

# **CS5228: Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining**

## Lecture 6 — Classification & Regression II

# Course Logistics — Update

- Assignment 2

- Submission deadline: Oct 03, 11.59 pm
- Don't forget to check the Discussion and Errata page on Canvas

- Midterm

- Check new Canvas page for midterm exam
- Report any issues with the practice exam early enough
- If needed, 2nd practice exam during Recess Week
- Coming up: survey regarding request for loaner laptop

- Project

- Submission deadline for progress report: Oct 10, 11.59 om

# Quick Recap — Classification & Regression

- Pattern of interest

- Matching or function between input features and output
- Goal: use matching to predict outputs for unseed samples
- Categorical output → classification
- Numerical output → regression






- Important: Evaluation of predictions

- Straightforward for regression
- Series of metrics for classification  
(accuracy, recall, precision, f1, AUC-ROC)

Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Income Level	Credit Approval	Credit Limit
23	Masters	Single	Mid	No	\$S5,000
35	College	Married	High	Yes	\$S7,000
26	Masters	Single	High	No	\$S9,000
41	PhD	Single	Mid	Yes	\$S5,000
18	Poly	Single	Low	No	\$S6,000
55	Poly	Married	High	Yes	\$S10,000
30	College	Single	High	Yes	\$S8,000
35	PhD	Married	High	Yes	\$S10,000
28	Masters	Married	Mid	Yes	\$S5,000
45	Masters	Married	Mid	???	???

# Quick Recap — KNN Algorithm

- Intuition behind KNN:
  - Label of an unseen data point  $x$  derives from the labels of the  $k$ -nearest neighbors of  $x$
  - Similar data points  $\rightarrow$  similar labels
  - Caveats due to reliance of similarity metric
- Effects of hyperparameter  $k$ 
  - Tradeoff between (risks of) underfitting and overfitting



# Outline

- **Decision Trees**

- **Overview**
- Training Decision Trees
- Overfitting


- **Tree Ensembles**

- Bagging
- Random Forest
- Boosting

# Decision Tree

- Example: Decision Tree for classification

Age	Edu-cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
35	Bachelor	Married	50k	No
26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
18	Bachelor	Single	40k	No
55	Masters	Married	85k	No
30	Bachelor	Single	60k	No
35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes




# Decision Tree

- Decision Tree — idea

- Represent mapping between features and label/value as flowchart-like structure

- Components (a bit simplified at the moment)

- (Inner) node — test on a single feature
- Branch — outcome of a test; corresponds to a feature values or range of values
- Leaf — label (classification) or real value (regression)



# Decision Tree — Application to Unseen Data


Age	Edu-cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
50	PhD	Single	70k	???



Age	Edu-cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
50	PhD	Single	70k	???



Age	Edu-cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
50	PhD	Single	70k	YES







# Decision Tree

- Same dataset, different Decision Tree
  - In general, there are multiple trees that match a dataset


ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
1	23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
2	35	Bachelor	Married	50k	No
3	26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
4	41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
5	18	Bachelor	Single	40k	No
6	55	Masters	Married	85k	No
7	30	Bachelor	Single	60k	No
8	35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
9	28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes



# Which Decision Tree is Better?



Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
50	PhD	Single	70k	Yes



Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
50	PhD	Single	70k	NO

# Quick Quiz


Age	Edu-cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
50	PhD	Married	70k	???



Age	Edu-cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
50	Poly	Single	70k	???





What to do in case  
of **unknown values**  
for a feature?




