



## INTERNSHIP REPORT

# Computing Explicit Isomorphisms Between Quaternion Algebras: Algorithms and Elliptic Curve Applications

Tommy Chakroun

Supervised by

Travis Morrison

#### Abstract

In number theory, quaternion algebras are special algebras of dimension 4. They appear in pure number theory in the study of ternary quadratic forms

They also appear in elliptic curve theory as the endomorphism ring of an elliptic curve extended to the rationals. For reasons detailed in Section 6, the algorithmic problem of determining whether two quaternion algebras are isomorphic, and if so computing an explicit isomorphism, is interesting.

En théorie des nombres, les algèbres de quaternions sont des algèbres particulières de dimension 4. Elles apparaissent en théorie des nombres pure dans l'étude des formes quadratiques ternaires

Elles interviennent également dans la théorie des courbes elliptiques comme anneaux d'endomorphismes d'une courbe elliptique étendus aux rationnels. Pour des raisons détaillées dans Section 6, le problème algorithmique consistant à déterminer si deux algèbres de quaternions sont isomorphes, et le cas échéant à calculer un isomorphisme explicite, présente un intérêt.

# Contents

1	Introduction	ა
2	Central simple algebra	5
3	Quaternion algebras	7
4	Orders in central simple algebra	10
5	Discriminant	10
6	Computing Maximal Orders	12
7	The Explicit Isomorphism Problem	14
	7.1 Reduction to Finding Zero Divisors and Idempotents	14
	7.2 The case $M_4(\mathbb{Q})$	16
	7.3 Use of randomization depending on the ground field	16
	7.4 Lifting and approximation of non-trivial idempotents over $\mathbb{Q}$	17
8	Explicit isomorphism problem directly for $\mathrm{M}_4(\mathbb{Q})$	19
9	Interlude: Motivation from elliptic curve theory	19
10	Explicit isomorphism of quaternion algebras	20
$\mathbf{A}$	Implementation and Precise Algorithms	20
	A.1 Reduction $\varphi: A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} B^{op} \to M_4(\mathbb{Q})$ to $f: A \to B$	20
	A.2 Solution to a System $AX \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ for Integral Matrices	22
	A.3 Computation of Left Order	23
	$ {\rm A.4\ \ Randomized\ Computation\ of\ Central\ Simple\ Idempotents\ over\ a\ Finite\ Field\ \ }. $	25
R	Proof of the Hasse-Minkowski Theorem	29

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this report, all fields are assumed to be commutative, and all algebras are assumed to be unital and associative.

The Explicit Isomorphism Problem. Let F be a field. A central simple algebra over F is a finite-dimensional F-algebra whose center is exactly F and which is simple as a ring—that is, it has no nontrivial two-sided ideals. For every integer n, the matrix algebra  $M_n(F)$  is a central simple algebra. A fundamental problem in computational algebra, known as the explicit isomorphism problem, is formulated as follows:

Explicit Isomorphism ProblemLet  $\mathcal{B}$  be a central simple F-algebra given by a basis and structure constants. Suppose that  $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathrm{M}_n(F)$  as F-algebras. Compute an explicit isomorphism  $\phi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathrm{M}_n(F)$ , i.e., return  $n^2$  matrices with entries in F representing the images of the basis elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  under  $\phi$ .

A slight variant is the following:

General Explicit Isomorphism ProblemLet A and B be two central simple algebras over F, given by bases and structure constants. Suppose that  $A \cong B$  as F-algebras. Compute an explicit isomorphism  $f: A \to B$ , i.e., express the images of the basis elements of A under f in terms of the basis of B.

One can show that the second problem reduces in polynomial time to the first: finding an isomorphism between two central simple algebras of dimension n reduces to finding an isomorphism between a central simple algebra of dimension  $n^2$  and  $M_n(F)$ .

State of the Art. The explicit isomorphism problem has been well studied in the literature. The known solutions depend heavily on the properties of the base field F and the dimension n. It is often considered when F is the field of fractions of a ring R, particularly when R is a  $Dedekind\ domain$  (a Noetherian integrally closed domain in which every nonzero prime ideal is maximal). Here are some of the main results from the literature:

- n=2: The problem reduces to solving a ternary quadratic equation  $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$  over F, with  $a, b, c \in F$ . See [18], Main Theorem 5.4.4.
- $n=2, F=\mathbb{Q}$ : ...
- $n = 3, F \dots$
- $n = 4, F = \mathbb{Q}$ : See [8] for efficient methods.

• General case: For any  $n \ge 1$  and F an algebraic number field, there is a polynomial-time ff-algorithm using an oracle for integer factorization. See [8], Section 3, and further improvements for n < 43.

Quaternion Algebras. Quaternion algebras are central simple algebras of dimension 4. When  $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2$ , these algebras admit a very concrete description: they have a basis 1, i, j, ij, with  $i^2 = a \in F^{\times}$ ,  $j^2 = b \in F^{\times}$ , and ij = -ji. See [18]. Quaternion algebras are closely related to ternary quadratic forms over F, which underpins the first case in the state of the art.

Motivation from Elliptic Curve Theory. Section 7 is dedicated to motivations coming from the theory of elliptic curves. Elliptic curves are special projective plane curves that carry a natural abelian group structure. The computational properties of these groups are of great interest in cryptography due to the hardness of the discrete logarithm problem. On a more abstract level, one can define *isogenies* between elliptic curves—morphisms of algebraic varieties that are also group homomorphisms. In particular, for an elliptic curve E, the set  $\operatorname{End}(E)$  of isogenies from E to itself forms a ring under composition. This endomorphism ring gives rise to computational problems with cryptographic applications.

A surprising result is that for certain elliptic curves called *supersingular*, the extended endomorphism ring  $\operatorname{End}(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  has the structure of a quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Goals and Methods of this Paper. The goal of this paper is to study the General Explicit Isomorphism Problem over  $\mathbb{Q}$  for algebras of dimension 4, i.e., quaternion algebras. Specifically, given two quaternion algebras over  $\mathbb{Q}$  known to be isomorphic, we aim to compute an explicit isomorphism between them. By the aforementioned reduction, this is equivalent to solving the Explicit Isomorphism Problem for a 16-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra isomorphic to  $M_4(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Our approach follows the general algorithm of [8], which is based on the notion of maximal orders. We also provide a full implementation of the final algorithm in SageMath.

Contents. This article is structured to provide a self-contained introduction to the explicit isomorphism problem in the context of quaternion algebras, along with its motivation and computational aspects. We begin by introducing the general framework of central simple algebras in Section 2, setting the stage for our discussion. In Section 3, we focus on quaternion algebras as a central class of examples, describing their structure and properties.

We introduce the notion of orders in Section 4, followed by a detailed examination of the discriminant of an order in Section 5. These foundational notions are crucial for understanding the computation of maximal orders, which we present in Section 6.

The core topic of this work—the explicit isomorphism problem—is formulated in general in

Section 7. To motivate its relevance in arithmetic geometry, we present in Section 9 a brief interlude discussing connections to the theory of elliptic curves, in particular through isogenies and endomorphism rings. Section 10 then revisits the explicit isomorphism problem, now specialized to the case of quaternion algebras, and outlines algorithmic strategies for solving it in this setting.

Finally, Appendix A provides additional details on the implementation of the algorithms discussed in the main text. Appendix B recalls the Hasse–Minkowski theorem.

# 2. Central simple algebra

All algebra B over a field F are suppose unitary and associative, so that we can view  $F \subset B$ . We recall that the center of B is the set Z(B) of element  $b \in B$  which commute with every  $x \in B$ . It is a sub F-algebra of B.

An algebra is a *division algebra* if every non zero element has a both side inverse. Note that the center of an division algebra is a field an every division algebra has as structure of algebra over its center.

An algebra B, or more generally a ring, is *simple* it the only both sided ideals of B are  $\{0\}$  and B. It is equivalent to say that for all non zero ring R, ever ring homomorphism  $B \to R$  is injective.

Now we define an important class of algebra.

**Definition 2.1.** Let F be a field. A *central simple algebra* over F is a finite dimensional algebra with its center exactly F and with no non trivial both side ideals.

The standard example of central simple algebra is  $B = M_n(F)$ , called the *split* central simple algebra of dimension  $n^2$ . The goal of this section is to show that, by extending the field, every central simple algebra are isomorphic to the split one.

First as simple algebra, central simple algebra enjoy the strong foolowing structure theorem.

## Wederburn Artin theorem and consequences.

**Theorem 2.2** (Wederburn Artin theorem, weak version). Let B be a finite dimensional simple algebra over F, then there exist a division algebra D over F and an integer  $n \geq such$  that B is isomorphic to  $M_n(D)$ . Moreover D is unique up to F- algebra homomorphism.

For the proof we follow Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely [6] Section 2.1.

*Proof.* Let I be a minimal nonzero left ideal of B. Suppose  $f: I \to I$  is a B-linear map, meaning f is additive and satisfies f(bx) = bf(x) for all  $b \in B$ ,  $x \in I$ .

