.2}$$

$$P_r(\epsilon_i \mid \epsilon_{i-1} \cap \dots \cap \epsilon_0) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{n-i} = \frac{n-2-i}{n-i}.$$

$$(*) \geq \frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-3}{n-1} \cdots \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{n(n-1)}.$$

Izrek 2.2.3. Running *randMinCut* $n(n-1)$ times and taking best output gives correct solution with probability ≥ 0.86 .

Dokaz 2.2.4. A_i - event that i -th run gives sub-optimal solution.

$$P_r(\text{solution not correct}) = P_r(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{n(n-1)})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n(n-1)} P_r(A_i) \leq \left(1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)}\right)^{n(n-1)}$$

$$\leq e^{-\frac{2}{n(n-1)} \cdot n(n-1)} = e^{-2} \leq 0.14.$$

$$1 - x \leq e^x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If we run $n(n-1)\log(n)$ times $\rightarrow O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$.

$O(n^2 \log n \cdot n)$.

Improved: $O(n^2 \log^3 n)$.

Poglavje 3

Complexity classes

Decision problem - yes/no question on a set of inputs = asking $w \in \Pi$.

Randomized algorithms:

- Las Vegas algorithms: always gives correct solution, example: *Quicksort*.
- Monte Carlo algorithms: it can give wrong answers. Monte Carlo algorithms subtypes:

$$- \text{type}(1): \begin{cases} \text{if } \omega \in \Pi \implies \text{algorithm returns } „\omega \in \Pi“ \text{ with probability } \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ \text{if } \omega \notin \Pi \implies \text{algorithm returns } „\omega \in \Pi“ \text{ with probability } = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$- \text{type}(2): \begin{cases} \text{if } \omega \in \Pi \implies \text{algorithm returns } „\omega \in \Pi“ \text{ with probability } = 1 \\ \text{if } \omega \notin \Pi \implies \text{algorithm returns } „\omega \in \Pi“ \text{ with probability } \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$- \text{type}(3): \begin{cases} \text{if } \omega \in \Pi \implies \text{algorithm returns } „\omega \in \Pi“ \text{ with probability } \geq \frac{3}{4} \\ \text{if } \omega \notin \Pi \implies \text{algorithm returns } „\omega \in \Pi“ \text{ with probability } \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

type(1) and type(2): one-sided error, type(3): 2-sided error.

$\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{4}$ arbitrary numbers, can be something different (for type(3) better than coin flip).

Primer. Decisional problem: does a graph G have $\text{minCut} \leq k$?

Run $randMinCut(G)$ $n(n-1)$ times.

```
Algorithm randMinCut:
  if one of runs gives  $|A, B| \leq k$ :
    return true
  else:
    return false
```

Complexity classes:

- RP (randomized polynomial time): decisional problems for which there exists Monte Carlo algorithm of type(1) with polynomial time complexity (worst case).
- co-RP: decisional problems for which there exists Monte Carlo algorithm of type(2) with polynomial time complexity (worst case).
- BRP (bounded-error probabilistic polynomial time): decisional problems for which there exists Monte Carlo algorithm of type(3) with polynomial time complexity (worst case).
- ZPP (zero-error probabilistic polynomial time): decisional problems for which there exists Las Vegas algorithm with expected polynomial time complexity (worst case).

$ZPP = RP \cap co-RP$.

Poglavje 4

Chernoff bounds

Izrek 4.0.1. Let $X_1, X_2 \dots X_n$ independent random variables with image $\{0, 1\}$.

Let $p_i = P_r(X_i = x_i)$, $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\mu = E(X) = p_1 + \dots + p_n$.

For every $\delta \in (0, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(X - \mu \geq \delta\mu) &\leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2\mu}{3}} \\ P_r(\mu - X \leq \delta\mu) &\leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2\mu}{2}} \\ \implies P_r(|X - \mu| \geq \delta\mu) &\leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2\mu}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Probability falls extremely quickly after $E(X)$.

