APPLIED FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS HOMEWORK 2

TOMMENIX YU
ID: 12370130
STAT 31210
DUE FRI JAN 20, 2023, 11PM

Discussed with classmates.

Exercise 1. (5.3) *in book*

Proof.

With the sup-norm, the operator δ is bounded, and it's value is 1:

The norm in \mathbb{R} is just the absolute value of the function, so

$$\frac{|\delta(f)|}{||f||_{\infty}} \le 1$$

since $\delta(f) = f(0)$ and $|f(0)| \le ||f||_{\infty}$ by definition of sup-norm. Thus $||\delta|| \le 1$ is bounded by 1.

Now we just pick f = 1 and then

$$||\delta|| \ge \frac{|\delta(f)|}{||f||_{\infty}} = 1$$

which, combined with the above result, shows that $|\delta| = 1$.

With the L^1 -norm, the operator δ is unbounded:

Let
$$f_n(x) = (1 - x)^n$$
, then

$$\frac{|\delta(f_n)|}{||f||_1} = \frac{1}{1/(n+1)} = n+1$$

which is unbounded since we can pick any n.

Exercise 2. (5.7) *in book.*

Proof. (Discussed with Tim)

Using triangle equalities we get

$$\sin(a - b) = \sin(a)\cos(b) - \cos(a)\sin(b)$$

which gives

$$Kf = \int_0^1 \sin(\pi(x - y)) f(y) dy = \sin(\pi x) \int_0^1 \cos(\pi y) f(y) dy - \cos(\pi x) \int_0^1 \sin(\pi y) f(y) dy$$

and since $\sin(\pi x)$ and $-\cos(\pi x)$ are not 0 function, and they are a pair of independent base (they are not multiple of each other!) in the function space, so we need the coordinate of these to be 0 in order for their combination to be 0. More explicitly

$$Kf = 0 \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \cos(\pi y) f(y) dy = 0$$
 and $\int_0^1 \sin(\pi y) f(y) dy = 0$

which means

$$\ker(K) = \left\{ f \in C[0,1] \middle| \int_0^1 \cos(\pi y) f(y) dy = 0 \text{ and } \int_0^1 \sin(\pi y) f(y) dy = 0 \right\}.$$

But just as we've argued above, sin and cos are independent, and since we can manipulate f so that the coordinates $\int_0^1 \cos(\pi y) f(y) dy$ and $\int_0^1 \sin(\pi y) f(y) dy$ can be what ever we want (as a production onto the base directions), so the range is just the span of the two bases:

$$Ran(K) = span\{sin(\pi x), cos(\pi x)\}.$$

Exercise 3. (5.8) in book.

Proof.

Equivalent norms on X leads to equivalent norms on $\mathcal{B}(X)$:

Use $||\cdot||_1, ||\cdot||_2$ to denote the two equivalent norms with

$$|c| |\cdot| |_1 \le ||\cdot||_2 \le C||\cdot||_1$$
.

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, T is bounded so we have

$$||T||_1 = \sup_x \frac{||Tx||_1}{||x||_1}$$
 and $||T||_2 = \sup_x \frac{||Tx||_2}{||x||_2}$

exist.

But we know

$$||T||_1 = \sup_{x} \frac{||Tx||_1}{||x||_1} \le \sup_{x} \left(\frac{||Tx||_1}{||Tx||_2}\right) \sup_{x} \left(\frac{||Tx||_2}{||x||_2}\right) \sup_{x} \left(\frac{||x||_2}{||x||_1}\right) = \frac{c}{C} ||T||_2$$

which in the same way we know that

$$||T||_2 \le \frac{C}{c}||T||_1$$

hence the norms are equivalent on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ due to the fact that T is arbitrary.

Exercise 4. (5.14) in book.

Proof.

