

**Verjetnostne metode v  
računalništvu - zapiski s  
predavanj prof. Marca**

Tomaž Poljanšek

študijsko leto 2023/24

# Kazalo

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Probability . . . . .	1
1.2	Random variables . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Quicksort, min-cut</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Quicksort . . . . .	4
2.2	Min-cut . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Complexity classes</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Chernoff bounds</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Monte Carlo methods</b>	<b>16</b>
5.1	Example 1 . . . . .	16
5.2	Example 2 . . . . .	16
5.3	$(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -approximation . . . . .	17
5.4	DNF counting . . . . .	18
<b>6</b>	<b>Polynomials</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Random graphs</b>	<b>24</b>
7.1	$G(n, p)$ model . . . . .	24
7.2	Barbási-Albert Model . . . . .	27
<b>8</b>	<b>Markov chains</b>	<b>30</b>

8.1	2-SAT . . . . .	33
8.2	Generating a uniformly random element of a set . . . . .	34
8.3	Metropolis algorithm . . . . .	35
8.4	M.C. for 1-factor in bipartite graphs . . . . .	38
<b>9</b>	<b>Randomized incremented constructions (RIC)</b>	<b>40</b>
9.1	Quicksort as RIC . . . . .	41
9.2	Linear programming . . . . .	43
<b>10</b>	<b>Hashing</b>	<b>48</b>
10.1	Chaining . . . . .	51
10.2	2 level hashing . . . . .	53
10.3	The power of 2 choices . . . . .	54
10.4	Cockoo hashing . . . . .	55
10.5	Bloom filter . . . . .	57
10.6	Linear probing . . . . .	57
<b>11</b>	<b>Data streams</b>	<b>59</b>
11.1	Count min sketch . . . . .	60
11.2	Estimating the number of distinct elements . . . . .	61
<b>12</b>	<b>Interactive proofs</b>	<b>65</b>
12.1	Sum-check protocol . . . . .	67
12.2	SNARK . . . . .	70

# Poglavje 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Probability

$(\Omega, F, P_r)$ :

- $\emptyset \in F$ ,
- $A \in F \implies A^c \in F$ ,
- $A_1, A_2 \dots \in F \implies \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in F$ .

$P_r(A) \geq 0$ ,

$P_r(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_r(A_i)$  if  $A_i$  disjoint,

$P_r(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_r(A_i)$ ,

$\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2 \dots\}$  - countable case.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \dots \\ p_1 & p_2 & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

*Primer.*

`Alg():`

`while True:`

`B = sample as random from {0,1} # 1 with probability p`

`if B = 1:`

**return**

$$\Omega = \{1, 01, 001, 0001 \dots\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 01 & 001 & 0001 & \dots \\ p & (1-p)p & (1-p)^2p & (1-p)^3p & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

## 1.2 Random variables

$X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

$E[X] = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} c \cdot P_r(X = c)$ : expected value of  $X$ .

Properties:

- $E[f(X)] = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} f(c) \cdot P_r(X = c)$ ,
- $E[aX + bY] = aE[X] + bE[Y]$ ,
- $E[X \cdot Y] = E[X] \cdot E[Y]$  if  $X, Y$  independent,
- $P_r(X \geq a) \leq \frac{E[X]}{a}$ ;  $\forall a > 0 \forall X \geq 0$  Markov inequality.

*Primer.* (Continuing from before).

$X$  = number of trials before return.

$X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ .

$X : 1 \mapsto 1, 01 \mapsto 2, 001 \mapsto 3 \dots$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots \\ p & (1-p)p & (1-p)^2p & (1-p)^3p & \dots \end{pmatrix} - \text{geometric distribution.}$$

**Trditev 1.2.1.**  $E[X] = \frac{1}{p}$ .

**Dokaz 1.2.2.**  $X = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ .

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 : & \text{if trial } i \text{ is executed} \\ 0 : & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[X] &= E \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E[X_i] = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1-p)^{i-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^i = \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} = \frac{1}{p}. \end{aligned}$$



$$E[X] = \frac{1}{p}.$$

$$P_r(X \geq 100 \cdot \frac{1}{p}) \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{E[X]}{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{1}{100}.$$

**Definicija 1.2.3.**  $H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i}$ .

**Izrek 1.2.4.**  $H_n \leq 1 + \ln(n)$ .

**Dokaz 1.2.5.**

$$H_n = 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{i} \stackrel{\text{integral}}{\leq} 1 + \int_1^n \frac{dx}{x} = 1 + \ln(x)|_1^n = 1 + \ln(n).$$



# Poglavje 2

## Quicksort, min-cut

### 2.1 Quicksort

Input: set (no equal element) (unordered list)  $S \in \mathbb{R}$   
(or whatever you can compare linearly)

Output: ordered list

Code:

```
def Quicksort(S):  
    if |S| = 0 or |S| = 1:  
        return S  
    else:  
        a = uniformly at random from S  
         $S^- = \{b \in S \mid b < a\}$   
         $S^+ = \{b \in S \mid a < b\}$   
        return Quicksort( $S^-$ ), a, Quicksort( $S^+$ )
```

$C(n)$  - random variable, the number of comparisons in evaluation of Quicksort with  $|S| = n$ .

**Izrek 2.1.1.**  $E[C(n)] = O(N \log(n))$ .

**Dokaz 2.1.2.**  $C(0) = C(1) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
E[C(n)] &= n - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n (E[C(i-1)] + E[C(n-i)]) \cdot P_r(a \text{ is } i\text{-it element}) \leq \\
&\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E[C(i)].
\end{aligned}$$

Induction:

$n = 1 : \checkmark$

$n - 1 \rightarrow n$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
E[C(n)] &\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[C(i)] \leq \\
&\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 5i \log i \leq \\
&\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} 5i \log i + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1+\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{n-1} 5i \log i \leq \\
&\leq n + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} 5i \log \frac{n}{2} + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1+\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{n-1} 5i \log n \leq \\
&\stackrel{2.1}{\leq} n + \frac{2}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n 5i \log n - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} 5i \right) = \\
&= n + \frac{10}{n} \left( \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \log n - \frac{\frac{n}{2}(\frac{n}{2}+1)}{2} \right) \leq \\
&\leq n + 5(n-1) \log n - n < \\
&< 5n \log n.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\log \frac{n}{2} = \log n - 1 \tag{2.1}$$

■

$$P(C(n) \geq b \cdot 5n \log n) \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{1}{b}.$$

**Dokaz 2.1.3.**

2:

Let  $S_1, S_2 \dots S_n$  sorted elements of  $S$ .



Define random variable  $X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 : & \text{if } S_i \text{ and } S_j \text{ are compared} \\ 0 : & \text{else} \end{cases}$

$$C(n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} E[X_{ij}].$$

$$E[X_{ij}] = P(S_i \text{ and } S_j \text{ compared}).$$

$S_{ij}$  - the last set including  $S_i$  and  $S_j$ .

$$E[X_{ij}] = \frac{2}{|S_{ij}|} \leq \frac{2}{j-i+1}.$$

$$|S_{ij}| \geq j - i + 1.$$

$S_{ij}$  has everything in between.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow E[C(n)] &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{2}{j-i+1} = \\ &\stackrel{k=j-i+1}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=2}^{n-i+1} \frac{2}{k} \leq \\ &\leq 2 \cdot n \cdot H_n \leq \\ &\leq 2n(1 + \log n). \end{aligned}$$

■

## 2.2 Min-cut

$G$  multigraph.

Cut:  $U \subset V(G)$ ,  $U \neq \emptyset, V(G)$ .

$$(U, V(G) \setminus U) = \{uv \in E(G) \mid u \in U, v \in V(G) \setminus U\}.$$

Problem min-cut:

Input:  $G$ .

Output:  $\min |(U, V(G) \setminus U)|$  - cut size.

**Algorithm 1:**

$x \in V(G)$

Call `maxFlow(G, x, y)`  $\forall y \in V(G)$

Take `min`

*maxFlow* is Edmonds-Karp algorithm  $O(|V||E|^2)$ .

**Algorithm 2 (Stoer Wagner)**

Is  $O(|E||V| + |V|\log|V|)$ .

**Algorithm randMinCut:**

$G_0 = G$

$i = 0$

**while**  $|V(G_i)| > 2$ :

$e_i =$  uniformly at random from  $G_i$

$G_{i+1} = G_i / e_i$

$i = i + 1$

$u, v = V(G_{n-2})$  #  $n = |V(G)|$

$U = \{w \in V(G) \mid w \text{ is merged into } u\}$

**return**  $(U, V(G) \setminus U)$

**Izrek 2.2.1.** Algorithm *randMinCut* gives you a minimal cut with probability greater or equal to  $\frac{2}{n(n-1)}$ .

**Dokaz 2.2.2.**

Fact 1:  $\minCut(G_i) \leq \minCut(G_i)$ ;

$\nexists$ : *minCut* remains.

Fact 2:  $\minCut(G) \leq \delta(G)$ .

$k := \minCut(G)$ .

Let  $(A, B)$  be an optimal cut.

$\varepsilon_i = e_i$  not in  $(A, B)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_r(\text{Algorithm returning } (A, B)) \\
 &= P_r(\varepsilon_0 \cap \dots \cap \varepsilon_{n-3}) \quad i = 0 \dots n-3 \\
 &= P_r(\varepsilon_0 \cap \dots \cap \varepsilon_{n-4}) \cdot P_r(\varepsilon_{n-3} \mid \varepsilon_0 \cap \dots \cap \varepsilon_{n-4}) \\
 &= P_r(\varepsilon_{n-3} \mid \cap_{i=0}^{n-4} \varepsilon_i) \cdot P_r(\varepsilon_{n-3} \mid \cap_{i=0}^{n-4} \varepsilon_i) \\
 &\quad \dots P_r(\varepsilon_1 \mid \varepsilon_0) \cdot P_r(\varepsilon_0) \\
 &\stackrel{2.3}{\geq} \frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \frac{n-3}{n-1} \cdots \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{n(n-1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$P_r(\bar{\varepsilon}_i \mid \varepsilon_{i-1} \cap \dots \cap \varepsilon_0) = \frac{k}{|E(G_i)|} \stackrel{2.2}{\leq} \frac{k}{\frac{(n-i)k}{2}} = \frac{2}{n-i}$$

$$|E(G_i)| \geq \frac{(n-i)\delta(G)}{2} \geq \frac{(n-i)k}{2}. \quad (2.2)$$

$$P_r(\varepsilon_i \mid \varepsilon_{i-1} \cap \dots \cap \varepsilon_0) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{n-i} = \frac{n-2-i}{n-i}. \quad (2.3)$$

■

**Izrek 2.2.3.** Running *randMinCut*  $n(n-1)$  times and taking best output gives correct solution with probability  $\geq 0.86$ .

**Dokaz 2.2.4.**  $A_i$  - event that  $i$ -th run gives sub-optimal solution.

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(\text{solution not correct}) &= P_r(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{n(n-1)}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n(n-1)} P_r(A_i) \leq \left(1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)}\right)^{n(n-1)} \\ &\stackrel{2.4}{\leq} e^{-\frac{2}{n(n-1)} \cdot n(n-1)} = e^{-2} \leq 0.14. \end{aligned}$$

$$1 - x \leq e^x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

■

If we run  $n(n-1)\log(n)$  times  $\rightarrow O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ .

$O(n^2 \log n \cdot n)$ .

Improved:  $O(n^2 \log^3 n)$ .

# Poglavje 3

## Complexity classes

Decision problem - yes/no question on a set of inputs = asking  $w \in \Pi$ .

Randomized algorithms:

- Las Vegas algorithms: always gives correct solution, example: *Quicksort*.
- Monte Carlo algorithms: it can give wrong answers. Monte Carlo algorithms subtypes:

$$\text{-- type(1): } \begin{cases} \omega \in \Pi \implies \text{alg. returns „}\omega \in \Pi\text{“ with probab. } \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ \omega \notin \Pi \implies \text{alg. returns „}\omega \in \Pi\text{“ with probab. } = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{-- type(2): } \begin{cases} \omega \in \Pi \implies \text{alg. returns „}\omega \in \Pi\text{“ with probab. } = 1 \\ \omega \notin \Pi \implies \text{alg. returns „}\omega \in \Pi\text{“ with probab. } \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{-- type(3): } \begin{cases} \omega \in \Pi \implies \text{alg. returns „}\omega \in \Pi\text{“ with probab. } \geq \frac{3}{4} \\ \omega \notin \Pi \implies \text{alg. returns „}\omega \in \Pi\text{“ with probab. } \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

type(1) and type(2): one-sided error, type(3): 2-sided error.

$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}$  and  $\frac{1}{4}$  arbitrary numbers, can be something different (for type(3) better than coin flip).

*Primer.* Decision problem: does a graph  $G$  have  $\text{minCut} \leq k$ ?