Dokaz 4.0.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(X - \mu \geq \delta\mu) &= P_r(X \geq \mu(1 + \delta)) \\
&\stackrel{t \geq 0}{=} P_r(tX \geq t\mu(1 + \delta)) \\
&\stackrel{e^y \geq 0}{=} P_r(e^{tX} \geq e^{t\mu(1 + \delta)}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{E(e^{tX})}{e^{t\mu(1 + \delta)}} \\
&\stackrel{4.1}{\leq} \frac{e^{(e^t - 1)\mu}}{e^{t\mu(1 + \delta)}} \\
&\stackrel{4.3}{\leq} e^{-\mu \frac{\delta^2}{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E(e^{tX}) &= E(e^{tX_1 + \dots + tX_n}) \\
&= E(e^{tX_1} \dots e^{tX_n}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{independent}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n E(e^{tX_i}) \\
&\stackrel{4.2}{\leq} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{p_i(e^t - 1)} \\
&= e^{(e^t - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i} \\
&= e^{(e^t - 1)\mu}. \tag{4.1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$E(e^{tX_i}) = p_i \cdot e^t + (1 - p_i) \cdot e^0 = 1 + p_i(e^t - 1) \stackrel{1+x \leq e^x}{\leq} e^{p_i(e^t - 1)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Want:

$$e^t - 1 - t(1 + \delta) \leq -\frac{\delta^2}{3} \quad \forall \delta \in (0,1) \tag{4.3}$$

$$t = \ln(1 + \delta)$$

$$f(\delta) = 1 + \delta - 1 - (1 + \delta) \ln(1 + \delta) + \frac{\delta^2}{3} \stackrel{?}{\leq} 0$$

$$f(0) = 0$$

$$f'(\delta) = 1 - \ln(1 + \delta) - 1 + \frac{2}{3}\delta = \frac{2}{3}\delta - \ln(1 + \delta) \stackrel{?}{\leq} 0$$

$$\frac{2}{3}\delta \leq \ln(1 + \delta)$$

$$\delta = 1 : \frac{2}{3} \stackrel{?}{\leq} \ln(2) \approx 0.69 \checkmark$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(\mu - X \leq \delta\mu) &= P_r(X \geq \mu(1 - \delta)) \\
&\stackrel{t \geq 0}{=} P_r(tX \geq t\mu(1 - \delta)) \\
&\stackrel{e^y \geq 0}{=} P_r(e^{tX} \geq e^{t\mu(1 - \delta)}) \\
&\leq \dots \leq \frac{e^{(e^t - 1)\mu}}{e^{t\mu(1 - \delta)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Want: $e^t - 1 - t(1 - \delta) \leq -\frac{\delta^2}{2} \forall \delta \in (0,1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
t &= \ln(1 - \delta) \\
f(\delta) &= 1 - \delta - 1 - (1 - \delta) \ln(1 - \delta) + \frac{\delta^2}{2} \stackrel{?}{\leq} 0 \\
f(0) &= 0 \\
f'(\delta) &= -1 + 1 - \ln(1 - \delta) + \delta \stackrel{?}{\leq} 0 \\
\frac{2}{3}\delta &\leq \ln(1 + \delta) \\
\ln(1 - \delta) &\stackrel{?}{\leq} -\delta \checkmark
\end{aligned}$$

■

$$\begin{aligned}
X_i &\sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\
X &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \\
\mu &= \frac{n}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(|X - \mu| \geq \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}n \ln(n)}) &= P_r(|X - \mu| \geq \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{\frac{6}{n} \ln(n)}) \\
\mu &= \frac{n}{2}, \delta = \sqrt{\frac{6}{n} \ln(n)}, \\
&\text{for „big“ } n\delta \in (0,1) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{\leq} 2e^{-\frac{\frac{n}{2} \frac{6}{n} \ln(n)}{3}} = \frac{2}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$d = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}n \ln(n)}$$

$$\implies P_r(X \in (\mu - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}n \ln(n)}, \mu + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}n \ln(n)})) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{n}.$$

Trditev 4.0.3.

Let $X_1, X_2 \dots$ independent random variables with image $\{0,1\}$.

$$P_r(X_i = 1) = \frac{1}{2} \forall i.$$

Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^{cm} X_i$ where $c \geq 4$.

Then $P_r(X \leq m) \leq e^{-\frac{cm}{16}}$.

Dokaz 4.0.4.