Then both ker f and Im f are left B-submodules of I. Since I is a minimal left ideal, it has no nontrivial B-submodules. Therefore, either ker  $f = \{0\}$  or ker f = I, and similarly for the image.

Because  $f \neq 0$ , we must have  $\ker f = \{0\}$  and  $\operatorname{Im} f = I$ . Hence, f is an isomorphism. We conclude that  $D = \operatorname{End}_B(I)$ , the subalgebra of  $\operatorname{End}_F(I)$  consisting of B-linear endomorphisms, is a division algebra. (Note that the inverse of a B-linear map is automatically B-linear.)

So far, we have not used the simplicity of B. Now, consider  $\operatorname{End}_D(B)$ , the subalgebra of  $\operatorname{End}_F(B)$  consisting of maps commuting with the right D-action.

We claim that the map

$$\varphi: B \to \operatorname{End}_D(B), \quad b \mapsto (z \mapsto bz)$$

defines an F-algebra isomorphism. . . .

It follow that if K is a field algebraically closed, then every central simple algebra over K are isomorphic to some matrix algebra  $M_n(K)$ . Indeed if D is a division algebra over K then D = K: for all  $x \in D$  the minimal polynomial of x over K is irreducible (because D is a domain ) and so is of degree 1, hence  $x \in K$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let B be a finite dimensional algebra over F and K/F be a field extension. Then B is a central simple algebra over F if and only if  $B \otimes_F K$  is a central simple algebra over K.

*Proof.* See Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely [6], Lemma 2.2.2.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let B be a finite dimensional algebra over F. Then there exist K/F a field extension such that  $B \otimes_F K \cong M_n(K)$  as K- algebra, for some integer n.

*Proof.* It follows from taking K to be the algebraic closure of F in the previous lemma and the previous remark.

**Reduced trace.** Let F be a field and let B be a central simple algebra over F. By the previous section let K/F be a field extension such that  $B \otimes_F K \cong \mathrm{M}_n(K)$ . Hence we can embedded B in  $\mathrm{M}_n(K)$  by  $\varphi: B \to \mathrm{M}_n(K), b \mapsto b \otimes 1$ . We defined the *reduced trace* of  $b \in B$  by

$$trd(b) := trace(\varphi(b)) \in K.$$

**Proposition 2.5.** The reduced trace of b lies in F and doesn't depend on the chosen field extension K/F. More precisely when F is of characteristic 0 and B of dimension  $n^2$ , for  $b \in B$  we have

$$trd(b) = \frac{1}{n}T_{B/F}(b)$$

where  $T_{B/F}(b)$  is the trace of th F-linear map  $B \to B, z \mapsto bz$ .

**Lemma 2.6** (Skolem-Nother Theorem for matrix ring). Let K be a field. Every automorphism of  $M_n(K)$  are inner and so preserve the trace.

# 3. Quaternion algebras

**Definition.** Let F be a field of characteristic not 2. For all  $a, b \in F^{\times}$  there exists a unique unitary and associative F-algebra B, up to F-algebras isomorphism, with two elements  $i, j \in B$  such that 1, i, j, ij is a F-basis and satisfy the relations:

$$i^2 = a, \quad j^2 = b, \quad \text{and} \quad ij = -ji.$$
 (1)

We denote

$$\left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right) = F \oplus Fi \oplus Fj \oplus Fij.$$

as such an algebra.

These are called quaternion algebras.

The uniqueness up to F-algebra isomorphism is clear and to check the existence it suffices to write down the unique possible multiplication table which respects associativity and the relations (1) and then check that the whole table is well associative.

An important example : If F a field of characteristic not 2,  $M_2(F) \simeq \left(\frac{1,1}{F}\right)$  for the basis:

$$1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ij = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $B = \binom{a,b}{F}$  be a quaternion algebra over a field F of characteristic not 2, denote 1,i,j,ij an adapted basis. The sub F-vector space H spanned by i,j,ij is exactly the set of the elements  $x \in B$  such that  $x \notin F$  and  $x^2 \in F$ , thus H is a supplement of F in B which doesn't depend on the choice of the basis. We define the conjugate of an element  $s \in B$  to be the symmetric in the direction of H parallel to F:  $\overline{s} := \lambda - h$ .

The conjugation on B enjoy the following properties : transition to the next section...

#### Standard involution.

**Definition 3.1.** Let F be a field and B be a F-algebra. An *involution* on B is a F- linear map  $: B \to B$  such that  $\overline{1} = 1$  and for all  $x, y \in B$   $\overline{\overline{x}} = x$  and  $\overline{xy} = \overline{yx}$ . An involution is standard if for all  $x \in B$   $x\overline{x} \in F$ .

If B has a standard involution, then we have also  $x + \overline{x} \in F$  for all  $x \in B$  indeed  $(1+x)\overline{(1+x)} \in F$  and we can write

$$(1+x)\overline{(1+x)} = (1+x)(1+\overline{x}) = 1+x+\overline{x}+x\overline{x}.$$

We also have  $x\overline{x} = \overline{x}x$ . We called the *reduced trace* of  $x \operatorname{trd}(x) = x + \overline{x}$  and *reduced norm* o of  $x \operatorname{nrd}(x) = x\overline{x}$ . To check that an involution is standard it is not enough to check that  $x\overline{x} \in F$  hold for x in a basis of B. But we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** An involution over a finite dimensional algebra B is standard if and only if there exists a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  of B such that for all  $1 \le i, j \le n$  we have  $ei\overline{e_i} \in F$  and  $(e_i + e_j)\overline{(e_i + e_j)} \in F$ .

*Proof.* The direct sense is immediate. For the converse, denote  $n_i = ei\overline{e_i}$  and  $n_{i,j} = (e_i + e_j)(e_i + e_j)$ . Then for all  $x = \sum x_i e_i$ ,

$$x\overline{x} = \sum x_i e_i \sum x_i e_j = \sum x_i^2 n_i + \sum x_i x_j e_i \overline{e_j} + e_j \overline{e_i} = \sum x_i^2 n_i + \sum x_i x_j (n_i, j - n_i - n_j)$$
 lies in  $F$ .

An other remark is that if B has a standard involution then every  $x \in B$  satisfy the polynomial of degree two with coefficient in F

$$T^2 - \operatorname{trd}xT + \operatorname{nrd}x$$
.

In particular if B has a standard involution and  $e_1, \ldots, e_2$  is a basis of B with  $e_1 = 1$  then for  $i \geq 2$  the coefficient of  $e_i$  in  $e_i^2$  is  $\operatorname{trd} e_i$ 

#### **Algorithm 1:** Has Standard Involution

**Input:** B an algebra over a field F with a basis  $e_1 = 1, e_2, \dots, e_2$ 

**Output:** True if B has a standard involution, False otherwise.

- 1. Let  $t(e_1) = 2$  and for  $2 \le i \le n$  let  $t(e_i) \in F$  be the coefficient of  $e_i$  in  $e_i^2$ . Extend t by F-linearity on B and for all  $\xi \in B$  let  $\overline{\xi} := t(\xi) \xi$ .
- 2. If  $\overline{e_i e_j} \neq \overline{e_j e_i}$  for some  $2 \leq i, j \leq n$ , return False
- 3. If  $n_i := e_i \overline{e_i}$  or  $n_{ij} := (e_i + e_j)(\overline{e_i + e_j})$  is not in F for some  $2 \le i, j \le n$  return False, else return True.

*Proof of correctness.* If B has a standard involution, the algorithm return True, OK. . . . .

## Quadratic forms.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let F be a field of characteristic not 2. Then the map

$$\left[ \left( \frac{a,b}{F} \right) \right] \mapsto \left[ -aX^2 - bY^2 + abZ^2 \right]$$

induces a bijection between the classes of quaternion algebras over F up to F-algebra isomorphism and the classes of non-degenerate ternary quadratic forms over F up to similarity.

*Proof. Well-defined:* Let  $B = \left(\frac{a,b}{F}\right)$  and  $C = \left(\frac{c,d}{F}\right)$  be isomorphic via  $f: B \to C$ . The standard involution s is preserved, so  $f(B^0) = C^0$ , and f induces an F-linear isomorphism  $u: F^3 \to F^3$  such that for  $x, y, z \in F$ ,

$$f(xi + yj + zij) = u_1(x, y, z)i + u_2(x, y, z)j + u_3(x, y, z)ij.$$

Hence:

$$nrd(xi + yj + zij) = -ax^{2} - by^{2} + abz^{2},$$
  
=  $nrd(f(xi + yj + zij))$   
=  $-cu_{1}^{2} - du_{2}^{2} + cdu_{3}^{2}.$ 

So the forms  $-aX^2 - bY^2 + abZ^2$  and  $-cX^2 - dY^2 + cdZ^2$  are equivalent.

Surjectivity: Let  $Q \sim aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2$  with  $a, b, c \in F^{\times}$ . Then:

$$Q \sim abc(aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2) \sim (bc)X^2 + (ac)Y^2 + (ab)Z^2.$$

This form has discriminant  $1 \in F^{\times}/F^{\times 2}$ . Write it as  $-\alpha X^2 - \beta Y^2 + \gamma Z^2$ . Since  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  is a square,  $\frac{\alpha\beta}{\gamma}$  is a square, so the form is equivalent to  $-\alpha X^2 - \beta Y^2 + \alpha\beta Z^2$ .

