

Math 133 Homework 3

Tom Slavonia

April 30, 2024

1.

a.

Proof. Note that as x approaches $\pm\pi$, the function term $(\pi - x)^2$ and $(\pi + x)$ will become very large with the function being undefined at $x = \pm\pi$, but we define the function to be 0 there filling in the gaps. Note that the product of differentiable functions is differentiable, and thus the product of C^∞ functions is C^∞ . Therefore, we must show that

$$e^{-\frac{1}{(\pi-x)^2}} \text{ and } e^{-\frac{1}{(\pi+x)^2}}$$

are C^∞ . But, on the previous homework, we proved that

$$F(x) \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}, & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

is C^∞ and our current function is simply a change of variables away from $F(x)$. Therefore,

$$e^{-\frac{1}{(\pi-x)^2}} \text{ and } e^{-\frac{1}{(\pi+x)^2}}$$

are C^∞ and so f is C^∞ . To extend the function to \mathbb{R} we want to shift the input of f into $[-\pi, \pi]$ from anywhere on \mathbb{R} and we can do this with the function

$$f(x) = f\left(x - 2\pi \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor\right).$$

□

b.

Proof. Integrating a_n with integration by parts, and taking $u = f(x)$ and $dv = e^{-inx}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[-f(x) \frac{e^{-inx}}{in} \right]_0^{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{in} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f'(x) e^{-inx} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{in} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f'(x) e^{-inx} dx$$

as we have that

$$-e^{-in\pi} + e^{in\pi} = -\cos(-n\pi) - i\sin(-n\pi) + \cos(n\pi) + i\sin(n\pi) = -\cos(n\pi) + \cos(n\pi) = 0.$$

Repeating the process k -times we get that

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{i^k n^k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

Taking the modulus we have

$$|a_n| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{i^k n^k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx} dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{n^k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx}| dx$$

but, f is C^∞ , so

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx} dx \right| < \infty$$

say

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx} dx = C_k$$

for some $C_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, we have

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{n^k} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx}| dx = C_k n^{-k}.$$

□

2.

Proof. Suppose $A_k = \{a_{k,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ $k = 1, 2, \dots$ is a Cauchy sequence. For all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall k, k' > N$

$$|a_{k,n} - a_{k',n}| \leq \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_{k,j} - a_{k',j}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|A_k - A_{k'}\|_{l^2(\mathbb{Z})} < \epsilon.$$

We know $(a_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence of complex numbers and it converges to a limit, denote this as b_n . Therefore $a_{k_j} \rightarrow b_j$ for some b_j as $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$b = (\dots, b_{-1}, b_0, b_1, \dots).$$

Since, $(a_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_{k,n} - a_{k',n}| < \epsilon$$

for sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\sum_{j=-M}^M |b_j - a_{k_j}| = \sum_{j=-M}^M \left| \lim_{k' \rightarrow \infty} (a_{k'_j}) - a_{k_j} \right|^2 = \lim_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=-M}^M |a_{k'_j} - a_{k_j}|^2$$

$$\leq \limsup_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_{k'_j} - a_{k_j}|^2 \leq \limsup_{k' \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon = \epsilon.$$

If we take $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have that $a_k \rightarrow b$. Due to this convergence, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall k > N$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=-N}^N |b_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left(\sum_{j=-N}^N |b_n - a_{k,n} + a_{k,n}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \left(\sum_{j=-N}^N |b_n - a_{k,n}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_{j=-N}^N |a_{k,n}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \epsilon + M \end{aligned}$$

as A_k is in $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$, so $\left(\sum_{j=-N}^N |a_{k,n}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < M$ and step (a) is justified by the triangle inequality. Thus, $b \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})$. □

3.

Proof. Begin by noting that we have previously found that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} D_N(\theta) d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} d\theta = 2\pi.$$

Note that the difference

$$\frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} - \frac{2}{\theta}$$

is continuous on $[-\pi, \pi]$. Look at the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} d\theta - 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} - \frac{2 \sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} - \frac{2}{\theta} \right) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

and thus we can apply Riemann-Lebesgue lemma to state that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} - \frac{2}{\theta} \right) d\theta = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} d\theta - 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta \right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(2\pi - 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta \right) = 0$$

and since $\frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta}$ is an even function we have

$$2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta = 4 \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta$$

so now

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(2\pi - 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta \right) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(2\pi - 4 \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta \right) = 0.$$

Therefore, we must have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(2\pi - 4 \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta \right) = 2\pi - 4 \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta = 0$$

which implies

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta\right)}{\theta} d\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Using the change of variables $x = \left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta$, we have that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{(N + \frac{1}{2})\pi} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin(x)}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

□

4.

