神經網路與損失函數

黃志勝（Tommy Huang）

義隆電子 人工智慧研發部

國立陽明交通大學 AI學院 合聘助理教授

國立台北科技大學 電資學院合聘助理教授

Outline

- 1.類神經網路(Neural Network, NN)
- 2.感知機(Perception)
- 3. Multi-layer perception (MLP)
- 4. How NN work?
- 5. Loss function

類神經網路

基本上神經網路是基於感知機(Perceptron)神經網路開始，主要是希望用數學模型去模擬神經細胞的運作模式。

Input　　　　　　**Node**　　　　　　**Output**

$$\sum_i w_i x_i + b$$

Weighted sum

Activation Function f

$$y = f\left(\sum_i w_i x_i + b\right)$$

權重(w_i): Dendrite

Input(x_i) and output (y) node: Synapse

Node: Cell body

Output: Axon

# NN for classification problem

$f(x)=x1+x2-0.5$

$1 \times x_1 + 1 \times x_2 + (-0.5)$

Perception

Perception can learn the nonlinear representation by activation function.

Perception

Inputs

Output

x_1 w_1

activation

x_2 w_2

x_d w_d

w_0

$$y = f(w_{10} + w_{11}x_1 + w_{12}x_2 + \cdots + w_{1d}x_d) = f(\boldsymbol{W}^T\boldsymbol{x})$$

Classification: $f = \begin{cases} 1 & \boldsymbol{W}^T\boldsymbol{x} \geq 0 \\ 0 & O.W. \end{cases}$

Regression: $f(\boldsymbol{W}^T\boldsymbol{x})$

$$\boldsymbol{W} = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_d \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{bmatrix}$$

神經元與回歸

神經元

Inputs

x_1

W_1

x_2

W_2

activation

Output

W_0

x_d

W_d

$=$

回歸

$$f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_d x_d$$

$$y = \sigma\big(f(x)\big) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-f(x)}} = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\beta^T x}}$$

Perception with linear output is the linear regression.

NN: backpropagation

Regression: OLSE (ordinary least squares estimator).

NN for XOR problem

- Exclusive OR (XOR Boolean function)
- It's impossible to find a single straight line to separate two classes.

Truth Table for the XOR problem			
x1	x2	AND	Class
0	0	0	B
0	1	1	A
1	0	1	A
1	1	0	B

NN for XOR problem

XOR

OR
$$h_1(x) = x_1 + x_2 - 0.5 = 0$$

AND
$$h_2(x) = x_1 + x_2 - 1.5 = 0$$

NN for XOR problem

OR

$$h_1(x) = x_1 + x_2 - 0.5 = 0$$

AND

$$h_2(x) = x_1 + x_2 - 1.5 = 0$$

NN for XOR problem

XOR

x2

(0,1)   A

B

(1,1)

(0,0)   B

A

$h_2(x)$

(1,0)   x1

$h_1(x)$

OR
$$h_1(x) = x_1 + x_2 - 0.5 = 0$$

AND
$$h_2(x) = x_1 + x_2 - 1.5 = 0$$

NN for XOR problem

從原始特徵空間做特徵轉換(特徵萃取)

$$y = h_1(x) - 2h_1(x) - 0.5$$

Truth Table for XOR problem				
x1	x2	$h_1(x)$	$h_2(x)$	Class
0	0	0(-)	0(-)	B
0	1	1(+)	0(-)	A
1	0	1(+)	0(-)	A
1	1	1(+)	1(+)	B

Two Layer Perception

$$y_1 = f(h_1(x)) = f(x_1 + x_2 - 0.5)$$

OR

x_1 1

1

1   -0.5

$$f(h(y)) = f(y_1 - 2y_2 - 0.5)$$

1

1

-2

-0.5

x_2 1

AND

-1.5

$$y_2 = f(h_2(x)) = f(x_1 + x_2 - 1.5)$$

$$f(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ v \geq 0 \\ o & if \ v < 0 \end{cases}$$

