

## PDE HOMEWORK 4

TOMMENIX YU

ID: 12370130

STAT 31220

DUE FRI APR 19TH, 2023, 11PM

Discussed with classmates.

### Exercise 1.

*Proof.*

(1): Since  $U$  is bounded and  $u$  continuous so we can use DCT to pass limit, thus

$$\begin{aligned} e'(t) &\stackrel{DCT}{=} \int_U \partial_t u^2(x, t) dx = 2 \int_U u \partial_t u = 2 \int_U u \nabla \cdot (a(x) \nabla u) \\ &\stackrel{IBP}{=} 2 \int_{\partial U} u(a(x) \nabla u) - 2 \int_U a(x) |\nabla u|^2 = -2 \int_U a |\nabla u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

since  $u$  vanishes on the boundary. One more derivative is similar:

$$\begin{aligned} e''(t) &\stackrel{above}{=} \partial_t \left[ 2 \int_U u \nabla \cdot (a(x) \nabla u) \right] \stackrel{DCT}{=} 2 \int_U \partial_t u \nabla \cdot (a(x) \nabla u) + u \nabla \cdot (a(x) \nabla u_t) dx \\ &= 2 \int_U (\nabla \cdot a \nabla u)^2 dx + 2 \int_U u \nabla \cdot (a \nabla (\nabla \cdot a \nabla u)) dx \\ &\stackrel{IBP}{=} 2 \int_U (\nabla \cdot a \nabla u)^2 dx - 2 \int_U a \nabla u \cdot [\nabla (\nabla \cdot a \nabla u)] dx + 0 \\ &\stackrel{IBP}{=} 2 \int_U (\nabla \cdot a \nabla u)^2 dx + 2 \int_U (\nabla \cdot a \nabla u)^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \int_{\partial U} a \partial_i u (\nabla \cdot a \nabla u) \\ &= 4 \int_U (\nabla \cdot a \nabla u)^2 dx - \sum_{i=1}^n 2 \int_{\partial U} a \partial_i u \partial_i u dx = 4 \int_U (\nabla \cdot a \nabla u)^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

because  $u(x, t) = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$  implies  $\partial_i u = 0$  on  $\partial U$ .

(2):

$$\begin{aligned}
(e'(t))^2 &= 4 \left( \int_U u \nabla \cdot (a(x) \nabla u) \right)^2 = 4 \left( \int_U u dx \int_U \nabla \cdot (a(x) \nabla u) dx \right)^2 \\
&\stackrel{C.S.}{\leq} 4 \left( \int_U u dx \right)^2 \left( \int_U \nabla \cdot (a(x) \nabla u) dx \right)^2 \leq 4 \left( \int_U \int_U u^2 dx \right) \cdot \left( \int_U (\nabla \cdot a \nabla u)^2 dx \right) \\
&= e(t) e''(t)
\end{aligned}$$

(3):

Just take derivative and by (2)

$$f''(t) = \frac{e(t)e''(t) - [e'(t)]^2}{e^2} \geq 0$$

so  $f$  is convex.

Now we deduce the formula. Convexity gives (since everywhere defined)

$$f((1-\tau)t_1 + \tau t_2) \leq (1-\tau)f(t_1) + \tau f(t_2)$$

now take exponential on both sides we have

$$e((1-\tau)t_1 + \tau t_2) \leq [e(t_1)]^{1-\tau} \cdot [e(t_2)]^\tau$$

Let  $\tau = \frac{t}{T}$  and  $t_1 = 0, t_2 = T$ , then this gives

$$e(t) \leq e(T)^{1-t/T} e(0)^{t/T} = M^{1-t/T} \varepsilon^{t/T}.$$

(4):

$\varepsilon > 0$  :

Either by what is the energy or the fact that there is a square so that it is non-negative. So at the place that it is not strictly positive, it is 0. But then since  $e(T) = \varepsilon > 0$ , by smoothness we know that at some point  $e' > 0$ , which is impossible by our computation in (1). Thus Even if we do not assume  $e(t) > 0$  on the whole domain, it is implicitly implied, so proof in (3) works.

