

APPLIED FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS HOMEWORK 2

TOMMENIX YU

ID: 12370130

STAT 31210

DUE FRI JAN 20, 2023, 11PM

Discussed with classmates.

Exercise 1. (5.2) in book

Proof.

(a):

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{L}_{ij} \bar{e}_j \right) \\&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n (x_i^T \cdot \bar{L}_{ij}) \cdot \bar{e}_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^T \cdot \bar{L}_{ij}) \right) \bar{e}_j\end{aligned}$$

where by definition we know

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{x}_j \bar{e}_j$$

and thus

$$x_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{L}_{ij} x_i$$

where by flipping j and i we get the wanted result.

(b): Discussed with Tim

We note that the only thing we need to prove is the relationship between ω_i and $\bar{\omega}_i$. If we can show

$$\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^n L_{ij} \bar{\omega}_j$$

then the rest will be exactly the same as in part (a).

In order to do this, we assume $\omega_i = \sum_{k=1}^n Q_{i,k} \bar{\omega}_k$. Now since associated basis means that $\delta_{ji} = \omega_j e_i$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{ji} = \omega_j e_i &= \sum_{k=1}^n Q_{jk} \bar{\omega}_k \cdot \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{L}_{i,l} \bar{e}_l = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n Q_{jk} \bar{L}_{li} \bar{\omega}_k \bar{e}_l = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n Q_{jk} \bar{L}_{li} \delta_{kl} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n Q_{jk} \bar{L}_{ki} \end{aligned}$$

where the exchange of L and ω is not direct exchanging, but element wise computation's result combined.

The above means that $Q_{jk} = L_{ki}$ iff $i = j$, and hence $Q_{ik} = L_{ki}$. This by definition of Q means

$$\omega_i = \sum_{j=1}^n L_{ij} \bar{\omega}_j$$

and we are done by above reasoning.

□

Exercise 2. (5.6) in book.

Proof.

(a):

For any $x \in X$, let $Y = \mathbb{R}x := \{tx | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then Y is a subspace of X . Define

$$\psi(tx) := |t| \cdot \|x\|$$

then we have $\psi(x) = \|x\|$. Moreover, it's linear because

$$\psi((t+s)x) = (t+s)\|x\| = t\|x\| + s\|x\| = \psi(tx) + \psi(sx)$$

and it's bounded and $\|\psi\| = 1$ because

$$\inf\{c | \psi(tx) \leq \|tx\|\} = 1$$

since for $tx \neq 0$, $\frac{|t| \cdot \|x\|}{\|tx\|} = 1$ and when $tx = 0$ any $c = 1$ works as well.

But then by Hahn-Banach we get that there is an extension $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of ψ such that

$$\phi(x) = \psi(x) = \|x\| \text{ and } \|\phi\| = \|\psi\| = 1.$$

(b):

If the condition is satisfied by all $\phi \in X^*$ it is satisfied by the one we've just constructed in (a). Thus

$$\phi(x) = \phi(y) \Rightarrow \|x - y\| = \phi(x - y) = 0$$

and hence $x = y$ by the first property of norms.

□

Exercise 3. (5.10) in book.

Proof.

$$Kf(x) := \int_0^1 k(x, y)f(y)dy$$

K is compact:

From question we know that k is a continuous function on a compact box $[0, 1]^2$, thus k attains it's maximum and minimum on the box, i.e. we have $\forall x, y \in [0, 1], \exists l, u \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$l \leq k(x, y) \leq u$$

and in particular let

$$c = \max\{|l|, |u|\}.$$

Define $T \in X^*$ be the integral of $x \in X$, i.e.