Step activation function

類神經網路

但神經訊息傳遞不會像只有一層Single layer perceptron運作，神經網路應該是多個細胞部段將訊息傳遞下去運作的模式，這就是Multilayer perception (MLP)，也就是一般認知的類神經網路。

MLP

在深度學習這樣的層跟層叫做**fully connection**

Activation

- 如果沒有activation function會有什麼影響

$$X_2 = W_1 X_1$$
$$X_3 = W_2 X_2$$
$$X_4 = W_3 X_3$$
$$Y = W_4 X_4$$

$$Y = W_4 W_3 W_2 W_1 X_1$$
$$\color{red}{Y = W X_1}$$
$$W = W_4 W_3 W_2 W_1 X_1$$

結果就只是一層的神經網路而已。

Activation

- 如果沒有activation function會有什麼影響

$$X_2 = W_1 X_1$$
$$X_3 = W_2 X_2$$
$$X_4 = W_3 X_3$$
$$Y = W_4 X_4$$

$$X_2 = f(W_1 X_1)$$
$$X_3 = f(W_2 X_2)$$
$$X_4 = f(W_3 X_3)$$
$$Y = f(W_4 X_4)$$

$$Y = W_4 W_3 W_2 W_1 X_1$$
$$\boldsymbol{Y = W X_1}$$
$$W = W_4 W_3 W_2 W_1 X_1$$

$$Y = f\left(W_4 f\left(W_3 f(W_2 f(W_1 X_1))\right)\right)$$

Activation Function

- Sigmoid、Tanh。

ReLU(Rectified Linear Unit)系列：

- ReLU
- Leaky ReLU
- ReLU6
- PReLU
- RReLU
- ELU (Exponential Linear Unit)
- SELU (Scaled Exponential Linear Units)

Activation function

- $\text{Sigmoid}(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$

將值壓到0~1之間

- $\tanh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}$

將值壓到-1~1之間

Sigmoid近似法

$$y = approxSigmoid(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 * \left(1.5 * \left(\dfrac{\frac{x}{2}}{1 + \frac{x}{2}} \right) + 1 \right) & if \ 0 \le x < 3.4 \\ 0.5 * (0.9444 + 0.0459 * (\frac{x}{2} - 1.7) + 1) & if \ 3.4 \le x \le 5.8 \\ \qquad\qquad 0.9997 & x \ge 5.8 \end{cases}$$

Black: sigmoid
Red: approximate sigmoid

```python
def fast_sigmoid2(x):
    x = 0.5 * x
    flag_neg=0
    if x<0:
        x=-x
        flag_neg=1

    if x < 1.7:
        z = (1.5 * x / (1 + x))
    elif x < 2.9:
        z = (0.9444 + 0.0459 * (x - 1.7))
    else:
        z = 0.9997
    if flag_neg==1:
        z=-z
    return 0.5 * (z + 1.)
```

Activation function: ReLU系列

- $\mathrm{ReLU}(x) = \max(0, x) = \begin{cases} x & if \; x > 0 \\ 0 & O.W. \end{cases}$

- $\mathrm{ReLU6}(x) = \min(\max(0, x), 6) = \begin{cases} 6 & if \; x \geq 6 \\ x & if \; 0 < x < 6 \\ 0 & O.W. \end{cases}$

- $\mathrm{LeakyReLU}(x) = \max(0, x) + a * \min(0, x) = \begin{cases} x & if \; x > 0 \\ ax & O.W. \end{cases}$ $(default \; a = 0.1)$

- $\mathrm{PReLU}(x) = \max(0, x) + a * \min(0, x) = \begin{cases} x & if \; x \geq 0 \\ ax & O.W. \end{cases}$ $(a是訓練得到，init設定: 0.25)$

- $\mathrm{RReLU}(x) = \max(0, x) + a * \min(0, x) = \begin{cases} x & if \; x > 0 \\ ax & O.W. \end{cases}$ $(a是隨機 U(lower = \frac{1}{8}, upper = \frac{1}{3})選取)$

