

## BROWNIAN MOTION AND STOCHASTIC CALCULUS HW 7

TOMMENIX YU  
ID: 12370130  
MATH 38500

Discussed with classmates.

### Exercise 1. (5.2)

*Proof.*

1. By definition from book, for  $t < T$  and  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $W_t = -2^t + 1$  and  $W_T = 1$ .

Now we show Martingale: Adapted is due to  $W_n$  adapted; integrable because  $W_n$  is integrable; and we show the Martingale property:

We want to show  $\mathbb{E}[M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[M_s]$  for  $s \leq t$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[M_t \mathbb{1}_{T < s} | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E}[M_t \mathbb{1}_{s \leq T \leq t} | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E}[M_t \mathbb{1}_{T > t} | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= 0 + 0 + 2^t \cdot \frac{1}{2^{t-s}} = 2^s = \mathbb{E}[M_s | \mathcal{F}_s]\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $M_n$  is a Martingale.

(2):

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n \mathbb{1}_V] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_V \mathbb{E}[M_n | \mathcal{F}_m]] = \mathbb{E}[M_n \mathbb{1}_V]$$

by Martingale property.

(3):

$$Q(M_{n+1} = 2^{n+1} \cap M_n = 2^n) = Q(M_{n+1} = 2^{n+1}) = \mathbb{E}[M_{n+1} \mathbb{1}_{M_{n+1}=2^{n+1}}] = 2^{n+1} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = 1$$

and

$$Q(M_n = 2^n) = \mathbb{E}[M_n \mathbb{1}_{M_n=2^n}] = 2^n \frac{1}{2^n} = 1$$

thus

$$Q(M_{n+1} = 2^{n+1} | M_n = 2^n) = \frac{Q(M_{n+1} = 2^{n+1} \cap M_n = 2^n)}{Q(M_n = 2^n)} = 1.$$

(4): Note that

$$Q(T = \infty) = \mathbb{P}(M_i \neq 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+) = Q(M_1 = 2) \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q(M_{i+1} = 2^{i+1} | M_i = 2^i) = 1$$

hence

$$Q(T < \infty) = 1 - Q(T = \infty) = 0.$$

(5): No,

$$\mathbb{E}_Q[M_1] = 2 \cdot Q(M_1 = 2) + 0 = 2 \neq 1 = \mathbb{E}_Q[M_0].$$

□

**Exercise 2. (5.3)***Proof.*

$$(1): dX_t = 2dt + dB_t.$$

Define  $M_t = e^{Y_t}$  where

$$Y_t = \int_0^t A_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t A_s^2 ds$$

and  $A_t = -2$ . By discussion in class we know  $M_t$  is the solution of

$$dM_t = A_t M_t dB_t$$

and we define the needed measure via Radon-Nikodym by

$$Q_t(V) = \mathbb{E}[M_t \mathbb{1}_V]$$

for  $V \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , and similarly we define  $Q$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ .

Now, by Girsanov theorem we know indeed  $X_t$  is the Standard Brownian motion under  $Q$ . We compute (with initial condition plugged in)

$$\left. \frac{dQ}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_1 = M_1 = e^{Y_1} = \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 -2dB_s - 2 \int_0^1 ds \right\} = e^{-2B_1 - 2}.$$

$$(2): dX_t = 2dt + 6dB_t.$$

From discussion in class, if we want to shift measure such that  $X_t$  is a Standard Brownian Motion, then we'd need to use a measure that, viewed as a Wiener measure, has  $\sigma = \sqrt{6} \neq 1$  and hence we'd necessarily have shift to a orthogonal measure, hence not equivalent.

$$(3): dX_t = 2B_t dt + dB_t.$$

This is the same with the first case. Define  $M_t, Y_t$  similarly and  $A_t = -2B_t$ . By the same argument of Girsanov we get  $X_t$  is a Standard Brownian motion under  $Q$  (defined in the same way). We compute

$$\left. \frac{dQ}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_1 = M_1 = e^{Y_1} = \exp \left\{ \int_0^1 -2B_s dB_s - 2 \int_0^1 B_s^2 ds \right\} = e^{-B_1^2 + 1 - 2 \int_0^1 B_s^2 ds}.$$