$$T(f) := \int_0^1 f(x)dx$$

then for any sequence $\{f_n\}$ with $\|f_n\|_\infty < C$, we know that

$$|T(f_n)| \leq \|f_n\|_\infty \leq C$$

so $\{T(f_n)\}$ is a sequence that is in the compact interval $[-C, C]$. This means that there is a subsequence $g_n := f_{\phi(n)}$ that $T(g_n)$ converges, in particular the sequence $T(g_n)$ is Cauchy. So for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N$ such that $\forall m, n \geq N$ we have

$$\left| \int_0^1 g_n(x) - g_m(x)dx \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Now back to K , we can just use the construction of subsequence g_n and large number N as above and get that $\forall n, m \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned} |K(g_n) - K(g_m)| &= \left| \int_0^1 k(x, y) (g_n(y) - g_m(y)) dy \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^1 |k(x, y)| (g_n(y) - g_m(y)) dy \right| \\ &\leq c \left| \int_0^1 (g_n(y) - g_m(y)) dy \right| \\ &\leq c\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any ε . Hence, $K(g_n)$ is a Cauchy subsequence of $K(f_n)$. But then since the space $C[0, 1]$ is Banach, $K(g_n)$ converges to some point in $C[0, 1]$. This shows that K is compact.

□

Exercise 4. (5.14) in book.

Proof.

If $T_n \rightarrow T$ uniformly, then $\|T_n\| \rightarrow \|T\|$:

Since

$$\sup(f + g) \leq \sup f + \sup g$$

letting $h = f + g$ we get

$$\sup h - \sup f \leq \sup(h - f).$$

Using this result we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|T_n\| - \|T\| \right| &= \left| \sup_{\|f\|=1} \|T_n f\| - \sup_{\|f\|=1} \|T f\| \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sup_{\|f\|=1} (\|T_n f\| - \|T f\|) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\|=1} \left| \|T_n f\| - \|T f\| \right| \\ (\text{trig}) \quad &\leq \sup_{\|f\|=1} \|T_n f - T f\| = \|T_n - T\| \end{aligned}$$

where we can take off the absolute value since in the use of triangle inequality, the only sign flipped is the sign inside $\|T_n f - T f\|$.

Then since $\|T_n - T\| \rightarrow 0$ we get $\left| \|T_n\| - \|T\| \right| \rightarrow 0$.

□

Exercise 5. (5.17) in book.

Proof.

$$\ker(I - K) = \{0\}:$$

Since K is linear, $K(0) = K(0) + K(0) = 0$. More over, K is a contraction because for all $f, g \in X$

$$\frac{\|Kf - Kg\|}{\|f - g\|} = \frac{\|K(f - g)\|}{\|f - g\|} \leq \|K\| < 1.$$

So since $K : X \rightarrow X$ is a self map on a Banach space by definition we get by Banach Contraction mapping theorem that K has a unique fixed point, which as we've shown is 0. Thus, the only $f \in X$ such that $f = Kf$ is 0.

But this means that

$$(I - K)f = 0 \Rightarrow f = Kf \Rightarrow f = 0$$

and hence $\ker(I - K) = \{0\}$. This means that there exists a partial inversion of $I - K \Big|_{\text{Ran}(I - K)}$ defined on the range of this function.

$I + K + K^2 + \dots$ is well defined and bounded (Series converge):

Now we show that the partial sum $S_n := I + K + K^2 + \dots + K^n$ is Cauchy. Then by the completeness of X , we know that the limit exists.

We know that (WLOG assume $n > m$)

$$\|S_n - S_m\| \stackrel{\text{linearity}}{=} \left\| \sup_{\|f\|=1} \sum_{i=m+1}^n K^i f \right\| \leq \sup_{\|f\|=1} \frac{c^{m+1}(1 - c^n)}{1 - c} \|f\| = \frac{c^{m+1}(1 - c^n)}{1 - c} \leq \frac{c^{m+1}}{1 - c}$$

which we see that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, letting $m > N(\varepsilon)$ big enough we can set $\|S_n - S_m\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Hence S_n is a Cauchy sequence in $B(X)$, where since X is Banach so is $B(X)$, which means that $I + K + K^2 + \dots \in B(X)$ is well defined and bounded.

$(I - K)$ is invertible and it's inverse is the series above:

We now show that the operator $I - K$ is invertible by finding a $f \in X$ such that $(I - K)f = g$ for all $g \in X$.

For fixed $g \in X$, define

$$f := (I + K + K^2 + \dots)g$$

then

$$(I - K)f = (I - K)(I + K + K^2 + \dots)g = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} K^i - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} K^j \right) g = g$$

where we can just do the operation on bounded operators since the bounded operators form an algebra. But now we know $\text{Ran}(K) = X$ and that $K^{-1} = (I + K + K^2 + \dots)$ by above.

□