- $\mathrm{ELU}(x) = \max(0, x) + \min(0, \alpha * (e^x - 1)) = \begin{cases} x & if \; x > 0 \\ \alpha * (e^x - 1) & O.W. \end{cases}$

- $\mathrm{SELU}(x) = scale(\max(0, x) + \min(0, \alpha * (e^x - 1))) = scale \begin{cases} x & if \; x > 0 \\ \alpha * (e^x - 1) & O.W. \end{cases}$

  α=1.6732632423543772848170429916717
  scale=1.0507009873554804934193349852946

  實驗驗證，小數取兩位數即可，α=1.67, scale=1.05

SELU係數精度實驗

- Model: LeNet 加強版
- Database: Cifar-10
- 看分類正確率隨著learning epoch變化的影響

SELU係數小數位數全取

Test Accuracy

$$SELU(x) = scale\big(max(0, x) + min(0, \alpha * (e^x - 1))\big)$$
$$= scale \begin{cases} x & if\ x > 0 \\ \alpha * (e^x - 1) & O.W. \end{cases}$$

α=1.6732632423543772848170429916717
scale=1.0507009873554804934193349852946

SELU
小數位數取2位

Test Accuracy

SELU
小數位數取6位

Test Accuracy

Activation function: ReLU系列

Polyhedral Regions

$y_1 = f(h_1(x))$

x_1

$y_2 = f(h_2(x))$ $f(h(y))$

x_2

$y_3 = f(h_3(x))$

Polyhedral Regions

activation function = step function

$y_1 = f(h_1(x))$

$y_2 = f(h_2(x))$ $f(h(y))$

x_1

x_2

$y_3 = f(h_3(x))$

The first layer of neurons divides the input d-dimensional space into polyhedral, which are formed by hyperplane intersections.

$h_3(x)$

B
111

A
011

B
110

B
010

$h_2(x)$

A
001

A
000

B
100

$h_1(x)$

Polyhedral Regions

All vectors located within one of these polyhedral regions are mapped onto a specific vertex of the unit hypercube.

$y_1 = f(h_1(x))$

$y_2 = f(h_2(x))$ $f(h(y))$

x_1

x_2

$y_3 = f(h_3(x))$

Dimension Reduction(Feature Projection)

X空間轉換到特徵空間

$$y = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{x}$$

X空間轉換到Y空間

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}$$

Principle Component Analysis

NN and Dimension Reduction

PCA的投影軸必須正交

$x'_2 = w_{12}x_1 + w_{22}x_2$

$x'_1 = w_{11}x_1 + w_{21}x_2$

$$x' = \begin{bmatrix} x'_1 \\ x'_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = W^T x$$

拓展
為**d**維

$$x' = \begin{bmatrix} x_1' \\ \vdots \\ x_d' \end{bmatrix} = W^T x = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & \cdots & w_{1d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{d1} & \cdots & w_{dd} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{bmatrix}$$

NN and Dimension Reduction

- **Principle Component Analysis**

$$\boldsymbol{x}' = \begin{bmatrix} x_1' \\ \vdots \\ x_d' \end{bmatrix} = W^T \boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & \dots & w_{1d} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{d1} & \dots & w_{dd} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{bmatrix}$$

PCA的投影軸必須正交

神經網路可以學習出任意軸
軸跟軸之間沒有任何假設

PCA是NN的一個special case

NN and Ensemble Learning

NN

Ensemble Learning

Introduction (loss function)

In learning algorithm, there is an assumption, which may accompany with an object function.

Regression: minimizing the mean square errors (MSE)

K-mean: minimizing the mean of square error between data and centers.

PCA: maximizing the variance of project data.

SVM: maximizing the margin.

Sometimes the same model but different object function can lead different results. (Linear regression and ridge regression)

Regression

$$\min_{\beta}\{\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{y}, y)$$

Ridge regression

$$\min_{\beta}\{\mathrm{MSE}(\hat{y}, y) + \lambda L_2 \mathrm{norm}(\beta)\}$$

$$L_2 \mathrm{norm}(\beta) = \sum_i \beta_i^2$$

Neural Network
(Number of output node)

Regression case: Number of output node of NN architecture is 1.

