

The Weak Law of Large Numbers

TONG ZHOU

JHU

1. L^2 WEAK LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

This section presents a stronger version of weak law of large numbers where a finite second moment condition is imposed. The following theorem summarizes this conclusion.

THEOREM 1 (L^2 Weak Law of Large Numbers). *Consider $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ for uncorrelated random variables X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots . Suppose that $\text{Var}(X_i) \leq C$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \bar{x}$ for some finite constants C, \bar{x} , and all $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then, $n^{-1}S_n \xrightarrow{L^2} \bar{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and hence also $n^{-1}S_n \xrightarrow{P} \bar{x}$.*

EXERCISE 1 (Amir Exercise 2.1.5). *Show that the conclusion of the L^2 weak law of large numbers holds even for correlated X_i , provided $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \bar{x}$ and $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \leq r(|i - j|)$ for all i, j , and some bounded sequence $r(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF. It suffices to show $\text{Var}\left(\frac{S_n}{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(n^{-1}S_n) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) + 2 \sum_{i>j} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} [nr(0) + 2(r(n-1) + 2r(n-2) + \dots + (n-1)r(1))] \\ &= \frac{r(0)}{n} + 2 \left(\frac{r(n-1)}{n^2} + \frac{2r(n-2)}{n^2} + \dots + \frac{(n-1)r(1)}{n^2} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

2. TRUNCATION METHOD

Weak Law for Triangular Arrays; identical dist. NOT required

THEOREM 2 (Weak Law for Triangular Arrays). *Suppose that for each n , the random variables $X_{n,k}, k = 1, \dots, n$ are pairwise independent. Let $\bar{X}_{n,k} = X_{n,k} \mathbb{1}_{|X_{n,k}| \leq b_n}$ for non-random $b_n > 0$ such that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ both*

$$(1) \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_{n,k}| > b_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(2)$$

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}(\bar{X}_{n,k})}{b_n^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, $b_n^{-1}(S_n - a_n) \xrightarrow{P} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_{n,k}$ and $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_{n,k}]$.

PROOF. Define

$$\bar{S}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{X}_{n,k}.$$

Observe that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left\{ \frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} > \varepsilon \right\} \subseteq \{S_n \neq \bar{S}_n\} \cup \left\{ \frac{\bar{S}_n - a_n}{b_n} > \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} > \varepsilon \right) \leq \mathbb{P}(S_n \neq \bar{S}_n) + \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\bar{S}_n - a_n}{b_n} > \varepsilon \right).$$

Now it suffices to show the RHS is $o_P(1)$.

For the first term:

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n \neq \bar{S}_n) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_{n,k}| > b_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{by condition (1)}).$$

So $\mathbb{P}(S_n \neq \bar{S}_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For the second term:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\bar{S}_n - a_n}{b_n} > \varepsilon \right) &\leq \frac{\text{Var}(\bar{S}_n)}{\varepsilon^2 b_n^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \text{Var}(\bar{X}_{n,k})}{\varepsilon^2 b_n^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{by condition (2)}). \end{aligned}$$

So $\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{\bar{S}_n - a_n}{b_n} > \varepsilon \right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} > \varepsilon \right) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

A special case of [Theorem 2](#) for a single sequence yields the following.

Weak Law for a Single Sequence; i.i.d. required

PROPOSITION 1. Consider i.i.d. random variables $\{X_i\}$, such that $x\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $n^{-1}S_n - \mu_n \xrightarrow{P} 0$, where $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\mu_n = \mathbb{E}[X_1 \mathbb{1}_{\{|X_1| \leq n\}}]$.

PROOF. $\{X_i\}$ is a special case where $n = 1$, and $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Define $\bar{X}_i = X_i \mathbb{1}_{|X_i| \leq n}$. Check the two conditions:

For the first condition: $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i \neq \bar{X}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > n) = n\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For the second condition, $n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(\bar{X}_i) = n^{-1} \text{Var}(\bar{X}_1) = n^{-1} (\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_1^2] - (\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_1])^2) \leq n^{-1} \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_1^2]$.

Observe that $\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_1| > y) = \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) - \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > n) \leq \mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y)$, where $y \in (0, n)$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-1}\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_1^2] &= n^{-1} \int_0^n 2y\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_1| > y) \, dy \\ &\leq n^{-1} \int_0^n 2y\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) \, dy \end{aligned}$$