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(X \leq m) &= P_r\left(\frac{cm}{2} - X \geq \frac{cm}{2} - m\right) \\ &= P_r\left(\frac{cm}{2} - X \geq \frac{cm}{2}\left(1 - \frac{2}{c}\right)\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{\leq} e^{-\frac{\frac{cm}{2}\left(1 - \frac{2}{c}\right)^2}{2}} \\ &\quad 1 - \frac{2}{c} \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ if } c \geq 4 \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{\frac{cm}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4}}{2}} = e^{-\frac{cm}{16}}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Back to Quicksort.

Izrek 4.0.5.

With probability $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ Quicksort uses at most $48n \ln(n)$ comparisons.

Dokaz 4.0.6.

For $s \in S$ define $S_1^S \dots S_{t_s}^S \neq \emptyset$ sets that include s , t_s - number of comparisons with s where s is not a pivot +1.

Define: iteration i is successful if $|S_{i+1}| \leq \frac{3}{4}|S_i|$ ($\frac{1}{2}$ is too strict).

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if iteration } i \text{ is successful} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$P_r(X_i = 1) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$S_i : n \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}n \rightarrow \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1.$$

Notice: max number of iteration is $\log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n) = \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln(4) - \ln(3)}$.

Probability that we haven't succeeded in $\log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)$ steps:

$$P_r\left(\sum_{i=1}^{c \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)} X_i < \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)\right) \leq P_r\left(\sum_{i=1}^{c \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)} Y_i < \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)\right) \quad (4.4)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{<} e^{-\frac{c \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)}{24}} \quad (4.5)$$

$$= e^{-\frac{c \ln(n) \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(e)}{24}} \quad (4.6)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \frac{c \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(e)}{24} \quad (4.7)$$

$$\log_{\frac{4}{3}}(e) \approx 3.4, \quad c = 14 \quad (4.8)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \quad (4.9)$$

4.4 because X_i not independent, $Y_i \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ independent.

$P_r(t_s \geq c \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)) \geq \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2$ for one s .

$c = 14 \implies$ at least $48 \ln(n)$ iterations with probability $\leq \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2$.

With probability as least $1 - \frac{1}{n}$ for all $s \in S$ it holds that s has $\leq 48 \ln(n)$ comparisons with a pivot.

\implies total number of comparisons $n \cdot 48 \ln(n)$ with probability as least $1 - \frac{1}{n}$. ■

Poglavje 5

Monte Carlo methods

5.1 Example 1

Area of circle = $\frac{\pi}{4}$.

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if you hit the area of circle} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$P_r(X_i = 1) = \frac{\frac{\pi}{4}}{1} = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

$$E(X_i) = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

$$X = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}.$$

$$E(X) = \frac{n \cdot E(X_i)}{n} = E(X_i).$$

5.2 Example 2

$I = \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx$ - volume.

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & F(x_i, y_i) \leq z_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$v \cdot E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}\right) = I.$$

5.3 (ϵ, δ) -approximation

Definicija 5.3.1 $((\epsilon, \delta)$ -approximation). A random algorithm gives a (ϵ, δ) -approximation for value v if the output X satisfies:

$$P_r(|X - v| \leq \epsilon v) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Izrek 5.3.2. Let $X_1 \dots X_n$ be independent and identically distributed indicator variables. Let $\mu = E(X_i)$, $Y = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m X_i}{m}$. If $m \geq \frac{3 \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\epsilon^2 \mu}$, then $P_r(|Y - \mu| \geq \epsilon \mu) \leq \delta \implies Y$ is (ϵ, δ) -approximation for μ .

Dokaz 5.3.3.

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$E(X) = mE(x_i) = m\mu$$

$$m \geq \frac{3 \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\epsilon^2 \mu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(|Y - \mu| \geq \epsilon \mu) &= P_r\left(\left|\frac{X}{m} - \mu\right| \geq \epsilon \mu\right) \\ &= P_r\left(\frac{1}{m} |X - E(X)| \geq \frac{1}{m} \epsilon E(x)\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{\leq} 2e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 E(x)}{3}} \\ &= 2e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 \mu m}{3}} \\ &\leq 2e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 \mu}{3} \cdot \frac{3 \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\epsilon^2 \mu}} = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Back to example 1:

$$E(Y) = \frac{\pi}{4}, \delta = \frac{1}{1000} \text{ (99.9\% sure)}, \epsilon = \frac{1}{10000}$$

$$\implies M = \frac{3 \ln\left(\frac{2}{\frac{1}{1000}}\right)^4}{\pi \left(\frac{1}{10000}\right)^2} \approx 29106.$$