# Decision Tree — Diversity (Sneak Preview)

- Different branching factors



- Different depth

- Leaves can have more than one label or real value
- Required based on dataset or based on choice (→ Pruning)
- Final output: majority label (classification) or mean of values (regression)



# Outline

- **Decision Trees**

- Overview
- **Training Decision Trees**
- Overfitting

- **Tree Ensembles**

- Bagging
- Random Forest
- Boosting

# Building a Decision Tree


- Notations

- $D_t$  — set of records that reach node  $t$
- $D_0$  — set of all records at root node

- General procedure


- If  $|D_t| = 1$  or all records in  $D_t$  have the same class or value  $\rightarrow t$  is leaf node
- Otherwise, choose test (feature + conditions) to split  $D_t$  into smaller subsets (i.e., subtrees)
- Recursively apply procedure to each subtree

ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
1	23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
2	35	Bachelor	Married	50k	No
3	26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
4	41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
5	18	Bachelor	Single	40k	No
6	55	Masters	Married	85k	No
7	30	Bachelor	Single	60k	No
8	35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
9	28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes




# Building a Decision Tree — Step-by-Step Example

ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
1	23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
2	35	Bachelor	Married	50k	No
3	26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
4	41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
5	18	Bachelor	Single	40k	No
6	55	Masters	Married	85k	No
7	30	Bachelor	Single	60k	No
8	35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
9	28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes



# Building a Decision Tree — Step-by-Step Example

ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
2	35	Bachelor	Married	50k	No
5	18	Bachelor	Single	40k	No
7	30	Bachelor	Single	60k	No




All the same labels → leaf node




# Building a Decision Tree — Step-by-Step Example

ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
2	35	Bachelor	Married	50k	No
5	18	Bachelor	Single	40k	No
7	30	Bachelor	Single	60k	No



# Building a Decision Tree — Step-by-Step Example

ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
1	23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
3	26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
4	41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
6	55	Masters	Married	85k	No
8	35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
9	28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes




Different labels → split set of records

Here: select feature "age" and threshold "50"  
(this selection process is the core of DTL and will be defined later)


# Building a Decision Tree — Step-by-Step Example

ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
1	23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
3	26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
4	41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
6	55	Masters	Married	85k	No
8	35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
9	28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes



# Building a Decision Tree — Step-by-Step Example


ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
1	23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
3	26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
4	41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
8	35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
9	28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes



All the same labels → leaf node

# Building a Decision Tree — Step-by-Step Example


ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
1	23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
3	26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
4	41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
8	35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
9	28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes



# Building a Decision Tree — Step-by-Step Example

ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
6	55	Masters	Married	85k	No


Only one record → leaf node



# Building a Decision Tree — Step-by-Step Example

ID	Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval


All records covered → Done!




# How to Split? — Nominal Attributes

- Binary split


- Partition all  $d$  values into two subsets
- $\frac{2^d - 2}{2}$  possible splits



OR




OR



- Multiway split

- Each value yields on subtree
- In principle, arbitrary splits into  $2 \leq s \leq d$  subtrees possible, but number of possible splits explodes







# How to Split? — Ordinal Attributes

- Binary split


- Partition all  $d$  values into two subsets
- Partitions must preserve natural order of values
- $d - 1$  possible splits



OR




OR



- Multiway split


- Each value yields on subtree




**Note:** Whether "Education" is treated as nominal or ordinal feature is up to interpretation and a design choice of the user

# How to Split? — Numerical Values


- Binary split



- Multiway split




Age
23
35
26
41
18
55
30
35
28




# Quick Quiz

For the **energy rating** of a building, what is generally **not** a suitable split?


A




B



C




D




# Finding the Best Splits?

- Which feature to use for splitting the training records




vs.




Why choose **Age** over **Education**, or vice versa?

- How to split the w.r.t. a selected feature?



vs.



What makes **{B,M}/{P}** a better split than **{B}/{MP}**, or vice versa — or any other alternative way?


