Article	Key Notes	Thoughts/Comments
Hu & Shum 2012  Nonparametric identification of Dynamic Models with Unobserved State Variables	Primary:  This paper proposes a novel method for identifying a hidden Markov process,  Only 5 observations are needed in nonstationary cases, while only 4 are enough in stationary cases.  (Wt,Xt*) jointly evolves.  After the Markov kernel is identified, other relevant quantities can be recovered:  Markov kernel = CCP*state law of motion  Application: dynamic optimization models with unobserved process.  Strength:  Allow time-varying unobserved  Evolve depending on past values of observables	How to identify other relevant quantities? Which formulae can illustrate? Equation (1) Why CCP and SLOM can be recovered??  See Arellano Bonhemme 2017 Review paper, where more applications and examples are discussed.
	• Observables: two components {action(decision), state} • Eq. 2 and 3 • Eq. 7 $f_{X,Y,Z,S} = \int f_{X X^*,S}f_{X^*,Z,S}f_{Y X^*,Z}dx^*,$ $f_{W_{t+1},W_t,W_{t-1},W_{t-2}} = \int f_{W_{t+1} W_t,X_t^*}f_{W_t W_{t-1},X_t^*}f_{X_t^*,W_{t-1},W_{t-2}}dx_t^*$ $= \int f_{W_{t+1} W_t,X_t^*}f_{W_t,W_{t-1},X_t^*}f_{W_{t-2} X_t^*,W_{t-1}}dx_t^*.  (7)$ <b>Assumptions:</b> • A1_1. First-order Markovian • A1_2. Limited Feedback	Why similar to Carrol 2010? In which S denotes a binary indicator, here no such binary sample.  Why need the two equations?  A1, A2 restrict the attention of models studied.  A2 has an equivalent expression. See A&B 2017.  Why transform? V_t exists.

- A2: Invertibility. Three injective linear operators.
- Completeness
- A3: Uniqueness of decomposition
- A4: Monotonicity and Normalization.
- X t is scalar and continuous
- $V_t \equiv g_t(W_t),$
- How to connect Carrol's assumptions with those in this paper?

- Lemma\_1: Representation of the observed density
- Lemma\_2: Representation of the Markov Law of Motion
- Lemma\_3: Identification of (Identification of f\_V t +1 | W t ,X t \*)

- It rules out X\_t-1 has direct effects on W\_t, or timing restriction.
- ❖ A4: imposed on eigenfunctions. Since they are identified up to an one-to-one transformation of X<sub>t</sub>\*
- Deserves reading more about this high-level. Why high-level?
- Can prove Lemma\_1 by direct definitions of Linear Operator?
- L<sub>V<sub>t+1</sub>,w<sub>t</sub>,w<sub>t-1</sub>,V<sub>t-2</sub> should be defined on V\_t-2! Otherwise, equation 7 does not make sense.</sub>
- ❖ Use lemma 1 and lemma 3 to derive the spectrum decomposition, from which f\_Vt+1|(W\_t, X\_t) can be identified.
  - Stationary: 4 periods is enough, due to Lemma 2's equation.

A1 ~ A4 ensures the uniqueness of the spectral decomposition.

**Identification Strategy:** 

•	Based on Hu&Schennach 2008 and
	Carrol 2010.

- Unique spectral decomposition: A1~A4
- Two step identification:
  - Step 1: By A1~A4, f\_V t +1 |W t,Xt\* identified.
  - Step 2: By Lemma\_2, identify the Markov kernel.
  - Step 3: Identify the joint distribution of the initial condition: f\_W\_(t -1)\_X \*.
- For stationary case, left as an exercise.

Step 1 iterates two times, moving back by one period.