$\varepsilon = 0$ :

This is a little bit trickier but fine. Since  $e' \leq 0$ , if  $e$  reaches 0 at some time  $t$ , then it stays 0 after that point. Thus, we can define (due to completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ )

$$s := \sup\{t | e(t) > 0\}.$$

We know by continuity that  $e(s) = 0$  and  $e(s - \delta) > 0$  for any  $\delta$ . Now the only problem with our above argument is that  $f$  is not defined on  $[s, T]$ . But this does not matter since we can use approximation, i.e. let's say

$$\zeta = e(s - \delta) > 0$$

then we have that  $f$  is defined everywhere in  $[0, s - \delta]$ , so the above conclusion holds due to convexity:

$$e(t) \leq e(T)^{1-t/(s-\delta)} e(0)^{t/(s-\delta)} = M^{1-t/(s-\delta)} \zeta^{t/(s-\delta)} \leq C \zeta^{2t/s}$$

for  $\delta$  small enough. Now we take  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\zeta \rightarrow 0$  by continuity, so we know for all  $t \in (0, s)$ , we have the property

$$e(t) \leq C \zeta^{2t/s} \rightarrow 0$$

thus  $e(t) = 0$  everywhere on  $(0, T]$ . But  $e$  is continuous so  $e(0) = M = 0$ . Thus

$$0 = e(t) \leq e(T)^{1-t/T} e(0)^{t/T} = M^{1-t/T} \epsilon^{t/T} = 0.$$

□

**Exercise 2.***Proof.*

(1): Using chain rule we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{e}(t) &\stackrel{DCT}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} \partial_t \left( \frac{1}{c^2(x)} (\partial_t u)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\partial B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} \left( \frac{1}{c^2(x)} (\partial_t u)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

and the first term can be simplified by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} \partial_t \left( \frac{1}{c^2(x)} (\partial_t u)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} \frac{1}{c^2(x)} (2\partial_t u \cdot \partial_t^2 u) + 2\nabla u_t \cdot \nabla u dx \\ &= \int_{B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} \frac{1}{c^2(x)} (\partial_t u \cdot c^2(x) \Delta u) + \nabla u_t \cdot \nabla u dx \\ &= \int_{B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} \partial_t u \nabla \cdot \nabla u + \nabla u_t \cdot \nabla u dx \\ &= \int_{B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} \nabla u_t \cdot \nabla u dx + \int_{\partial B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} \partial_t u \nabla u d\sigma - \int_{B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} \nabla u_t \cdot \nabla u dx \\ &= \int_{\partial B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} u_t \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

so putting things together we have

$$\dot{e}(t) = \int_{\partial B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} u_t \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( \frac{1}{c^2(x)} (\partial_t u)^2 + |\nabla u|^2 \right) d\sigma.$$

(2):

We use Cauchy to get

$$|u_t \partial_n u| \stackrel{C.S.}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} (u_t^2 + |\partial_n u|^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} (u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2)$$

so

$$\dot{e}(t) = \int_{\partial B(x_0), \alpha(t_0-t)} \frac{c^2(x) - \alpha}{2c^2(x)} (\partial_t u)^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma$$

and so as long as  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\alpha \geq c^2(x) \geq c_0^2$  then we have the desired result, thus a reasonable range is

$$\alpha \geq \max\{1, c_0^2\}.$$

(3):

When  $t = 0$  we know that  $\partial_t = 0$  and since  $u = 0$  is constant we have  $\nabla u = 0$ . Thus  $e(0) = 0$ .

If we have  $e(t) = 0$  for  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$  then we know  $\partial_t u = 0$  and  $\nabla u = 0$  in the cone so there is no direction in the spacetime along which  $u$  will change in the cone, so  $u$  is constant there and hence is 0.

Thus this requires exactly that  $\dot{e}(t) = 0$  for every  $t$  in the domain. If there is a point  $z \in C$  the cone such that  $c^2(z) - \alpha > 0$  then we can choose  $c(x)$  to be the constant function  $c(x) = c_0$  then we see that if we just choose to go only along the  $t$  direction and not at all the  $v$  direction locally at  $z$ , then Cauchy Schwartz is attained at  $z$  and we get a strict positive derivative, which by continuity result in a strict change of  $u$ . Thus, we will need

$$c^2(x) - \alpha \leq 0$$

everywhere in  $\partial B(x_0), \alpha(t_0 - t)$  for all  $t$  (even at endpoints due to continuity). So if we let

$$s := \inf \{c(x) : x \in C\}$$

then  $\alpha = \max\{1, s^2\}$  is minimal.

□