# Finding the Best Splits

- Global optimum

- Best splits = splits that result in a Decision Tree with the highest accuracy
- Problem: Finding the optimal tree is NP-complete → not practical for large datasets

- Greedy approach

- Fast(er) heuristics but no guarantees for optimal results
- Basic approach: Pick the split that minimizes the **impurity** of subtrees (w.r.t. class labels)



# Finding the Best Splits

- General procedure

- Calculate impurity  $I(t)$  of node  $t$  before splitting
- For each possible / considered split, calculate impurity of split  $I_{split}$   
(weighted average of impurities of resulting child nodes)
- Select split with lowest impurity  $I_{split}$
- Perform split if  $I_{split} < I(t)$  — (not necessarily always the case)

# Impurity of a Node (Classification)

## Gini Index

$$Gini(t) = 1 - \sum_{c \in C} P(c|t)^2$$

0x YES

6x NO

$$1 - (1.0^2 + 0^2) = 0$$

4x YES

2x NO

$$1 - ((4/6)^2 + (2/6)^2) = 0.44$$

## Entropy

$$Entropy(t) = - \sum_{c \in C} P(c|t) \log P(c|t)$$


$$-(0 \log_2 0 + 1 \log_2 1) = 0$$

$$-(4/6 \log_2 4/6 + 2/6 \log_2 2/6) = 0.92$$

$P(c|t)$  = relative frequency of class  $c$  in node  $t$

# Impurity of a Node (Classification)

- Gini Index vs. Entropy for 2-class problem





# Impurity of a Split (Classification)

- Assume node  $t$  is split into  $k$  children

- $n_i$  — number of records at  $i$ -th child
- $n$  — number of records at node  $t$
- $I(i)$  — impurity of node (e.g., Gini, Entropy)

- Information Gain  $IG$


- Difference between  $I(t)$  and  $I_{split}$
- Choose split that minimizes  $I_{split}$  = split that maximizes  $IG$
- Required condition:  $IG > 0$

Sum of impurity values of all children, weighted by the number of records at each child.

$$I_{split} = \sum_i^k \frac{n_i}{n} I(i)$$

$$IG = I(t) - I_{split}$$

# Impurity of Split (Classification) — Example




$$Gini(t_{parent}) = 1 - \left( \left( \frac{5}{9} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{4}{9} \right)^2 \right) = 0.49$$

$$Gini(t_{single}) = 1 - \left( \left( \frac{3}{5} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^2 \right) = 0.48$$

$$Gini(t_{married}) = 1 - \left( \left( \frac{2}{4} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{2}{4} \right)^2 \right) = 0.5$$

$$Gini_{split} = \frac{5}{9} \cdot Gini(t_{single}) + \frac{4}{9} \cdot Gini(t_{married}) = 0.49$$

$$IG = Gini(t_{parent}) - Gini_{split} = 0$$



$$Gini(t_{parent}) = 1 - \left( \left( \frac{5}{9} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{4}{9} \right)^2 \right) = 0.49$$

$$Gini(t_B) = 1 - \left( \left( \frac{0}{3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{3}{3} \right)^2 \right) = 0$$

$$Gini(t_{M/P}) = 1 - \left( \left( \frac{5}{6} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 \right) = 0.28$$

$$Gini_{split} = \frac{3}{9} \cdot Gini(t_B) + \frac{6}{9} \cdot Gini(t_{M/P}) = 0.19$$



$$IG = Gini(t_{parent}) - Gini_{split} = 0.3$$

# Impurity of a Split (Regression)

## Residual Sum of Squares (RSS)

$$RSS_{split} = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i \in R_k} (y_i - \mu_{R_k})^2$$

Education	Annual Income
Masters	75k
Bachelor	50k
Masters	70k
PhD	95k
Bachelor	40k
Master	85k
Bachelor	60k
PhD	60k
PhD	65k




# Impurity of a Split (Regression)

$$RSS_{split} = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i \in R_k} (y_i - \mu_{R_k})^2$$

$$= \sum_{i \in R_{Bachelor}} (y_i - \mu_{R_{Bachelor}})^2 + \sum_{i \in R_{Master}} (y_i - \mu_{R_{Master}})^2 + \sum_{i \in R_{PhD}} (y_i - \mu_{R_{PhD}})^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (50 - 50)^2 + (40 - 50)^2 + (60 - 50)^2 \\ &\quad + (75 - 76.67)^2 + (70 - 76.67)^2 + (85 - 76.67)^2 \\ &\quad + (95 - 73.33)^2 + (60 - 73.33)^2 + (65 - 73.33)^2 \\ &= 1033.34 \end{aligned}$$



$$\mu_{R_{Bachelor}} = 50$$

$$\mu_{R_{Master}} = 76.67$$

$$\mu_{R_{PhD}} = 73.33$$

Edu-cation	Annual Income
Masters	75k
Bachelor	50k
Masters	70k
PhD	95k
Bachelor	40k
Master	85k
Bachelor	60k
PhD	60k
PhD	65k