(a): Note that if λ_i are eigenvalues of A, then $e^{\lambda_i t}$ are the eigenvalues of e^{tA} since

$$e^{tA}x = x + tAx + \frac{t^2A^2}{2}x + \dots = x + t\lambda_1x + \dots = e^{t\lambda I}x$$

which means that the determinant of e^{tA} is the product of $e^{\lambda_i t}$. Then plugging in we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(t)-1}{t} = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{e^{\sum \lambda_i t}-1}{t} = \lim_{t\to 0} \sum \lambda_i + t(\sum \lambda_i)^2/2 + \dots = \sum \lambda_i = \operatorname{tr}(A).$$

(b): Now since the derivative at s is given by

$$f'(s) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(s+t) - f(s)}{t}$$

and we can compute (using result from next problem) since A and itself commutes that

$$f(s+t) = \det(e^{(s+t)A}) = \det(e^{sA}e^{tA}) = f(s)f(t)$$

thus

$$f'(s) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(s+t) - f(s)}{t} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(s)f(t) - f(s)}{t} = f(s)\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{f(t) - 1}{t} = \text{tr}(A)f(s)$$

which is exactly the ODE.

(c): But since it's nothing but an ODE from $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we know that by solutions to ODEs that

$$f' = \operatorname{tr}(A)f \Rightarrow f(s) = e^{\operatorname{tr}(A)s}$$

and by plugging in $f(s) = \det(e^{sA})$ we get

$$\det(e^{sA}) = e^{s\operatorname{tr}(A)}$$

which if we let s = 1 we have

$$\det(e^A) = e^{\operatorname{tr}(A)}.$$

Exercise 5. (5.15) in book.

Proof.

(Proof from Dynamical system textbook)

(a): This is just algebra computation. By timing the expansion and rearranging terms (we can do this since bounded operators to the k-th power over k! is a convergent series) we get

$$e^{A}e^{B} = \left(I + A + \frac{A^{2}}{2} + \dots\right) \left(I + B + \frac{B^{2}}{2} + \dots\right)$$
$$= I + A + B + \frac{1}{2}\left(A^{2} + 2AB + B^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{3!}\left(A^{3} + 3A^{2}B + 3AB^{2} + B^{3}\right) + \dots$$

and for which we see that if A, B commutes, we have that each term is exactly the expansion of $(A + B)^n/n!$ and hence we have

$$e^{A}e^{B} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(A+B)^{k}}{k!} = e^{A+B}$$

where since summation of bounded operators is still bounded, the last term makes sense.

(b):

Let's assume that there is a matrix C such that

$$e^A e^B = e^C$$

then it must satisfy that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{C^k}{k!} = \left(I + A + \frac{A^2}{2} + \dots\right) \left(I + B + \frac{B^2}{2} + \dots\right)$$

then both series has the lowest order term I and first order terms A + B. Let's assume that D is quadratic and E is cubic, and C = A + B + D + E + ... since the first order of C is fixed. Then we expand to get

$$e^{c} = I + (A+B) + \left(D + \frac{(A+B)^{2}}{2}\right) + \left[E + \frac{1}{2}\left((A+B)D + D(A+B)\right) + \frac{1}{6}(A+B)^{3}\right]$$

And if we were to compare the second order term with the expansion of $e^A e^B$ we get

$$\left(D + \frac{(A+B)^2}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(A^2 + 2AB + B^2\right)$$

which gives

$$D = \frac{1}{2}[A, B]$$

where we use the square bracket as in the problem:

$$[A, B] = AB - BA$$
.

Now let's compute E in a similar fashion and get

$$E = \frac{1}{3!} (A^3 + 3A^2B + 3AB^2 + B^3) - \left[\frac{1}{2} ((A+B)D + D(A+B)) + \frac{1}{6} (A+B)^3 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{3!} (A^3 + 3A^2B + 3AB^2 + B^3) - \left[\frac{1}{2} ((A+B)[A, B] + [A, B](A+B)) + \frac{1}{6} (A+B)^3 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} \left[(AB^2 - 2BAB + B^2A) + (A^2B - 2ABA + BA^2) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} ([A, [A, B]] - [B, [A, B]]) = 0$$

And we see that if we assume for higher order terms, say F, G, H, \ldots , then they are terms that is made up of Lie brackets with [A, [A, B]] and [B, [A, B]], just the way [A, B] appears in the third order brackets.

Thus,
$$E = F = \dots = 0$$
 and $C = A + B + D = A + B + [A, B]$.