Classification case: Number of output node of NN architecture is L (Number of class in define problem). ->**memberships (call logits in DL) for each class (such as posterior probability in ML).**

Q: Why number of output node in this toy case is 1?

ANS: It's a binary classification problem.
A simple threshold can be defined as decision boundary, see the Logistic regression.

Neural Network
(Number of output node)

羅吉斯迴歸

$$f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x = 0$$

$$f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x \geq 0$$

$$y = \begin{cases} 0 & f(x) < 0 \\ 1 & f(x) \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$f(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x < 0$$

$$\sigma\big(f(x)\big) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-f(x)}} = \frac{e^{f(x)}}{1 + e^{f(x)}}$$

$$s\big(f(\mathbf{x})\big) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-f(\mathbf{x})}} = \frac{e^{f(\mathbf{x})}}{1 + e^{f(\mathbf{x})}}$$

$$s\big(f(\mathbf{x})\big) \geq 0.5 \Rightarrow y(\mathbf{x}) = 1$$

閾值=0.5

$$s\big(f(\mathbf{x})\big) < 0.5 \Rightarrow y(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

Neural Network

$$x \qquad h(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x \qquad f\big(h(x)\big) = f(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)$$

$$\beta_1$$

$$\beta_0$$

Logistic Regression	NN	Activation
$f(x)$	$h(x)$	None
$\sigma(f(x))$	$f(h(x))$	Sigmoid

Introduction

1. Regression

   MSE, MAE, Huber Loss

2. Classification

   Cross entropy, Focal loss

3. Triple loss

Residual

- Residual: predicted value v.s. target value.

Regression:

$$y - \hat{y}$$

Classification (error):

$$sign(\hat{y}, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \hat{y} = \hat{y} \\ 0 & \hat{y} \neq \hat{y} \end{cases}$$

$$error\ rate = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} sign(\hat{y}_i, y_i)$$

MSE & MAE

Mean Square Error (MSE)

Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

Why square or absolute?

Target value: $y_1 = 0, y_2 = 1$

Predicted value: $\hat{y}_1 = 100$ ， $\hat{y}_2 = 99$

Residual 1=$y_1 - \hat{y}_1 = 0 - 100 = -100$

Residual 2=$y_2 - \hat{y}_2 = 1 - (-99) = 100$

Residual 1+ Residual 2 $= -100 + 100 = 0$

MSE & MAE

Mean Square Error (MSE)

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$

MSE & MAE

Trend of residual (target value =0)

Comparison (MSE&MAE)

With a fair baseline, RMSE (root MSE)

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

Comparison (MSE&MAE)

Change ID5 with a outliner

ID	residual	| residual |	residual^2
1	-10	10	100
2	-5	5	25
3	0	0	0
4	5	5	25
5	10	10	100
MAE=6, RMSE=7.07			

ID	residual	| residual |	residual^2
1	-10	10	100
2	-5	5	25
3	0	0	0
4	5	5	25
5	100	100	10000
MAE=24, RMSE=45.06			

Problem of MSE: more outlier sensitivity.

Comparison (MSE&MAE)

• Problem of MAE: same gradient value.

• When loss is small, it's difficult to reach the optimal target.

$$f_1(x) = x^2, f_1'(x) = 2x$$
$$f_2(x) = |x|, f_2'(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}$$

Gradient update:

$$x^{t+1} \rightarrow x^t + rf'(x)$$

Suppose: $x^0 = 2, \quad r = 0.3$

Comparison (MSE&MAE)