# Decision Trees — Pros & Cons

- Pros


- Inexpensive to train and test
- Easy to interpret (if tree is not too large)
- Can handle categorical and numerical data

- Cons


- Sensitive to small changes in the training data  
(Hierarchical structure: errors early on propagate down)
- Greedy approach does not guarantee optimal tree
- Each decision involves only a single feature
- Does not take interactions between features into account

# Decision Trees — Interaction between Features

Optimal decision boundary



Decision Tree boundaries



# Outline

- **Decision Trees**


- Overview
- Training Decision Trees
- **Overfitting**

- **Tree Ensembles**


- Bagging
- Random Forest
- Boosting

# Decision Trees — Underfitting & Overfitting





Underfitting



Good fit





Overfitting






# Decision Trees — Underfitting & Overfitting




# Decision Trees — Underfitting & Overfitting





# Decision Trees — Overfitting

- Decision Tree algorithm can always split the training data perfectly\*
  - Keep splitting (i.e., increase height of tree) until each leaf contains only one data sample
  - One data sample → 100% pure
- Solution: Limit size/height of Decision Tree → Pruning
  - Pre-pruning: Stop splitting nodes ahead of time
  - Post-pruning: Build full tree, but then remove leaves/splits if beneficial
  - ... combination of multiple approaches





# Pre-Pruning: Maximum Depth

- Define maximum depth/height of tree
  - Stop splitting if maximum depth is reached
- Example: maximum depth = 3






# Pre-Pruning: Minimum Sample Count

- Define minimum number of samples each node must have
  - Stop splitting if node has less than the minimum number of samples
- Example: minimum sample count = 16



# Post-Pruning: Prune Leaves/Splits using Validation



...

$F1_{pruned} > F1_{input} \rightarrow$  Remove split from Decision Tree (and continue checking next split)

# Quick Quiz

What is the **maximum** possible **depth** of a Decision Tree given a dataset with  $N$  data points?

**A**

$$O(N)$$

**B**

$$O(\log N)$$

**C**



$$O(N \log N)$$

**D**

$$O(\log \log N)$$

# Quick Quiz

N = 8



depth = N-1



# Outline

- **Decision Trees**
  - Overview
  - Training Decision Trees
  - Overfitting
- **Tree Ensembles**
  - Bagging
  - Random Forest
  - Boosting

# Tree Ensembles

- Aim to address limitations of (single) Decision Trees
  - High variance — i.e., sensitivity to small changes in training data
  - Typically not the same accuracy as other approaches

- Countermeasure: Tree Ensembles

Construct many decision trees and combine their predictions

- Bagging
- Random Forests
- Boosting

**Basic trade-off of ensemble methods:**

Higher accuracy, lower variance

vs.

Lower interpretability, longer training time

# Outline

- Decision Trees
  - Overview
  - Training Decision Trees
  - Overfitting
- **Tree Ensembles**
  - **Bagging**
  - Random Forest
  - Boosting

# Bagging — Bootstrap Aggregation

- Bagging — basic idea (not limited to Decision Trees)
  - Train many models (classifiers/regressors) of different training data
  - Combine predictions of each models for final prediction
  - Increases accuracy and lowers variance
- Where to get more training data from? → **Bootstrap Sampling**
  - Take repeated samples from a single training dataset  $D$
  - Bootstrap sample  $D_i$  sampled from  $D$ , **uniformly** and **with replacement** ( $|D_i| = |D|$ )
  - Train a model over each bootstrap dataset  $D_i$

