MLHW1_0753748_董律里

2.1.(a)

Please evaluate the corresponding RMS error on the training set and validation set.

In the feature selection stage, please apply polynomials of order M = 1 and M = 2 over the dimension D = 17 of input data.

定義我們的多項式函數:

$$egin{split} Poly\left(M=2
ight) \,:\, y(x,w) &= \omega_0 + \sum_{i=1}^D \omega_i^2 x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^D x_{ij} x_i x_j \ & Error \,:\, E(w) &= rac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N \{y(x_n,w) - t_n\} \end{split}$$

PHi(x):

$$\Phi_n j = \phi(x_n) = egin{bmatrix} \phi_0(x_1) & \phi_1(x_1) & ... & \phi_{M-1}(x_1) \ \phi_0(x_2) & \phi_1(x_2) & ... & \phi_{M-1}(x_2) \ . & ... & ... \ . & ... & ... \ \phi_0(x_N) & \phi_1(x_N) & ... & \phi_{M-1}(x_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$W_{ML} = (\Phi^\intercal \Phi)^{-1} \Phi^\intercal Y$$

定義所需要的函數:

1.切割函數: split (n)

2.PHi函數: phi(data, m, n)

3.估計函數(係數估計和RMSE): estimate(phi(), target)

接下來分別計算M=2、M=1的train & cv之phi值以及RMSE,其中CV選擇5組

1. M = 2

```
train_RMSE cv_RMSE
0 [3.3303181800916346] [4.189775058922326]
0 [3.3093008606901155] [4.600817032024187]
0 [3.1960605259660264] [4.6990249675649896]
0 [3.340694611128524] [4.775224042428947]
0 [3.1859276161398653] [5.502359841588144]
```

可以看到train的RMSE介在[3.15, 3.35]之間,但是cv的RMSE卻明顯比train的部分來得大,表示我們的模型可能存在著over-fitting的問題

2. M=1

	train_RMSE	cv_RMSE
1	4.010777	4.541331
2	4.089115	4.237307
3	4.100977	4.157073
4	4.141310	4.006769
5	4.147419	3.965697

可以看到在M=1的情況下,雖然train的RMSE比M=2的時候高,但cv的結果卻與train一致,因此不存在over-fitting的問題

2.1(b)

Please analyze the weights of polynomial models for M = 1 and select the most contributive attribute which has the lowest RMS error on the Training Dataset.

• 根據a小題的結果我們將最小cv RMSE的那一組係數取出來

```
train_RMSE cv_RMSE
1 4.010777 4.541331
2 4.089115 4.237307
3 4.100977 4.157073
4 4.141310 4.006769
5 4.147419 3.965697
cv_RMSE平均最小的s為第5組,RMSE = 3.9656974157022034
```

• 係數為:

```
[[-2.54950233e+01]
 [ 4.01963036e-02]
 [ 2.59312214e+01]
 [ 2.11421770e+01]
 [-2.56897128e+01]
 [ 1.35691812e+00]
 [ 1.89115549e+00]
 [-1.68807135e+00]
 [ 2.05822229e-02]
 [ 4.18774094e-01]
 [-9.77538251e-01]
 [ 6.95351852e-02]
 [ 3.87195305e-01]
 [-1.68751089e+01]
 [ 3.75798039e-02]
 [-3.16457581e-02]
 [ 1.79422061e+00]
 [-3.33245043e+00]]
```

2.2

(a) Choose some of air quality measurement in datasetX.csv and design your model.

- 選擇Gaussian basis function,並挑選11個解釋變數進行分析
 變數為: ['AMB_TEMP', 'CH4', 'CO', 'NMHC', 'NO', 'NO2', 'NOx', 'O3', 'PM10', 'RAINFALL', 'RH']
- 定義Gaussian basis function 和 design matrix

$$Gaussian: \phi_j(x) = exp(rac{(x-\mu_j)^2}{2S^2})$$

• 係數估計以及RMSE:

(b) Apply N-fold cross-validation in your training stage to select at least one hyperparameter

- 我所選擇的hyperparameter為 gaussian fuction裡面的S(sigma), cv—樣為
 5份
- S的範圍為 0.1 ~ 0.5 ,總共測試5個s,透過上面定義的函數來計算 以及各 自的RMSE ,並挑選出最佳的S = 0.1

```
cv_RMSE

1 8.999457

2 9.035532

3 9.089470

4 9.299276

5 10.592466

RMSE平均最小的s為0.1, RMSE = 8.999457309102066
```

3. Maximum a posteriori(MAP) approach

Use maximum a posteriori approach method and repeat 2. You could choose Gaussian distribution as a prior.

(a)

Gaussian noise model:

$$\epsilon \sim N(0,~eta)$$

透過以下的公式更新我們的參數:

$$p(w|t) = N(w|m_N,\ S_N)$$
 , where $S_N^{-1} = S_0^{-1} + eta \Phi^T \Phi$ $m_N = S_N(S_0^{-1}m_0 + eta \Phi^T t)$

建立我們起始的參數:

$$m_0 = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & & 0 \ 1 & 0 & & 0 \ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 $eta = 0$

定義posterior函數: P(w|t), 並將資料切成100份接著是 MAP approach, 並計算出RMSE

$$RMSE = 9.79628501$$

(b)測試不同的S: (0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5)並計算RMSE

測試結果

S	RMSE
0.1	10.851152076017991
0.2	9.796285010886661
0.3	9.748188501220547
0.4	9.784211942465895
0.5	9.810886684446048

S = 0.3時,有最小的RMSE = 9.748188501220547

我們發現在MAP的結果中RMSE比MLE的結果來的大一些,但是係數的部分MAP 的結果數值較小