iter:0
x1(red):2.000000
x2(blue):2.000000

t	x^t	$f'(x)$	x^{t+1}	t	x^t	$f'(x)$	x^{t+1}
	$f(x)=x^2$				$f(x)=|x|$		
1	2	4	0.8	1	2	1	1.7
2	0.8	1.6	0.32	2	1.7	1	1.4
3	0.32	0.64	0.128	3	1.4	1	1.1
4	0.128	0.256	0.0512	4	1.1	1	0.8
5	0.0512	0.1024	0.02048	5	0.8	1	0.5
6	0.02048	0.04096	0.008192	6	0.5	1	0.2
7	0.008192	0.016384	0.003277	7	0.2	1	-0.1
8	0.003277	0.006554	0.001311	8	-0.1	-1	0.2
9	0.001311	0.002621	0.000524	9	0.2	1	-0.1
10	0.000524	0.001049	0.00021	10	-0.1	-1	0.20
11	0.000210	0.000419	0.000084	11	0.20	1	-0.1
12	0.000084	0.000168	0.000034	12	-0.10	-1	0.20
13	0.000034	0.000067	0.000013	13	0.20	1	-0.10
14	0.000013	0.000027	0.000005	14	-0.10	-1	0.20
15	0.000005	0.000011	0.000002	15	0.20	1	-0.10
16	0.000002	0.000004	0.000001	16	-0.10	-1	0.20
17	0.000001	0.000002	0.000000	17	0.20	1	-0.10
18	0.000000	0.000001	0.000000	18	-0.10	-1	0.20
19	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	19	0.20	1	-0.10
20	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	20	-0.10	-1	0.20

Huber Loss

Huber loss:

$$Loss(y, \hat{y})$$

$$= \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{2}(y - \hat{y})^2, & |y - \hat{y}| \leq \delta \\[2em] \delta(|y - \hat{y}| - \dfrac{1}{2}\delta), & O.W. \end{cases}$$

δ: parameter of Huber loss.

MAE, MSE & Huber Loss

ID	residual	| residual |	residual^2	Huber ($\delta=1$)	Huber ($\delta=10$)
1	-10	10	100	9.5	50
2	-5	5	25	4.5	12.5
3	0	0	0	0	0
4	5	5	25	4.5	12.5
5	10	10	100	9.5	50
MAE=6, RMSE=7.07 **MeanHuber($\delta=1$)=5.6, MeanHuber($\delta=10$)=25**					

ID	residual	| residual |	residual^2	Huber ($\delta=1$)	Huber ($\delta=10$)
1	-10	10	100	9.5	50
2	-5	5	25	4.5	12.5
3	0	0	0	0	0
4	5	5	25	4.5	12.5
5	100	100	10000	99.5	950
MAE=24, RMSE=45.06 **MeanHuber($\delta=1$)=23.6, MeanHuber($\delta=10$)=205**					

Classification

Classification:

$$sign(\hat{y}, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & y = \hat{y} \\ 0 & y \neq \hat{y} \end{cases}$$

$$error\ rate = 1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} sign(\hat{y}_i, y_i)$$

We hope less error rate more better in classification.

Can we use the classification error rate/accuracy as loss function?

Classification

	Target (Label)	Model 1 (輸出)				Model 2 (輸出)			
		機率輸出			判斷	機率輸出			判斷
		男生	女生	其他		男生	女生	其他	
data 1	男生	0.4	0.3	0.3	男生 (正確)	0.7	0.1	0.2	男生 (正確)
data 2	女生	0.3	0.4	0.3	女生 (正確)	0.1	0.8	0.1	女生 (正確)
data 3	男生	0.5	0.2	0.3	男生 (正確)	0.9	0.1	0	男生 (正確)
data 4	其他	0.8	0.1	0.1	男生 (錯誤)	0.4	0.3	0.3	男生 (錯誤)
		模型1錯誤率: 1/4=0.25				模型2錯誤率: 1/4=0.25			

Can we observe any difference between model 1 & 2 from **error rate**?
NO…
BUT we can observe that model 2 has better probability outputs than model 1.
Error rate cannot as learning object for learning updating, it's just a metric for evaluating model performance.

Classification

- How do we make decision for a new sample in classification model?

- **ANS: posterior probability.**

Cross-entropy

- Cross-entropy is usually used in classification loss.

- **Entropy**: the average of information which is produced by a stochastic source of data.

- **Information gain**: (suppose X is a random variable)

$$I(x) = -log_2(p(x))$$

Information gain

A is stupid, and his grades usually are around 50 marks.