# Bagging — Bootstrap Aggregation

ID	Age	Edu-cation	Credit Approval
1	23	Masters	Yes
2	35	Bachelor	No
3	26	Masters	Yes
4	41	PhD	Yes

Bootstrap Sample 1

ID	Age	Edu-cation	Credit Approval
1	23	Masters	Yes
2	35	Bachelor	No
2	35	Bachelor	No
4	41	PhD	Yes


Bootstrap Sample 2

ID	Age	Edu-cation	Credit Approval
2	35	Bachelor	No
2	35	Bachelor	No
4	41	PhD	Yes
4	41	PhD	Yes

...

Bootstrap Sample N

ID	Age	Edu-cation	Credit Approval
1	23	Masters	Yes
2	35	Bachelor	No
3	26	Masters	Yes
4	41	PhD	Yes



# Bagging — Bootstrap Aggregation

- Limitations

- Assume original dataset  $D$  has one or more strong predictors — features that yield splits with a (very) high information gain
- Bootstrap samples  $D_i$  are also likely to have those strong predictors

- Consequences

- Most bagged trees will use strong predictors on top
- Most bagged trees will look very similar
- Predictions of bagged trees will be highly correlated

→ Only limited reduction in variance!

# Outline

- **Decision Trees**
  - Overview
  - Training Decision Trees
  - Overfitting
- **Tree Ensembles**
  - Bagging
  - **Random Forest**
  - Boosting


# Random Forests

- Random Forest = bootstrap sampling (bagging) + **feature sampling**
  - Create bootstrap samples  $D_i$  like for bagging
  - Feature sampling: For each  $D_i$ , consider only a random subset of features of size  $m$

**$d$  features**

Age	Edu-cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
35	Bachelor	Married	50k	No
26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
18	Bachelor	Single	40k	No
55	Master	Married	85k	No
30	Bachelor	Single	60k	No
35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes

bootstrap sampling  
+ feature sampling



typically

$$m \approx \sqrt{d}$$

**$m$  features**

Edu-cation	Annual Income	Credit Approval
Masters	75k	Yes
Bachelor	50k	No
Masters	70k	Yes
PhD	95k	Yes
Bachelor	40k	No
Masters	70k	Yes
Masters	75k	Yes
Bachelor	40k	No
Bachelor	40k	No




# Random Forests

- Effects of feature sampling

- Strong predictors in  $D$  are often absent in  $D_i$
- Resulting trees often look very different
- Predictions of trees much less correlated

→ Higher reduction in variance + typically higher accuracy



# Random Forests — Pros & Cons (Compared to Decision Trees)

- Pros

- High accuracy — fairly close to state of the art
- Sampling and training independent across  $D_i \rightarrow$  parallelizable!
- Not much tuning required

- Cons

- Less Interpretable
- Slower training and prediction

# Outline

- Decision Trees
  - Overview
  - Training Decision Trees
  - Overfitting
- Tree Ensembles
  - Bagging
  - Random Forest
  - **Boosting**

# Boosting

- Like bagging, boosting combines multiple trees (in general, multiple models)
- So what are the key differences?

	Bagging	Boosting
Training	Trees are trained independently (and can be done in parallel)	Trees are trained in sequence; (the accuracy of the last tree affects the training of the next tree)
Prediction	All trees have the same amount of say in the final prediction	Trees have different amount of say in the final prediction (depending on their individual accuracy)


# Boosting & Weak Learners

- So far, all models discussed are **Strong Learners**

- Goal: perform as best as possible on a given classification or regression task

- **Weak Learner**

- Goal: perform (slightly) better than guessing
- Very common weak learner: **Decision Stump**  
(e.g., decision tree of height 1, i.e., only one split)
- Very simple model → very fast training



- **Boosting:** Combine many weak classifiers into a single strong learner

- Basic idea: subsequent models try to improve the errors of previous models

# AdaBoost — Adaptive Boosting (for Decision Trees)

- AdaBoost

- Applicable to many classification/regression algorithms to improve performance
- Very commonly combined with Decision Trees

- Basic training algorithm

- Train a Weak Learner over  $D_i$  (e.g., Decision Stump)
- Identify all misclassified samples
- Calculate error rate of learner to quantify its amount of say
- Sample  $D_{i+1}$  such that misclassified samples are more likely to be picked than correctly classified samples
- Repeat...