Run  $randMinCut(G)$   $n(n-1)$  times.

```
Algorithm randMinCut:
  if one of runs gives  $|(A,B)| \leq k$ :
    return true
  else:
    return false
```

Complexity classes:

- RP (randomized polynomial time): decisional problems for which there exists Monte Carlo algorithm of type(1) with polynomial time complexity (worst case).
- co-RP: decisional problems for which there exists Monte Carlo algorithm of type(2) with polynomial time complexity (worst case).
- BRP (bounded-error probabilistic polynomial time): decisional problems for which there exists Monte Carlo algorithm of type(3) with polynomial time complexity (worst case).
- ZPP (zero-error probabilistic polynomial time): decisional problems for which there exists Las Vegas algorithm with expected polynomial time complexity (worst case).

$ZPP = RP \cap co-RP$ .

## Poglavje 4

### Chernoff bounds

**Izrek 4.0.1.** Let  $X_1, X_2 \dots X_n$  independent random variables with image  $\{0, 1\}$ . Let

$$p_i = P_r(X_i = x_i),$$

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ and}$$

$$\mu = E(X) = p_1 + \dots + p_n.$$

For every  $\delta \in (0,1)$ :

$$P_r(X - \mu \geq \delta\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2\mu}{3}}$$

$$P_r(\mu - X \leq \delta\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2\mu}{2}}$$

$$\implies P_r(|X - \mu| \geq \delta\mu) \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2\mu}{3}}.$$

Probability falls extremely quickly after  $E(X)$ .

**Dokaz 4.0.2.**

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(X - \mu \geq \delta\mu) &= P_r(X \geq \mu(1 + \delta)) \\
&\stackrel{t \geq 0}{=} P_r(tX \geq t\mu(1 + \delta)) \\
&\stackrel{e^y \geq 0}{=} P_r(e^{tX} \geq e^{t\mu(1 + \delta)}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{E(e^{tX})}{e^{t\mu(1 + \delta)}} \\
&\stackrel{4.1}{\leq} \frac{e^{(e^t - 1)\mu}}{e^{t\mu(1 + \delta)}} \\
&\stackrel{4.3}{\leq} e^{-\mu \frac{\delta^2}{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E(e^{tX}) &= E(e^{tX_1 + \dots + tX_n}) \\
&= E(e^{tX_1} \dots e^{tX_n}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{independent}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n E(e^{tX_i}) \\
&\stackrel{4.2}{\leq} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{p_i(e^t - 1)} \\
&= e^{(e^t - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i} \\
&= e^{(e^t - 1)\mu}. \tag{4.1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$E(e^{tX_i}) = p_i \cdot e^t + (1 - p_i) \cdot e^0 = 1 + p_i(e^t - 1) \stackrel{2.4}{\leq} e^{p_i(e^t - 1)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Want:

$$e^t - 1 - t(1 + \delta) \leq -\frac{\delta^2}{3} \quad \forall \delta \in (0, 1) \tag{4.3}$$

$$t = \ln(1 + \delta)$$

$$f(\delta) = 1 + \delta - 1 - (1 + \delta) \ln(1 + \delta) + \frac{\delta^2}{3} \stackrel{?}{\leq} 0$$

$$f(0) = 0$$

$$f'(\delta) = 1 - \ln(1 + \delta) - 1 + \frac{2}{3}\delta = \frac{2}{3}\delta - \ln(1 + \delta) \stackrel{?}{\leq} 0$$

$$\frac{2}{3}\delta \leq \ln(1 + \delta)$$

$$\delta = 1 : \frac{2}{3} \stackrel{?}{\leq} \ln(2) \approx 0.69 \checkmark$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r(\mu - X \leq \delta\mu) &= P_r(X \geq \mu(1 - \delta)) \\
&\stackrel{t \geq 0}{=} P_r(tX \geq t\mu(1 - \delta)) \\
&\stackrel{e^y \geq 0}{=} P_r(e^{tX} \geq e^{t\mu(1 - \delta)}) \\
&\leq \dots \leq \frac{e^{(e^t - 1)\mu}}{e^{t\mu(1 - \delta)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Want:  $e^t - 1 - t(1 - \delta) \leq -\frac{\delta^2}{2} \forall \delta \in (0,1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
t &= \ln(1 - \delta) \\
f(\delta) &= 1 - \delta - 1 - (1 - \delta) \ln(1 - \delta) + \frac{\delta^2}{2} \stackrel{?}{\leq} 0 \\
f(0) &= 0 \\
f'(\delta) &= -1 + 1 - \ln(1 - \delta) + \delta \stackrel{?}{\leq} 0 \\
\frac{2}{3}\delta &\leq \ln(1 + \delta) \\
\ln(1 - \delta) &\stackrel{?}{\leq} -\delta \checkmark
\end{aligned}$$

■

$$\begin{aligned}
X_i &\sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\
X &= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \\
\mu &= \frac{n}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
P_r \left( |X - \mu| \geq \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}n \ln(n)} \right) &= P_r \left( |X - \mu| \geq \frac{n}{2} \sqrt{\frac{6}{n} \ln(n)} \right) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{\leq} 2e^{-\frac{\frac{n}{2} \frac{6}{n} \ln(n)}{3}} = \frac{2}{n};
\end{aligned}$$

For „big“  $n$  is  $\delta \in (0,1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &= \frac{n}{2}, \delta = \sqrt{\frac{6}{n} \ln(n)}. \\
d &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}n \ln(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_r \left( X \in \left( \mu - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}n \ln(n)}, \mu + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}n \ln(n)} \right) \right) \geq 1 - \frac{2}{n}.$$



**Trditev 4.0.3.**

Let  $X_1, X_2 \dots$  independent random variables with image  $\{0,1\}$ .

$$P_r(X_i = 1) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall i.$$

Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^{cm} X_i$  where  $c \geq 4$ .

Then  $P_r(X \leq m) \leq e^{-\frac{cm}{16}}$ .

**Dokaz 4.0.4.**

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(X \leq m) &= P_r\left(\frac{cm}{2} - X \geq \frac{cm}{2} - m\right) \\ &= P_r\left(\frac{cm}{2} - X \geq \frac{cm}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{c}\right)\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{\leq} e^{-\frac{\frac{cm}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{c}\right)^2}{2}} \\ &\stackrel{4.4}{\leq} e^{-\frac{cm}{2} \frac{1}{4}} = e^{-\frac{cm}{16}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$1 - \frac{2}{c} \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{if } c \geq 4 \tag{4.4}$$

■

Back to Quicksort.

**Izrek 4.0.5.**

With probability  $\geq 1 - \frac{1}{n}$  Quicksort uses at most  $48n \ln(n)$  comparisons.

**Dokaz 4.0.6.**

For  $s \in S$  define  $S_1^s \dots S_{t_s}^s \neq \emptyset$  sets that include  $s$ ,  $t_s$  - number of comparisons with  $s$  where  $s$  is not a pivot +1.

Define: iteration  $i$  is successful if  $|S_{i+1}| \leq \frac{3}{4}|S_i|$  ( $\frac{1}{2}$  is too strict).

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if iteration } i \text{ is successful} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$P_r(X_i = 1) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$S_i : n \rightarrow \frac{3}{4}n \rightarrow \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1.$$

Notice: max number of iterations is  $\log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n) = \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln(4) - \ln(3)}$ .

Probability that we haven't succeeded in  $\log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)$  steps:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_r \left( \sum_{i=1}^{c \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)} X_i < \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n) \right) &\leq P_r \left( \sum_{i=1}^{c \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)} Y_i < \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n) \right) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{<} e^{-\frac{c \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)}{24}} \\
 &= e^{-\frac{c \ln(n) \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(e)}{24}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{c \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(e)}{24} \\
 &\stackrel{4.6}{\leq} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

4.5 because  $X_i$  not independent,  $Y_i \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$  independent,

$$\log_{\frac{4}{3}}(e) \approx 3.4, \quad c = 14. \tag{4.6}$$

$P_r(t_s \geq c \log_{\frac{4}{3}}(n)) \geq \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)^2$  for one  $s$ .

$c = 14 \implies$  at least  $48 \ln(n)$  iterations with probability  $\leq \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)^2$ .

With probability as least  $1 - \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $s \in S$  it holds that  $s$  has  $\leq 48 \ln(n)$  comparisons with a pivot.

$\implies$  total number of comparisons  $n \cdot 48 \ln(n)$  with probability at least  $1 - \frac{1}{n}$ . ■

## Poglavje 5

# Monte Carlo methods

### 5.1 Example 1

Area of circle =  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ .

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 : & \text{if you hit the area of circle} \\ 0 : & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$P_r(X_i = 1) = \frac{\frac{\pi}{4}}{1} = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

$$E(X_i) = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

$$X = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}.$$

$$E(X) = \frac{n \cdot E(X_i)}{n} = E(X_i).$$

### 5.2 Example 2

$I = \int_{\Omega} f(x) dx$  - volume.

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 : & F(x_i, y_i) \leq z_i \\ 0 : & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$v \cdot E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}\right) = I.$$

### 5.3 $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -approximation

**Definicija 5.3.1**  $((\varepsilon, \delta)$ -approximation). A random algorithm gives a  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -approximation for value  $v$  if the output  $X$  satisfies:

$$P_r(|X - v| \leq \varepsilon v) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

**Izrek 5.3.2.** Let  $X_1 \dots X_n$  be independent and identically distributed indicator variables. Let  $\mu = E(X_i)$ ,  $Y = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m X_i}{m}$ . If  $m \geq \frac{3 \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\varepsilon^2 \mu}$ , then  $P_r(|Y - \mu| \geq \varepsilon \mu) \leq \delta \implies Y$  is  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -approximation for  $\mu$ .

**Dokaz 5.3.3.**

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$E(X) = mE(x_i) = m\mu$$

$$m \geq \frac{3 \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\varepsilon^2 \mu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(|Y - \mu| \geq \varepsilon \mu) &= P_r\left(\left|\frac{X}{m} - \mu\right| \geq \varepsilon \mu\right) \\ &= P_r\left(\frac{1}{m} |X - E(X)| \geq \frac{1}{m} \varepsilon E(x)\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{\leq} 2e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2 E(x)}{3}} \\ &= 2e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu m}{3}} \\ &\leq 2e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2 \mu}{3} \cdot \frac{3 \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\varepsilon^2 \mu}} = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

■

Back to example 1:

$$E(Y) = \frac{\pi}{4}, \delta = \frac{1}{1000} \text{ (99.9\% sure)}, \varepsilon = \frac{1}{10000}$$

$$\implies M = \frac{3 \ln\left(\frac{2}{\frac{1}{1000}}\right)^4}{\pi\left(\frac{1}{10000}\right)^2} \approx 29106.$$

Problems for MC (Monte-Carlo):

- rare events, e.g.  $X \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 10^{100} \\ 1 - 10^{-20} & 10^{-20} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $E(X) = 10^{80}$

## 5.4 DNF counting

CNF:  $(X_{i_1} \vee \overline{X_{i_2}} \vee X_{i_4}) \wedge (X_{i_1} \vee \overline{X_{i_3}}) \wedge \dots$

DNF:  $(\overline{X_{i_1}} \wedge X_{i_2} \vee \overline{X_{i_4}}) \vee \dots$  - easy to determine if solution exists.

Question: number of solutions to a given DNF?

Observation: CNF  $F$  has a solution  $\iff$  DNF  $\neg F$  has less than  $2^n$  solutions,  
 $n$  is number of samples.

**ALG\_1(F):**

$x = 0$

**for**  $i$  **in**  $\text{range}(1, m+1)$ :

$x_1 \dots x_n$  uniformly random from  $\{0,1\}^n$

**if**  $F(x_1 \dots x_n) = 1$ :

$x+ = 1$

**return**  $\frac{x}{m} \cdot 2^n$

$$Y = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m X_i}{m}$$

$(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -approximation for  $Y$ .

$$E(Y) = \frac{\text{number of solutions of } F}{2^n} = \frac{c(F)}{2^n}$$

$$m \geq \frac{3 \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\varepsilon^2 E(X)} = \frac{3 \ln(\frac{2}{\delta})}{\varepsilon^2} \cdot \frac{2^n}{c(F)}$$

$c(F)$  very small  $\rightarrow m$  exponentially big  $\rightarrow$  not good (we need a lot of samples).

### Definicija 5.4.1.

$SC_i = \{(a_1 \dots a_n) \in \{0,1\}^n \text{ such that } F = F_1 \vee \dots \vee F_t, F_i(a_1 \dots a_n) = 1\}$ .

$|SC_i| = 2^{n-l_i}$ ,  $l_i$ : number of values in  $F_i$

$U = \{(i, a) \mid i \in \{1, 2 \dots t\}, a \in SC_i\}$

$U = \sum_{i=1}^t |SC_i| - O(tn)$  (space smaller than  $\{0,1\}^n$ )

$S = \{(i, a) \in U \mid a \in SC_i, a \notin SC_j \ 1 \leq j < i\}$

$|S| = |SC_1| + \dots + |SC_t| = c(F)$ .

**ALG\_2(F):**

$x = 0$

**for**  $i$  **in**  $\text{range}(1, m+1)$ :

$(i, a)$  uniformly random from  $U$  5.2  
 if  $(i, a) \in S$ : 5.1  
 $x+ = 1$   
 return  $\frac{x}{m} \cdot |U|$

$$a \in SC_i \rightarrow O(n), a \notin SC_j \ j = 1 \dots i-1 \rightarrow O(tn) \quad (5.1)$$

$$\implies O(tn), m \text{ times.}$$

$$\text{watch for details on how to, e.g. } x_2, x_2 \wedge x_3 \quad (5.2)$$

$$x_2 \text{ is more probable than } x_2 \wedge x_3 \rightarrow O(1).$$

**Izrek 5.4.2.** For  $m = \left\lceil \frac{3t \ln\left(\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)\right)}{\varepsilon^2} \right\rceil$  algorithm returns  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -approximation in  $O\left(\frac{t^n n \ln\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$  time.