Problems for MC (Monte-Carlo):

- rare events, e.g. $X \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 10^{100} \\ 1 - 10^{-20} & 10^{-20} \end{pmatrix}$, $E(X) = 10^{80}$

5.4 DNF counting

CNF: $(X_{i_1} \vee \overline{X_{i_2}} \vee X_{i_4}) \wedge (X_{i_1} \vee \overline{X_{i_3}}) \wedge \dots$

DNF: $(\overline{X_{i_1}} \wedge X_{i_2} \vee \overline{X_{i_4}}) \vee \dots$ - easy to determine if solution exists.

Question: number of solutions to a given DNF?

Observation: CNF F has a solution \iff DNF $\neg F$ has less than 2^n solutions,
 n is number of samples.

ALG_1(F):

$x = 0$

for i in range(1,m+1):

$x_1 \dots x_n$ uniformly random from $\{0,1\}^n$

if $F(x_1 \dots x_n) = 1$:

$x += 1$

return $\frac{x}{m} \cdot 2^n$

$$Y = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m X_i}{m}$$

(ϵ, δ) -approximation for Y

$$E(Y) = \frac{\text{number of solutions of } F}{2^n} = \frac{c(F)}{2^n}$$

$$m \geq \frac{3 \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\epsilon^2 E(X)} = \frac{3 \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\epsilon^2} \cdot \frac{2^n}{x(F)}$$

$c(F)$ very small $\rightarrow m$ exponentially big \rightarrow not good (we need a lot of samples).

Definicija 5.4.1.

$SC_i = \{(a_1 \dots a_n) \in \{0,1\}^n \text{ such that } F = F_1 \vee \dots \vee F_t, F_i(a_1 \dots a_n) = 1\}$.

$|SC_i| = 2^{n-l_i}$, l_i : number of values in F_i

$U = \{(i, a) \mid i \in \{1, 2 \dots t\}, a \in SC_i\}$

$U = \sum_{i=1}^t |SC_i| - O(tn)$ (space smaller than $\{0,1\}^n$)

$S = \{(i, a) \in U \mid a \in SC_i, a \notin SC_j \ 1 \leq j < i\}$

$|S| = |SC_1| + \dots + |SC_t| = c(F)$.

ALG_2(F):

$x = 0$

for i in range(1,m+1):

```

    (i, a) uniformly random from U (**)
    if (i, a) ∈ S: (*)
        x += 1
    return  $\frac{x}{m} \cdot |U|$ 

```

(*) $a \in SC_i \rightarrow O(n)$, $a \notin SC_j \ j = 1 \dots i - 1 \rightarrow O(tn) \implies O(tn), m$ times.

(**): watch for details on how to, e.g. $x_2, x_2 \wedge x_3$: x_2 is more probable than $x_2 \wedge x_3 \rightarrow O(1)$.

Izrek 5.4.2. For $m = \lceil \frac{3t \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\epsilon^2} \rceil$ algorithm returns (ϵ, δ) -approximation in $O\left(\frac{t^n n \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ time.

Dokaz 5.4.3. $O(t \cdot n \cdot m)$.

Insert $m = \dots$

Prove

$$P_r(Y|U| - c(F) > \epsilon c(F)) < \delta :$$

$$c(F) = |S|, E(Y) = \frac{|S|}{|U|}$$

$$P_r(Y|U| - c(F) > \epsilon c(F)) = P_r(|U|(Y - E(Y)) > \epsilon |U|E(Y)) \leq \delta$$

if

$$m \geq \frac{3 \ln\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{\epsilon^2 E(Y)} \geq \frac{3 \ln\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right) t}{\epsilon^2}$$

where

$$E(Y) = \frac{|S|}{|U|} \geq \frac{1}{t}$$

(= if disjoint).

In new space $E(Y)$ much larger $\implies m$ smaller.

Poglavje 6

Polynomials

Let \mathbb{F} be a field.