Injectivity: Suppose  $-aX^2-bY^2+abZ^2$  and  $-cX^2-dY^2+cdZ^2$  are similar. Since they have the same discriminant...

Local global principle. See appendix on Hasse Minkowski theorem.

**Theorem 3.4** (Local-Global Principle for Quaternion Algebras over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Let B be a quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then B is determined up to isomorphism by the set of places v of  $\mathbb{Q}$  where B is ramified. More precisely, for each place v of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let  $B_v = B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_v$ . Then B is uniquely determined (up to isomorphism) by the set

$$Ram(B) := \{ v \ place \ of \mathbb{Q} : B_v \ is \ a \ division \ algebra \},$$

which is a finite set of even cardinality.

Hilbert symbol over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  application to the problem IdentifyMatrixwRing.

# 4. Orders in central simple algebra

In this section, we fix R to be a principal ideal domain, F its field of fractions, and B a central simple algebra over F. (We will apply the results of this section with  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for some prime p, so  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  always.)

The assumption that R is a principal ideal domain allows us to give a simpler definition of an order. For more general cases over different rings, see [18], Chapters 9 and 10.

An R-order in B is a subring  $\Lambda \subset B$  such that:

- $\Lambda$  is an R-full lattice in B; that is, there exists an F-basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_N$  of B such that  $\Lambda = Re_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Re_N$ .
- $\Lambda$  is a subring of B; in particular,  $1 \in \Lambda$ .

In particular,  $\Lambda$  is a free R-module with R-basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_N$ .

**Remark 4.1.** If B is a central simple algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then we can view  $\mathbb{Q}$  as the field of fractions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  or of  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  for some prime p. In this context, there is a meaningful distinction between a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -order and a  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -order in B.

**Theorem 4.2.** If  $\Lambda$  is an R-order in B, then for all  $x \in \Lambda$ , we have  $trd(x) \in R$ .

*Proof.* Since R is a principal ideal domain, it is integrally closed. Thus, ...

**Definition 4.3.** An R-order is maximal if it is maximal with respect to inclusion among the R-orders in B.

## 5. Discriminant

We use the same setup as in the previous section: R is a principal ideal domain, F its field of fractions, and B a central simple algebra over F. Denote  $N := \dim_F(B)$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be an R-order in B. For elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \Lambda$ , define:

$$d(a_1,\ldots,a_N) := \det \left(\operatorname{trd}(a_i a_j)\right)_{1 \le i,j \le N}.$$

By Theorem 4.2, each  $\operatorname{trd}(a_i a_j) \in R$ , so  $d(a_1, \ldots, a_N) \in R$ .

The discriminant of  $\Lambda$  is the ideal  $\operatorname{disc}(\Lambda) \subset R$  generated by all such  $d(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  for  $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \Lambda$ . Since R is a principal ideal domain, this ideal is principal, say

$$\operatorname{disc}(\Lambda) = d_{\Lambda} R,$$

where  $d_{\Lambda} \in R$  is uniquely determined up to a unit. That is, for  $r \in R$ ,

$$r \in \operatorname{disc}(\Lambda) \iff d_{\Lambda} \mid r \text{ in } R.$$

**Lemma 5.1.** If  $\Lambda$  is given by an R-basis  $\Lambda = Re_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Re_N$ , then

$$d_{\Lambda} = d(e_1, \dots, e_N)$$

up to a unit in R.

*Proof.* By definition,  $d_{\Lambda} \mid d(e_1, \dots, e_N)$ . For the reverse divisibility, for any  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \Lambda$ , we can write

$$a_i = m_{i,1}e_1 + \dots + m_{i,N}e_N$$
 for some  $m_{i,j} \in R$ .

Let  $M = (m_{i,j}) \in M_N(R)$ . Then,

$$d(a_1, \ldots, a_N) = \det(\operatorname{trd}(a_i a_i)) = \cdots = \det(M)^2 \cdot d(e_1, \ldots, e_N),$$

so 
$$d(a_1,\ldots,a_N)\in d(e_1,\ldots,e_N)R$$
, and hence  $d_\Lambda\mid d(e_1,\ldots,e_N)$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** If  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$  are two R-orders in B, then  $d_{\Gamma} \mid d_{\Lambda}$  in R, and if  $d_{\Gamma} = d_{\Lambda}$  up to a unit, then  $\Gamma = \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Write 
$$\Lambda = Re_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Re_N$$
 and  $\Gamma = Rf_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rf_N$ . Since  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ , we have  $d(e_1, \ldots, e_N) \in \operatorname{disc}(\Gamma)$ , i.e.,  $d_{\Gamma} \mid d(e_1, \ldots, e_N)$ .  $\ldots$ 

An important consequence is the following: if

$$\Lambda_1 \subset \Lambda_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Lambda_r$$

is a chain of strictly increasing R-orders, then the corresponding sequence of discriminants

$$d_r \mid d_{r-1} \mid \cdots \mid d_1$$

is a strictly decreasing sequence of elements in R (with respect to divisibility). In particular, if

$$d_1 = \pi_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \pi_s^{\alpha_s}$$

is the factorization of  $d_1$  into irreducibles, then  $r \leq \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_s$ .

This shows that there exists a maximal order containing  $\Lambda_1$ , since in R there cannot be an infinite strictly descending chain for the divisibility relation. (In a general ring not assumed to be a principal ideal domain, one typically uses Zorn's Lemma to prove the existence of maximal orders.)

**Theorem 5.3.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an R-order in B. If  $d_{\Lambda}$  is a unit in R (i.e.,  $disc(\Lambda) = R$ ), then  $\Lambda$  is a maximal R-order. If  $B \cong M_n(F)$  for some integer n, then the converse is also true.

$$Proof.$$
 ...

# 6. Computing Maximal Orders

Let B be a finite-dimensional central simple algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\Lambda$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -order in B given by a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis:

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_N.$$

We describe a method following [7] to construct a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of a maximal order  $\Gamma \supseteq \Lambda$ .

For each prime p, define  $\mathcal{A}_p := \Lambda/p\Lambda$ , which is the quotient of  $\Lambda$  by the two-sided ideal  $p\Lambda$ . Then:

$$\mathcal{A}_p = \mathbb{F}_p e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_p e_N,$$

with the structure of a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra of dimension  $N = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ . Concretely, the structure constants of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  can be computed by multiplying the basis elements  $e_i e_j \in \Lambda$ , writing the result in terms of the  $e_k$ , and reducing the coefficients modulo p.

We now consider the Jacobson radical of  $A_p$ :

$$\operatorname{Rad}(\mathcal{A}_p) := \{ x \in \mathcal{A}_p \mid xM = 0 \text{ for all simple } \mathcal{A}_p\text{-modules } M \}.$$

Let

$$C_p := A_p/\mathrm{Rad}(A_p)$$

be the semisimple quotient algebra. Define the composition of natural projections:

$$\Phi_n: \Lambda \xrightarrow{\mod p} \mathcal{A}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{C}_n.$$

Since  $\Lambda$  is a ring and a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $C_p$  inherits at least a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module structure (not necessarily free). The map  $\Phi_p$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules.

We now state the main result:

**Theorem 6.1** (Detection of Non-Maximality). With the notation above, suppose  $\Lambda \subset B$  is not a maximal order. Then there exists a prime  $p \mid d_{\Lambda}$ , and an ideal  $K \subset C_p$ , either the zero ideal or a minimal nonzero ideal, such that the preimage

$$\mathcal{I} := \Phi_n^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$$

satisfies  $O_L(\mathcal{I}) \supseteq \Lambda$ ; that is, the left order of  $\mathcal{I}$  strictly contains  $\Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Lambda$  is not maximal, Theorem 5.3 implies that  $d_{\Lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}$  is not a unit and hence divisible by some prime p. . . .