# AdaBoost Training — Step-by-Step Example (in the $m$ -th iteration)

- Step 1:

1a) Train Decision Stump  $h_m$  over sampled dataset  $D_m$   
(original dataset  $D$  in the beginning)

1b) Identify all misclassified training samples in  $D$

Sample Weight $w$	Age	Edu-cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
1/9	23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
1/9	35	Bachelor	Married	50k	No
1/9	26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
1/9	41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
1/9	18	Bachelor	Single	40k	No
1/9	55	Master	Married	85k	No
1/9	30	Bachelor	Single	60k	No
1/9	35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
1/9	28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes



**Initial step:** assign each data sample in  $D$  with a weight  $w_i = 1/|D|$

# AdaBoost Training — Step-by-Step Example (in the $m$ -th iteration)

- Step 2

2a) Calculate total error  $\epsilon_m$


$$\epsilon_m = \sum_i^N w_i \cdot \underbrace{\delta(h_m(x_i) \neq y_i)}_{\substack{0, \text{ if } x_i \text{ is correctly classified} \\ 1, \text{ if } x_i \text{ is misclassified}}}$$

$$\epsilon_m = 1/9$$

2b) Calculate "amount of say"  $\alpha_m$  of  $h_m$

$$\alpha_m = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_m}{\epsilon_m}$$

$$\alpha_m = 1.04$$





# AdaBoost Training — Step-by-Step Example (in the $m$ -th iteration)

- Step 3

3a) Update sample weights

$$w_i = w_i \cdot \begin{cases} e^{\alpha_m}, & \text{if } x_i \text{ was misclassified} \\ e^{-\alpha_m}, & \text{if } x_i \text{ was correctly classified} \end{cases}$$

3b) Normalize sample weights

$$w_i = \frac{w_i}{\sum_i^N w_i}$$

Age	Education	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval	
23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes	✓
35	Bachelor	Married	50k	No	✓
26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes	✓
41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes	✓
18	Bachelor	Single	40k	No	✓
55	Master	Married	85k	No	✗
30	Bachelor	Single	60k	No	✓
35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes	✓
28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes	✓

Sum up to 1			
Sample Weight $w$	3a)	3b)	Sample Weight $w$
1/9	0.04	0.0635	0.0635
1/9	0.04	0.0635	0.0635
1/9	0.04	0.0635	0.0635
1/9	0.04	0.0635	0.0635
1/9	0.04	0.0635	0.0635
1/9	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.492</b>	0.492
1/9	0.04	0.0635	0.0635
1/9	0.04	0.0635	0.0635
1/9	0.04	0.0635	0.0635

# AdaBoost Training — Step-by-Step Example (in the $m$ -th iteration)

- Step 4

4a) Generate new  $D_i$  based on sample weights  
(misclassified samples are much more likely to be picked)

4b) With new  $D_i$ , go to Step 1 and continue

Sample Weight $w$	Age	Edu-cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
0.0635	23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
0.0635	35	Bachelor	Married	50k	No
0.0635	26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
0.0635	41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
0.0635	18	Bachelor	Single	40k	No
0.492	55	Master	Married	85k	No
0.0635	30	Bachelor	Single	60k	No
0.0635	35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
0.0635	28	PhD	Married	65k	Yes