\mathbb{F} can be $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$.

$\mathbb{F}[x_1 \dots x_n]$ algebra of polynomials with values $x_1 \dots x_n$.

$f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1 \dots x_n]$

$\deg(f[x_1 \dots x_n]) := \deg(f[x \dots x])$.

Izrek 6.0.1. Let $p(x_1 \dots x_n) \in \mathbb{F}[x_1 \dots x_n]$ have the degree $d \geq 0$ and $p \neq 0$.

Let $s \subset \mathbb{F}$ be finite. If $(r_1 \dots r_n)$ is uniformly at random element from S^n .

Then $P_r(p(r_1 \dots r_n) = 0) \leq \frac{d}{|S|}$.

Dokaz 6.0.2. Induction on n .

$n = 1$:

$$p(x) = (x - z_1)(x - z_2) \dots (x - z_j)q(z)$$

number of zeros \leq degree - fact

$$P_r(p(r_1) = 0) = \frac{\text{number of zeros}}{|S|} \leq \frac{d}{|S|}.$$

$n - 1 \rightarrow n$:

rewrite p :

$$p(x_1 \dots x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^j x^i p_i(x_2 \dots x_n)$$

$$j \leq d$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(p(r_1 \dots r_n) = 0) &= P_r(p(r_1 \dots r_n = 0) \mid p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) = 0) \cdot P_r(p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) = 0) \\ &\quad + P_r(p(r_1 \dots r_n = 0) \mid p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) \neq 0) \cdot P_r(p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) \neq 0) \\ &\leq 1 \cdot \frac{d-j}{|S|} + \frac{j}{|S|} \cdot 1, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(p(r_1 \dots r_n = 0) \mid p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) \neq 0) &\leq \frac{d-j}{|S|} \\ P_r(p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) \neq 0) &\leq \frac{j}{|S|}. \end{aligned}$$

Problem:

Let $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$, is $A \cdot B = C$?

Computing $A \cdot B$:

- school-book algorithm: $O(n^3)$,
- Strassen algorithm: $O(n^{2,807\dots})$,
- galactic algorithm: $O(n^{2,372\dots})$ - has enormous constants.

`RAND_ACB(A,B,C) :`

`for i in range(1,k+1):`

`x uniformly at random from $\{0,1\}^n$`

`if $A \cdot (B \cdot x) \neq x$:`

`return false`

`return true`

$O(kn^2)$.

If $A \cdot B = C$, algorithm returns true.

If $A \cdot B \neq C$:

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(ABx = Cx) &= P_r((AB - C)x = 0) \\ &= P_r(\|(AB - C)x\|^2 = 0) \stackrel{\text{Poly}}{\leq} \frac{2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

$\|(AB_C)x\|^2$ - polynomial in $x_1 \dots x_n$ of degree 2.

If $A \cdot B \neq C$, then algorithm return false with probability at least $1 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k$.

Problem:

1-factor in bipartite graphs.

$|V(g)| = 2n$.

Represent G with $n \times n$ matrix $Z = (Z_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$

$$Z_{ij} = \begin{cases} X_{ij} & \text{if } a_i b_j \in E(x) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (X: \text{variable})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det Z(x_{11} \dots x_{nn}) &= \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\pi) z_{1,\pi(1)} \dots z_{n,\pi(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_n, \pi \text{ defines 1-factor}} \text{sign}(\pi) x_{1,\pi(1)} \dots x_{n,\pi(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

$\det Z \neq 0 \iff G$ has 1-factor.

```

Rand_1factor(G):
  construct Z with variables x11 ... xnn
  for i in range(1,k+1):
    u <- uniformly at random from 1,2..2n-1n2 (r11 ... rnn)
    compute d = det Z(r11 ... rnn)
    if d != 0:
      return true
  return false

```


Complexity: $k \cdot$ computing determinant: $O(n^3)$ (Gaussian elimination).
or apply approximation algorithm:

- if G has no 1-factor it always returns false,
- if G has 1-factor, it returns true with probability at least $1 - \left(\frac{n}{2n}\right)^k = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$ (k konstant, larger set \implies smaller k needed).

Poglavje 7

Random graphs

7.1 $G(n,p)$ model

G is a random Erdős-Rényi graph if it has n vertices and each pair of vertices is connected with probability p .