□

**Exercise 3. (5.4)***Proof.*

(1): Notice that

$$M_t = X_t \exp \left\{ \int_0^t g(X_s) ds \right\} = \exp \left\{ -2m \int_0^t B_s dB_s + \int_0^t g(X_s) - m ds \right\}$$

where we define, as in last question, that

$$-2m \int_0^t B_s dB_s + \int_0^t g(X_s) - m ds =: Y_t$$

and hence

$$dY_t := -2mB_t dB_t + (g(X_t) - m)dt$$

by Ito's formula we compute

$$dM_t = d(e^{Y_t}) = e^{Y_t} dY_t + \frac{1}{2} e^{Y_t} d\langle Y \rangle_t = -2mB_t e^{Y_t} dB_t + e^{Y_t} (g(X_t) - m + 2m^2 B_t^2) dt$$

and since we want  $M_t$  to be a local Martingale, we can make it to be a stochastic integral, i.e. drift term = 0. This requires

$$g(X_t) - m + 2m^2 B_t^2 = 0$$

which yields  $g(X_s) = m - 2m^2 B_t^2 = m + 2m \log(X_s)$  and hence

$$g(x) = m + 2m \log x$$

is a choice of  $g$ .

(2): As is computed in part 1, the SDE is

$$dM_t = -2mB_t e^{Y_t} dB_t = -2mB_t M_t dB_t.$$

(3):

$$\langle Y \rangle_t = \int_0^t 4m^2 B_s^2 ds =: \int_0^t A_s^2 ds$$

from above computation. Let

$$T_n := \inf \{ t : M_t + \langle Y \rangle_t = n \}$$

and let  $A_t^{(n)} = \begin{cases} A_t & t < T_n \\ 0 & t \geq T_n \end{cases}$  where we have

$$dM_{t \wedge T_n} = A_t^{(n)} M_{t \wedge T_n} dB_t$$

is a square integrable Martingale as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(M_{t \wedge T_n} - 1)^2] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t A_t^{(n)} M_{s \wedge T_n} dB_s \right)^2 \right] = \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \left[ (A_t^{(n)} M_{s \wedge T_n})^2 \right] ds \\ &\leq n^2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t (A_t^{(n)})^2 ds \right] \leq n^3\end{aligned}$$

by orthogonality of increments, Variance rule, and Fubini respectively for each step.

By Girsanov's theorem, this allows us to write  $\mathbb{P}_n^*$  for each  $n$  for  $t \leq T_n$  where  $A_t = A_t^{(n)}$ . Since for  $n \neq m$  and  $s \leq \min\{m, n\}$  we know  $\mathbb{P}_n^* \equiv \mathbb{P}_m^*$  restricted to  $\mathcal{F}_s$ , we know  $\mathbb{P}^*$  for measure on  $t \leq T := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n$  is well-defined.

Now we can define the standard brownian motion with respect to  $Q|_{t \leq T} := P^*$  by

$$dB_t = dW_t - A_t dt$$

where  $W_t$  is a  $Q$ -Brownian motion for  $t < T$ . Explicit computation tells us

$$M_t = \exp \{ -mB_t^2 - 2m^2t^2 + mt \} \leq \exp \{ -2m^2t^2 + mt \} \leq C(m)$$

is uniformly bounded by  $C(m)$  in  $t$ . And in particular,  $\mathbb{E}[M_t] = \mathbb{E}[M_{t \wedge T_{[C(m)]}}] = 1$  for any  $t$ . This guarantees  $M_t$  is in fact a Martingale, hence Girsanov is well defined for all  $t$ , and  $Q := \mathbb{P}^*$  indeed. Thus

$$dB_t = dW_t - A_t dt$$

always holds.