This theorem leads directly to an algorithm: given a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -order  $\Lambda$  in B, the above procedure either produces a strictly larger order or certifies that  $\Lambda$  is maximal.

```
Algorithm 2: Find a strictly bigger \mathbb{Z}-order ([7], Section 5)
Input: B- algebra over \mathbb{Q} of dimension N, given by structure constants;
              \Lambda- order given by \mathbb{Z}-basis \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_N.
Output: A \mathbb{Z}-basis of a strictly bigger order \Gamma, if one exists; otherwise, report that \Lambda is
               maximal.
1. Compute the discriminant d_{\Lambda} = d(e_1, \dots, e_N) \in \mathbb{Z}. Factor d_{\Lambda}.
foreach prime p dividing d_{\Lambda} do
     2. Compute the \mathbb{F}_p-algebra \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{F}_p e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_p e_N by structure constants and the
       projection \Lambda \to \mathcal{A}.
     3. Compute a \mathbb{F}_p-basis of Rad(\mathcal{A}).
     4. Compute the \mathbb{F}_p-algebra \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}/\mathrm{Rad}(\mathcal{A}) and the projection \phi: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}.
     5. Compute the total projection \Phi: \Lambda \to \mathcal{C}.
     6. Compute a \mathbb{Z}-basis of \mathcal{I}_0 = \ker(\Phi).
     7. Compute a \mathbb{Z}-basis of O_L(\mathcal{I}_0).
     if O_L(\mathcal{I}_0) strictly contains \Lambda then
         return \Gamma = O_L(\mathcal{I}_0)
     end
     8. Compute the list of \mathbb{F}_p-bases of the minimal nonzero two-sided ideals \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}.
     foreach K in the list do
          9. Compute the \mathbb{F}_p-algebra \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K} by structure constant and the projection \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K}.
          10. Compute the total projection \Phi_{\mathcal{K}}: \Lambda \to \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{K}.
          11. Compute a \mathbb{Z}-basis of \mathcal{I} = \ker(\Phi_{\mathcal{K}}).
          12. Compute a \mathbb{Z}-basis of O_L(\mathcal{I}).
          if O_L(\mathcal{I}_r) strictly contains \Lambda then
               return \Gamma = O_L(\mathcal{I}_r)
          end
     end
end
13. Return that \Lambda is maximal
```

#### Comments.

- Computing the discriminant is relatively easy, but factoring it can be hard.
- To compute a basis of the radical, we use SageMath's internal implementation (A.basis\_radical()), which is based on the algorithm in [5, Section 2.3.2] or [11, Section 2]. Complexity: ...
- Computing the quotient, projection, and composition is straightforward.
- To compute the kernel of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism  $\Phi$  from a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank N to an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra of dimension r over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , we choose a matrix  $M \in M_{r,N}(\mathbb{Z})$  such that

$$\Phi(e_i) = M_{1,i}f_1 + \dots + M_{r,i}f_r.$$

Then computing the kernel is equivalent to finding a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the solutions  $X \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  to the equation  $MX \equiv 0 \mod p$ .

- Computing a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of a left order can be reduced to finding the integer solutions  $X \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  to the equation  $MX \equiv 0 \mod d$ , where M is an integer matrix of size  $N^2 \times N$ . This can be computationally expensive.
- If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a semisimple finite-dimensional algebra over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , then its minimal nonzero ideals satisfy

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{I}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{I}_r$$
.

To compute them, it suffices to find a family  $(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$  of central elements (i.e., elements that commute with all elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ ), which are idempotent  $(e_i^2 = e_i)$ , pairwise orthogonal  $(e_i e_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j)$ , and such that  $e_1 + \cdots + e_r = 1$ , with  $(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$  maximal for these conditions. Then take  $\mathcal{I}_s = \mathcal{C}e_s = e_s\mathcal{C}$ . This is implemented internally in Magma (CentralIdempotent(C), Magma Handbook, Section 81.3.4), which uses the algorithm from [4, Section 3].

# 7. The Explicit Isomorphism Problem

In this section, we give a solution to the ExplicitIsomorphismProblem, with a special focus on the case where a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra A is isomorphic to  $M_4(\mathbb{Q})$ . Our approach follows the methods in [8]. To construct a solution over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we will first need to study the problem over other fields, such as a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  or the field of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , and for matrix algebras of smaller dimension  $(n \leq 4)$ .

The central problem can be stated as follows:

ExplicitIsomorphismProblemGiven a central simple algebra A over a field F, presented by a basis, that is isomorphic to  $M_n(F)$ , compute an explicit isomorphism  $\varphi: A \to M_n(F)$ .

Our strategy is to first reduce the problem to the search for specific types of elements within the algebra. We then develop algorithms to find these elements over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . Finally, we address the main challenge of lifting these solutions from the simpler fields back to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

7.1. Reduction to Finding Zero Divisors and Idempotents. Let F be a field,  $n \ge 2$  be an integer, and A be an F-algebra of dimension  $N = n^2$  given by an F-basis  $a_1, \ldots, a_N$  and structure constants  $(c_{i,j,k})_{1 \le i,j,k \le N}$ . That is,

$$A = Fa_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Fa_N$$

and

$$a_i a_j = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_{i,j,k} a_k \quad (1 \le i, j \le N)$$

with  $c_{i,j,k} \in F$ .

Assume that A is isomorphic to  $M_n(F)$  as an F-algebra. We want to compute an explicit isomorphism  $\varphi: A \to M_n(F)$ , that is, to output a list of  $N = n^2$  matrices with entries in  $F: M_1, \ldots, M_N$  such that the unique F-linear map from A to  $M_n(F)$  which maps  $a_i$  onto  $M_i$  is an F-algebra isomorphism.

First, we know that A inherits the following algebraic properties which hold in  $M_n(F)$ . The minimal polynomial of an element of A has a degree of at most n. An element in A is invertible if and only if it is invertible on the left or on the right. An element  $x \in A$  is non-invertible if and only if it is a left zero divisor (there exists  $y \in A$  such that xy = 0) if and only if it is a right zero divisor (there exists  $y \in A$  such that yx = 0).

We can characterize the zero divisors in A more precisely. One can define the rank of an element  $x \in A$  as follows. For every isomorphism  $\varphi : A \to M_n(F)$ , we have

$$n \cdot \operatorname{rank}(\varphi(x)) = \dim_F(M_n(F)\varphi(x)) = \dim_F(\varphi(Ax)) = \dim_F(Ax).$$

Hence we define the rank of an element  $x \in A$  by

$$\operatorname{rank}_A(x) := \frac{1}{n} \dim_F(Ax) = \operatorname{rank}(\varphi(x))$$
 (for any isomorphism  $\varphi : A \to \operatorname{M}_n(F)$ ).

In this section, we start by giving three efficient successive reductions of the problem based on finding some special kinds of elements in A. And then we will discuss which of these elements can be guessed by random picking, depending on the ground field F.

The first reduction is to seek a rank-one element in A. Finding an explicit isomorphism between A and  $M_n(F)$  is equivalent to finding a rank-one element in A. Indeed, if we know  $\varphi: A \to M_n(F)$ , we just have to express a rank-one matrix, for example, the matrix  $S \in M_n(F)$  with only 1 in the first row and 0 on other lines, in the basis  $\varphi(a_1), \ldots, \varphi(a_N)$ :  $S = \lambda_1 \varphi(a_1) + \cdots + \lambda_N \varphi(a_N)$ ; then  $x := \lambda_1 a_1 + \cdots + \lambda_N a_N$  is a rank-one element. This involves solving an invertible linear system of size N, which is in  $\mathcal{O}(n^6)$ . Conversely, if  $x \in A$  is a rank-one element, then Ax has dimension n over F, and  $\varphi: A \to \operatorname{End}_F(Ax), a \mapsto (z \mapsto az)$  is a non-zero F-algebra homomorphism, so it is injective because A is simple as a ring and hence bijective by a dimension argument. We start by building a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of Ax; this consists of extracting a linearly independent family from a generating set, which can be done in  $\mathcal{O}(n^5)$ . Then, for each  $1 \le i \le N$ , we write down the matrix of the map  $Ax \to Ax, z \mapsto a_i z$  in the basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . This can be done in  $\mathcal{O}(Nn^2) = \mathcal{O}(n^4)$ . Hence we get an explicit isomorphism.

The second reduction is to seek a zero divisor in A, that is, an element with rank 0 < r < n. We claim that if we can find a zero divisor in algebras isomorphic to  $M_k(F)$  for all  $2 \le k < n$ , then we can find a rank-one element in A. We proceed by induction on n. For n = 2, it is clear. Assume the reduction holds for all k < n. Let  $x \in A$  be a zero divisor and let r < n be the rank of x. By choosing an isomorphism  $\varphi : A \to M_n(F)$ ,  $X := \varphi(x)$  has rank r, so there

exist P, Q invertible matrices such that  $X = PJ_rQ$ , where

$$J_r := diag(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0).$$

We see that XZX = X has a solution  $Z \in M_n(F)$ , namely  $Z = Q^{-1}J_rP^{-1}$ . Hence the equation xzx = x has a solution  $z \in A$ , and we can compute one solution by solving a system of  $N^2$  linear equations in  $N^2$  variables. Let  $e := zx \in A$ ; e satisfies  $e^2 = e$  and has rank r. Then B := eAe is a subalgebra of A with unit e. One can easily compute a basis of B and the structure constants of B in this basis. We have  $B \cong M_r(F)$ : to show this, again we use our isomorphism  $\varphi$ . Let  $E := \varphi(e) \in M_n(F)$ . E satisfies  $E^2 = E$  and has rank r < n. By linear algebra, there exists an invertible matrix P such that  $E = PJ_rP^{-1}$ . Then as F-algebras, we have the isomorphisms  $B \cong EM_n(F)E \cong PJ_rP^{-1}M_n(F)PJ_rP^{-1} \cong J_rM_n(F)J_r \cong M_r(F)$ . Hence we can apply our induction hypothesis to build  $g \in B$ , a rank-one element in  $g \in B$ . By viewing  $g \in B$  in the isomorphism to  $g \in B$ , we see that  $g \in B$  and  $g \in B$ .