**Dokaz 5.4.3.**

$O(t \cdot n \cdot m)$ .

Insert  $m = \dots$  ■

Prove

$$P_r(Y|U| - c(F) > \varepsilon c(F)) < \delta :$$

$$c(F) = |S|, E(Y) = \frac{|S|}{|U|}$$

$$P_r(Y|U| - c(F) > \varepsilon c(F)) = P_r(|U|(Y - E(Y)) > \varepsilon |U|E(Y)) \leq \delta$$

if

$$m \geq \frac{3 \ln\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)}{\varepsilon^2 E(Y)} \geq \frac{3 \ln\left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right) t}{\varepsilon^2}$$

where

$$E(Y) = \frac{|S|}{|U|} \geq \frac{1}{t}$$

(= if disjoint).

In new space  $E(Y)$  much larger  $\implies m$  smaller.

# Poglavje 6

## Polynomials

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field.

$\mathbb{F}$  can be  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ .

$\mathbb{F}[x_1 \dots x_n]$  algebra of polynomials with values  $x_1 \dots x_n$ .

$f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1 \dots x_n]$

$\deg(f[x_1 \dots x_n]) := \deg(f[x \dots x])$ .

**Izrek 6.0.1.** Let  $p(x_1 \dots x_n) \in \mathbb{F}[x_1 \dots x_n]$  have the degree  $d \geq 0$  and  $p \neq 0$ .

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{F}$  be finite. If  $(r_1 \dots r_n)$  is uniformly at random element from  $S^n$ .

Then  $P_r(p(r_1 \dots r_n) = 0) \leq \frac{d}{|S|}$ .

**Dokaz 6.0.2.** Induction on  $n$ .

$n = 1$ :

$$p(x) = (x - z_1)(x - z_2) \dots (x - z_j)q(z)$$

number of zeros  $\leq$  degree - fact

$$P_r(p(r_1) = 0) = \frac{\text{number of zeros}}{|S|} \leq \frac{d}{|S|}.$$

$n - 1 \rightarrow n$ :

rewrite  $p$  :

$$p(x_1 \dots x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^j x^i p_i(x_2 \dots x_n)$$

$$j \leq d$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(p(r_1 \dots r_n) = 0) &= P_r(p(r_1 \dots r_n = 0) \mid p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) = 0) \cdot P_r(p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) = 0) \\ &\quad + P_r(p(r_1 \dots r_n = 0) \mid p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) \neq 0) \cdot P_r(p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) \neq 0) \\ &\leq 1 \cdot \frac{d-j}{|S|} + \frac{j}{|S|} \cdot 1 \\ &= \frac{d}{|S|}, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) = 0) &\leq \frac{d-j}{|S|} \\ P_r(p(r_1 \dots r_n = 0) \mid p_j(r_2 \dots r_n) \neq 0) &\leq \frac{j}{|S|}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Problem:

Let  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ , is  $A \cdot B = C$ ?

Computing  $A \cdot B$ :

- school-book algorithm:  $O(n^3)$ ,
- Strassen algorithm:  $O(n^{2.807\dots})$ ,
- galactic algorithm:  $O(n^{2.372\dots})$  - has enormous constants.

`RAND_ABC(A, B, C):`

`for i in range(1, k+1):`

`x uniformly at random from  $\{0,1\}^n$`

`if  $A \cdot (B \cdot x) \neq x$ :`

`return false`



`return true`

$O(kn^2)$ .

If  $A \cdot B = C$ , algorithm returns true.

If  $A \cdot B \neq C$ :

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(ABx = Cx) &= P_r((AB - C)x = 0) \\ &= P_r\left(\|(AB - C)x\|^2 = 0\right) \stackrel{\text{Poly}}{\leq} \frac{2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

$\|(AB - C)x\|^2$  - polynomial in  $x_1 \dots x_n$  of degree 2.

If  $A \cdot B \neq C$ , then algorithm return false with probability at least  $1 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k$ .

Problem:

1-factor in bipartite graphs.

$|V(g)| = 2n$ .

Represent  $G$  with  $n \times n$  matrix  $Z = (Z_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$

$$Z_{ij} = \begin{cases} X_{ij} : & \text{if } a_i b_j \in E(x) \quad (\text{X: variable}) \\ 0 : & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det Z(x_{11} \dots x_{nn}) &= \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\pi) z_{1,\pi(1)} \dots z_{n,\pi(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_n, \pi \text{ defines 1-factor}} \text{sign}(\pi) x_{1,\pi(1)} \dots x_{n,\pi(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

$\det Z \neq 0 \iff G$  has 1-factor.

`Rand_1factor(G):`

`construct Z with variables  $x_{11} \dots x_{nn}$`

`for  $i$  in range(1,  $k+1$ ):`

`$u \leftarrow$  uniformly at random from  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n-1\}^{n^2}$  ( $r_{11} \dots r_{nn}$ ?)`

`compute  $d = \det Z(r_{11} \dots r_{nn})$`

`if  $d \neq 0$ :`

`return true`

`return false`

Complexity:  $k \cdot$  computing determinant:  $O(n^3)$  (Gaussian elimination).  
or apply approximation algorithm:

- if  $G$  has no 1-factor it always returns false,
- if  $G$  has 1-factor, it returns true with probability at least  $1 - \left(\frac{n}{2n}\right)^k = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$  ( $k$  konstant, larger set  $\implies$  smaller  $k$  needed).

# Poglavje 7

## Random graphs

### 7.1 $G(n,p)$ model

$G$  is a random Erdős-Rényi graph if it has  $n$  vertices and each pair of vertices is connected with probability  $p$ .

*Primer.*  $G\left(5, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ .

$$E(\text{edges in } G \text{ from } G(n,p)) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} E(X_{ij}) = \binom{n}{2}p.$$

$$X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 : & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ have edge} \\ 0 : & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$p$  can be function of  $n$ .

$Y_v$  : degree of  $v$ .

$$E(Y_v) = (n-1)p.$$

#### **Definicija 7.1.1.**

We say that a random graph has some property almost surely (A.S.) if

$$P_r(G \in G(n,p) \text{ has property}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1.$$

#### **Trditev 7.1.2.**

Let  $p$  be constant. Then  $G \in G(n,p)$  has diameter 2 A.S.

#### **Dokaz 7.1.3.**

Let  $u, v \in V(G)$

$$X_w = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } uw \in E(G) \text{ in } vw \in E(G) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$P_r(X_w = 1) = p^2$$

$$P_r(X_w = 0 \text{ for all } w \neq u, v) = (1 - p^2)^{n-2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(G \text{ has diameter } > 2) &= P_r(X_w = 0 \text{ for all } w \notin u, v \text{ for some } u, v) \\ &\leq \binom{n}{2} (1 - p^2)^{n-2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\binom{n}{2}$  - polynomial,  $e^{\dots}$  - exponent. ■

$$p = f(n)$$

$$\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n^3}, \frac{\log n}{n}$$

**Izrek 7.1.4.** (without proof)

Let  $p$  be a function of  $n$ , let  $G \in G(n, p)$ :

- $np < 1 \implies G$  A.S. disconnected with connected components of size  $O(\log n)$ ,
- $np = 1 \implies G$  A.S. has 1 large component of size  $O(n^{\frac{2}{3}})$ ,
- $np = c > 1 \implies G$  A.S. has giant component of size  $dn$ ,  $d \in (0, 1)$ ,
- $np \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \ln n \implies G$  A.S. disconnected with isolated vertices,
- $np > (1 - \varepsilon) \ln n \implies G$  A.S. connected.

**Izrek 7.1.5.**

Let  $np = \omega(n) \ln(n)$  for  $\omega(n) \rightarrow \infty$  „very slowly“ think of  $\omega(n) = \log(\log n)$ , then  $\text{diam}(G)$  in  $\Theta\left(\frac{\ln n}{\ln(np)}\right)$  for  $G$  in  $G(n, p)$ .

**Lema 7.1.6.**

Let  $S \subset V(G)$ ,  $|S| = cn$  for  $c \in (0, 1]$  and  $v \notin S$ .

then  $cnp(1 - \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}) \leq N_S(v) \leq cnp(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})$  A.S. ( $\omega^{-\frac{1}{3}} \rightarrow 0$  very slowly).

**Dokaz 7.1.7.** (Lemma):

$$E(N_s(v)) = c \cdot n \cdot p, \delta = \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_r(|N_s(v) - cnp| \geq \delta cnp) &\stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{\leq} 2e^{-\frac{\omega^{-\frac{2}{3}} cnp}{3}} \\ &= 2e^{-\frac{cnp}{3\omega(n)^{\frac{2}{3}}}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

For all  $v$ :  $n \cdot 2e^{-\frac{cnp}{3\omega(n)^{\frac{2}{3}}}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . ■

**Dokaz 7.1.8.** (Theorem):

$k$  be such that  $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} |N_i| \leq \frac{n}{2}, \sum_{i=0}^k |N_i| > \frac{n}{2}$ .

$$|N_0| = 1$$

$$|N_i| \leq |N_{i-1}| \cdot n \cdot p \cdot (1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}):$$

$$|S| \leq n, np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})\text{-each element.}$$

$$k \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{\log(\frac{n}{3})}{\log(n \cdot p \cdot (1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}))} = \log_{np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})} \frac{n}{3} = \Theta\left(\frac{\ln(n)}{\ln(np)}\right).$$

$$|N_{\leq k}| = |N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_k|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{\leq k}| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^k (np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}))^i \\ &= \frac{(np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}))^{k+1} - 1}{np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}) - 1} \\ &< \frac{np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})^{k+1}}{\frac{1}{2}np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})} \\ &= 2np(1 + \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}})^k \\ &\stackrel{k}{=} 2 \cdot \frac{n}{3} \text{ - haven't covered all} \\ &\implies \text{diam}(G) > k \text{ bound from below.} \end{aligned}$$

$$N_i \subseteq S$$

$$\frac{1}{2}np(1 - \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}}) \cdot |N_{i-1}| \leq |N_i|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
n &\geq \sum_{i=0}^k |N_i| \\
&\geq \sum_{i=0}^k \left( \frac{1}{2} np \left( 1 - \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right) \right)^i \\
&= \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2} np \left( 1 - \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right) \right)^{k+1} - 1}{\frac{1}{2} np \left( 1 - \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right) - 1} \\
&\geq \left( \frac{1}{2} np \left( 1 - \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right) \right)^k / \ln
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\ln n}{\ln(np)} \approx \frac{\ln n}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{2} np \left( 1 - \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right)\right)} \geq k.$$

$$\implies w \in S'.$$

Number of neighbors in  $N_k$  A.S.  $\geq 1$ ,

$$|N_k| \geq \left( \frac{1}{2} np \left( 1 - \omega^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right) \right)^k \approx c \cdot n$$

$$\implies \text{diam}(G) = k + 1 \text{ A.S.}$$

■

### 7.1.1 Scale free property

$G \in G(n, p)$ .

In real world:  $p(k)$  = proportion of degree  $k$  vertices.

$$\log(p(k)) = -\gamma \cdot \log k$$

$$p(k) = k^{-\gamma}.$$

Internet:  $\gamma \approx 3.42$ ,

protein reactions:  $\gamma \approx 2.89$ .

## 7.2 Barbási-Albert Model

B.A. model.

Start with  $m$  nodes.

Grow:

- add node  $v$ ,

- add  $m$  edges from  $v$  (to  $u$ ),
- for each new edge:  $P(v \sim u) = \frac{deg_u}{\sum_x deg_x}$ .

**Izrek 7.2.1.**

B.A. model has scale free property, in particular

$$p_k = \frac{2m(m+1)}{k(k+1)(k+2)}.$$

**Definicija 7.2.2.**

$p_n(k)$ : expected proportion of degree  $k$  vertices in graph with  $k$  vertices,

$$p_k := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(k).$$

**Dokaz 7.2.3.**

$p_n(k) \cdot n$ : expected number of degree  $k$  vertices,

$p_n(k)n \cdot \sum_u \frac{k}{deg_u} m = p_n(k) \cdot \frac{k}{2}$ : expected number of degree  $k$  vertices changing into degree  $k+1$  vertices.

$$\sum_u deg_u = 2|E|$$

$$p_{n+1}(k) \cdot (n+1) = p_n(k) \cdot n - p_n(k) \cdot \frac{k}{2} + p_n(k-1) \cdot \frac{k-1}{2}, \text{ where}$$

$$p_n(k) \cdot n: \text{ degree } k \rightarrow k,$$

$$p_n(k) \cdot \frac{k}{2}: k \rightarrow k+1,$$

$$p_n(k-1) \cdot \frac{k-1}{2}: k-1 \rightarrow k.$$

For  $n$  very big (very close to limit):

$$p_k \cdot (n+1) = p_k \cdot n - p_{k-1} \cdot \frac{k}{2} + p_{k-1} \cdot \frac{k-1}{2}$$

$$\implies p_k = \frac{k-1}{k+2} p_{k-1}.$$

For degree  $m$ :

$$(n+1) \cdot p_{n+1}(m) = p_n(m) \cdot n - p_n(m) \cdot \frac{m}{2} + 1$$

$$(n+1) \cdot p_m = n \cdot p_m - \frac{m}{2} \cdot p_m + 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_m &= \frac{2}{m+2} \\ \implies p_{m+1} &= \frac{2}{m+2} \cdot \frac{m}{m+3} \\ \implies p_{m+2} &= \frac{2m(m+1)}{(m+2)(m+3)} \\ \implies p_k &= \frac{2m(m+1)}{k(k+1)(k+2)}. \end{aligned}$$





# Poglavje 8

## Markov chains

$\Omega$ : finite set (of states).