New input for Step 1

Age	Edu-cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Approval
23	Masters	Single	75k	Yes
55	Master	Married	85k	No
26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
41	PhD	Single	95k	Yes
55	Master	Married	85k	No
55	Master	Married	85k	No
26	Masters	Single	70k	Yes
35	PhD	Married	60k	Yes
55	Master	Married	85k	No

# AdaBoost Training — Basic Algorithm

**Initialization:** Dataset  $D$ ,  $|D|=N$ , with initial sample weights  $w_i = \frac{1}{N}$

**for**  $m = 1$  to  $M$  **do:**

Generate  $D_m$  by sampling from  $D$  w.r.t. sampling weights  $w$

Train Decision Stump  $h_m$  over  $D_m$

Apply  $h_m$  to all samples in  $D$  and identify misclassified samples

Calculate total error 
$$\epsilon_m = \sum_i^N w_i \cdot \delta(h_m(x_i) \neq y_i)$$

Calculate amount of say 
$$\alpha_m = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_m}{\epsilon_m}$$

Update sample weights 
$$w_i = w_i \cdot \begin{cases} e^{\alpha_m}, & \text{if } x_i \text{ was misclassified} \\ e^{-\alpha_m}, & \text{if } x_i \text{ was correctly classified} \end{cases} \quad \& \quad w_i = \frac{w_i}{\sum_i^N w_i}$$

**end for**

# AdaBoost Prediction

- Assume 8 boosted Decision Stumps  $h_1, \dots, h_8$

- Each tree has an "amount of say"  $\alpha_m$
- Let  $h_1, h_3, h_8$  say "Yes"; all other trees say "No"

$$\alpha_m = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \epsilon_m}{\epsilon_m}$$

$h_1$	$\alpha_1 = 0.34$
$h_3$	$\alpha_3 = 1.20$
$h_8$	$\alpha_8 = 0.97$
$h_2$	$\alpha_2 = 0.14$
$h_4$	$\alpha_4 = 0.58$
$h_5$	$\alpha_5 = 0.09$
$h_6$	$\alpha_6 = 0.62$
$h_7$	$\alpha_7 = 0.45$

0.34 + 1.20 + 0.97 = **2.51**



Final prediction: **"Yes"**

0.14 + 0.58 + 0.09 + 0.62 + 0.45 = **1.88**

# Gradient Boosted Trees

- Gradient Boosting

- Mainly applied to regression algorithms to improve performance
- Very commonly combined with Decision Trees (for regression)

- Basic training algorithm

- Start with a initial prediction (e.g., mean over all values)
- Calculate residuals = error between true value and current prediction
- Train Decision Stump to predict residuals
- Update predictions based on predicted residuals
- Repeat...

# GB Training — Step-by-Step Example (in the $m$ -th iteration)


- Step 1:

1a) Calculate residuals  $r_{i,m} = y_i - f_{m-1}(x_i)$

1b) Fit Decision Stump  $h_m$  to residuals  $r_{i,m}$

Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Limit $y$	$f_{m-1}(x)$	$r_m(x)$
23	Master	Single	75k	1,400	1,000	400
35	Bachelor	Married	50k	900	1,000	-100
26	Master	Single	70k	1,300	1,000	300
41	PhD	Single	95k	1,500	1,000	500
18	Bachelor	Single	40k	500	1,000	-500
55	Master	Married	85k	1,200	1,000	200
30	Bachelor	Single	60k	800	1,000	-200
35	PhD	Married	60k	800	1,000	-200
28	PhD	Married	65k	600	1,000	-400

Assume  $m = 1$   $f_0(x_i) = 1000$



# GB Training — Step-by-Step Example (in the $m$ -th iteration)

- Step 2:

1a) Calculate predicted residuals  $h_m(x_i)$  for all training samples

1b) Calculate new predictions  $f_m(x_i) = f_{m-1} + \eta \cdot h_m(x_i)$  (here:  $\eta = 0.1$ )