The third reduction is to find an element with a reducible minimal polynomial. Indeed, if  $x \in A$  has a reducible minimal polynomial  $\pi = fg$  in F[T], then f(x) is a zero divisor in A. Note that computing the minimal polynomial of x can be done by computing the matrix of the map  $z \mapsto xz$  and then computing its minimal polynomial. The complexity of this task depends on the field F and the available algorithms to factorize polynomials in F[T].

The fourth, very concrete reduction that we will try to use the most is to find a non-trivial idempotent in A, that is,  $e \in A$  such that  $e \neq 0, 1$  and  $e^2 = e$ .

**7.2.** The case  $M_4(\mathbb{Q})$ . To solve the explicit isomorphism problem in  $M_4(\mathbb{Q})$ , we only need to be able to find a zero divisor in  $M_4(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ . Indeed, if  $A \cong M_4(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $x \in A$  is a zero divisor, then the rank r of x is r = 1, 2, or 3. If r = 1, we are done. If r = 3, we can compute y such that xy = 0. For that, we compute  $\pi(T)$ , the minimal polynomial of x over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We can write  $\pi(T) = Tg(T)$  and let y = g(x). Hence y has rank one (thinking in the matrix space), and we are done. If r = 2, we compute  $e \in A$  of rank 2 such that  $e^2 = e$ , we compute the algebra B := eAe which is isomorphic to  $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$ , and then a zero divisor in B is a rank-one element in A and we are done.

The problem to find a zero divisor in  $A \cong M_2(\mathbb{Q})$  is computationally equivalent to finding a non-trivial rational solution to a ternary quadratic form of the type

$$-aX^2 - bY^2 + abZ^2 = 0.$$

There exist some algorithms for this in the literature: statement: [17, Theorem 7.19], algorithm: [1, Algorithm I], [9, Theorem 3], [16, Algorithm 3.4].

7.3. Use of randomization depending on the ground field. Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer.

In  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  Let p be a prime. We consider  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  with the uniform probability distribution. Let M be a random variable uniformly distributed in  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . We have

$$\mathbb{P}(M \text{ is a zero divisor}) = \frac{p^{n^2} - 1 - (p^n - 1)(p^n - p) \dots (p^n - p^{n-1})}{p^{n^2}}$$

which goes to zero when p becomes large, so finding a zero divisor randomly cannot be guaranteed. However,

$$\mathbb{P}(f \in \mathbb{F}_p[T] \text{ of degree } d \text{ is reducible}) = 1 - \frac{1}{dp^d} \sum_{k|d} \mu\left(\frac{d}{k}\right) p^k \approx 1 - \frac{1}{d}.$$

where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function.

Since most matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  have a minimal polynomial of degree n and the induced distribution on the set of monic polynomials of degree n is approximately uniform, we can hope that

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{minpoly}(M) \text{ is reducible for } M \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)) \approx \mathbb{P}(f \in \mathbb{F}_p[T] \text{ of degree } n \text{ is reducible}) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{n},$ 

which doesn't depend on p and is pretty high when n is not too large. Hence, by iterated random picks, we can easily find a reducible matrix in  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Consequently, the explicit isomorphism problem is "easy" in  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

In  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  In  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , for the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ , the set of zero divisors has measure 0. However, the probability that a real monic polynomial of degree d with coefficients uniformly distributed in [-M, M] is irreducible is low (it is even 0 if d is odd). Consequently, the explicit isomorphism problem is "easy" in  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

In  $M_n(\mathbb{Q})$  In  $M_n(\mathbb{Q})$ , for any finite subset  $S \subset \mathbb{Q}$ , the probability that a matrix with entries in S has a reducible minimal polynomial is very low. Hence the explicit isomorphism problem in  $M_n(\mathbb{Q})$  can't be efficiently solved by randomly picking elements.

7.4. Lifting and approximation of non-trivial idempotents over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let A be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra of dimension  $N = n^2$  assumed to be isomorphic to  $M_4(\mathbb{Q})$ . Since we know how to compute a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of a maximal order in A, we can view

$$A = \mathbb{Q}a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Q}a_N \cong M_4(\mathbb{Q})$$

such that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra

$$O = \mathbb{Z}a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}a_N$$

is a maximal order in A (and thus isomorphic to  $M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ) and the structure constants are  $c_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$a_i a_j = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_{i,j,k} a_k \quad (1 \le i, j \le N).$$

The fact that  $c_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$  allows us to define for every field K, and especially finite fields, the K-algebra:

$$O_K := Ka_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Ka_N$$

in the sense that  $O_K$  has a basis  $a_1, \ldots, a_N$  with structure constants being the images of the integers  $c_{i,j,k}$  in K.

We want to solve the explicit isomorphism problem for A. As we saw in Section 7.1, it suffices to find  $e \in A$  such that  $e \neq 0, 1$  and  $e^2 = e$ . One approach is the following: We choose a field K such that it is easy with randomization to find a non-trivial  $f \in O_K$  such that  $f^2 = f$ , and then we try to lift f to an element in A.

With  $K = \mathbb{R}$ . In  $O_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R}a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{R}a_N$ , we can randomly pick a  $b = r_1a_1 + \cdots + r_Na_N$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that the minimal polynomial of b over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\pi_{b,\mathbb{Q}}$ , has a real root  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then c := g(b) where  $\pi_{b,\mathbb{Q}}(T) = (T - \gamma)g(T)$  is a zero divisor in  $O_{\mathbb{R}}$ , and we can deduce a non-trivial idempotent  $f \in O_{\mathbb{R}}$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ . Concretely, we can write  $f = x_1a_1 + \cdots + x_Na_N$  with  $x_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ , and the relation  $f^2 = f$  is equivalent to the relations:

$$\left(\sum_{1 \le i,j \le N} x_i x_j c_{i,j,k}\right) - x_k = 0 \quad (1 \le k \le N).$$

Hence by taking  $\tilde{x_i}$ , rational approximations of  $x_i$ , the element  $e := \tilde{x_1}a_1 + \cdots + \tilde{x_N}a_N \in A$  satisfies that  $e^2 - e$  has small rational coefficients in the basis  $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ .

With  $K = \mathbb{F}_p$ . In  $O_{\mathbb{F}_p} := \mathbb{F}_p a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_p a_N$ , we can easily, by using randomization, build  $f \in O_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ , a non-trivial idempotent. By writing  $f = x_1 a_1 + \cdots + x_N a_N$  with  $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and taking any lift  $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  of  $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , the element  $e := y_1 a_1 + \cdots + y_N a_N \in O \subset A$  satisfies  $e^2 - e \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , i.e.,  $e^2 - e$  has integer coefficients divisible by p in the basis  $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ .

With  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ . By the previous paragraph, we can, in particular, build an element  $e \in O \subset O_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  such that  $e^2 - e \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then, using a version of Hensel's Lemma, as in [3] Lemma 5.1, if we define by induction  $e_0 := e$  and  $e_{k+1} := 3e_k^2 - 2e_k^3$ , the sequence  $(e_k)$  satisfies  $e_k^2 - e_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2^k}}$ ,  $e_{k+1} \equiv e_k \pmod{p^{2^k}}$ , and  $e_k \equiv e \pmod{p}$ . Hence, the sequence  $(e_k)$  converges in  $O_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  to an element  $f \in O_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  such that  $f^2 = f$ . This element f is non-trivial because it is equal to e modulo p. The approximation  $e_k$  of f gives a non-trivial element of O that is quasi-idempotent, in the sense that the coefficients of  $e_k^2 - e_k$  are divisible by  $p^{2^k}$ .

**Provisional conclusion** For the moment, we are able to build in A an element  $e \neq 0, 1$  such that  $e^2 - e$  has small coefficients in the basis  $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ , either for the absolute value

or for the p-adic absolute value. If we manage to find an element  $e \in A$  such that  $e^2 - e$  is exactly 0, we are done.

# 8. Explicit isomorphism problem directly for $M_4(\mathbb{Q})$

Here we present a direct way, without using a maximal order in  $A \cong M_4(\mathbb{Q})$ , to find a zero divisor in A, based on the algorithm FindZeroDivisor in [10].

First, we find an element  $a \in A$  such that its minimal polynomial has degree 2 and is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then the algebra  $B := C_A(a) := \{x \in A \mid ax = xa\}$  can be computed with its structure constants. One can show that B has dimension 8 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and so dimension 4 over  $E = \mathbb{Q}(a)$ . Moreover, one can show that as a  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$ -algebra,  $B \cong M_2(E)$ . It suffices to find a zero divisor in B. For that, first, it is not too hard to construct  $i, j \in B$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in E$  such that 1, i, j, ij is an E-basis of B, which allows us to view B as a quaternion algebra  $B = \left(\frac{\lambda, \mu}{E}\right)$ . Then concretely, finding a zero divisor is equivalent to finding a solution to one of the equations:

$$-\lambda X^2 - \mu Y^2 + \lambda \mu Z^2 = 0 \quad \text{(for } X, Y, Z \in E \text{ not all zero)}$$

or

$$\lambda X^2 + \mu Y^2 = 1 \quad (\text{for } X, Y \in E)$$

or the norm equation

$$\mathcal{N}_{E(\sqrt{\lambda})/E}(z) = \mu \quad \text{(for } z \in E(\sqrt{\lambda})\text{)}.$$

For the last one, an algorithm is presented in [15].

