

# IMO HK Preliminary Selection Contest 2020

## Suggested Solution

Charles Fu and Tony Ma

2020-06-14

### Preface

This paper is being updated [in my webpage](#), and is not finished until more questions are included.

### Q5

#### Question

28 people seat in circle. They all claim that ‘the two people next to me are of different genders’. Given that all boys lie and exactly 3 girls lie, find the **number of girls**.

#### Solution

Denote girl by  $G$  and boy by  $B$ .

Notice that all boys lie, so it would be reasonable and easier to take girls as variable:

Namely, define  $1 \leq B_1 < B_2 < B_3 < \dots < B_k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is the number of boys (disregard of ‘28’ at this moment).

We then define  $S_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  to be the number of girls between separation of  $B_i$  and  $B_{i+1}$ ,

with the convention  $B_{k+1} = B_1$ .

Assume that for some  $i$ ,  $S_i = 0$ , i.e., there are two successive boys with no girls between, namely,  $BB$ .

Then, consider the right  $B$ , we can extend  $BB$  to  $BBB$  because in the view of  $B$ , two people next to him are **not** of different genders.

Similarly and inductively, we would have only boys in the circle, giving a *contradiction*.

We might consider the following patterns (of course there is no boy between two **successive** boys laterally):

1.  $BGB$ : In this case that girl lies.
2.  $BGGB$ : In this case both girls do not lie.
3.  $BG \dots GB$ , where ‘ $\dots$ ’ means more than one girl: In this case girls in ‘ $\dots$ ’ lie.

It is worth noticing that since every block  $B \dots B$  has at least one girl between, the number of girls would not have effect on the correctness of the acclaimed ‘*different genders neighbours*’ proposition by any girl **in another block**.

Three girls lie. Therefore, with the constraint that  $S_i \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |S_i - 2| = 3$$

We may assume, for the sake of simplicity, that the all  $S_i = 2$  at the beginning,

i.e., any two successive boys form the pattern **BGG**.

Each time (to create) a girl that lies, we add (+) **or** remove (-) **one** girl.

Denote the three operations by  $a_1, a_2, a_3 = \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1$ , and we add the restriction that no two operations **of different sign** should be performed on the same  $S_i$ , otherwise their effects would be counteracted and the operation would be flawed.

We now have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + \dots + S_k + k &= 28 \\ 2 + 2 + 2 + \dots + 2 + k + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 &= 28 \\ a_1 + a_2 + a_3 &= 28 - 2k - k = 28 - 3k \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $t$  denotes the number of operations +, then  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = t - (3 - t) = 2t - 3 = 1$ .

$$\therefore t = 2 \text{ and } \{a_1, a_2, a_3\} = \{1, 1, -1\}$$

Solving  $3k = 28 - (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)$ , we get the number of boys  $k = 9$ , hence the number of girls is **19**.

**Example and solution by ‘testing’**

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |S_i - 2| = 3$$

From here, we can exhaust all possible sequence  $(S_i)_{i=1}^k$

(Disregard of the order of  $S_1, S_2, \dots$  without losing generality):

$$\{S_i\} = \begin{cases} 5, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, \dots, 2 \\ 4, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, \dots, 2 \\ 4, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, \dots, 2 \\ 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, \dots, 2 \\ 3, 3, 1, 2, 2, 2, \dots, 2 \\ 3, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, \dots, 2 \\ 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, \dots, 2 \end{cases}$$

$$\sum S_i_{(\text{include left boy of the block})} = \begin{cases} 6 + 3 + 3 + 3 + \dots + 3 & \rightarrow \text{never} \equiv 28 \pmod{3} \\ 5 + 4 + 3 + 3 + \dots + 3 & \rightarrow \text{never} \equiv 28 \pmod{3} \\ 5 + 2 + 3 + 3 + \dots + 3 & \rightarrow \text{possible} \\ 4 + 4 + 4 + 3 + \dots + 3 & \rightarrow \text{never} \equiv 28 \pmod{3} \\ 4 + 4 + 2 + 3 + \dots + 3 & \rightarrow \text{possible} \\ 4 + 2 + 2 + 3 + \dots + 3 & \rightarrow \text{never} \equiv 28 \pmod{3} \\ 2 + 2 + 2 + 3 + \dots + 3 & \rightarrow \text{never} \equiv 28 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

So for the possible two cases we could have example (not unique) respectively (**bold** for liars):

1.

**G**G**G**BGBGG**B**GG**B**GG**B**GG**B**GG**B**GG**B**GG**B**

, which correspond to the solution while two operation ‘+’ are performed on the same block (same  $S_i$ );

2.

*GGGBGGGBGBGGGBGGGBGGGBGGGBGGGB*

, which correspond to the solution while two operation '+' are performed on different blocks.

## Q7

### Question

Solve equation  $\sqrt{7-x} = 7-x^2$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  ( $x > 0$ ).

### Remark

There are two methods to solve this question. Observe the similarities between them.

### Solution 1

Squaring both sides, giving:

$$\begin{aligned} 7-x &= (7-x^2)^2 = x^4 - 14x^2 + 49 \\ x^4 - 14x^2 + x + 42 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then, it is easy to see the factor  $(x+2)$  as  $(-2)^4 - 14(-2)^2 + (-2) + 42 = 16 - 56 - 2 + 42 = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore (x+2)(x^3 - 2x^2 - 10x + 21) &= 0 \\ (x+2)(x-3)(x^2 + x - 7) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$x = -2 \text{ or } 3 \text{ or } \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{29}}{2}$$

Substituting into the original equation, we notice that the solution root is  $\frac{\sqrt{29}-1}{2} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

### More about this solution

$$x = -2 \text{ or } 3 \text{ or } \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{29}}{2}$$

We reject  $x = -2$  only because it is negative, but  $\sqrt{7-(-2)} = 7-(-2)^2$ , so it satisfies the original equation.

