Matrix Multiplication

X and Y are two $n \times n$ matrices

The running time of matrix multiplication algorithm is $O(n^3)$.

Can we do it better?

Can divide and Conquer help?

Matrix multiplication is particularly easy to break into sub-problems, because it can be performed block-wise. Curve X into four $(\frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n}{2})$ blocks and also Y as follows.

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix}$$

where A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H are the blocks

We can compute XY by considering blocks as single elements. that is,

$$XY = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} AE + BG & AF + BH \\ CE + DG & CF + DH \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we can use divide and conquer strategy: to compute the size-n product XY, recursively compute eight size -n/2 products AE, BG, AF, BH, CE, DG, CF, DH and then do a few $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ additions.

Total running time:

$$T(n) = 8T(n/2) + O(n^2)$$

-----comes to
$$O(n^3)$$
.

For a quite while, this was widely believed to be the best running time possible.

Strassen Algorithm for matrix multiplication

In 1969, the German mathematician Volkar Strassen announced a significantly more efficient algorithm , based on divide-and-conquer. It was really a surprising fact!!!!

The key idea is to reduce the number of sub-problems using clever algebra.

It turns out that XY can be computed from just seven $n/2 \times n/2$ sub-problems via decomposition so tricky and intricate that one wonders how Strassen was ever able to discover it!!!!

$$XY = \begin{bmatrix} P_5 + P_4 - P_2 + P_6 \\ P_3 + P_4 \end{bmatrix} \qquad P_1 + P_5 - P_3 - P_7$$

where

$$P_{1} = A(F - H)$$

$$P_{2} = (A + B)H$$

$$P_{3} = (C + D)E$$

$$P_{4} = D(G - E)$$

$$P_{5} = (A + D)(E + H)$$

$$P_{6} = (B - D)(G + H)$$

$$P_{7} = (A - C)(E + F)$$

The new running time is:

$$T(n) = 7T(n/2) + O(n^2)$$

The master theorem works out to $O(n^{\log_2 7}) \cong O(n^{2.81})$