**Definicija 8.0.1** (Markov chain).

(Discrete time) Markov chain is a sequence of random variables  $X = X_0, X_1, X_2 \dots$  with image  $\Omega$  and properties:

- $P(X_{i+1} = x \mid X_i = x_i, X_{i-1} = x_{i-1} \dots X_0 = x_0) = P(X_{i+1} = x \mid X_i = x_i)$  - Markov property,
- $P(X_{i+1} = x \mid X_i = y) = P(X_1 = x \mid X_0 = y)$  - time is homogenous.

*Primer.*

$$\Omega = \mathbb{Z}_5$$

$$P(X_{i+1} = x + 1 \mid X_i = x) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(X_{i+1} = x - 1 \mid X_i = x) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

**Definicija 8.0.2** (Transition matrix).

$$\Omega = \{x_1 \dots x_n\}$$

$$p_{ij} = P(X_{t+1} = j \mid X_t = i)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & \dots & \\ p_{1n} & & \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_{n1} & \dots & p_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Definicija 8.0.3** (Transition graph).

Edge between states  $i$  and  $j$  exists if  $p_{ij} > 0$ .

$P$  is stochastic matrix:

$$p_{ij} \in [0,1]$$

$$\sum_j p_{ij} = 1 \text{ (row sum).}$$

We choose beginning state randomly.

$$q(0) = (q_1(0) \dots q_n(0))$$

$$P(X_0 = i) = q_i(0).$$

$$\text{Let } q(t) = (q_1(t) \dots q_n(t))$$

$$P(X_t = i) = q_i(t).$$

$$\text{It holds: } q(t) = q(t-1) \cdot P = q(0) \cdot P^t.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$q(0) = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

$$q(1) = (1, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$$

$$q(2) = (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$$

$$\vdots$$

**Definicija 8.0.4.**

- Distribution  $\pi$  is stationary if  $\pi = \pi \cdot P$ ,
- $f_{ij}$ : probability that  $X_t = x_j$  for some  $t$  assuming  $X_0 = x_i$ ,

- $h_{ij}$ : expected number of steps needed to get to state  $X_j$  starting in  $X_i$  (hitting time),
- $N(i, t, q(0))$ : expected number of times we visit  $x_i$  after  $t$  steps starting with distribution  $q(0)$ ,
- $\forall f_{ij} > 0 \iff$  transition graph is strongly connected  $\iff$  we say the chain is irreducible,
- M.C. is aperiodic if there is no  $c \in \{2, 3, 4 \dots\}$  such that all lengths of cycles are divisible by  $c$ .

**Izrek 8.0.5.**

Let  $X$  be finite irreducible M.C. Then:

- there exists unique stationary distribution  $\pi = (\pi_1 \dots \pi_n)$ ,
- $f_{ii} = 1, h_{ii} = \frac{1}{\pi_i}$ ,
- $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(i, t, q(0))}{t} = \pi_i$  - approaches  $\pi$  regardless of  $q(0)$ ,
- if  $X$  is aperiodic:  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q(0) \cdot P^t = \pi$ .

*Primer.*

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \dots & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \dots & & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\pi = \left(\frac{1}{n} \dots \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

$$h_{i,i} = n$$

$$n = h_{i,i} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}h_{i-1,i} + \frac{1}{2}h_{i+1,i}, \quad h_{i-1,i} = h_{i+1,i}$$

$$n - 1 = h_{i-1,i}$$

$$E(\text{steps around}) \leq h_{0,1} + h_{1,2} + \dots + h_{n-1,n} \leq n(n-1).$$

## 8.1 2-SAT

Recall: k-SAT:

$$F = C_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge C_m$$

$$C_i = X_{i1} \vee \cdots \vee X_{ik}.$$

3-SAT: NP complete.

Algorithm:

```
def rand2SAT(F):
    b0 = (b00 ... bn0)
    for i in range(t):
        if F(bi) = 1:
            return True
        Cl <- clause that is False
        xj <- uniformly at random from xl1 and xl2
        bi+1 = (b0i ...  $\overline{b_j^i}$  ... bni)
    if F(bt) = 1:
        return True
    return False
```

**Izrek 8.1.1.**

If  $k = 8n^2$ , then  $P(\text{rand2SAT} = \text{True} \mid \text{correct answer is True}) \geq \frac{3}{4}$ .

**Dokaz 8.1.2.**

Let  $a = (a_1 \dots a_n)$  be a correct solution.

Let  $X_i$  = Hamming distance from  $b^i$  to  $a$ .

Goal: bound  $h_{n,0}$ .

$P(\text{distance of } b^{i+1} \text{ to } a \text{ is } j-1 \mid \text{distance of } b^i \text{ to } a \text{ is } j) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \dots & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\pi \stackrel{?}{=} \pi P$$

$$\pi = (\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{n} \dots \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{2n})$$

By theorem

$$h_{i,i} = \frac{1}{\pi_i} = n \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \dots n-1$$

$$h_{0,0} = h_{n,n} = 2n$$

$$n = h_{i,i} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}h_{i+1,i} + \frac{1}{2}h_{i-1,i}$$

$$h_{i+1,i} \leq 2n$$

$$i = 0 : 2n = h_{0,0} = 1 + h_{1,0} \implies h_{1,0} < 2n$$

$$h_{n,0} \leq h_{n,n-1} + \dots + h_{1,0} \leq 2n^2$$

$$E(\text{steps in algorithm to reach correct solution}) = E(Z) \leq 2n^2$$

$$P(\text{algorithm hasn't reached correct solution after } 8n^2 \text{ steps})$$

$$= P(Z > 8n^2) \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{E(Z)}{8n^2} \leq \frac{1}{4}. \quad \blacksquare$$

## 8.2 Generating a uniformly random element of a set

$\Omega$ : set.

Let  $G$  be a symmetric graph on  $\Omega$ .

We form M.C:

$$P_{x,y} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{M} : & \text{if } x \neq y \wedge x \sim y \\ 0 : & \text{if } x \neq y \wedge x \not\sim y \\ 1 - \frac{|N(x)|}{M} : & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

$$M \geq \max_{v \in \Omega} |N(v)|.$$

If  $G$  is connected  $\implies$  M.C. is irreducible.

$$\pi = (\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \dots \frac{1}{|\Omega|})$$

$$\pi \stackrel{?}{=} \pi P$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\pi P)_x &= \sum_y \pi_y P_{y,x} \\
&= \sum_{y \in N(x)} \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{1}{|\Omega|} + \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \left( 1 - \frac{|N(x)|}{M} \right) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} = \pi_x.
\end{aligned}$$

$\implies$  if we walk on the Markov chain long enough, we end up in state  $x$  with probability  $\pi_x = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}$

$\implies$  we can sample uniformly.

*Primer.*

$G$  graph, finding largest independent set ( $\forall u, v : u \nsim v$ ) is NP-complete.

Lets try sampling a uniformly random independent set

$\Omega = \{\text{independent sets}\}$

$u \sim v$  if  $|u \triangle v| = 1$  ( $(u \cup \{v\}) = v$ )

M.C.:  $X_0 = \text{arbitrary independent set}$

$X_{i+1}$ :

- pick uniformly at random  $v \in V(G)$ ,
- if  $v \in U$  then  $X_{i+1} = U \setminus \{v\}$ ,
- if  $U \cup \{v\}$  is independent then  $X_{i+1} = U \cup \{v\}$ ,
- else  $X_{i+1} = U$ .

$M$  is number of vertices

$\implies \forall u \in \Omega : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(X_t = u) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}$ .

Note: irreducible;  $U \rightarrow \emptyset \rightarrow V$ , aperiodic.

## 8.3 Metropolis algorithm

$\Omega$ : set,

$\pi$ : chosen distribution on  $\Omega$ .

Make  $G$  graph on  $\Omega$

$$P_{x,y} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{M} \cdot \min\left(1, \frac{\pi_y}{\pi_x}\right) : & \text{if } x \neq y \wedge x \sim y \\ 0 : & \text{if } x \neq y \wedge x \not\sim y \\ 1 - \sum_{y \in N(x)} : & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

$$M \geq \max_{v \in \Omega} |N(v)|$$

$$\pi \stackrel{?}{=} \pi P$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi P)_x &= \sum_y \pi_y P_{y,x} = \sum_{y \in N(x)} \pi_y \frac{1}{M} \min\left(1, \frac{\pi_y}{\pi_x}\right) + \pi_x \left(1 - \sum_{y \in N(x)} \frac{1}{M} \min\left(1, \frac{\pi_y}{\pi_x}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{y \in N(x), \pi_y \geq \pi_x} \pi_y \frac{1}{M} \cdot 1 + \sum_{y \in N(x), \pi_y < \pi_x} \pi_y \frac{1}{M} \frac{\pi_y}{\pi_x} + \pi_x \\ &\quad - \sum_{y \in N(x), \pi_y \geq \pi_x} \pi_x \frac{1}{M} \frac{\pi_y}{\pi_x} - \sum_{y \in N(x), \pi_y < \pi_x} \frac{1}{M} \cdot 1 \\ &= \pi_x. \end{aligned}$$

*Primer.*

$$\Omega = \mathbb{Z} \cap [-1000, 1000]$$

$$\pi \sim e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\delta}}$$

$X_0$  arbitrary

for  $i = \text{in range}(1, m)$ :

$y \leftarrow$  uniformly from  $\{X_i - 11, X_i + 1\}$

$M \leftarrow$  uniformly from  $[0, 1]$

if  $M \leq \frac{\pi(y)}{\pi(x)}$ :

$X_{i+1} = y$

else:

$X_{i+1} = X_i$

return  $X_m$

*Primer.*

Find maximum of a positive function  $f$ .

Use metropolis algorithm to sample proportional to  $f$ .

Note: all I need to know is ratios  $\frac{f(y)}{f(x)}$ .

Back to independent sets.

$$G = (V, E)$$

$\Omega$  = independent sets.

$$\lambda \in (1, \infty)$$

$$\pi(u) \sim \lambda^{|u|}$$

$$\pi(u) = \frac{\lambda^{|u|}}{\sum_{v \text{ independent set}} \lambda^{|v|}}.$$

How to calculate the sum?

No problem: only need proportions.

$X_0$ : arbitrary independent set.

$X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ :

- we pick  $v \in V$  uniformly at random,
- if  $v \in X_i \implies$ 
  - $X_{i+1} = X_i \setminus \{v\}$  with probability  $\frac{1}{\lambda} = \min\{1, \frac{\pi_v}{\pi_x}\},$
  - $X_{i+1} = X_i$  with probability  $1 - \frac{1}{\lambda},$
- if  $v \in X_j$  and  $X_i \cup \{v\}$  is independent  $\implies X_{i+1} = X_i \cup \{v\},$
- otherwise  $X_{i+1} = X_i.$

*Primer.*

$$\text{Bayes: } P(A \mid B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(B \mid A)P(A)}{P(B)}.$$

$B \leftarrow$  machine is giving values, e.g.  $y_1 = 0.05, y_2 = -0.1, y_3 = 0.07, y_4 = 3.$

We believe  $B \sim N(\mu, 0.05).$

$\mu = \text{laplacian}(0, 0.01).$

$$P(\mu \mid B) = \frac{e^{\frac{|\mu|}{0.01}} e^{-\sum \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{0.05}}}{\int \dots}.$$

Integral is difficult to calculate.

Sample  $\mu$  with Metropolis algorithm.



## 8.4 M.C. for 1-factor in bipartite graphs

$G$  regular graph

$$|A| = |B|.$$

How to find 1-factor?

Augmenting paths.

Let  $M$  be (suboptimal) matching.

If we find  $s - t$  path, we switch edges and get bigger matching.

Starting point.

$G$   $d$ -regular graph.

Graph  $G = (A \cup B, E)$ ,  $M$  suboptimal matching.

- Add  $s$  and add directed edges to vertices in  $A$  that are not matched with weight  $d$ ,
- add  $t$  and add directed edges to vertices in  $B$  that are not matched with weight  $d$ ,
- orient edges in  $M$  from  $B$  to  $A$  that weight  $d - 1$ ,
- orient edges in  $E \setminus M$  from  $B$  to  $A$  that weight 1,
- we add edge from  $t$  to  $s$  that weight  $(|A| - |M|)d$ .