Proof. Begin with

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx$$

Taking the norm we get that

$$|\hat{f}(n)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x) e^{-inx}| dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)| dx$$

as $|e^{-inx}| = 1$. Since $f(x) \in C^k$ it is also differentiable, implying $\hat{f}(n) \in C^1$. Using integration by parts with $dv = e^{-inx}$ and $u = f(x)$ we have that

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[-f(x) \frac{e^{-inx}}{in} \right]_0^{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{in} \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

Beuase $e^{-in2\pi} = \cos(2\pi n) - \sin(2\pi n) = 1 = e^0$ we have

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[-f(x) \frac{e^{-inx}}{in} \right]_0^{2\pi} + \frac{1}{in} \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x) e^{-inx} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{in} \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

Perform this process k times and we will obtain

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{i^k n^k} \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\hat{f}(n)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{i^k n^k} \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx} dx \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{n^k} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx} dx \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{n^k} \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(k)}(x) e^{-inx}| dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{n^k} \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(k)}(x)| dx.
\end{aligned}$$

With $f \in C^k$ we have that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(k)}(x)| dx < \infty$$

and thus, as we send $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{n^k} \int_0^{2\pi} |f^{(k)}(x)| dx = 0.$$

Thus, $\hat{f}(n)$ is $o\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right)$. □

5.

Suppose f is a 2π -periodic function and satisfies the Lipschitz condition with constant K .

a.

Proof. To solve this problem we will use Parseval's identity that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 = \|f\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Using the identity, we have the result

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g_h(x)|^2 dx &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{g}_h(n)|^2 \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (f(x+h) - f(x-h)) e^{-inx} dx \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x+h) e^{-inx} dx - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x-h) e^{-inx} dx \right|^2 \\
&\stackrel{(c)}{=} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{inh} \int_0^{2\pi} f(u) e^{-inu} du - \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-inh} \int_0^{2\pi} f(v) e^{-inv} dv \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{inh} \hat{f}(n) - e^{-inh} \hat{f}(n)|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n) (e^{inh} - e^{-inh})|^2 \\
&\stackrel{(d)}{=} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f} 2i \sin(nh)|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 4 |\sin(nh)|^2 |\hat{f}(n)|^2
\end{aligned}$$

with steps (a) – (d) justified:

(a) Parseval's identity

(b) $g_h(x) = f(x+h) - f(x-h)$

(c) using change of variable $u = x+h$ in the first integral, and $v = x-h$ in the second integral

(d) using that cosine is even and sine is odd

$$e^{inh} - e^{-inh} = \cos(nh) + i \sin(nh) - \cos(-nh) - i \sin(-nh) = \cos(nh) + i \sin(nh) - \cos(nh) + i \sin(nh) = 2i \sin(nh).$$

Using our previous result we have that

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g_n(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\sin(nh)|^2 |\hat{f}(n)|^2$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\sin(nh)|^2 |\hat{f}(n)|^2 &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g_n(x)|^2 dx \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x-h)|^2 dx \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (K|x+h - (x-h)|)^2 dx \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} 4K^2 h^2 dx \\
&= K^2 h^2
\end{aligned}$$

with steps (a) – (b) justified:

(a) $g_h(x) = f(x+h) - f(x-h)$

(b) Lipschitz continuity of f .

□

b.

Proof. By the previous part

$$\sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} \left| \sin\left(n \frac{\pi}{2^{p+1}}\right) \right|^2 |\hat{f}(n)|^2 \leq \frac{K^2 \pi^2}{2^{2p+2}}.$$

Since $2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \sin\left(2^{p-1} \frac{\pi}{2^{p+1}}\right) \leq \sin\left(n \frac{\pi}{2^{p+1}}\right) \leq \sin\left(2^p \frac{\pi}{2^{p+1}}\right) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 = \sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right|^2 |\hat{f}(n)|^2 = \sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} \left| \sin\left(2^{p-1} \frac{\pi}{2^{p+1}}\right) \right|^2 |\hat{f}(n)|^2 \leq \sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} \left| \sin\left(n \frac{\pi}{2^{p+1}}\right) \right|^2 |\hat{f}(n)|^2$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 \leq \frac{K^2 \pi^2}{2^{2p+2}}$$

implying

$$\sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 \leq \frac{K^2 \pi^2}{2^{2p+1}}.$$

□

c

Proof. With the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\left(\sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} |\hat{f}(n)| \right)^2 \leq \sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 \sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} 1 \leq 2^p \frac{K^2 \pi^2}{2^{2p+1}} = \frac{K^2 \pi^2}{2^{p+1}} < \infty.$$

□

Now we have

$$\sum_{2^{p-1} < |n| < 2^p} |\hat{f}(n)| < \infty$$

and so we may apply the absolute convergence corollary (Corollary 2.3) to state that the Fourier series converges uniformly to f .