# 9. Interlude: Motivation from elliptic curve theory

Short definition of elliptic curve.

Short definition of the endomorphism ring End(E).

Short definition and existence of the dual endomorphism map  $End(E) \to End(E), \alpha \mapsto \hat{\alpha}$ Implication that  $End(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  could be a quaternion algebra sometimes.

Short definition of super singular elliptic curve.

Why are they interesting?

Statement that if E is super singular then  $End(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is well an quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  If E is super singular over  $F_p$  and ....,  $End(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  is exactly  $B_{p,\infty}$ .

Computational problem believe to be hard.

# 10. Explicit isomorphism of quaternion algebras

We finish by solving the GeneralExplicitIsomorphismProblem between central simple algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And we will see how this can be improve when A and B are actually quatenrion algebra and we know maximal order in each.

GeneralExplicitIsomorphismProblem: Given two isomorphic central simple algebra A and B, compute an isomorphism  $f: A \to B$ .

**Lemma 10.1.** Let A be a central simple algebra of dimension N, there exist an isomorphism  $\varphi: A \otimes A^{op} \to End_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$  such that for all  $a, b \in A$ ,  $\varphi(a \otimes b)$  is  $A \to A$ ;  $z \mapsto azb$ . consequently,  $A \otimes A^{op}$  is isomorphic to  $M_N(\mathbb{Q})$ .

*Proof.* The map  $A \times A^{op} \to End_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$  which map a, b to  $z \mapsto azb$ , is F-bilinear. By universal properties of tensor product this yields to a F- linear map  $\varphi: A \otimes A^{op} \to End_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$  satisfying  $\varphi(a \otimes b)$  is  $A \to A; z \mapsto azb$  for all a, b. It is easily check that  $\varphi$  respect the multiplication on pure tensor and everywhere. Since  $A \otimes A^{op}$  is simple,  $\varphi$  is injective and then bijective by dimension.

**Proposition 10.2.** Let A, B be two central simple algebra of dimension N. Then A and B are isomorphic if and only if  $A \otimes B^{op}$  is isomorphic to  $M_N(F)$ .

# A. Implementation and Precise Algorithms

We present here most of the harder algorithms used in the implementation: <a href="https://github.com/TommyChakroun/quat\_alg\_project">https://github.com/TommyChakroun/quat\_alg\_project</a>

We give as well the mathematical idea and the algorithm together with a comment on the complexity or randomization if we used randomized algorithms.

**A.1. Reduction**  $\varphi: A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} B^{op} \to M_4(\mathbb{Q})$  **to**  $f: A \to B$ . Let A, B be two central simple algebras of same dimension n. In Proposition 10.2 we showed the equivalence

$$A \cong B \iff A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} B \cong M_{n^2}(\mathbb{Q}).$$

The proof of the direct implication was already explicit and efficient, but for the converse we had argued theoretically with the Wedderburn decomposition. Here we present an efficient but randomized algorithm to show this converse implication.

Suppose we have  $\varphi: A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} B^{op} \to M_4(\mathbb{Q})$  an isomorphism. Fix us  $e_1, \ldots, e_N$  a basis of A and  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  a basis of B. Denote  $N = n^2$  and  $V = \mathbb{Q}^N$ . For each  $v \in V$  we consider:

$$\lambda_v: A \to V, \quad a \mapsto \varphi(a \otimes 1)v$$

$$\mu_v: B \to V, \quad b \mapsto \varphi(1 \otimes b)v.$$

Both  $\lambda_v$  and  $\mu_v$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear maps.

First we claim that if we find  $v \in V$  such that both  $\lambda_v$  and  $\mu_v$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear isomorphisms, then  $f: A \to B$ ,  $a \mapsto \mu_v^{-1}(\lambda_v(a))$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra isomorphism. Indeed f is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear isomorphism,  $\mu_v(1) = v = \lambda_v(1)$  so f maps  $1_A$  to  $1_B$ . For the preservation of the product: let  $a, a' \in A$  and b = f(a), b' = f(a') in B. Then

$$\mu_v(f(aa')) = \varphi(aa' \otimes 1)v$$

$$= \varphi(a \otimes 1)\varphi(a' \otimes 1)v$$

$$= \varphi(a \otimes 1)\varphi(1 \otimes b')v$$

$$= \varphi(1 \otimes b')\varphi(a \otimes 1)v$$

$$= \varphi(1 \otimes b')\varphi(1 \otimes b)v$$

$$= \varphi(1 \otimes bb')v$$

$$= \mu_v(bb')$$

This shows f(aa') = f(a)f(a').

Hence it suffices to show the existence of a suitable  $v \in V$ . We do this for  $\lambda$ . This comes from the theoretical existence of an isomorphism from A to B as follows. Choose  $f: A \to B$  an isomorphism and denote  $\varphi_f: A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} B^{op} \to M_N(\mathbb{Q})$  the other isomorphism obtained. By Lemma 2.6 there exists some invertible matrix  $P \in M_N(\mathbb{Q})$  such that:

$$\forall c \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} B^{op}, \quad \varphi(c) = P\varphi_f(c)P^{-1}.$$

Then for  $v \in V$  and  $a \in A$  we have

$$P\lambda_{P^{-1}v}(a) = P\varphi(a\otimes 1)P^{-1}v = \varphi_f(a\otimes 1)v = \operatorname{Mat}(b\mapsto f(a)b)v.$$

Hence if we take v to be the coordinates of  $1_B$  in the basis of B we obtain:

$$P\lambda_{P^{-1}v}: A \to V, \quad a \mapsto \operatorname{Mat}(f(a), B)$$

so it is an isomorphism.

Now from the existence of a suitable v we are going to deduce that almost all  $v \in V$  satisfy this condition in the following sense.

Fix a basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_N$  of A, and let  $M_i = \varphi(e_i \otimes 1) \in M_N(\mathbb{Q})$ . For all  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_N) \in V$ :

The matrix of  $\lambda_v$  in the basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_N$  of A and the canonical basis of  $V = \mathbb{Q}^N$  is, by columns:

$$(M_1v \cdots M_Nv)$$
.

Expanding, we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{1j}^{(1)} v_j & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{1j}^{(N)} v_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{2j}^{(1)} v_j & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{2j}^{(N)} v_j \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{Nj}^{(1)} v_j & \cdots & \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{Nj}^{(N)} v_j \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $M_i = (m_{kj}^{(i)})_{1 \leq k,j \leq N} \in M_N(\mathbb{Q})$ .

So the determinant is of the form  $P_A(v_1, \ldots, v_N)$  for some  $P_A \in \mathbb{Q}[T_1, \ldots, T_N]$ .

The fact that we found one suitable v proves that  $P_A$  is not identically zero. Then by the Schwartz-Zippel lemma for all finite subset  $S \subset \mathbb{Q}$  we have  $\operatorname{Card}(Z(P_A) \cap S^N) \leq N \operatorname{Card}(S)^{N-1}$ .

Similarly for  $P_B$  associated to B and  $\mu$ ,  $\operatorname{Card}(Z(P_B) \cap S^N) \leq N\operatorname{Card}(S)^{N-1}$ .

Then a  $v \in V$  satisfies both  $\lambda_v$  and  $\mu_v$  are isomorphisms if and only if v is not a zero of  $P_A$  neither  $P_B$ . By taking  $S \subset \mathbb{Q}$  sufficiently large such that  $2N \operatorname{Card}(S)^{N-1} < \operatorname{Card}(S)^N$  this is possible. This concludes the proof and we deduce the following algorithm.

## **Algorithm 3:** Isomorphism $f: A \to B$ from $\varphi: A \otimes B^{op} \to M_N(\mathbb{Q})$

**Input:** A, B two central simple algebras of dimension n and  $\varphi : A \otimes B^{op} \to M_N(\mathbb{Q})$  an isomorphism given in a basis  $e_i \otimes f_j$  which is the tensor product of a basis of A and a basis of B

Output:  $f: A \to B$  an isomorphism

1. Choose a finite subset  $S \subset \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $2N \operatorname{Card}(S)^{N-1} < \operatorname{Card}(S)^N$ 

for each  $v \in S^N$  do

- 2. Compute U the matrix of  $A \to V$ ,  $a \mapsto \varphi(a \otimes 1)v$  in the basis of A and the canonical basis of V
- 3. Compute M the matrix of  $B \to V$ ,  $b \mapsto \varphi(1 \otimes b)v$  in the basis of B and the canonical basis of V
- 4. if M and U are invertible then
  - 5. Compute  $M^{-1}$
  - 6. **return**  $f: A \to B$  given in the basis of A by:

$$f(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} M_{j,i}^{-1} U_{j,i} f_j$$

end

end

Complexity. Not evaluated yet.