Observation:

- for each vertex  $x$  :  $\deg^-(x) = \deg^+(x)$  (out weights = in weights),
- if  $|A| > |M|$ , then graph is eulerian  $\implies$  there is an augmenting path.

How to find  $s - t$  path?

Do a random walk.

Expected time to get from  $s$  to  $t$  is  $h_{s,t}$

$$\frac{1}{\pi(s)} = h_{s,s} = h_{s,t} + 1.$$

### Lema 8.4.1.

Let  $X$  be a M.C. defined as a random walk on directed (weighted) graph with  $\deg^-(x) = \deg^+(x)$  for each  $x$ . Then the stationary distribution is

$$\pi = \left[ \frac{\deg^+(x_i)}{|E|} \right]_{i=1}^n.$$

$w_{ij}$ : weight from  $i$  to  $j$ .

**Dokaz 8.4.2.**

$$\pi P = \pi \left[ \frac{w_{ij}}{\deg^+(x_i)} \right]_{i,j=1}^n = \left[ \frac{\sum_j w_{ji}}{|E|} \right]_{i=1}^n = \left[ \frac{\deg^-(x_i)}{|E|} \right]_{i=1}^n = \left[ \frac{\deg^+(x_i)}{|E|} \right]_{i=1}^n. \quad \blacksquare$$

$$h_{s,s} = \frac{1}{\pi_s} \leq \frac{|E|}{\deg^+(s)} \leq \frac{3(|A|-|M|)d+|M|(d-1)+(|A|-|M|)d+|M|(d-1)}{(|A|-|M|)d} \leq \frac{4|A|}{|A|-|M|}.$$

Expected time to find augmenting path  $\leq \frac{4|A|}{|A|-|M|}$ .

$$|A| = n$$

Expected time to find 1-factor  $\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{4n}{n-i} = 4n \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i} \leq 4n(1 + \ln n)$  - in  $O(n \log n)$ .

## Network centrality

Degree as measure - natural idea.

Use M.C: walk randomly on the network, those that are visited more oftenly are more important.

Pagerank.

Let  $A$  be the adjacency matrix of  $G$ .

$$P_{ij} = \alpha \frac{A_{ij}}{\deg_i} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{1}{n};$$

$\alpha$ : normal random walk,

$1 - \alpha$ : jump to any.

$$\alpha = 0.85.$$

## Poglavje 9

# Randomized incremented constructions (RIC)

Observation:

Let  $S$  be a set of  $n$  distinct elements.

Let  $X_1 \dots X_n$  be a random permutation of the elements.

Let  $S_i = \{X_1 \dots X_i\}$ .

$P(X_i = \min(S_i)) = \frac{1}{i}$ .

$Y = |\{j \in \{1 \dots n\} \mid j = \text{minimal of } S_j\}|$

$Y = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$

$$Y_j = \begin{cases} 1 : & \text{if } j = \min S_j \\ 0 : & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$E(Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(Y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}$  in  $O(\log n)$ .

**Alg () :**

$X_1 \dots X_n = \text{random permutation of } S$

$min = X_1$

**for**  $i$  **in**  $\text{range}(1, n+1)$  :

**if**  $X_i < min$  :

**print** ( $\backslash \text{sn}\{\text{HA}\}$ )

$min = X_i$

We get  $O(\log n)$  „HA“ printed.

Incremental construction (IC).

Input  $S = \{s_1 \dots s_n\}$ .

We will build structures  $DS(S_i)$ :

$DS(S_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow DS(S_n))$ .

$DS(S_n)$  will help us give answer.

Randomized: permute  $S$  at the beginning.

## 9.1 Quicksort as RIC

$S$ : set of elements we want to order.

$X_1 \dots X_n$ : random permutation of  $S$ .

$S_i = \{X_1 \dots X_i\}$ .

$S_i$  splits  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Define  $DS(S_i)$ :

- save intervals: each interval will be saved by endpoints,
- for each interval we will be saving its points,
- for each  $X_j, j > i$  we will save in which interval it is,
- for each left point of the interval we will save the right point.

`QuicksortRIC(S):`

`# start of DS(Si)`

`I = [(-∞, ∞)]`

`P[(-∞, ∞)] = S`

`for each  $X_i$ :`

`$Int(X_i) = (-∞, ∞)$`

`Next(-∞) = ∞`

`# end of DS(Si)`

`for i in range(1, n+1):`

`$I_i = Int(X_i) = (X_j, X_k)$  # Ii splits interval (X_j, X_k)`

---

```

 $I_{i1} = (X_j, X_i)$ 
 $I_{i2} = (X_i, X_k)$ 
for  $X_l \neq X_i, X_l \in P(I)$ :
    add  $X_l$  to  $P(I_{i1})$  or  $P(I_{i2})$  depending on  $X_l < X_i$  or  $X_l > X_i$ 
 $Next(X_j) = X_i$ 
 $Next(X_i) = X_k$ 
return  $[Next(-\infty), Next(Next(-\infty)) \dots]$ 

```

Similarity to quicksort: splitting intervals.

Analysis:

for set  $i$ , we need  $O(|P(I_i)|)$ ,

$E(|P(I_i)|) = ?$

e.g.

if  $x_4 = a_4$ :

if  $x_4 = a_2$ :

$P(X_i = a_j) = \frac{1}{i}, j \in \{1, 2 \dots i\}$ .

Expected value of steps in iteration  $i$

$$\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{i} (P((a_{j-1}, a_j)) + P((a_j, a_{j+1}))) \leq \frac{1}{i} 2(n-i) \leq \frac{2n}{i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\text{number of steps in QuicksortRIC}) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2n}{i} \\
 &\leq 2n(1 + \log n) \rightarrow \text{in } O(n \log n).
 \end{aligned}$$

## 9.2 Linear programming

Task: maximize  $f(x_1 \dots x_n) = c_1x_1 + \dots + c_dx_d$ .

Constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1d}x_d &\leq b_1 \\ &\vdots \\ a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + a_{nd}x_d &\leq b_n. \end{aligned}$$

Geometric interpretation.

Cases:

- infeasible region
- unbounded
- multiple solutions.

Alg:

- simplex algorithm worst case  $O(2^n)$ ,
- interior point method (polynomial algorithm).

Seidel's algorithm:

running in expected  $O(n)$  time when  $d$  is constant.

One dimension.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & cx \\ & a_1x \leq b_1 \\ & \vdots \\ & a_nx \leq b_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $n$  is number of constraints.

- $a_i$  positive:  $(-\infty, \frac{b_i}{a_i}] \quad (x_i \leq \frac{b_i}{a_i})$ ,
- $a_i$  negative:  $[\frac{b_i}{a_i}, \infty) \quad (x_i \geq \frac{b_i}{a_i})$ .

$a_i \neq 0$ .

Alg:

$$R = \min_i \left\{ \frac{b_i}{a_i}; a_i > 0 \right\},$$

$$L = \max_i \left\{ \frac{b_i}{a_i}; a_i < 0 \right\},$$

if  $L > R$ : program infeasible,

else:

if  $c > 0$ : return  $R$ ,

if  $c < 0$ : return  $L$ .

2-dim: assume general position.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c_1x + c_2y \\ & a_{11}x + a_{12}y \leq b_1 \\ & \vdots \\ & a_{n1}x + a_{n2}y \leq b_n \\ & x \leq M \text{ or } x \geq -M \\ & y \leq M \text{ or } y \geq -M. \end{aligned}$$

$\leq, \geq$  depending on  $c_1, c_2$ .

Notation:

$h_i$ : halfspace defined by  $a_{i1}x + a_{i2}y \leq b_i$ ,

$m_i$ : added halfspaces, defined by  $X, Y \leq M$  or  $\geq -M$ ,

$l_i$ : line that bounds.

Alg:

- first randomly permute  $h_i$ ,

- $H_i = \{m_1, m_2, h_1 \dots h_i\}$ ,
- $v_i \in \cap H_i$  optimal solution after  $i$  constraints,
- $v_0 = (\pm M, \pm M)$ ,
- inductively add  $h_i$ .

Cases:

if  $v_{i-1} \in h_i \implies v_i = v_{i-1}$ ,

if  $v_{i-1} \notin h_i \implies v_i \in h_i$ :

$$a_{i1}x + a_{i2}y = b_i$$

$a_{i1}$  or  $a_{i2} \neq 0$ , e.g.  $a_{i1}$ ;

$$x = \frac{b_i - a_{i2}y}{a_{i1}}.$$

Insert  $x$  in all constraints  $\implies$  linear program in 1-dim,  $i$  (i-1?) constraints  
 $\implies$  get  $v_i$  in  $O(i)$ .

Analysis:

- worst case:  $\sum_{i=1}^n O(i) = O(n^2)$ ,
- expected:  $E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i)$ ,
- $X_i$  = running time of  $i$ -th iteration,
- $X_i = \begin{cases} O(1) : & \text{case 1} \\ O(i) : & \text{case 2} \end{cases}$
- $P(\text{case 2}) \leq \frac{2}{i}$  - optimal point on at most 2 lines,
- $E(X) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n O(1) \cdot 1 + O(i) \cdot \frac{2}{i} = O(n)$ .

$d$ -dim

- constraints define half-spaces,
- boundary is hyperplane ( $d - 1$  dimensional),



- general position: intersection of  $d - i$  hyperplanes is  $i$  dimensional, intersection of  $d + 1$  hyperplanes is  $\emptyset$ .

Alg:

first add  $X_i \leq M$  or  $X_i \geq -M$  depending on  $c_i$ ,

random permutation  $(h_1 \dots h_n)$ ,

$$H_i = \{m_1 \dots m_d, h_1 \dots h_i\},$$

$$v_0 \in \cap \partial m_i,$$

inductively add  $h_i$ :

$$v_{i-1} \in h_i \implies v_i = v_{i-1},$$

$v_{i-1} \notin h_i \implies$  we need to solve LP in  $d - 1$  dimensions with  $i$  constraints ( $O(i)$  expected),

$$P(v_{i-1} \notin h_i) \leq \frac{d}{i},$$

$$E(X) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n O(1) + \frac{d}{i} O(i) = O(n).$$

$X$ : running time.

Careful implementation runs in  $O(d! n) \implies$  very useful for low dimensions.

Problem: let  $P$  be convex polygon given by ordered set of vertices

$$y = a_i x + b_i.$$

Find largest disc embeddable in  $P$ .

Input:  $P_1 \dots P_n$ ,

output:  $(s_1, s_2), r$ .

max  $r$

$$r = \left| \frac{s_2 - a_i s_1 - b_i}{\sqrt{a_i^2 + 1}} \right|$$

$$\frac{s_2 - a_i s_1 - b_i}{\sqrt{a_i^2 + 1}} \geq r \text{ - line above } P$$

$$- \frac{s_2 - a_i s_1 - b_i}{\sqrt{a_i^2 + 1}} \leq -r \text{ - line below } P$$

$\implies$  LP in 3 dim.

Note:  $\frac{s_2 - a_i s_1 - b_i}{\sqrt{a_i^2 + 1}}$  positive if  $(s_1, s_2)$  above the line, negative otherwise.

# Poglavje 10

## Hashing

A hash function is a random function,

$$h : U \rightarrow \{0, 1 \dots n - 1\} = M,$$

$U$  - universe,

$$u = |U|,$$

$$m = |M|.$$

Ideally we would like for  $h$  to be as completely random:  $P(h(x) = t) = \frac{1}{m}$ .

Standard application.

Let  $V \subset U$ ,  $|V| \ll |U|$ .

We would like to quickly answer if  $x \in V$  for every  $x \in U$ .

Solution:

- take  $h : U \rightarrow M$ ,
- make a table  $T = [0, 1 \dots n - 1]$ ,
- for  $v \in V$ :

$$T[h(v)] = 1,$$

$$T[y] = 0 \ \forall y \in h(V).$$

- Let  $x \in V$ . Check

- if  $T[h(x)] = 1 : x \in V$ ,
- else:  $x \notin V$ .

Note: this is not OK:  $h$  not injective.

For  $x \in U$ , tell if  $x \in V$  in  $O(1)$ .

$h = \text{SHA256} : U \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{256}$ .

Approach:

- design a family of hash functions,
- study collisions  $P_h(h(x) = h(y))$ ,
- $H$  needs to be „simple“.

Bad example:  $H =$  all functions from  $U$  to  $M$  storing  $h \in H$  would take  $|U| \log_2 |M|$  bits.

**Definicija 10.0.1.** A family of hash functions to be universal if for  $\forall x, y \in U, x \neq y, h \in H : P(h(x) = h(y)) \leq \frac{1}{m}$  (probability of collision).

$k$ -independent if  $\forall x_1 \dots x_k \in U$  pairwise different,  $\forall t_1 \dots t_k \in M$   
 $P_r(h(x_i) = t_i \forall i) \leq \frac{1}{m^k}$ .

*Primer.*

$U = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ ,

$M = \{0, 1\}$ ,

$H = \{h_0, h_1, h_2\}$ ,

$h_0 : \{0 \rightarrow 0, 1 \rightarrow 0, 2 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 1\}$ ,

$h_1 : \{0 \rightarrow 0, 1 \rightarrow 1, 2 \rightarrow 0, 3 \rightarrow 1\}$ ,

$h_2 : \{0 \rightarrow 0, 1 \rightarrow 1, 2 \rightarrow 1, 3 \rightarrow 0\}$ .