B is smart, and his grades usually are almost 100 marks.

Probability to pass the exam for A: $p(x_A) = 0.4$

$$I(x_A) = -log_2(p(x_A)) = 1.322$$

Probability to pass the exam for B: $p(x_B) = 0.99$

$$I(x_B) = -log_2(p(x_B)) = 0.014$$

Entropy

Entropy: the average of information which is produced by a stochastic source of data.

In information theory,

Entropy = Shannon entropy

$$H(X) = \sum_i -p_i log_2(p_i)$$

Generally, entropy refers to uncertainty for the random variable *X*.

Entropy

$$p(x_A = pass) = 0.4, \qquad p(x_A = fail) = 0.6$$

$$p(x_B = pass) = 0.99, \qquad p(x_B = fail) = 0.01$$

$$H(X) = \sum_i -p_i \log_2(p_i)$$

$$H(X_A) = -0.4 \log(0.4) - 0.6 \log(0.6) = 0.971$$
$$H(X_B) = -0.99 \log(0.99) - 0.01 \log(0.01) = 0.081$$

Same conclusion for information gain.

$$I(x_A) = -\log_2\big(p(x_A)\big) = 1.322$$
$$I(x_B) = -\log_2\big(p(x_B)\big) = 0.014$$

Entropy

When p=0.5 has the largest entropy.

Entropy

Histogram of normal distribution

Histogram of uniform distribution

$p(X_A) = \begin{cases} 0.1 & x = 1 \\ 0.15 & x = 2 \\ 0.5 & x = 3 \\ 0.15 & x = 4 \\ 0.1 & x = 5 \end{cases}$	$p(X_A) = \begin{cases} 0.01 & x = 1 \\ 0.09 & x = 2 \\ 0.8 & x = 3 \\ 0.09 & x = 4 \\ 0.01 & x = 5 \end{cases}$	$p(X_B) = \begin{cases} 0.2 & x = 1 \\ 0.2 & x = 2 \\ 0.2 & x = 3 \\ 0.2 & x = 4 \\ 0.2 & x = 5 \end{cases}$
$H(X_A) = 1.985$	$H(X_A) = 1.016$	$H(X_B) = 2.322$

Cross-entropy

Formula of cross- entropy:

$$CE = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{c=1}^{C} -y_{c,i}\, log_2(p_{c,i})$$

C: number of class (male, female, other)

n: number of data

$y_{c,i}$: binary indicator (0 or 1) from one hot encode (*i*-th data assigns to *c*-class)

$p_{c,i}$: probability of *i*-th data assigns to *c*-class

One hot encode
(Dummy variable)

	Male	Female	Other
Data 1	1	0	0
Data 2	0	1	0
Data 3	1	0	0
Data 4	0	0	1

Data 1	Male
Data 2	Female
Data 3	Male
Data 4	Other

Male
Female
Other

Cross-entropy

$$CE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{C} -y_{c,i} log_2(p_{c,i})$$

		Model 1 (輸出)					
		機率輸出			實際One-hot encode		
	Target (Label)	男生	女生	其他	男生	女生	其他
data 1	男生	0.4	0.3	0.3	1	0	0
data 2	女生	0.3	0.4	0.3	0	1	0
data 3	男生	0.5	0.2	0.3	1	0	0
data 4	其他	0.8	0.1	0.1	0	0	1
		模型1錯誤率: 1/4=0.25					
		Cross-entropy=6.966					

Data 1:

$$\sum_{c=1}^{C} -y_{c,1} log_2(p_{c,1})$$

$$= -1 * \log(0.4) - 0 * \log(0.3) - 0$$
$$* \log(0.3) = 1.322$$

Data 4:

$$\sum_{c=1}^{C} -y_{c,4} log_2(p_{c,4})$$

$$= -0 * \log(0.8) - 0 * \log(0.1) - 1$$
$$* \log(0.1) = 3.3219$$

SO less probability data has larger loss function (entropy value)→learning target.

