

### 335 HW

TONY DENG

#### BEFORE PRESENTATION

Proposition 1.13: Given a set  $X$  and a basis  $\mathcal{B}$ , let

$$\mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}} = \{U \subset X : U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} B_{\alpha} \text{ for some collection } \{B_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I} \subset \mathcal{B}\}$$

Then  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}}$

*Proof.* Proof by double containment.

First prove that  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

For all  $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ , it satisfies that  $U \subset X$ , for all  $x \in U$ , there exists  $B_x \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in B_x \subset U$ . So we know that  $U \supset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} B_{\alpha}$  for some  $\{B_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I} \subset \mathcal{B}$ .

So now we want to show that  $U \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} B_{\alpha}$ .

For all  $x \in U$ , there exist  $B_{\alpha}$  such that  $x \in B_{\alpha}$ . So all element in  $U$  is in some  $B_{\alpha} \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} B_{\alpha}$ . So,  $U \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} B_{\alpha}$ . Therefore  $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} B_{\alpha}$ , and thus all element in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is in  $\mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

So  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

Now prove that  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} \supseteq \mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}}$

For all  $U$  in  $\mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}}$ , we know that  $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}$  for some  $\{B_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in I} \in \mathcal{B}$ . This implies that  $U \supset \bigcup_{\alpha} B_{\alpha}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis, by B1, for all  $x \in U \subset X$ , there exist  $B_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in B_{\alpha}$ . Since  $U$  contains the union of the  $B_{\alpha}$ 's, we know that for all  $x \in U$ , we can find a  $B_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}$ , such that  $x \in B_{\alpha} \subset U$ . Thus all element in  $\mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ .

Therefore  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{T}'_{\mathcal{B}}$ . □

Example 1.15: in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the following collections of sets are bases for a topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ :

- (1)  $\mathcal{B}_l = \{[a, b) : a < b\}$  is a basis for the lower limit topology  $\mathcal{T}_l$ .

*Proof.* Show that  $\mathcal{B}_l$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{T}_l$ .

We want to show that:

- (a) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $B_x \in \mathcal{B}_l$  such that  $x \in B_x$ , and
- (b) if  $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$  for some  $B_i \in \mathcal{B}_l$ , then there exists  $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}_l$  such that  $x \in B_3 \subset B_1 \cap B_2$ .

Pick an arbitrary  $x \in l$ . Construct  $B_x = [x - 1, x + 1)$ . Since  $x - 1 < x + 1$ ,  $B_x$  is in  $\mathcal{B}_l$ . Since  $x \in [x - 1, x + 1)$  through observation, condition (a) check.

Still pick an arbitrary  $x \in l$ . Suppose  $x \in B_1 = [a, b)$  and  $x \in B_2 = [c, d)$ . Then we know that  $x \geq a, x \geq c$  and  $x < b, x < d$ . Find a number  $y \geq \max(a, b)$ , a number  $z \leq \min(b, d)$  such that  $y \leq x, z > x$ . The reason why we can find such  $y$  is that the reals are dense and we can always find a number between  $x$  and  $\max(a, c)$  ( in the case of  $x > \max(a, c)$ , and  $y = x$  in the case  $x = \max(a, c)$ ). Similarly, we can always find a  $z$  between  $x$  and  $\min(b, d)$ .

Since  $x \geq y, x < z$ , we know that  $x \in [y, z)$ . Let  $B_3 = [y, z)$ . Since  $y \geq \max(a, c)$  and  $z \leq \min(b, d)$ , we know that  $B_3 \subset B_1, B_2 \subset B_3$ . Thus,  $B_3 \subset B_1 \cap B_2$ . Condition (b) check.  $\square$

- (2)  $\mathcal{B}_{l, \mathbb{Q}} = \{[a, b) : a < b \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is a basis for the rational lower limit topology  $\mathcal{T}_{l, \mathbb{Q}}$ .