A.2. Solution to a System  $AX \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  for Integral Matrices.

## Algorithm 4: ModularMatrixKernel

Input:  $A \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{Z}), d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ 

**Output:**  $X_1, \ldots, X_k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule  $\{X \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid AX \equiv 0 \pmod{d}\}$ 

- 1. Compute the Smith normal form of  $A: U \in GL_m(\mathbb{Z}), V \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  such that UAV = D is in diagonal form
- 2. If  $t = \min(n, m)$ , let  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_t$  be defined by  $Y_i = (0, \ldots, 0, \frac{d}{\gcd(d, D_{i,i})}, 0, \ldots, 0)$
- 3. If n > m, complete with  $Y_j = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$  for  $t < j \le n$
- 4. return  $VY_1, \ldots, VY_k$

Implementation. The function kernel\_mod(A,d) is available at

maximal\_orders/maximal\_orders\_utilities.sage.

Complexity. Not evaluated yet.

**A.3.** Computation of Left Order. Let B be a finite dimensional algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimension N. Let

$$I = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_N$$

be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -(full) lattice in B. The left order of I is the set

$$O_L(I) := \{ \alpha \in B \mid \alpha I \subset I \}.$$

We claim that  $O_L(I)$  is indeed an order. Indeed  $O_L(I)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of B, is stable under multiplication, contains 1. It remains to show that  $O_L(I)$  contains a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of B. Starting from  $b_1, \ldots, b_N$  any basis of B, then for all i there exists  $t_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $t_i b_i \in O_L(I)$ .

Now we want to compute explicitly a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $f_1, \ldots, f_N$  of  $O_L(I)$ . First note that there exists  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $s \cdot 1_B \in I$ . Hence  $O_L(I) \cdot s \subset I$  so  $O_L(I) \subset s^{-1}I$ . After, for  $\alpha \in s^{-1}I$  that we write  $\alpha = s^{-1}(x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_Ne_N)$  with  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\alpha \in O_L(I) \iff \forall j, \alpha e_j \in I \iff \forall j, s^{-1}(x_1 e_1 e_j + \dots + x_N e_N e_j) \in \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_N$$

Let's write the *structure constants* of the basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_N$ , that is:

$$e_i e_j = \sum_{k=1}^N c_{ijk} e_k \quad (1 \le i, j \le N), c_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then

$$\alpha \in O_L(I) \iff \forall j, k, \sum_{i=1}^N s^{-1} c_{ijk} x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

or equivalently by denoting  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  and  $T = (s^{-1}c_{ijk})$  the rational matrix with  $N^2$  rows and N columns:

$$\alpha \in O_L(I) \iff TX \in \mathbb{Z}^{N^2}.$$

Finally by multiplying both sides by the least common multiple of the denominators of T this yields solving  $AX \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  for some integer matrix A of size  $N^2 \times N$ . Hence together with the previous algorithm we deduce a method to compute the left order of I.

## **Algorithm 5:** LeftOrder

**Input:** B a finite dimensional algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ;  $e_1, \ldots, e_N$  a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of B representing

$$I = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_N$$

**Output:** A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the left order  $O_L(I)$ 

- 1. Write  $1_B = r_1 e_1 + \cdots + r_N e_N$  with  $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$
- 2. Let  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be the least common multiple of the denominators of  $r_i$
- 3. Compute  $c_{i,j,k}$  the structure constants of the basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_N$ , that is  $e_i e_j = \sum c_{i,j,k} e_k$
- 4. Let d be the lcm of the denominators of the  $s \cdot c_{i,j,k}$  and let

$$A = (d \cdot s \cdot c_{i,j,k})_{1 \le i \le N; 1 \le j,k \le N} \in M_{N^2,N}(\mathbb{Z})$$

- 5. Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of solutions of  $AX \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$
- 6. **return**  $f_1, \ldots, f_{\ell} \in B$  where  $f_i = \frac{1}{s}(X_{i,1}e_1 + \cdots + X_{i,N}e_N)$

Implementation. The function left order(B, Zbasis I) is available at

maximal\_orders/maximal\_orders\_utilities.sage.

**Complexity:** In number of additions/multiplications. Computing structure constants: one inversion of  $N \times N$  matrix  $(\mathcal{O}(N^3))$ ,  $N^2$  products of matrix-vector of size N  $(\mathcal{O}(N^2 \times N^2))$ . Solving the system  $AX \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  with A of size  $N^2 \times N$  and X of size N  $(\mathcal{O}(??))$ . Total:  $\mathcal{O}(??)$ .

**Remark A.1.** If we suppose in addition that B is a division algebra or even just that every element of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_N$  of the lattice  $I = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_N$  are invertible in B, then we can use a more efficient algorithm as follows. Let  $\alpha \in B$ , we have

$$\alpha \in O_L(I) \iff \forall j, \alpha e_j \in I \iff \forall j, \alpha \in e_j^{-1}I = \mathbb{Z}e_j^{-1}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_j^{-1}e_N$$

The last direct sum holds because  $(e_j^{-1}e_1, \dots, e_j^{-1}e_N)$  is still a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of B since  $z \mapsto e_j^{-1}z$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear automorphism of B.

Hence to compute the left order it suffices to compute an intersection of lattices. There are efficient algorithms for this based on the Hermite normal form. This is the method used in SageMath for the case of division quaternion algebras.

A.4. Randomized Computation of Central Simple Idempotents over a Finite Field. We begin with some general notions about rings. Let R be an arbitrary (unital) ring. There is a correspondence between:

- 1. The decomposition of R into ideals:  $R = I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_r$ ,
- 2. The decomposition of  $1_R$  into central orthogonal idempotents  $1_R = e_1 + \cdots + e_r$ , where  $e_i \in Z(R)$ ,  $e_i^2 = e_i$ , and  $e_i e_j = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ .

Now we turn to algebras over finite fields. Fix a finite field  $F = \mathbb{F}_p$ . If A is a semisimple algebra (i.e., an algebra isomorphic to a direct product of simple algebras), then by the Wedderburn-Artin theorem, there exist integers  $n_1, \ldots, n_r$  and division algebras  $D_1, \ldots, D_r$  over F such that

$$A \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{n_r}(D_r).$$

**Lemma A.2.** Consequently, A has exactly r minimal nonzero two-sided ideals  $I_1, \ldots, I_r$ , which satisfy  $A = I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_r$ . Moreover, writing  $1 = e_1 + \cdots + e_r$  for the corresponding decomposition of the identity, the elements  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  are central orthogonal idempotents, and  $e_i$  is the identity of  $I_i$ .

*Proof.* Let us fix an isomorphism as above. The image of  $M_{n_i}(D_i)$  in A is simple, so its image  $I_i$  is a minimal nonzero two-sided ideal of A, and  $A = I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_r$ . By the correspondence above, we obtain a decomposition of 1 into central orthogonal idempotents  $e_i$ , each acting as the identity on  $I_i$ .

Suppose J is another minimal nonzero two-sided ideal of A. Choose  $x \in J$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , and write  $x = x_1 + \cdots + x_r$  with  $x_i \in I_i$ . Since  $x \neq 0$ , assume  $x_1 \neq 0$ . Then  $e_1x = x_1 \neq 0$ , so  $I_1 \cap J \neq 0$ . But both  $I_1$  and J are minimal two-sided ideals, so  $I_1 = J$ . Hence, any minimal two-sided ideal must be one of the  $I_i$ .

**Lemma A.3.** Conversely, if  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  are central orthogonal idempotents summing to 1, then the ideals  $Ae_i$  are exactly the minimal nonzero two-sided ideals of A, up to permutation.

Proof. ... 
$$\Box$$

Finding central orthogonal idempotents  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  summing to 1 in A reduces to finding them in the center Z(A). We have:

$$Z(A) \cong Z(D_1) \times \cdots \times Z(D_r) \cong K_1 \times \cdots \times K_r$$

where each  $K_i/F$  is a finite field extension.

So we are reduced to the following problem: let Z be a finite F-algebra isomorphic to a product

$$Z \cong K_1 \times \cdots \times K_r$$
,

of finite field extensions of F. Find a maximal family  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  of central orthogonal idempotents in Z.

To solve this, we study the structure of F-algebras isomorphic to such a product. Let  $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in K_1 \times \cdots \times K_r$ . The minimal polynomial of a over F is

$$\pi_a = \operatorname{lcm}(\pi_{a_1}, \dots, \pi_{a_r}),$$

which is square-free and can be written as  $\pi_a = \pi_1 \dots \pi_s$ , with  $\{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s\} = \{\pi_{a_1}, \dots, \pi_{a_r}\}$ .

If  $s \geq 2$ , by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exist polynomials  $h_1, \ldots, h_s$  such that

$$h_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi_i}, \quad h_i \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_j} \text{ for } j \neq i.$$

Then for each i,  $h_i(a)$  has the form:

$$w_i = h_i(a) = (h_i(a_1), \dots, h_i(a_r)) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0),$$

which gives a central orthogonal idempotent.