$P(h(0) = h(2)) = \frac{2}{3} > \frac{1}{2}$  - not universal.

Why universal?

$U, V, M$

$H$  universal:  $\forall x, y : P(h(x) = h(y)) \leq \frac{1}{m}$ .

$X$ : number of collisions of  $V$ .

$$E(X) = E\left(\sum_{x,y \in V, x \neq y} X_{x,y}\right)$$

$$X_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h(x) = h(y) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$E(X) = \sum_{x,y \in V, x \neq y} E(X_{x,y}) \leq \binom{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{m}.$$

$U, V, M, H$

$T[0 \dots m-1]$

$\forall v \in V$

$T[h(v)] = v.$

For  $x \in V$  we check  $T[h(x)]$  if equals  $x$ ,

for  $y \in U \setminus V$ ,  $T[h(y)] \neq y$ .

For  $z \in V$ ,  $T[h(z)]$  can happen  $\neq z$  if  $h$  has collisions in  $V$ .

**Lema 10.0.2.**

Let  $m \geq n^2$  and  $H$  universal. Then the probability that  $h$  has no collisions in  $V \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Dokaz 10.0.3.**

$X$ : number of collisions

$$E(X) \leq \binom{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{m} < \frac{n^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(X \geq 1) \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{E(X)}{1} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(X = 0) \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad \blacksquare$$

*Primer* (Universal hash family).

$U = \{0, 1 \dots u-1\}$  (bits  $\equiv$  numbers)

$M = \{0, 1 \dots m-1\}$ .

Define: let  $p \geq u$ ,  $p$  prime number.

Define for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $a \neq 0$ .

$h_{a,b} = (ax + b) \bmod m$

$ax + b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$

$H = \{h_{a,b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p, a \neq 0\}.$

**Dokaz 10.0.4.**

$$P(h_{a,b}(x) = h_{a,b}(y)) = ?$$

$x, y$  fixed.

For any  $a, b$  denote

$$ax + b = t_x$$

$$ay + b = t_y :$$

$$a \sqcup + b \in \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x & 1 \\ y & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} x & 1 \\ y & 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0, \text{ because } x \neq y$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x & 1 \\ y & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \end{bmatrix}.$$

For each  $t_x, t_y$  there exists 1  $a, b$  mapping to  $t_x, t_y$ .

$$h_{a,b}(x) = h_{a,b}(y) \iff t_x = t_y \pmod{m}.$$

This holds for  $p \left( \lceil \frac{p}{m} \rceil + 1 \right)$

$p$ : choice of  $t_y$

$$t_x = t_y + km$$

$$P(h_{a,b}(x) = h_{a,b}(y)) \leq \frac{p(\lceil \frac{p}{m} \rceil - 1)}{p(p-1)} \leq \frac{\frac{p-1}{m}}{p-1} = \frac{1}{m}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Function random for 2 elements, fixed for  $\geq 3$ .

Higher k-independent: better.

## 10.1 Chaining

$$V, U, h : U \rightarrow V.$$

Answer  $x \in V$  in  $O(1)$ .

$$T[0 \dots m-1]$$

$$n = |V|$$

$$\forall v \in V:$$

$$h(v_1) = h(v_2) \rightarrow [v_1 \ v_2 \dots] \text{ - linked list.}$$

Now:

$$x \in U.$$

Check if  $x$  is in list at  $T[h(x)]$ .

Check takes  $O(\text{length of a list at } h(x)) = 1 + \text{number of collisions with } x$ .

$X_x$ : number of collisions with  $x$ .

$E(X_x) = \sum_{y \in V} E(X_{x,y}) \leq n \cdot \frac{1}{m}$  if hash function is universal.

$\alpha = \frac{n}{m}$ : load factory (how many elements in 1 place).

$E(X_x) = 1$

$E(\max_x X_x) \neq \max_x E(X_x) = 1$ .

**Izrek 10.1.1.** Assume we throw  $n$  balls into  $n$  bins uniformly at random. Then with high probability the fullest contains  $\theta\left(\frac{\log n}{\log(\log n)}\right)$  balls.

**Dokaz 10.1.2.**

$$\stackrel{?}{\leq} \frac{3 \ln n}{\ln \ln n}.$$

Let  $X_j$  be the number of balls in bin  $j$ .

$P\left(X_j \geq \frac{3 \ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right) = P(\text{there exists subset } S \text{ of balls thrown to bin } j).$

$|S| = k$

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(\bigcup_{S \text{ balls, } |S|=k} \text{balls from } S \text{ are thrown to bin } j\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{S \text{ balls, } |S|=k} P(\text{balls from } S \text{ are thrown to } j) \\ & = \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k \\ & \leq \frac{n^k}{k!} \cdot \frac{1}{n^k} \\ & = \frac{1}{k!} \qquad \stackrel{10.1}{\leq} \frac{e^k}{k^k} \\ & = \left(\frac{e \ln n}{3 \ln \ln n}\right)^{\frac{3 \ln n}{\ln \ln n}} \\ & \leq e^{\frac{3 \ln n}{\ln \ln n} \cdot (\ln \ln \ln n - \ln \ln n)} \\ & = e^{-3 \ln n + \frac{\ln \ln \ln n \cdot (\ln n \cdot 3)}{\ln \ln n}} \qquad \stackrel{10.2}{\leq} e^{-3 \ln n + \ln n} \\ & = \frac{1}{n^2}; \end{aligned}$$

$$e^x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^i}{i!} \geq \frac{k^k}{k!}, \quad (10.1)$$

$$\frac{\ln \ln \ln n}{\ln \ln n} \rightarrow 0. \quad (10.2)$$

$$P(\text{at least for 1 bin } j \geq k) = n \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{1}{n}. \quad \blacksquare$$

$U, V, H$  hash family,  $h : U \rightarrow M$

$v \in V$

$$n = |V|$$

$$\max \text{ load } O\left(\frac{\log n}{\log(\log n)}\right).$$

Perfect hashing: we would like

- $O(1)$  lookup (worst case)
- $O(n)$  size of table.

## 10.2 2 level hashing

Input:  $V$

$$n = |V|.$$

Take hash function from universal family with  $m = |M| = n$ .

Count total collisions  $X$ .

$$E(X) \leq \binom{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{m} \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

$$P(x \geq n) \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{1}{2}$$

$\implies$  by repeating sample  $h$  we can guarantee

- for each  $i \in M$  we store at  $T[i]$  another hash table of size  $C_i^2$ , where  $C_i = \text{number of elements of } V, \text{ hashed in } i$ ,
- we sample  $h_i$  from universal hash family with  $M_i = C_i^2$ .

$$P(h_i \text{ has no collisions}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ (by lemma).}$$

We resample if  $h_i$  has collisions.

$$E(\text{sampling } h_i) = 2.$$

Construction time:

- step 1:  $O(n)$
- step 2:  $O(C_1 + \dots + C_n) = O(n)$ ;



together  $O(n)$ .

Lookup time:  $O(1)$  (evaluating  $h(x)$  and  $h_{h(x)}(x)$ ).

Space:  $O(C_1^2 + \dots + C_n^2)$  in  $O(n)$ .

By first step:

$$n > \text{number of collisions of } h = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{C_i}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{C_i^2 - C_i}{2}$$

$$\implies \sum_{i=1}^n C_i^2 < 2n + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i = 3n.$$

## 10.3 The power of 2 choices

Variant: placing  $n$  balls in  $n$  bins but for each ball we choose  $d$  bins uniformly at random and put the ball in bin with minimal load.

**Izrek 10.3.1.** The above process with  $d \geq 2$  results in at most maximum load of  $O\left(\frac{\ln(\ln n)}{\ln d}\right)$ .

**Dokaz 10.3.2.** (sketch).

$b_i$  = upper bound of the number of bins with load at most  $i$ .

Height of a ball = the number of balls in the bin, where the ball is placed.

$P(\text{a ball has height at least } i+1) \leq \left(\frac{b_i}{n}\right)^d$  (choose  $d$  times independently).

$X^{i+1}$ : number of balls with height  $\geq i+1$ .

$$X^{i+1} = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^{i+1}$$

$X_j^{i+1}$ : indicator variable of  $j$ -th ball having height  $i+1$ .

$$E(X^{i+1}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{b_i}{n}\right)^d = n \cdot \left(\frac{b_i}{n}\right)^d.$$

Chernoff bound: with high probability  $X^{i+1} \leq 2n \left(\frac{b_i}{n}\right)^d$ .

$X^{i+1} \geq$  number of bins with load at least  $i+1$ .

Define (set)

$$b_{i+1} = \frac{\sum b_i^d}{n^{d-1}}$$

$$b_4 = \frac{n}{4}$$

$$b_{i+4} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{n}{2^{2 \cdot d^i - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} d^j}}$$

$$i = 0: b_4 = \frac{n}{2^{2^1}} = \frac{n}{4}$$

$i \rightarrow i + 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{i+4} &= \frac{2 \cdot b_{i+3}}{n^{d-1}} \\
 &\stackrel{IH}{=} \frac{2 \cdot \left( \frac{n}{2^{2 \cdot d^i - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} d^j}} \right)^d}{n^{d-1}} \\
 &= \frac{2^1 \cdot n^d}{n^{d-1} \cdot 2^{2 \cdot d^{i+1} - \sum_{j=1}^i d^j}} \\
 &= \frac{n}{2^{2 \cdot d^{i+1} - \sum_{j=0}^i d^j}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular:  $b_{i+4} \leq \frac{n}{2^{d^i}} < 1$  when?

$$n < 2^{d^i}$$

$$\log_2 n < d^i$$

$$\log_d \log_2 n < i$$

$$\implies \text{for } i = \frac{\log(\log_2 n)}{\log d} \text{ is } b_i < 1 \implies \text{no bins with load } > \frac{\log(\log_2 n)}{\log d}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Application:

We sample 2 hash functions  $h_1, h_2 : U \rightarrow M$ .

For element  $v \in V$  we insert in  $T[h_1(v)]$  or  $T[h_2(v)]$  depending on which list is shorter.

Max load in  $O(\log(\log n))$ .

## 10.4 Cockoo hashing

Idea: use 2 hash functions but allow moving elements later.

We want to have at most 1 element at each entry in the table.

Inserting:

- if empty: insert,
- if not empty: push other element to its other choice, repeat recursively.

Questions:

- how many do I need to move,
- how many elements can I insert before problems?

We can think of positions in the table as vertices and elements of  $V$  as edges.  $|V|$  edges are inserted uniformly at random (if ideal hash function)  $\implies$  random graph.

Erdős-rewy model:  $G_{n,m} \approx G_{n,p}$  if  $m = \binom{n}{2} \cdot p$  (A.S. properties).

If  $np < 1 - \varepsilon$ : all connected components have size at most  $O(\log n)$ , components are trees or at most 1 cycle per component, expected size of a component is  $O(1)$ .

Fact: if graph has at most 1 cycle per component, then inserting can be done and takes at most  $2 \cdot (\text{size of component})$  time (each edge changes direction at most 2 times).

#### **Izrek 10.4.1.**

Let  $n = |U|$ ,  $h_1, h_2 : U \rightarrow M$ ,  $m = |M| = 2 \cdot (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot n$ , then with high probability cuckoo hashing works correctly with

- inserting time:
  - $O(\log n)$  time worst case,
  - $O(1)$  expected case,
- space:  $O(n)$ ,
- lookup time:  $O(1)$ .

Dynamically add element:

$$m = 2 \cdot (1 + \varepsilon) \cdot n$$

$$p = \frac{m'}{\binom{n'}{2}} = \frac{2m'}{n'(n'-1)}$$

$$pn' = \frac{2m'}{(n'-1)} = \frac{2n'}{2(1+\varepsilon)n'} = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} < 1 + \varepsilon'$$

## 10.5 Bloom filter

Take  $k$  hash functions  $h_1 \dots h_k$  at random,  $h_i : U \rightarrow M, T[0 \dots m-1]$ .

$V \subset U$ , for every element  $v \in V$  set  $T[h_i(v)] = 1 \forall i \in \{1 \dots k\}$ .

False positives:  $x \notin V$  such that  $T[h_i(x)] = 1 \forall i \in \{1 \dots k\}$ .

For each  $T[j]$   $P(T[j] = 0) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^n \approx e^{-\frac{nk}{m}}$ ;

$k$ : each hash function,  $n$ : for each  $v$ .

Now

$P(T[h_i(x)] = 1 \forall i, \forall x \notin V) \approx \left(1 - e^{-\frac{nk}{m}}\right)^k = f(k)$  - probability of a false positive.

$\left(1 - e^{-\frac{nk}{m}}\right)$ : 1 position.