*Proof.* The proof for this one is similar to the one above, with the exception that we need to use the property of rationals are dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Pick an arbitrary  $x \in l$ . Construct  $B_x = [x - 1, x + 1)$ . Since  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  by definition of the space, and rationals' sum is still a rational, so we know that  $x - 1, x + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $x - 1 < x + 1$ ,  $B_x$  is in  $\mathcal{B}_l$ . Since  $x \in [x - 1, x + 1)$  through observation, condition (a) check.

Still pick an arbitrary  $x \in l$ . Suppose  $x \in B_1 = [a, b)$  and  $x \in B_2 = [c, d)$ , where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then we know that  $x \geq a, x \geq c$  and  $x < b, x < d$ . Find a number  $y \geq \max(a, b)$ , a number  $z \leq \min(b, d)$  such that  $y \leq x, z > x$ . The reason why we can find such  $y$  is that the rationals are dense in the reals and we can always find a number between  $x$  and  $\max(a, c)$  ( in the case of  $x > \max(a, c)$ , and  $y = x$  in the case  $x = \max(a, c)$ ). Similarly, we can always find a  $z$  between  $x$  and  $\min(b, d)$ .

Since  $x \geq y, x < z$ , we know that  $x \in [y, z)$ . Let  $B_3 = [y, z)$ . Since  $y \geq \max(a, c)$  and  $z \leq \min(b, d)$ , we know that  $B_3 \subset B_1, B_2 \subset B_3$ . Thus,  $B_3 \subset B_1 \cap B_2$ . Condition (b) check.  $\square$

- (3)  $\mathcal{B}_H = \mathcal{B} \cup \{(a, b) \setminus H : a < b\}$ , where  $H = \{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , is a basis for the harmonic topology  $\mathcal{T}_H$ .

Confused, if  $\mathcal{B}$  means the collection of open balls on the reals, there's really nothing need to be proved? It  $\mathcal{B}$  doesn't mean that, what does it mean...

Proposition 1.17: Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}'$  are bases on a set  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}'}$  if and only if for all  $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ , there exists a collection of sets  $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $B' = \cup_\alpha B_\alpha$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}$ ).

*Proof.* First the forward direction.

Suppose  $\mathcal{T}_B$  is finer than  $\mathcal{T}_{B'}$ . Then we know that  $\mathcal{T}_{B'} \subset \mathcal{T}_B$ .

Pick an arbitrary  $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ . Since both  $B$  and  $B'$  are bases on  $X$ , for all  $x \in X$ , there exist  $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in B_1 \subset X$ , and there exist  $B'_1 \in \mathcal{B}'$  such that  $x \in B'_1 \subset X$ . Then, for all  $x \in B' \subset X$ , there exist  $B_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in B_\alpha \subset X$ . By B2, we can choose the "smallest"  $B_\alpha$  that contains  $x$  into the union  $\cup_\alpha B_\alpha$ .

Since for all element in  $B'$  has an  $B_\alpha$  containing it,  $B' \subset \cup_\alpha B_\alpha$ .

Now we want to show that  $B' \supset \cup_\alpha B_\alpha$ .

(kind of using proof by contradiction here, real shady.)

Since by construction of  $B_\alpha$ 's in the union, every  $x$  in the union is in the smallest open ball possible. So if there exists some  $y$  near  $x$  such that  $y \notin B', x \in B'$ , we can always find an open ball around  $x$ , smaller and not containing  $y$ . Thus, all element in the union is in  $B'$  by construction of the union.

Now backward direction. Suppose that for all  $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ , there exists a collection of  $\{B_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B' = \cup_\alpha B_\alpha$ .

For all open sets  $U'$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{B'}$ , we know that

$$U' = \bigcup_{\alpha} B'_\alpha \text{ for some collection of } B'_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}'$$

Since for all  $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ , there exist  $\{B_\beta\}_{\beta \in I}$  such that  $B' = \cup_\beta B_\beta$ , we can write  $U'$  as  $\cup_\alpha \cup_\beta B_\beta$ . We know that  $\cup_\beta B_\beta$  is in  $\mathcal{T}_B$  by definition of a basis. Therefore all open sets in  $\mathcal{T}_{B'}$  is in  $\mathcal{T}_B$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{T}_{B'} \subset \mathcal{T}_B$ .  $\square$