(4): This is shown in last part.

□

**Exercise 4.***Proof.*

(1):

Let  $f(x) = |x|$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and compute:

$$\partial_i f(x) = \frac{x_i}{|x|}; \quad \partial_i^2 f(x) = \frac{1}{|x|} - \frac{x_i^2}{|x|^3}$$

thus Ito gives

$$dX_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{B_t^i}{|B_t|} dB_t^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{1}{|B_t|} - \frac{x_i^2}{|B_t|^3} \right) dt = \frac{d-1}{2} \frac{1}{|B_t|} dt + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{B_t^i}{|B_t|} dB_t^i$$

and hence if we denote

$$dM_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{B_t^i}{|B_t|} dB_t^i = A_t \cdot dB_t$$

where  $A_t = \frac{1}{|B_t|} (B_t^1, \dots, B_t^d)$ . Note that  $M_t$  is a Martingale since each component of  $A_t$  has length  $\leq 1$ .

(2):

$$\langle M \rangle_t = \int_0^t A_s^2 ds = \int_0^t \frac{(B_s^1)^2 + \dots + (B_s^d)^2}{|B_s|^2} ds = t$$

and since  $M_t$  is continuous Martingale with  $\langle M \rangle_t = t$ , it is a standard Brownian motion.

□

**Exercise 5.**

*Proof.*

**Lemma 0.1.** (from Lecture on Oct. 12th) Let  $B_t$  be a standard  $d$  dimensional Brownian motion starting at  $x$  with  $r < |x| < R$ . Then, define

$$T_{r,R} := \min\{|B_t| = r \text{ or } R\} = \min\{t : B_t \in \partial D\}$$

where we denote  $D$  to be the open annulus with inner radius  $r$  and outer radius  $R$ . Further denote

$$\phi(x) := \mathbb{P}^x\{|B_T| = R\}$$

, then up to linear term we have uniquely that

$$\phi_{r,R}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|x|^{2-d} - r^{2-d}}{R^{2-d} - r^{2-d}} & d > 2 \\ \frac{\log |x| - \log r}{\log R - \log r} & d = 2 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* (This is done in class, with solving PDE part ignored. So I'd do the same here.) By rotational invariance,  $\phi(x) = \phi(|x|)$  and if  $\phi(x) = 0$  we know  $|x| = r$ , while if  $\phi(x) = 1$  we know  $|x| = R$ , and the boundary is continuous.  $B_t$  is a Brownian motion so  $\phi$  satisfies MVP by strong Markov property.

Define the conditional property up to when the path hits an  $\varepsilon$  ball, and

$$\phi(B_{T,\varepsilon}) = \mathbb{P}^x\{|B_T| = R | \mathcal{F}_{T,\varepsilon}\}$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}^x\{|B_T| = R\} = \mathbb{E}^x[\mathbb{P}^x\{|B_T| = R | \mathcal{F}_{T,\varepsilon}\}] = \mathbb{E}^x[\phi(B_{T,\varepsilon})] = MV(\phi, x, \varepsilon)$$

where

$$MV(f; x, \varepsilon) := \int_{|x-y|=\varepsilon} f(y) ds(y)$$

Thus  $\phi(x)$  is harmonic on  $D_{r,R}$  with boundary value 0 on  $\{|y| = r\}$  and 1 on  $\{|y| = R\}$  and is rotational invariant.

Thus we have  $\Delta\phi(|x|) = 0$  and chain rule gives

$$\phi''(|x|) + \frac{d-1}{|x|} \phi'(|x|) = 0$$

which admits unique solution up to a multiplicative and addition constant:

$$\phi_{r,R}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|x|^{2-d} - r^{2-d}}{R^{2-d} - r^{2-d}} & d > 2 \\ \frac{\log |x| - \log r}{\log R - \log r} & d = 2 \end{cases}$$

and we note that they are all harmonic in the space without the origin. □

(1): We find  $R$  such that  $d(B_R, x) \geq d(B_s, x)$  and  $D \subset B_R$  where  $d$  is the usual norm,  $B_R$  is the ball of radius  $R$ . Denote  $\tau_R := \min\{t : |B_t| = R\}$  and we know

$$s\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau\} \geq s\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau_R\}$$

since  $\tau_R > \tau$ .