If s = r, then the  $w_i$  are exactly the elementary idempotents  $(0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ , which form a maximal list of central orthogonal idempotents in  $K_1 \times \cdots \times K_r$ .

This procedure can be applied in A since minimal polynomials and their factorization are abstract notions that can be computed from structure constants. So we randomly choose  $a \in A$ , compute its minimal polynomial  $\pi_a$ , factor it as  $\pi_a = \pi_1 \dots \pi_s$ , and attempt the construction above.

If 
$$s \geq 2$$
, then

$$A = A\omega_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A\omega_s$$

where each  $A\omega_i$  is a unital subalgebra with identity  $\omega_i = h_i(a)$ . We can then recurse within each  $A\omega_i$ .

Note that the case s = r may not occur when the prime p is small and r is large; for example, when  $A \cong \mathbb{F}_p^r$ .

Still, if  $r \geq 2$ , then  $s \geq 2$  occurs for most  $a \in A$ , so a randomized approach works: pick random elements of A until the minimal polynomial splits. If no such element is found, then A is a field and we return  $1_A$ .

We deduce a deterministic algorithm in theory, but the reasonable complexity is randomized.

## Algorithm 6: CentralIdempotentsCommutativeSplit

end

13. **return** the list  $1_A$ 

**Input:** Z Semisimple commutative algebra over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  given by structure constants **Output:** Primitive central idempotents  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r \in A$ foreach a in Z do 1. Compute the minimal polynomial  $\pi_a \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$  of a. 2. Factor  $\pi_a = \pi_1 \dots \pi_s$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$ . 3. if  $s \ge 2$  then 4. By the Chinese remainder theorem, compute  $h_1, \ldots, h_s \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$  such that  $h_i \equiv 1$  $(\text{mod } \pi_i), \quad h_i \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_j} \text{ for } j \neq i.$ 5. Compute  $\omega_i := h_i(a) \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . 6. Compute a basis  $BA_i$  of  $A_i := A\omega_i$ . 7. Compute the structure constants of  $A_i$  in  $BA_i$  and build the abstract version of  $A_i$ . 8. Res = [].; 9. foreach  $i = 1, \ldots, s$  do 10. Compute recursively  $e_1, \ldots, e_k = \text{CentralIdempotentsCommutative}(A_i)$ . 11. Lift  $e_1, \ldots, e_k$  in Z and add it to res. 12. return Res end

Implementation. The function central\_idempotent\_commutative\_split is available at minimal\_ideals/minimal\_ideals\_manually.sage.

Comments. The algorithm is deterministic in theory with complexity at worst  $\operatorname{Card}(Z) = p^n$ . It really depends on the size of the prime p and the dimension n. If both are relatively small we can easily iterate on Z. Otherwise if p is a very large prime around 100 bits then we can't reasonably iterate on Z so we prefer to pick a finite random number of elements of Z. Luckily when p is large and n small it happens that the probability that a is decomposable that is  $s \geq 2$  in the algorithm is very high. Actually when p is very large in comparison to n we can use an easier algorithm. For example in our purpose of computing a maximal order containing an order O in the matrix ring  $M_4(\mathbb{Q})$ , for each prime dividing discO we compute a finite dimensional algebra A over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of dimension 16 then we quotient A by its radical so  $A/\operatorname{Rad}(A)$  has dimension less than 16 over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and consequently its center Z has dimension at most 16 over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . It is in this final algebra Z that we run our algorithm. Hence provided that all prime factors of discO are much bigger than 16, the following algorithm may give the correct answer with a high probability and less computation time.

## Algorithm 7: CentralIdempotentsCommutativeOneTime

**Input:** Z Semisimple commutative algebra over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  given by structure constants

**Output:** Primitive central idempotents  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r \in A$ 

- 1. Pick a random  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- 2. Compute the minimal polynomial  $\pi_a \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$  of a.
- 3. Factor  $\pi_a = \pi_1 \dots \pi_s$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[T]$ .
- 4. By the Chinese remainder theorem, compute  $h_1, \ldots, h_s \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$  such that  $h_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi_i}$ ,  $h_i \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_j}$  for  $j \neq i$ .
- 5. Compute  $\omega_i := h_i(a) \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ .
- 6. **return** the list  $e_1, \ldots, e_s$

Implementation. The function central\_idempotent\_commutative is available at minimal\_ideals/minimal\_ideals\_manually.sage.

Precise evaluation of the probabilities. The success and complexity of the previous algorithm depend directly on the proportion of elements Z satisfying good algebraic properties. To compute this proportion, we can as well work directly on

$$Z = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_r$$

where  $K_i/\mathbb{F}_p$  are finite field extensions. We denote also n the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -dimension of Z, that is:

$$n = [K_1 : \mathbb{F}_p] + \dots + [K_r : \mathbb{F}_p]$$

Since we will not know a priori the value of r when running the algorithm, n is the only reasonable bound that we can put on r.

Now let us define two subsets of Z:

$$S_0 := \{ a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in Z \mid \pi_{a_1} = \dots = \pi_{a_r} \}$$

$$S_1 := \{ a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in Z \mid \exists i \neq j \quad \pi_{a_i} = \pi_{a_j} \}$$

and let us denote  $d_i = \frac{\text{Card}S_i}{\text{Card}Z}$  their densities:  $0 \leq d_i \leq 1$ 

Then:

- 1. The success of the algorithm CentralIdempotentsCommutativeSplit depends on whether  $d_0$  is near zero for the first split, and afterward we have to deal with the recursion.
- 2. The success of the algorithm CentralIdempotentsCommutativeOneTime depends only on whether  $d_1$  is near zero.

## B. Proof of the Hasse-Minkowski Theorem

**Theorem B.1** (Hasse-Minkowski theorem). A quadratic form over Q is isotropic if and only if it is isotropic over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for all prime p and over  $\mathbb{R}$ . In other words if n is a positive integer, and  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Q}$  then  $a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_nx_n^2 = 0$  has a non trivial in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  if and only if it has nontrivial solution over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for all prime p and  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma B.2.** This hold for ternary quadratic forms, that's mean n = 3.

Proof of lemma B.2. Let Q be a ternary quadratic form over Q, by digonalization theorem Q is equivalent over Q (and so over all the  $Q_p$  to a diganal quadratic form:

$$aX^2 + bY^2 + cZ^2.$$

Multiplying by -c, Q is similar we can suppose that

$$aX^2 + bY^2 - Z^2$$

References

- [1] J. E. Cremona and D. Rusin. Efficient solution of rational conics. *Mathematics of Computation*, 72(243):1417–1441, 2003.
- [2] Tímea Csahók, Péter Kutas, Mickaël Montessinos, and Gergely Zábrádi. Explicit isomorphisms of quaternion algebras over quadratic global fields, 2022.
- [3] Alexander J. Diesl, Samuel J. Dittmer, and Pace P. Nielsen. Idempotent lifting and ring extensions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 143(9):3807–3811, 2015.
- [4] W. Eberly and M. Giesbrecht. Efficient decomposition of associative algebras. In Y. N. Lakshman, editor, *Proceedings of the 1996 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC'96)*, pages 170–178, New York, 1996. ACM.
- [5] Wayne Eberly. Computations for Algebras and Group Representations. PhD thesis, University of Toronto, 1989. http://www.cpsc.ucalgary.ca/~eberly/Research/Papers/phdthesis.pdf.
- [6] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely. Central Simple Algebras and Galois Cohomology, volume 101 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2006.

- [7] Gábor Ivanyos and Lajos Rónyai. Finding maximal orders in semisimple algebras over q. *Computational Complexity*, 3:245–261, 1993.
- [8] Gábor Ivanyos, Ádám D. Lelkes, and Lajos Rónyai. Improved algorithms for splitting full matrix algebras, 2012.
- [9] Gábor Ivanyos and Ágnes Szántó. Lattice basis reduction for indefinite forms and an application. *Discrete Mathematics*, 153(1-3):177–188, 1996. Proceedings of the 5th Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (Florence, 1993).
- [10] Jana Pílniková. Trivializing a central simple algebra of degree 4 over the rational numbers. Journal of Symbolic Computation, 42(6):579–586, 2007.
- [11] Lajos Rónyai. Computing the structure of finite algebras. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 9(3):355–373, 1990.
- [12] Lajos Rónyai. Algorithmic properties of maximal orders in simple algebras over q. *Computational Complexity*, 2:225–243, 1992.
- [13] J.H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2009.
- [14] J.H. Silverman. Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2013.
- [15] Denis Simon. Solving norm equations in relative number fields using S-units. Mathematics of Computation, 71(239):1301-1321, 2002.
- [16] Denis Simon. Solving quadratic equations using reduced unimodular quadratic forms. *Mathematics of Computation*, 74(251):1531–1543, 2005.
- [17] John Voight. Identifying the matrix ring: algorithms for quaternion algebras and quadratic forms, 2012.
- [18] John Voight. Quaternion Algebras, volume 288 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2021.