Tommenix tu Set Theory HW1.

G1: Prove Determ Desystem Lemma.

A: Let \overline{f} be the uncounterble set of finite sets, with 171=tr3K-uncounterble. then. \overline{f} $\overline{f}' \subseteq \overline{f}$ with $|\overline{f}'|=N_1$.

Let \overline{f} be the uncounterble set of finite sets, with $|\overline{f}'|=N_1$.

Let \overline{f} be the uncounterble set of finite sets, with $|\overline{f}'|=N_1$.

• We can now Sort \overline{f} by each element's order, and since there's only finite sets in \overline{f} , $\overline{f} = 0$ $\overline{f}_1 \cup \overline{f}_2 \cup \cdots$

By Regularity of N_1 , $\exists n \in \mathbb{F}_n = \mathbb{N}_1$. Now discard all that's not in f_n , then $f = f_n$.

Now do induction to prove the rest. By Well-Ordering than, we order UF with D = 1, then either there is some element contained in unctibly many sets, so or there's not.

O if a & UF, where a & Jx for you withly many &, then choose all contains a, we're done with a unoth D-system.

Dif DNF such a, Then we partition F by the element it contains.

There must be unct b partitions (by regularity) then

use choice function to pick out one such set in each

partition, ne've find a D-system.

Gnett page

> for n=m+1, say result holds for n= 1 to m:

1) if I element a contained in unoth sets, Pick those sets, then take away for from each set, then we're left with n=m case, which we get by IH. Putting a back who won't break the " System property".

Dif DNE such element, then the idea is to find & an uncountable

Assignint family within.

Now use the order '\le \frac{1}{2}' on Uf. On Uf. Our containing least element under "<", we throw away all ther intersects in a as in F. Sta Under our condition, we've thrown away orbxctb sets, so Still left with an unit bset. FZ, find "<z" on "fz"

In a similar way we choose a_2 s.T. a_2 contains the least element of f^2 under " \leq_2 ". We discard all that inter-sects and left with f^3 .

Continue this we can map to \$53 where of this index.

then f(k) is the D-system by construction. of the U.F. where are to you would many or then Tommenia Yn Sat II

Qz: If to regular, there's no maximal a.d. family of t.

Proof: Suppose we have a family f := { A a : a < t } as a maximal a.d. family of to. them, we construct

Ba:= Aa- DAB

d<K. then regularity and |Ba|= to since |Aa|= to |Aa nAp| <t. says | U | DanApl < t.

& Bal= Ad (UlAanAB) = K.

So Ba is a size to disjoint family. By AC we pick one element from each Ba. then, call this set C. then

So De f U { c} is an a.d. family larger than f.

=) f is not maximal, contradiction.