For the other direction, denote  $r := \frac{1}{2}d(\partial D, 0)$ , and denote  $S$  to be the circle with radius  $r$ . We know that the probability of  $B_t$  reaching  $S$  before  $\tau$  is positive by infinite return in 2d (or via the rectangular paths proven in past homework). Denote this possibility as  $q_x$ , and denote the stopping time of above event as  $T'$ . Then

$$s\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau\} \leq q_x s\mathbb{P}^{B_{T'}}\{T_s < \tau_r\}$$

now we use Lemma 0.1 to bound both sides:

$$s\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau_R\} = s \left( 1 - \frac{\log |x| - \log e^{-s}}{\log R - \log e^{-s}} \right) = \frac{s(\log R - \log |x|)}{\log R + s} \rightarrow (\log R - \log |x|)$$

as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ .

For the other side,

$$q_x s\mathbb{P}^{B_{T'}}\{T_s < \tau_r\} = \frac{q_x s(\log 2r - \log |B_{T'}|)}{\log 2r + s} \rightarrow q_x(\log 2r - \log r) = q_x \log 2.$$

In particular we have

$$(\log R - \log |x|) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau_R\} \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau\} \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} q_x s\mathbb{P}^{B_{T'}}\{T_s < \tau_r\} = q_x \log 2.$$

Now, it suffices to show that  $s\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau\}$  is monotone in  $s$  for large enough  $s$ . Take  $s > N$  for  $N$  to be specified, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}\{T_{s+\varepsilon} < \tau\} = \mathbb{P}(T_s < \tau)\mathbb{P}(T_{s+\varepsilon} < \tau | T_s < \tau)$$

but from homework 3, question 5 we know that the probability of a Brownian motion starting on the circle of radius  $e^{-s}$  that does not encircle the closest point on circle of radius  $e^{-s-\varepsilon}$  is larger than  $1 - c(e^{-s} - e^{-s-\varepsilon})^\alpha$  for  $c, \alpha$  fixed. In particular this tells us that

$$(s + \varepsilon)\mathbb{P}^x\{T_{s+\varepsilon} < \tau\} \geq (s + \varepsilon)\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau\} \cdot (1 - c(e^{-s} - e^{-s-\varepsilon})^\alpha)$$

since there are other way to reach  $B_{e^{-s-\varepsilon}}$ . Consider order

$$1 - c(e^{-s} - e^{-s-\varepsilon})^\alpha = 1 - ce^{-\alpha s}\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon)$$

for  $s > N$  where we now pick  $N$ . Hence

$$\frac{s + \varepsilon}{s}(1 - O(\varepsilon e^{-s})) = 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{s} - O(e^{-s}) \geq 0$$

we get that

$$(s + \varepsilon)\mathbb{P}^x\{T_{s+\varepsilon} < \tau\} \geq (s + \varepsilon)\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau\} \cdot (1 - c(e^{-s} - e^{-s-\varepsilon})^\alpha) \geq s\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau\}$$

which gives that the limit exists.



(2): This is actually the same as used in proof of Lemma 0.1: Define the conditional property up to when the path hits an  $\varepsilon$  ball, and

$$\phi(B_{s,\tau,\varepsilon}) = \mathbb{P}^x\{|B_{T_s \wedge \tau}| = R | \mathcal{F}_{s,\tau,\varepsilon}\}$$

Then we have

$$s\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau\} = \mathbb{E}^x[\mathbb{P}^x\{|B_{T_s \wedge \tau}| = R | \mathcal{F}_{s,\tau,\varepsilon}\}] = \mathbb{E}^x[\phi(B_{s,\tau,\varepsilon})] = MV(\phi_s, x, \varepsilon)$$

where  $\phi_s$  is  $\phi$  with parameter  $s$ . Now take  $s \rightarrow \infty$  nothing is changed, so

$$G(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} s\mathbb{P}^x\{T_s < \tau\} = MV(G, x, \varepsilon)$$

Thus  $\phi(x)$  is harmonic on  $\hat{D}$  since it's open.