Cross-entropy
for evaluating the model performance

	Model 1 (輸出)					
		機率輸出			實際One-hot encode		
	Target (Label)	男生	女生	其他	男生	女生	其他
data 1	男生	0.4	0.3	0.3	1	0	0
data 2	女生	0.3	0.4	0.3	0	1	0
data 3	男生	0.5	0.2	0.3	1	0	0
data 4	其他	0.8	0.1	0.1	0	0	1
	模型1錯誤率: 1/4=0.25						
	Cross-entropy=6.966						

	Model 2 (輸出)					
		機率輸出			實際One-hot encode		
	Target (Label)	男生	女生	其他	男生	女生	其他
data 1	男生	0.7	0.1	0.2	1	0	0
data 2	女生	0.1	0.8	0.1	0	1	0
data 3	男生	0.9	0.1	0	1	0	0
data 4	其他	0.4	0.3	0.3	0	0	1
	模型1錯誤率: 1/4=0.25						
	Cross-entropy= 2.310						

Cross-entropy for loss function

$$CE\ loss = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{c=1}^{C} -y_{c,i} log_2(p_{c,i})$$

Focal loss (1/3)

Cross-entropy (CE) for $y \in \{\pm 1\}$

$$CE(p, y) = \begin{cases} -\log(p), & if \ y = 1 \\ -\log(1 - p), & if \ y = -1 \end{cases}$$

$$CE(p, y) = CE(p_t) = -\log(p_t), \qquad p_t = \begin{cases} p, & if \ y = 1 \\ 1 - p, & if \ y = -1 \end{cases}$$

Focal loss (2/3)

α-balanced cross-entropy:

$$CE(p_t) = -\alpha \log(p_t)$$

BUT it's not effect for larger class unbalance problem.

Modulating factor:

$$(1 - p_t)^r$$

r: focusing parameter, $r \geqq 0$.

Focal loss:

$$FL(p_t) = -(1 - p_t)^r \log(p_t)$$

α-balanced focal loss:

$$FL(p_t) = -\alpha(1 - p_t)^r \log(p_t)$$

# Focal loss (3/3)

Why Focal loss? (Proposed in RetainNet)

在訓練階段的特徵圖對應的Anchor
有**90%**都是沒用的背景anchor，所以要怎麼抑制背景的anchor。
怎麼強化判錯的Anchor的loss?
Focal loss。


3*3的特徵圖

7*7的特徵圖

Triple Loss

Triple

Anchor: a randomly training data with label c

Positive: training data in label c

Negative: training data in other labels

Triple Loss

Anchor : x_i^a

Positive : x_i^p

Negative : x_i^n

Encoder network : $f(x)$

Anchor : $f(x_i^a)$

Positive : $f(x_i^p)$

Negative : $f(x_i^n)$

triple loss aims to

$dist(f(x_i^a),(x_i^p)) \downarrow$

$dist(f(x_i^a),(x_i^n)) \uparrow$

Triple Loss

$$dist(f(x_i^a), f(x_i^p)) + \alpha < dist(f(x_i^a), f(x_i^n))$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\| f(x_i^a) - f(x_i^p) \right\|_2^2 + \alpha < \left\| f(x_i^a) - f(x_i^n) \right\|_2^2$$

$$\arg\min \{ \sum_i \left(\left\| f(x_i^a) - f(x_i^p) \right\|_2^2 + \alpha - \left\| f(x_i^a) - f(x_i^n) \right\|_2^2 \right) \}$$

$$\arg\min \sum_i \left[\left\| f(x_i^a) - f(x_i^p) \right\|_2^2 + \alpha - \left\| f(x_i^a) - f(x_i^n) \right\|_2^2 \right]_+$$

Triple Loss

$$[d_p - d_n + \alpha]_+ = \begin{cases} d_p - d_n + \alpha & d_p - d_n + \alpha > 0 \\ 0 & d_p - d_n + \alpha < 0 \end{cases}$$

Conclusion

MSE, MAE, Huber loss, triple loss do the same thing.

Similarity measurement.

Cosine loss

Can MSE be a loss for classification?