

IT5005 Artificial Intelligence
Tutorial 2: First Order (Predicate) Logic

1. Additional Notes

Note that “logic of quantified statements” (chapter 3) is commonly known as “predicate logic”, as opposed to “propositional logic” in chapter 2.

We picked up some frequently asked questions and created this *Additional Notes* section to include some materials not covered in lecture that might be of interest to you.

Equivalent expressions: The following quantified statements are equivalent. We use the shorter notation on the left.

- $\forall x \in D, P(x) \equiv \forall x((x \in D) \rightarrow P(x))$
- $\exists x \in D, P(x) \equiv \exists x((x \in D) \wedge P(x))$

Well-formed formula (wff)

- **true** and **false** are wffs.
- A proposition variable is a wff.
- A predicate name followed by a list of variables (eg: $P(x)$, $Q(x, y)$), which is called an *atomic formula*, is a wff.
- If A , B and C are wffs, then so are $\sim A$, $(A \wedge B)$, $(A \vee B)$, $(A \rightarrow B)$ and $(A \leftrightarrow B)$.
- If x is a variable and A is a wff, then so are $\forall x A$ and $\exists x A$.

Bound variables and Scope of quantifiers

- When a quantifier is used on a variable x in a predicate statement, we say that x is *bound*. If no quantifier is used on a variable, we say that the variable is *free*.

Examples: In the statement $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$, both x and y are bound. In the statement $\forall x P(x, y)$, x is bound but y is free.

- The scope of a quantifier is the range in the formula where the quantifier “engages in”. It is put right after the quantifier, often in parentheses (eg: $\forall x (P(x))$).

Sometimes, when parentheses are not present (eg: $\forall x P(x)$), the scope is understood to be the smallest wff following the quantification.

- For example, in $\exists x P(x, y)$, the variable x is bound while y is free. In $\forall x (\exists y P(x, y) \vee Q(x, y))$, x and the red y in $P(x, y)$ are bound but the blue y in $Q(x, y)$ is free, because the scope of $\exists y$ is $P(x, y)$, whereas the scope of $\forall x$ is $(\exists y P(x, y) \vee Q(x, y))$. If you want to change the blue y to red y , you need to add parentheses: $\forall x (\exists y (P(x, y) \vee Q(x, y)))$. Then, the outermost pair of parentheses may be removed, i.e.: $\forall x \exists y (P(x, y) \vee Q(x, y))$.

2. Common Mistakes

- Using commas (,) in place of appropriate connectives, for example, $\forall x P(x), Q(x)$ where it should be $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$.
- Treating predicates as functions returning some value. Eg: given the following predicates
 - $Loves(x, y)$: x loves y
 - $Reindeer(x)$: x is a reindeer

Some students wrote $Loves(x, Reindeer(y))$ in part of their answers. Since $Reindeer(y)$ is a predicate, its value is either true or false. So, the above is akin to writing $Loves(x, true)$ or $Loves(x, false)$ which does not make sense! The correct way is to use the appropriate connectives.

3. Tutorial Questions

1. Given the predicate $Loves(x, y)$ which means “ x loves y ”, translate the following English sentences into predicate logic statements. For this question, you may leave out the domain of discourse in your statements (i.e. you do not need to specify what domain a variable belongs to, such as $\forall x \in D$; just write $\forall x$).

- a. Everybody loves someone else.
- b. Nobody except John loves Mary. (or: Only John loves Mary; nobody else loves Mary.)

2. Some of the arguments below are valid, others are invalid. State which are valid and which are invalid and write out the reasons.

- a. All honest people pay their taxes.
Darth is not honest.
 \therefore Darth does not pay his taxes.
- b. For every student x , if x studies CS1231, then x is good at logic.
Tarik studies CS1231.
 \therefore Tarik is good at logic.
- c. Sum of any two rational numbers is rational.
The sum $r + s$ is rational.
 \therefore r and s are both rational.

3. Let V be the set of all visitors to Universal Studios Singapore on a certain day, $T(v)$ be “ v took the Transformers ride”, $G(v)$ be “ v took the Battlestar Galactica ride”, $E(v)$ be “ v visited the Ancient Egypt”, and $W(v)$ be “ v watched the Water World show”.

Express each of the following statements using quantifiers, variables, and the predicates $T(v)$, $G(v)$, $E(v)$ and $W(v)$. The statements are not related to one another. Part (a) has been done for you.

- a. Every visitor watched the Water World show.

Answer for (a): $\forall v \in V (W(v))$.

- b. Every visitor who took the Battlestar Galactica ride also took the Transformers ride.
c. There is a visitor who took both the Transformers ride and the Battlestar Galactica ride.
d. No visitor who visited the Ancient Egypt watched the Water World show.
e. Some visitors who took the Transformers ride also visited the Ancient Egypt but some (who took the Transformers ride) did not (visit the Ancient Egypt).

4. Given the following argument:

1. If an object is above all the triangles, then it is above all the blue objects.
 2. If an object is not above all the gray objects, then it is not a square.
 3. Every black object is a square.
 4. Every object that is above all the gray objects is above all the triangles.
- \therefore If an object is black, then it is above all the blue object.

- a. Reorder the premises in the argument to show that the conclusion follows as a valid consequence from the premises, by applying universal transitivity (Lecture 3 slide 96). (Hint: It may be helpful to rewrite the statements in if-then form and replace some statements by their contrapositives.)

You may use self-explanatory predicate names such as *Triangle(x)*, *Square(x)*, etc.

- b. Rewrite your answer in part (a) using predicates and quantified statements.

5. Let P and Q be predicates. Prove that:

- a. $(\forall x \in D P(x)) \wedge (\forall x \in D Q(x))$ is true if and only if $\forall x \in D (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ is true.
- b. $(\exists x \in D P(x)) \wedge (\exists x \in D Q(x))$ and $\exists x \in D (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ are not equivalent.