(3):

From last question in Homework 3 question 5 we know that for  $|x - z| < \varepsilon$ , there exist  $c, \alpha$  such that the probability of the path  $B[0, T_\delta]$  that does not wind around  $z$  is smaller than  $c\varepsilon^\alpha$  for  $|x| > \delta > \varepsilon$  (well defined when  $\varepsilon$  small), where  $T_\delta := \inf\{t : |B_t - z| = \delta\}$ . Take  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we know that  $c\varepsilon^\alpha \rightarrow 0$  and hence the probability that  $B[0, T_\delta]$  winds around  $z$  goes to 0. But  $D$  is simply connected so winding around  $z$  implies traversing outside of  $\hat{D}$ , so  $\tau$  will be reached.

Thus,  $G(x_n) \rightarrow 0$  since as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $c\varepsilon^\alpha \rightarrow 0$  and for large  $s$ ,  $\mathbb{P}^x\{\tau < T_s\} \rightarrow 1$ .

(4):

Ito's formula says for  $t \leq \tau \wedge T$

$$dG(B_t) = \nabla G(B_t) \cdot dB_t + \frac{1}{2} \Delta G(B_t) dt = \nabla G(B_t) \cdot dB_t$$

by harmonicity. But then  $M_t := G(B_t)$  is a stochastic integral, hence a local Martingale.

(5):

Intuitively, if we want to reach  $\tau$  we need  $B_t$  to go close enough (and eventually touches)  $\partial D$ , but then  $G(B_t) \rightarrow 0$  and thus the probability under new measure is 0.

Formally, because  $\mathbb{P}^*$  is well defined (that they agree on common part of domains for each  $t$ ) we can take a rising sequence of  $t \rightarrow \tau$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^*\{\tau < \infty\} &= \mathbb{P}^*\left\{\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau \in [i, i+1)\right\} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^*\{\tau \in [i, i+1)\} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\tau \in [i, i+1)} G(B_{t < \tau})] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty; \tau - t_n < \frac{1}{n}} G(B_{t_n})\right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence we only need to show  $\mathbb{P}^*\{T < \infty\} = 1$ .

In part 1, we have given already an upper bound for  $G(x) \leq q_x \log 2$  and when  $x \rightarrow 0$  by this bound we know  $|G(x)| < C$  for all  $|x| < \delta$ . This, plus the fact that  $G$  is smooth, and

vanishes at  $\partial D$  gives us that  $G(x)$  is bounded on  $\hat{D}$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{P}^*(T_s = \infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^*(T_s \geq n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{T_s > n} G(B_n)] \leq C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{T_s > n}] = 0$$

by neighborhood recurrence of 2d Brownian motion. Now take limit on  $s$  we get

$$\mathbb{P}^*(T = \infty) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^*(T_s = \infty) = 0.$$

(Note that the difference with pointwise non-recurrence is that we take limit in  $n$  first, then the limit in  $s$ .)

So we have shown  $\mathbb{P}^*\{T < \infty\} = 1$ , and  $\mathbb{P}^*\{\tau = \infty\} = 1$ . Combined we have

$$\mathbb{P}^*\{T < \infty, T < \tau\} = 1.$$

(6):

No. Assume  $M_t$  is a Martingale, then so is  $M_{t \wedge T}$  by optional sampling. But  $\mathbb{E}[M_0] = G(x) \in (0, \infty)$  where  $\mathbb{E}[M_{n \wedge T}] \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by last part, contradiction.

□