1c) Set  $m = m+1$ , go to Step 1

Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Limit $y$	$f_{m-1}(x)$	$r_m(x)$	$h_m(x)$	$f_m(x)$
23	Master	Single	75k	1,400	1,000	400	350	1,035
35	Bachelor	Married	50k	900	1,000	-100	-300	970
26	Master	Single	70k	1,300	1,000	300	350	1,035
41	PhD	Single	95k	1,500	1,000	500	350	1,035
18	Bachelor	Single	40k	500	1,000	-500	-300	970
55	Master	Married	85k	1,200	1,000	200	350	1,035
30	Bachelor	Single	60k	800	1,000	-200	-266	973
35	PhD	Married	60k	800	1,000	-200	-266	973
28	PhD	Married	65k	600	1,000	-400	-266	973

**Note:** long-term trend

- The residuals  $r_m$  go towards 0
- The predicted values  $f_m$  are closer to the true values  $y$

# GB Training — Step-by-Step Example (in the $m$ -th iteration)

- Output for after Step 1 & 2 for  $m+1$

Includes building new Decision Stump  $h_{m+1}$

Step 1

Step 2

Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Limit $y$	$f_{m-1}(x)$	$r_m(x)$	$h_m(x)$	$f_m(x)$	$r_{m+1}(x)$	$h_{m+1}(x)$	$f_{m+1}(x)$
23	Master	Single	75k	1,400	1,000	400	350	1,035	365	315	1,067
35	Bachelor	Married	50k	900	1,000	-100	-300	970	-70	-270	943
26	Master	Single	70k	1,300	1,000	300	350	1,035	265	315	1,067
41	PhD	Single	95k	1,500	1,000	500	350	1,035	465	315	1,067
18	Bachelor	Single	40k	500	1,000	-500	-300	970	-470	-270	943
55	Master	Married	85k	1,200	1,000	200	350	1,035	165	315	1,067
30	Bachelor	Single	60k	800	1,000	-200	-266	973	-173	-240	949
35	PhD	Married	60k	800	1,000	-200	-266	973	-173	-240	949
28	PhD	Married	65k	600	1,000	-400	-266	973	-373	-240	949



# Gradient Boosting Training — Basic Algorithm

**Initialization:** Dataset  $D$ ,  $f_0(x_i) = \text{mean}(y)$   $\eta = 0.1$

**for**  $m = 1$  to  $M$  **do:**

Calculate residuals  $r_{i,m} = y_i - f_{m-1}(x_i)$

Train Decision Stump  $h_m$  over  $D$  with  $r_{i,m}$  as targets







Predicted residuals  $h_m(x_i)$  for all training samples

Calculate new predictions  $f_m(x_i) = f_{m-1} + \eta \cdot h_m(x_i)$

**end for**

**Output:**  $M$  Decision Stumps  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_M$

# Gradient Boosting Training — Convergence for $x_0$ and $x_1$



# Gradient Boosting Prediction

Age	Edu- cation	Marital Status	Annual Income	Credit Limit
50	PhD	Single	70k	???

$$h(x) = h_0(x) + \eta h_1(x) + \eta h_2(x) + \dots + \eta h_M(x)$$

Initial prediction  $f_0(x)$   
(e.g.,  $f_0(x) = 1000$ )

# Boosting Methods — Pros & Cons (Compared to Decision Trees)

- Pros

- High accuracy — often state of the art

- Cons

- Less Interpretable (arguably even less compared to Random Forests)
- Slower training and prediction → sequential processing → not parallelizable

# Summary

- Decision Trees

- Intuitive model for classification and regression → interpretable!
- Can handle categorical and numerical data (although tricky in practice)
- Typically good but not great results

- Tree Ensembles

- Aim to address limitations of single decision trees (particularly high variance)
- Ensembles of independent models: Bagging, Random Forests
- Ensembles of dependent models: AdaBoost, Gradient Boosted Trees
- State of the art in many application contexts

# Solutions to Quick Quizzes

- Slide 11: Throw error (safe default), use majority label of subtree
- Slide 27: B ("natural" ranking of energy labels is broken)
- Slide 47: A