Then we want to show $n^{-1} \int_0^n 2y\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) \, dy \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since by assumption $2y\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $2y\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) < \varepsilon$ whenever $y > \bar{y}$. Since n will go to ∞ eventually, we assume $\bar{y} < n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} n^{-1} \int_0^n 2y\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) \, dy &= n^{-1} \int_0^{\bar{y}} 2y\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) \, dy + n^{-1} \int_{\bar{y}}^n 2y\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) \, dy \\ &\leq \underbrace{n^{-1} \int_0^{\bar{y}} 2y\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) \, dy}_{\rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty} + \varepsilon \left(\frac{n - \bar{y}}{n} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{y}^2}{n} + \varepsilon \left(\frac{n - \bar{y}}{n} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \varepsilon, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^n 2y\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) \, dy \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, then we have

$$n^{-1} \int_0^n 2y\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > y) \, dy \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, the second condition holds:

$$n^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(\bar{X}_1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

REMARK 1. *The two conditions are quite weak. Finite expectation is actually not assumed. See ?? 2.*

- why

$$\mathbb{E}[Z^2] = \int_0^\infty 2y\mathbb{P}(|Z| > y) dy$$

LEMMA 1 (Lemma 1.4.31 of Amir Dembo).

1. For any $r > p > 0$ and any random variable $Y \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y^p] &= \int_0^\infty py^{p-1}\mathbb{P}(Y > y) dy = \int_0^\infty py^{p-1}\mathbb{P}(Y \geq y) dy \\ &= (1 - \frac{p}{r}) \int_0^\infty py^{p-1}\mathbb{E}[\min(Y/y, 1)^r] dy. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF.

1. Define $h_p(y) := py^{p-1}\mathbb{1}_{y>0}$, then $H_p(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x h_p(y) dy = \int_0^x py^{p-1} dy$. By the change of variables formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^p] &= \mathbb{E}[H_p(X)] = \int_{\Omega} H_p(X) d\mathbb{P} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} py^{p-1}\mathbb{1}_{(0,x)} d\lambda(y) \right) d\mathbb{P}_X(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} py^{p-1}\mathbb{1}_{y>0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{1}_{y<x} d\mathbb{P}_X(x) \right) d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int_0^\infty py^{p-1}\mathbb{P}(X > y) d\lambda(y) \\ &= \int_0^\infty py^{p-1}\mathbb{P}(X \geq y) d\lambda(y) \end{aligned}$$

□

$Var(X_1)$ not exist ; $\mathbb{E}(|X_1|) \equiv \infty$ ($X_1 \notin L^1$); i.i.d. required

EXERCISE 2 (Amir: Exercise 2.1.13). Let $\{X_i\}$ be i.i.d. with $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = (-1)^k k) = 1/(ck^2 \log k)$ for integers $k \geq 2$ and a normalization constant $c = \sum_k 1/(k^2 \log k)$. Show that $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \infty$, but there is a non-random $\mu < \infty$ such that $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mu$.

PROOF. •

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[|X_1|] &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k \frac{1}{ck^2 \log k} \\ &= \frac{1}{c} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \log k}\end{aligned}$$

By the integral test, consider the function $f(x) = \frac{1}{x \log x}$ for $x \geq 2$. Then

$$\int_2^{\infty} \frac{1}{x \log x} dx = \log(\log x) \Big|_2^{\infty} = \infty.$$

Thus the log-series $\mathbb{E}[|X_1|] = \frac{1}{c} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \log k} = \infty$.

Also notice that $\mathbb{E}[X_1] < \infty$ and $\text{Var}(X_1) = \infty$. So the L^2 -Weak Law of Large Numbers is not applicable.

To apply [Proposition 1](#), we first check

$$\begin{aligned}n\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > n) &\leq n \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{1}{ck^2 \log k} \\ &= \frac{1}{cn \log n} + \sum_{k > n} \frac{1}{ck^2 \log k} \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by [Proposition 1](#), we have

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu_n \xrightarrow{\text{P}} 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

where $\mu_n = \mathbb{E}[X_1 \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| \leq n}]$.

Let $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_1]$. We next show that $\mu_n - \mu \rightarrow 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu - \mu_n &= \mathbb{E}[X_1 \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| > n}] \\ &= \sum_{k > n} (-1)^k \frac{1}{ck \log k} \\ &\rightarrow 0,\end{aligned}$$

since the series $\sum_{k \geq 2} (-1)^k \frac{1}{ck \log k}$ is an alternating series with $\sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{ck \log k} < \infty$. By the Slutsky theorem, then

$$\left(\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu \right) + (\mu - \mu_n) \xrightarrow{\text{P}} 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{P}} \mu,$$

where $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_1]$ is non-random.

□

i.i.d. $X_i \in L^1$

COROLLARY 1. Consider $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ for i.i.d. random variables $\{X_i\}$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|X_1|] < \infty$. Then, $\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}[X_1]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. It is easy to show $n\mathbb{P}(|X_1| > n) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, apply [Proposition 1](#)

$$\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu_n \xrightarrow{P} 0.$$

Also, it is easy to show

$$\mu - \mu_n \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_1]$ and $\mu_n = \mathbb{E}[X_1 \mathbb{1}_{|X_1| \leq n}]$. □