Primer. $G\left(5, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

$E(\text{edges in } G \text{ from } G(n,p)) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} E(X_{ij}) = \binom{n}{2}p$.

$$X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ have edge} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

p can be function of n .

Y_v : degree of v .

$$E(Y_v) = (n-1)p.$$

Definicija 7.1.1.

We say that a random graph has some property almost surely (A.S.) if $P_r(G \in G(n,p) \text{ has property}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$.

Trditev 7.1.2.

Let p be constant. Then $G \in G(n,p)$ has diameter 2 A.S.

Dokaz 7.1.3.

Let $u, v \in V(G)$

$$X_w = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } uw \in E(G) \text{ in } vw \in E(G) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$P_r(X_w = 1) = p^2$$

$$P_r(X_w = 0 \text{ for all } w \neq u, v) = (1 - p^2)^{n-2}.$$

$$P_r(G \text{ has diameter } > 2)$$

$$= P_r(X_w = 0 \text{ for all } w \notin u, v \text{ for some } u, v)$$

$$\leq \binom{n}{2} (1 - p^2)^{n-2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0;$$

$$\binom{n}{2} - \text{polynomial, } e^{\dots} - \text{exponent.}$$

$$p = f(n)$$

$$\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n^3}, \frac{\log n}{n}$$

Izrek 7.1.4. (without proof)

Let p be a function of n : let $G \in G(n, p)$:

- $np < 1$ - G A.S. disconnected with connected components of size $O(\log n)$
- $np = 1$ - G A.S. has 1 large component of size $O\left(n^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)$
- $np = c > 1$ - G A.S. has giant component of size dn , $d \in (0, 1)$
- $np \leq (1 - \epsilon) \ln n$ - G A.S. disconnected with isolated vertices
- $np > (1 - \epsilon) \ln n$ - G A.S. connected.

Izrek 7.1.5.

Let $np = \omega(n) \ln(n)$ for $\omega(n) \rightarrow \infty$ „very slowly“ think of $\omega(n) = \log(\log n)$, then $\text{diam}(G)$ in $\Theta\left(\frac{\ln n}{\ln(np)}\right)$ for G in $G(n, p)$.

Lema 7.1.6.

Let $S \subset V(G)$, $|S| = cn$ for $c \in (0, 1]$ and $v \notin S$.

then $cnp(1 - \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}) \leq N_S(v) \leq cnp(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})$ A.S. ($\omega^{-\frac{1}{3}} \rightarrow 0$ very slowly).

Dokaz 7.1.7. (Lemma):

$$E(N_s(v)) = c \cdot n \cdot p, \delta = \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(|N_s(v) - cnp| \geq \delta cnp) &\stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{\leq} 2e^{-\frac{\omega^{-\frac{2}{3}} cnp}{3}} \\
&= 2e^{-\frac{cnp}{3\omega(n)^{\frac{2}{3}}}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For all v : $n \cdot 2e^{-\frac{cnp}{3\omega(n)^{\frac{2}{3}}}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Dokaz 7.1.8. (Theorem):

k be such that $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |N_i| \leq \frac{n}{2}$, $\sum_{i=0}^k |N_i| > \frac{n}{2}$.

$$|N_0| = 1$$

$$|N_i| \leq |N_{i-1}| \cdot n \cdot p \cdot (1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}):$$

$$|S| \leq n, \quad np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})\text{-each element.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= \frac{\log\left(\frac{n}{3}\right)}{\log\left(n \cdot p \cdot \left(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right)\right)} \\
&= \log_{np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})} \frac{n}{3} = \Theta\left(\frac{\ln(n)}{\ln(np)}\right). \\
|N_{\leq k}| &= |N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_k|.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|N_{\leq k}| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^k (np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}))^i \\
&= \frac{(np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}))^{k+1} - 1}{np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}) - 1} \\
&< \frac{np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})^{k+1}}{\frac{1}{2}np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})} \\
&= 2np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})^k \\
&\stackrel{k}{=} 2 \cdot \frac{n}{3} \text{ haven't covered all} \\
&\implies \text{diam}(G) > k \text{ bound from below.}
\end{aligned}$$