

Exercise sheet 10

Deadline: July 01, 8:00 p.m.

Please submit only a Dafny-file `ex10_your_name.dfy`.

Problem 1 (3+3 points). The following two programs should have the same semantics, although they differ as to where variable x is declared.

For both programs, first calculate all verification conditions, then simplify them, stating in each case, why the simplification is justified. Notice that missing *requires* or *ensures* clauses are equivalent to *requires true* and *ensures true*.

```

1  method square0(n:nat) returns (sqn : nat)
2  | ensures sqn == n*n
3  {
4  |   sqn := 0;
5  |   var i := 0;
6  |   var x ;
7  |   while i < n
8  |   ... invariant i ≤ n && sqn == i*i
9  |   {
10 |     x := 2*i+1 ;
11 |     sqn,i := sqn + x, i+1;
12 |   }
13 | }

```

a):

```

15 method square1(n:nat) returns (sqn : nat)
16 | ensures sqn == n*n
17 {
18 |   sqn := 0;
19 |   var i := 0;
20 |   while i < n
21 |   ... invariant i ≤ n && sqn == i*i
22 |   {
23 |     var x := 2*i+1 ;
24 |     sqn, i := sqn + x, i+1;
25 |   }
26 | }

```

and b):

Problem 2 (2+4 pts). As you can see below, Dafny claims that after executing the following method `strange()` we will have that $1=2$;

```

66 method q(x:nat,y:nat) returns (z:nat)
67 | requires y - x > 2
68 | ensures x < z*z < y
69 |
70 method strange()
71 | ensures 1==2
72 {
73 |   var x := 4;
74 |   var c:nat := q(x,2*x);
75 | }

```

- Do you have an explanation for this behaviour?
- Calculate by hand, using the Hoare rules, and what you know about method calls, that indeed

$\{true\} \text{ var } x:\text{nat} := 4; \text{ var } c := q(x,2*x); \{1 = 2\}$

is correctly derived.

Problem 3 (4 pts). Use what you know about the weakest preconditions/strongest postconditions to explain why the following code verifies:

```
method test0(){  
    var x:int := *;  
    assume  x*x < 100;  
    assert x <= 9;  
}
```