Milestone 3 review: Review Summary

Fall 2018

Summary and plans (to be filled out by the team after M3 review meeting and submitted with Milestone 5 documents)

Team: 8 Date: 11/14/18 Number of students present: 7

UI and functionality feedback (P1 functions only)

• Home page

Remove the home button

Have a title

Tell what the site is because title is misleading

Remove contact information in the footer

Change the placement of the logout button

Do not waste real estate space

• Search (including search field validation)

Remove the second search bar

Remove the categories side panel

• Search results

Show how many results are displayed e.g 1-10 out of 50

Filtering

Try to sort by price

• Search Details and maps

Show price consistently

Remove the additional information section from the item details

Messaging/contact seller

Change the contact button from red to yellow

Change the name of the button from message to contact

- Listing Upload
- Seller dashboard

Decrease buttons and actions for the seller

- UI responsiveness (resize the browser)
 Compact UI so that there is less scrolling
- Performance (e.g. display of results list)

Feedback on coding style, github, database etc.

Our Github comments should be more descriptive. We also need to keep the database simpler.

Feedback on Project status

- Teamwork: is the team working out, any related issues □
 Teamwork is going well and everyone has tasks to complete.
- Risks: all actual (not hypothetical) risks (schedule, technical, skills etc.) should be identified and either resolved or plans made how to resolve them asap.
 There are no upcoming risks.
- Coding practices: by this time you should have decided which coding style to use and actually use it, so be ready to report on this. Actual code will be verified

Header comments should be descriptive, and there should be comments in the code if there is a complex feature

• Usage of proper SE code management practices: be ready to comment on how you manage code submissions, reviews, build and test etc. This will be verified by looking at actual code and server logs

Everyone is pushing to the github and leaving efficient code reviews.

How did you address site security and safe coding practices: PW management, use of secure protocols, checking for valid inputs etc.

We currently have the password secured

There is a check to see if the email is valid by checking to see if the correct special characters are used

There is also a check to verify that the password matches when logging in We need to add a captcha and a terms and conditions checkbox

• Digital content (e.g. images, video) please provide information on status and availability, chosen formats, resolution etc.

All of the pictures for the items we plan to display are displayed on our website, we have about fifty images and this was approved

- Agree on final P1 list and from then on focus only on those
 - 1). Unregistered user shall be able to browse the items.
 - 2). Unregistered user shall be able to search the items.
 - 3). Unregistered user shall be asked for registration when posting an item.
 - 4). Registered user shall be able to post items.
 - 5). Registered user shall be able to login by using username and password.
 - 6). Registered user shall be able to contact seller.
 - 7). Registered user shall be able to access the seller dashboard.
 - 8). Admin user shall be able to access the admin dashboard.
- Other
- List of committed P1 functions (agreed upon at the review meeting)
- Action items (plans based on feedback above)
 - We need to fix the UI issues listed above