Searching for a minimum:

$$f'(k) = 0$$

$$\implies k = \ln 2 \cdot \frac{m}{n}$$

$$f\left(\ln 2 \cdot \frac{m}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\ln 2 \cdot \frac{m}{n}} \approx 0.6185^{\frac{m}{n}}$$

$$\implies \text{we choose } m \text{ such that } 0.6185^{\frac{m}{n}} \text{ small (in } O(n))$$

$$\implies \text{calculating } k = \ln 2 \cdot \frac{m}{n}$$

$$\implies \text{hashing with space } O(n)$$

$$\implies \text{checking in } O(1)$$

$$\implies \text{probability of error small.}$$

## 10.6 Linear probing

$V \subset U, h : U \rightarrow M, T[0 \dots m-1]$ .

- Insert  $v \in V$ : check  $T[h(v)], T[h(v) + 1], T[h(v) + 1] \dots$  until finding empty space, then insert it.
- Check if  $x \in V$  by checking  $T[h(x)] \stackrel{?}{=} x, T[h(x) + 1] \stackrel{?}{=} x \dots$  until finding  $x$  or finding empty.

$x \in U$

$X$ : number of steps to check if  $x \in V$ .

$E(X) = ?$

Block of size  $2^l$  is bad if it has more than  $2^l \cdot \frac{2}{3}$  values.

Set  $\frac{n}{m} = \frac{1}{3}$ .

Expected number of elements hashed in block of size  $2^l$  is  $\frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^l$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \sum_{i=0}^n P(X = i) \cdot i \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\log_2 n} P(2^{j-1} < X \leq 2^j) \cdot 2^j \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\log_2 n} P(\text{block above } h(x) \text{ of size } 2^j \text{ is bad}) \cdot c \cdot 2^j. \end{aligned}$$

$c$ : not aligned?

$P(\text{block of size } 2^j \text{ is bad}) = P(Y > \frac{2}{3} \cdot 2^j) = P(Y - \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^j > \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^j);$

$Y$ : number of elements hashed to the block.

$E(Y) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2^j$

$E(X) \stackrel{\text{Chernoff}}{\leq} e^{-k \cdot 2^j}$ ; Chernoff: sum of independent indicators.

$E(X) < O(1) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\log_2 n} 2^j \cdot e^{-k \cdot 2^j}$  in  $O(1)$

$\implies$  checking in  $O(1)$ .

Chernoff: if ideal hash function; 5 independent is enough.

# Poglavje 11

## Data streams

Stream of values

$$\sigma = a_1, a_2 \dots a_n$$

$a_i$ : tokens

$$a_i \in [n]$$

$m$ : length of stream (very large).

**Definicija 11.0.1.**  $f_i = |\{j \mid a_j = i\}|$

We could be interested in

- number of different token,
- frequency of some token,
- frequent tokens:  $\{i \in [n] \mid f_i \geq \frac{m}{10}\}$
- moments:  $\|f\|^2 = \sum_{i \in [n]} f_i^2$
- $\vdots$

We want to use memory in  $O(\text{poly}(\log n, \log m)) \ll O(n, m)$ .

Most problems cannot be solved precisely, hence we search for  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -approximation.

Algorithm  $A(G)$ :

- initialization,

- incremental steps,
- finalization

using randomness (oblivious stream - it doesn't know which randomly, e.g. we can choose stream that „attacks algorithm“).

## 11.1 Count min sketch

For a given  $i \in [n]$  (token) at the end of stream give  $f_i$ .

$A(\sigma, \varepsilon, \delta)$ :

**Init:**  $k = \lceil \frac{2}{\varepsilon} \rceil, t = \lceil \log_2 \left( \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \rceil$ .

We choose  $t$  hash functions  $h_1 \dots h_t : [n] \rightarrow M = [k] = \{1 \dots k\}$  from a universal family  $H$ .

Let  $C[0 \dots t-1][0 \dots k-1]$  be 2-dim (hash) table

$C[i][j] = 0 \forall i, j$ .

**Updates:**

for every token  $a_i \in \sigma$  we update  $C$

for  $j = 0, 1 \dots t-1$ :

$C[i][h_i(a_j)] += 1$

**Output:** we asked  $a \in [n]$  return  $\overline{f}_a = \min_{0 \leq j \leq t-1} C[j][h_j(a)]$ ;

min collisions.

### Izrek 11.1.1.

For every  $a \in [n]$  it holds

$$f_a \leq \overline{f}_a \leq f_a + \varepsilon m$$

with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ .

Notice: space needed  $O(t \cdot k \cdot \log m) = O\left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \cdot \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right) \log m\right)$ .

### Dokaz 11.1.2.

$$\forall i \in [t] : C[i][h_i(a)] \geq f_a \implies \overline{f}_a \geq f_a.$$

Fix  $a$ .

Let  $X_i = C[i][h_i(a)] - f_a$  excess of  $i$ -th count.

$$I_{x,y}^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h_i(x) = h_i(y) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$X_i = \sum_{y \in [n], y \neq a} I_{x,y}^i \cdot f_y.$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_i) &= \sum_{y \in [n], y \neq a} E(I_{x,y}^i) \cdot f_y \\ &\stackrel{11.1}{\leq} \sum_{y \in [n], y \neq a} \frac{1}{k} \cdot f_y \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \cdot m \\ &\stackrel{11.2}{\leq} \frac{m}{2}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{hash function from universal family,} \tag{11.1}$$

$$P(X_i \geq \varepsilon m) \stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{\varepsilon m}{2\varepsilon m} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ for fixed } i. \tag{11.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\overline{f_a} - f_a \geq \varepsilon m) &\leq P(X_i \geq \varepsilon m \ \forall i) \\ &\stackrel{\text{indep.}}{=} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^t \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

■

## 11.2 Estimating the number of distinct elements

We want  $d = |\{i \in [n], f(i) > 0\}|$ .

Define for  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$\text{zeros}(x) = \max\{i \mid 2^i \text{ divides } x\}$ : number of zeros at the end in binary representation of  $x$ .

**Alg**( $\sigma$ ):

**Init**:

$h$ : random hash function from 2-independent family.

$\# \text{ recall}$ :  $[n]$ : all possible elements of  $\sigma$ .



```

 $h : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ 
 $\text{unlog? } n = 2^{n'}$ 
 $z = 0$ 
Update :
 $a_i \in \sigma$ 
if  $\text{zeros}(h(a_i)) \geq z$  :
     $z = \text{zeros}(h(a_i))$ 
Output :
 $\bar{d} = 2^{z+\frac{1}{2}}$ 

```

Define  $\forall a \in [n], r \in \mathbb{N}$

$$X_{r,a} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \text{zeros}(h(a)) \geq r \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$Y_r = \sum_{a \in \sigma} X_{r,a}.$$

Let  $\bar{z}$  be  $z$  at the end of the algorithm:  $\bar{d} = 2^{\bar{z}+\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Notice:

$$Y_r > 0 \iff \bar{z} \geq r$$

$$Y_r = 0 \iff \bar{z} < r.$$

**Lema 11.2.1.**

$$P(X_{r,a} = 1) = \frac{1}{2^r},$$

$$P(X_{r,a_1} = 1 \wedge X_{r,a_2} = 1) = \frac{1}{(2^r)^2}.$$

**Dokaz 11.2.2.**

$$P(X_{r,a} = 1) = P(\text{zeros}(h(a)) \geq r) = \frac{2^{n'-r}}{2^{n'}} = \frac{1}{2^r};$$

$2^{n'}$ : all,

$2^{n'-r}$ : fixed.

$$P(X_{r,a_1} = 1 \wedge X_{r,a_2} = 1) \stackrel{\text{indep.}}{=} P(X_{r,a_1} = 1) \cdot P(X_{r,a_2} = 1) = \frac{1}{(2^r)^2}. \quad \blacksquare$$

$P(\bar{d} \geq 3d)$  small?

$$E(Y_r) = \sum_{a \in \sigma} E(X_{a,r}) = \sum_{a \in \sigma} \frac{1}{2^r} = \frac{d}{2^r}$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $2^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \geq 3d > 2^{k-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 P\left(\bar{d} > 3d\right) &\leq P\left(2^{\bar{z}-\frac{1}{2}} > 2^{k-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &= P\left(\bar{z} + \frac{1}{2} > k - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
 &= P(\bar{z} \geq k) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{lemma}}{=} P(Y_k > 0) \\
 &\stackrel{\in \mathbb{N}}{=} P(Y_k \geq 1) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Markov}}{\leq} \frac{E(Y_k)}{1} = \frac{d}{2^k} \\
 &\stackrel{k}{\leq} \frac{d \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{3d} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$P(\bar{d} \leq \frac{d}{3})$  small?

Let  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $2^{l-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{d}{3} < 2^{l+\frac{1}{2}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 P\left(\bar{d} < \frac{d}{3}\right) &\leq P\left(2^{\bar{z}+\frac{1}{2}} < 2^{l+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
 &= P\left(\bar{z} + \frac{1}{2} < l + \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
 &= P(\bar{z} \leq l) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{lemma}}{=} P(Y_l = 0) \\
 &= P\left(Y_l - \frac{d}{2^l} < -\frac{d}{2^l}\right) \\
 &\leq P\left(|Y_l - \frac{d}{2^l}| \geq \frac{d}{2^l}\right) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{Chebisev}}{\leq} \frac{\text{Var}(Y_l)}{\left(\frac{d}{2^l}\right)^2} \\
 &\stackrel{l}{\leq} \frac{d \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{3d} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3};
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(Y_l) &= \text{Var} \left( \sum_{a \in \sigma} X_{a,l} \right) \\
&\stackrel{\text{h 2-indep.}}{=} \sum_{a \in \sigma} \text{Var}(X_{a,l}) \\
&= \sum_{a \in \sigma} E(X_{a,l}^2) - E(X_{a,l})^2 \\
&\stackrel{E(X_{a,l}) \in \{0,1\}}{\leq} \sum_{a \in \sigma} E(X_{a,l}) \\
&= \frac{d}{2^l}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$P\left(\frac{d}{3} < \bar{d} < 3d\right) \geq 1 - \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}.$$

We use algorithm  $k$ -times, getting  $\bar{d}_1 \dots \bar{d}_k$  (we need independent hash functions).

Define:  $\bar{d} = \text{median}(\bar{d}_1 \dots \bar{d}_k)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\bar{d} \geq 3d) &= P\left(\text{at least } \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil \bar{d} - s \text{ are } \geq 3d\right) \\
&= P\left(X \geq \frac{k}{2}\right) \leq e^{-ck};
\end{aligned}$$

$c$ : some constant,

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i,$$

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & : \text{ if } \bar{d}_i \geq 3d \\ 0 & : \text{ else} \end{cases}$$

$$P\left(\bar{d} \leq \frac{d}{3}\right) = \dots$$

# Poglavje 12

## Interactive proofs

A protocol between  $P$  prover and  $V$  verifier for function  $f$ .

Both share  $x$ ,

$r$ : randomness used,

$P, V$ : algorithms,

$$out(V, x, r, P) = \begin{cases} 1 : V \text{ agrees that } f(x) = y \\ 0 : \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Goal: minimal communication, minimal work for  $V$ .

Completeness:

- for every  $x \in D$  (domain)
- $P(out(V, x, r, P) = 1) \geq 1 - \delta_c$  for some  $\delta_c \in [0, 1)$ .

Soundness:

- for every  $x$  such that  $f(x) \neq y$
- $P(out(V, x, r, P') = 1) \leq \delta_s$  for every  $P', \delta_s \in [0, 1)$ .

Computational soundness:

- soundness,
- $P'$  computationally bounded.

Zero-knowledge:

- informally: verifier learns nothing behind the claim.

*Primer.*

Input:  $G$  graph,

$$f(G) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } G \text{ hamiltonian} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$G \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{m_1: (v_1 \dots v_n)} V \leftarrow G,$$

$V$ : verifies that  $m_1$  is hamiltonian cycle.

Proof:  $O(n)$ .

Verifier com.  $O(n)$ .

*Primer.*

Input:  $A, B$  matrices,

$$f(A, B) = A \cdot B,$$

$$(A, B) \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{C} V \leftarrow (A, B).$$

$P$ : compute  $C = A \cdot B$ , send  $C$ ,

$V$ : check  $A(Bv_i) = Cv_i$  for random  $v_i$ .

Prover: matrix multiplication  $O(n^3)$  ( $O(n^{\log_2(7)})$ ).

Verifier:  $O(n^2)$ .

Proof size:  $O(n^3)$  (possible to reduce is  $O(\log n)$ ).

*Primer.*

Input:  $(n, y) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ,

$$f(n, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists } x \text{ such that } y = x^2 \pmod{n} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} ;$$

quadratic?? reducibility problem.

$$(n, y) \rightarrow P \rightarrow V \leftarrow (n, y).$$

$P$ : sample  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $s = r^2$ , send  $s$ ,

$V$ : sample  $b \in \{0, 1\}$ , send  $b$ ,

$P$ : if  $b = 0$ :  $m_2 = r$ , if  $b = 1$ :  $m_2 = r \cdot x$ , send  $m_2$ ,

$V$ : accepts if  $m_2^2 = s \cdot y^b$ .

