

EE381K: Large Scale Optimization — Fall 2015

PROBLEM SET THREE

Constantine Caramanis

Due: Tuesday, September 22, 2015.

Reading Assignments

1. (?) Reading: Boyd & Vandenberghe: Chapters 9.1 - 9.5.

Matlab and Computational Assignments. Please provide a printout of the Matlab code you wrote to generate the solutions to the problems below.

1. Consider the non-quadratic problem given in Eq. (9.20) in B & V. Implement five flavors of gradient descent algorithms, and provide the convergence plots for all five.
 - (a) Standard gradient descent with backtracking.
 - (b) Two kinds of Steepest Descent, using the two matrices suggested in the book:

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (c) Cyclic coordinate descent, and greedy coordinate descent, as defined in class.

Written Problems

1. Coordinate Descent

- (a) Give an example that shows that coordinate descent may not find the optimum of a convex function. That is, provide a simple function f and a point x such that coordinate descent starting from x will *not* get to the global minimum of f .
- (b) Let $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 + 3xy$, where x, y are scalars. Note that f is not convex. Would coordinate descent with exact line search always converge to a stationary point ?

2. **Condition Number.** We saw in class that a fixed step size is able to guarantee linear convergence. The choice of step size we gave in class, however, depended on the function f . Show that it is not possible to choose a fixed step size t , that gives convergence for any strongly convex function. That is, for any fixed step size t , show that there exists (by finding one!) a smooth (twice continuously-differentiable) strongly convex function with bounded Hessian, such that a fixed-stepsize gradient algorithm starting from some point x_0 , does not converge to the optimal solution.

3. **Decreasing Stepsize.**¹ The previous problem shows that no constant step-size works for every strongly convex function. Consider now, a decreasing step size. Thus, at time k , you use step size $t_k \geq 0$. Show that if this sequence of step sizes satisfies:

$$\lim_k t_k = 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_k = \infty,$$

¹This problem borrowed from Nati Srebro.

then gradient descent converges to the global optimal solution. Hint: Recall that strong convexity implies lower and upper bounds on the Hessian. Each of these bounds in turn gives lower and upper bounds on the value of $f(y)$ with respect to $f(x)$. Use one of these two show that for k large enough,

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(x_k) - \frac{1}{2}t_k \|\nabla f(x_k)\|_2^2.$$

Use the other inequality to get (lower) bound on $\|\nabla f(x_k)\|$ in terms of the optimality gap. Then put these together to conclude that gradient descent must converge.

4. Convex functions

- (a) If f_i are convex functions, show that $f(x) := \sup_i f_i(x)$ is also convex.
- (b) Show that the largest eigenvalue of a matrix is a convex function of the matrix (i.e. $\lambda_{\max}(M)$ is a convex function of M). Is the same true for the eigenvalue of largest magnitude?
- (c) Consider a weighted graph with edge weight vector w . Fix two nodes a and b . The *weighted shortest path* from a to b is the path whose sum of edge weights is the minimum, among all paths with one endpoint at a and another at b . Let $f(w)$ be the weight of this path. Show that f is a concave function of w .

5. **Convex functions: Jensen's Inequality.** Let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any function. Its epigraph is defined as the set:

$$\text{epi}(f) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : y \geq f(x)\}.$$

- (a) Show that if f is convex, then $\text{epi}(f)$ is also convex.
- (b) Prove (the finite version of) Jensen's inequality. Jensen's inequality says that if p is a distribution on $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ with weights p_1, \dots, p_m , and f is any concave function, then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X)] \leq f(\mathbb{E}(X)).$$

6. **Projection.** We have been discussing only unconstrained problems. We will soon consider constraints. One update we will consider has the following form:

$$x^{(k+1)} = \arg \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left\{ \langle x, \nabla f(x^{(k)}) \rangle + \frac{1}{2t_k} \|x - x^{(k)}\|_2^2 \right\}.$$

Show that the solution is:

$$x^{(k+1)} = \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{X}}(x^{(k)} - t_k \nabla f(x^{(k)})).$$

This is called the *Projected Gradient* algorithm.

7. **Computing Projections.** For the given convex set \mathcal{X} , compute the projection of a point z .

- (a) \mathcal{X} is a rectangle defined by vectors L and U that satisfy $U_i \geq L_i$. Thus, $\mathcal{X} = \{x : L_i \leq x_i \leq U_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$.
- (b) $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}_+^n$.
- (c) Euclidean ball: $\{x : \|x\|_2 \leq 1\}$.
- (d) 1-norm ball: $\{x : \sum_i |x_i| \leq 1\}$.
- (e) Positive semidefinite cone: $S_+^n = \{M \in S^n : x^\top M x \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$.
- (f) Probability simplex: $\mathcal{X} = \{x : \sum_i x_i = 1, x_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$.