Completeness:

$$m_2^2 \stackrel{?}{=} s \cdot y^b$$

if  $b = 0$  :

$$m_2 = r$$

$$r^2 = m_2^2 = s \quad \checkmark$$

if  $b = 1$  :

$$m_2^2 = sy$$

$$r^2 x^2 = sy \quad \checkmark (r^2 = s, x^2 = y)$$

Soundness:

- 2 options for what prover does.
  - Send  $s$  such that there is no  $r$  that  $r^2 = s$ .  
 Then with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  is  $b = 0$ .  
 Then prover needs to send  $m_2$  such that  $m_2^2 = s$  (impossible)  
 $\implies$  fail with probability at least  $\frac{1}{2}$ .
  - Send  $s$  such that  $r^2 = s$ .  
 Then with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  is  $b = 1$ .  
 $m_2^2 = sy = r^2 y \implies y = (m_2 r^{-1})^2 \implies \exists x : x^2 = y$ : contradiction  
 $\implies$  fail with probability at least  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

With zero-knowledge.

## 12.1 Sum-check protocol

Let  $g(x_1 \dots x_n)$  be multivariate polynomial of degree  $d$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Let  $H_g = \sum_{b_1 \dots b_n \in \{0,1\}} g(b_1 \dots b_n)$ .

$P$  wants to convince  $V$  that  $c = H_g$ .

$g \rightarrow P \rightarrow V \leftarrow g$ .

$P$ : sends  $c$ ,

$P$ : compute  $g_1(x) = \sum_{b_2 \dots b_n \in \{0,1\}} g(x, b_2 \dots b_n)$ , send  $g_1(x)$ ,

$V$ : check  $g_1(0) + g_1(1) = c$ ,  $\deg(g) \leq d$ , sample  $r_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ , send  $r_1$ ,

for  $j = 2 \dots n - 1$ :

$P$ : compute  $g_j(x) = \sum_{b_{j+1} \dots b_n \in \{0,1\}} g(r_1 \dots r_{j-1}, x, b_{j+1} \dots b_n)$ , send  $g_j(x)$ ,

$V$ : checks  $g_j(0) + g_j(1) = g_{j-1}(r_{j-1})$ ,  $\deg(g_j) \leq d$ , sample  $r_j \in \mathbb{F}$ , send  $r_j$ ,

$P$ : compute  $g_n(x) = g(r_1 \dots r_{n-1}, x)$ , send  $g_n(x)$ ,

$V$ : checks  $g_n(0) + g_n(1) = g_{n-1}(r_{n-1})$ ,  $\deg(g_n) \leq d$ , for random  $r_n \in \mathbb{F}$  check  $g_n(r_n) = g(r_1 \dots r_n)$ .

Completeness:

✓(sum, all possibilities).

Cost:

Prover:  $O(2^n)$ ,

verifier: evaluate  $g_i \forall i$ ,  $g$  at one point,  $\ll O(2^n)$ .

Communication:

$\deg(g_1) + \dots + \deg(g_{n-1}) + O(n)$  elements of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Prove that  $H_g = \sum_{b_1 \dots b_n \in \{0,1\}} g(b_1 \dots b_n)$ .

Soundness:

$P$ : sends  $g_i(x)$ .

If  $P$  cheats, at least one of polynomials is not correct.

Sends  $g'_i(x) \neq g_i(x)$ .

Verifier checks  $g'_i(r_i) = g_{i+1}(0) + g_{i+1}(1) = g_i(r_i)$

$\rightarrow$  probability of this:  $\leq \frac{d}{|\mathbb{F}|}$ .

Soundness error:  $\leq n \cdot \frac{d}{|\mathbb{F}|}$ ; union bound of rounds.

## Application 1:

Counting solutions of SAT.

$F$  SAT formula with  $s$  operations and  $n$  variables.

Replace with polynomial  $g(x_1 \dots x_n)$  such that  $F(b_1 \dots b_n) = g(b_1 \dots b_n)$ .

For every  $b_1 \dots b_n$ :

replace  $AND(x, y)$  with  $x \cdot y$ ,  $OR(x, y)$  with  $x + y - x \cdot y$ ,  $NOT(x)$  with  $1 - x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Number of SAT solutions} &= \sum_{b_1 \dots b_n \in \{0,1\}} F(b_1 \dots b_n) \\ &= \sum_{b_1 \dots b_n \in \{0,1\}} g(b_1 \dots b_n). \end{aligned}$$

Prover can prove that  $H_g$  = number of solutions by using sum-check.

Complexity:

prover:  $O(2^n)$ ,

proof size (communication complexity):  $O(n)$  -  $n$  polynomials,

verifier:  $O(n + s)$ .

Error:  $\leq \frac{n \cdot s}{|\mathbb{F}|}$ ;  $s$ : number of operations.

## Application 2:

Counting triangles in  $G$ .

$A$ : adjacency matrix.

$$\text{Number of triangles in } G = \frac{\text{tr}(A^3)}{6}.$$

We think of  $A$  as a mapping.

$$[n] \times [n] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}.$$

Define  $A' : \{0, 1\}^{\log_2 n} \times \{0, 1\}^{\log_2 n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ ,

such that  $A(i, j) = A'(binary(i), binary(j))$ .



For example:  $n = 16$ ,  $A(0, 3) = A'(0000, 0011)$ .

Now we define polynomial  $f_A : \mathbb{F}^{\log_2 n} \times \mathbb{F}^{\log_2 n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$

$f_A(x_1 \dots x_{\log_2 n}, y_1 \dots y_{\log_2 n})$  such that

$f_A(b_1 \dots c_{\log_2 n}) = A'(b_1 \dots c_{\log_2 n})$  for every  $b_1 \dots c_{\log_2 n} \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Example:  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $f_A(x, y) = x(1 - y) + y(1 - x)$ .

In general:

$$f_A(x_1 \dots x_{\log_2 n}, y_1 \dots y_{\log_2 n}) = \sum_{a, b \in \{0, 1\}^{\log_2 n}, A'(a, b) = 1} (-1)^{\text{num\_zeros}(a, b)} (x_1 - (1 - a_1)) \dots (y_{\log_2 n} - (1 - b_{\log_2 n})).$$

Now we define  $g_A(x, y, z) = f_A(x, y) \cdot f_A(y, z) \cdot f_A(z, x)$ ,  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}^{\log_2 n}$ .

Number of triangles =  $\frac{\sum_{a, b, c \in \{0, 1\}^{\log_2 n} g_A(a, b, c)} 1}{6}$

$\implies$  we can use sum-check.

Proof size:  $O(3 \cdot \log_2 n)$  (number of rounds  $\rightarrow$  poly),

verifier:  $O(\log_2 n) + O(n^2)$ .

## 12.2 SNARK

Succint Non-interactive ARgument of Knowledge.

Succint: proof short and verification fast.

Non-interactive: just sending a proof.

$x \rightarrow P \rightarrow V \leftarrow x$ .

$P$ : convince  $V$  that  $f(x) = y$ .

- $f(x) = x^3 + x + 5$  as a algebraic circuit.

Break down into  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$ ,  $/$  in some field  $\mathbb{Z}_p$

Proof with states  $\vec{s} = (five, x, out, s_1, s_2, s_3)$ .

Example: proof that  $f(3) = 35$ .

$$\vec{s} = (1, 3, 35, 9, 27, 30).$$

- To R1CS.

Give vectors  $\vec{a}_i, \vec{b}_i, \vec{c}_i$  for each state such that

$$(\vec{a}_i \cdot \vec{s}) \cdot (\vec{b}_i \cdot \vec{s}) = (\vec{c}_i \cdot \vec{s}) \iff \text{gate } i \text{ was correctly calculated.}$$

Example.

For gate 1 ( $\cdot$ ):

$$\vec{a}_1 = [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0]$$

$$\vec{b}_1 = [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0]$$

$$\vec{c}_1 = [0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0]$$

$$\vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{s} = x, \vec{c}_1 \cdot \vec{s} = s_1.$$

For gate 3 ( $+$ ):

$$\vec{a}_3 = [0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0]$$

$$\vec{b}_3 = [1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0]$$

$$\vec{c}_3 = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]$$

$$(x + s_2) \cdot 1 = s_3.$$

We have instead of circuit

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 \\ \vec{a}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{a}_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \vec{s} \odot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_1 \\ \vec{b}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{b}_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \vec{s} - \begin{bmatrix} \vec{c}_1 \\ \vec{c}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{c}_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \vec{s} = \vec{0}.$$

$\odot$ : coordinate-wise multiplication.

$\vec{s}$  needs to be solution for

$$A \cdot \vec{s} \odot B \cdot \vec{s} = C \cdot \vec{s};$$

$A, B, C$   $m \times n$  matrices.

- To Quadratic Arithmetic Programs (QAP).

Let  $a_i(x)$  be a polynomial such that

$$a_i(j) = \vec{a}_j[i] \text{ for } i \in [n], j \in [m].$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1(1) & a_2(1) & \dots & a_n(1) \\ a_1(2) & \dots & & \\ \vdots & & & \\ a_1(m) & \dots & & a_n(m) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example:

$$a_1(x) = -5 + 9.16x + 5x^2 + 0 - 833x^3$$

$$a_2(x) = 8 - 11.33x + 5x^2 - 0.666x^3$$

$$a_3(x) = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_6(x) = \dots$$

$$[a_1(1) \dots a_6(1)] = [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0] = \vec{a}_1.$$

We get  $a_i$  with interpolation  $\deg a_i \leq n - 1$ .

$$([a_1(x), a_2(x) \dots a_n(x)] \cdot \vec{s}) \odot ([b_1(x), b_2(x) \dots b_n(x)] \cdot \vec{s}) - ([c_1(x), c_2(x) \dots c_n(x)] \cdot \vec{s})$$

should have zeros in  $1, 2 \dots m$

$$\iff A(x) \cdot B(x) \cdot C(x) = (x - 1)(x - 2) \dots (x - m) \cdot h(x).$$

Summary up to now:

- instead of states we have polynomials,
- instead of states, we have coefficients  $\cdot \vec{s}$ .

$$a_i(x), b_i(x), c_i(x) \rightarrow P \rightarrow V \leftarrow a_i(x), b_i(x), c_i(x).$$

$P \rightarrow V$ :  $A(x), B(x), C(x), h(x)$ : too much.

$P \rightarrow V$ :  $A(r), B(r), C(r), h(r)$ ,  $r$  random.

$V$ : checks  $A(r) \cdot B(r) = C(r) + h(r) \cdot t(r)$ ;

works if  $V$  doesn't cheat.

Cryptographic background:

- Lets have pairs  
 $(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2) \dots (g_n, h_n)$ , where  $g_i^k = h_i$ , you don't know  $k$ .  
 Cryptographic assumption: if we provide  $(g', h')$  such that  
 $(g')^k = h'$ , then  $g' = g_1^{k_1} \cdot g_n^{k_n}, h' = h_1^{k_1} \cdot h_n^{k_n}$ .

- Pairing groups.

In some group one can define a pairing

$e : G \times G \rightarrow G_r$  such that

$$e(g_1 \cdot g_2, h) = e(g_1, h) \cdot e(g_2, h),$$

$$e(g, h_1 \cdot h_2) = e(g, h_1) \cdot e(g, h_2),$$

$$e(g^x, g^y) = e(g, g)^{xy}.$$

Assume  $P, V$  have

- $g^{a_1(r)}, g^{a_2(r)} \dots$ ,
- $g^{b_1(r)}, g^{b_2(r)} \dots$ ,
- $g^{c_1(r)}, g^{c_2(r)} \dots$ ,
- $g^{t(r)}$ ,
- $g, g^r, g^{r^2} \dots g^{r^{n-1}}$

without knowing  $r$ .

Improved protocol:

$P$  sends to  $V$

- $g^{A(r)} = (g^{a_1(r)})^{k_1} \dots (g^{a_n(r)})^{k_n}$
- $g^{B(r)} \dots$
- $g^{C(r)} \dots$
- $g^{h(r)} = g^{h_0} \cdot (g^r)^{k_1} \dots (g^{r^{n-1}})^{k_{n-1}}$ .

$V$ : checks  $e(g^{A(r)}, g^{B(r)}) = e(g^{C(r)}, g) \cdot e(g^{h(r)}, g^{t(r)})$ .

Problem:  $g^{A(r)}$  needs to be linear combination of  $g^{a_1(r)} \dots g^{a_n(r)}$ , also  $g^{B(r)}, g^{C(r)}$ .

We need additional values:

- $g^{a_1(r) \cdot k_1} \dots g^{a_n(r) \cdot k_1}$ ,  $k_1$  unknown,
- $g^{b_i(r) \cdot k_2} \dots$ ,
- $g^{c_i(r) \cdot k_3} \dots$ ,
- $g^{k_1}, g^{k_2}, g^{k_3}$ .

Prover also submits:

- $g^{k_1 A(r)} = \left(g^{a_1(r) k_1}\right)^{s_1} \dots \left(g^{a_n(r) k_1}\right)^{s_1}$
- $g^{k_2 B(r)} = \dots$
- $g^{k_3 C(r)} = \dots$

Verifier calculates

- $e\left(g^{A(r)}, g^{k_1}\right) = e\left(g^{k_1 \cdot A(r)}, g\right)$ ,
- $e\left(g^{B(r)}, g^{k_2}\right) = \dots$

$\implies$  by crypto assumption  $A(r)$  is linear combination of  $a_1(r) \dots a_n(r)$ .

Downside: we need  $g^{a_1(r)} \dots$