On the other hand, we reject  $x = 3$  and  $x = \frac{-1-\sqrt{29}}{2}$  as they don't even satisfy the original equation.

Why? Because they are artificially created during the process of **squaring both sides**.

You may check:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{7-(3)} &= 2 \neq -2 = 7-(3)^2 \\ \sqrt{7-\frac{-1-\sqrt{29}}{2}} &= \sqrt{\frac{30+2\sqrt{29}}{4}} = \frac{\sqrt{29}+1}{2} = \frac{30+2\sqrt{29}}{4} - 7 \\ &\neq 7 - \frac{30+2\sqrt{29}}{4} = 7 - \left(\frac{-1-\sqrt{29}}{2}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that all 4 roots we obtained satisfy  $\sqrt{7-x} = |7-x^2|$ , if we allow  $x \leq 0$ .

## Solution 2

Notice that the equation can be rewritten as (for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 &= 7 - \sqrt{7 - x} \\ x &= \sqrt{7 - \sqrt{7 - x}}\end{aligned}$$

We define  $f(x) = \sqrt{7 - x}$  for  $x \in [0, 7]$ .

Then, we are actually solving  $f(f(x)) = x$ .

Observe that if we have  $f(x) = x$ ,  $f(f(x)) = f(x) = x$  immediately follows, and it turns out that  $f(x) = x$  is easy to solve:

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \sqrt{7 - x} \\ x^2 &= 7 - x \\ x^2 + x - 7 &= 0 \\ x &= \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{29}}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Rejecting the root *artificially created when squaring both sides*, we get the only desired solution  $\frac{\sqrt{29}-1}{2}$ .

## Q17

### Question

Find (the number of) *positive integer solutions* to the following system of equation:

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{2020}(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}) = \sqrt{(c + 2020)(d + 2020)} \\ \sqrt{2020}(\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{c}) = \sqrt{(d + 2020)(a + 2020)} \\ \sqrt{2020}(\sqrt{c} + \sqrt{d}) = \sqrt{(a + 2020)(b + 2020)} \\ \sqrt{2020}(\sqrt{d} + \sqrt{a}) = \sqrt{(b + 2020)(c + 2020)} \end{cases}$$

### Solution

WLOG, let's first consider the first equation, dealing always only with  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sqrt{2020}(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}) = \sqrt{(c + 2020)(d + 2020)}$$

Notice that

$$\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b} = \sqrt{a + b + 2\sqrt{ab}},$$

or by squaring both sides in the hope of doing some number theoretical manipulations.

$$2020(a + b + 2\sqrt{ab}) = (c + 2020)(d + 2020) = cd + 2020(c + d) + 2020^2$$

Notice that

$$a + b + 2\sqrt{ab} = \frac{(c + 2020)(d + 2020)}{2020} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

so we must have  $ab = x_1^2$  for some  $x_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}(ab, bc, cd, da) &= (x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_4^2) \text{ for some } (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4 \\ 2020(a + b - c - d + 2x_1 - 2020) &= 2020(a + b + 2x_1) - 2020(c + d + 2020) = cd \\ \therefore \frac{\left(\frac{x_3}{2}\right)^2}{505} &= \frac{x_3^2}{505} = \frac{cd}{2020} \in \mathbb{N}, 505|x_3, x_3 = 505y_3, y_3 \in \mathbb{N}\end{aligned}$$

Carefully considering 4 cases, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= (505y_1, 505y_2, 505y_3, 505y_4) \text{ for } (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4 \\ \begin{cases} 2020(a + b - c - d + 1010y_1 - 2020) = x_3^2 = 505^2 y_3^2 \\ 2020(c + d - a - b + 1010y_3 - 2020) = x_1^2 = 505^2 y_1^2 \end{cases} \\ \therefore 505^2(8(y_1 + y_3) - 32) &= 2020(1010(y_1 + y_3) - 4040) = 505^2(y_1^2 + y_3^2) \\ (y_1 - 4)^2 + (y_3 - 4)^2 &= 0 \implies y_1 = y_3 = 4 \\ \therefore (\text{Similarly}) \ x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_4 &= 2020 \wedge ab = bc = cd = da = 2020^2\end{aligned}$$

Notice that we could not have and only have  $\begin{cases} a = c \\ b = d \end{cases}$  (but not  $a = b = c = d$ ).

The four original equations have now been reduced to any one equation of the four, and the question is reduced to finding  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  such that  $ab = 2020^2 = 2^4 \times 5^2 \times 101^2$ , having  $(4+1)(2+1)(2+1) = 45$  solutions.

Consider  $\sqrt{2020}(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}) = \sqrt{(c+2020)(d+2020)}$  such that  $ab = 2020^2$ , with  $(c, d) = (a, b)$ :

$$\sqrt{(c+2020)(d+2020)} = \sqrt{cd + 2020(c+d) + cd} = \sqrt{2020}\sqrt{a+b+2\sqrt{ab}} = \sqrt{2020}(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}),$$

and very similarly, we just **justified** that the solution satisfy all 4 original equations.

## Remark

Simpler methods are to be discovered, but personally I did not manage to think of a way utilizing the *AM-GM inequality*, for example.

## Q20

### Question

Denotes  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as the *Fibonacci sequence*. Find  $(F_{2020} \bmod 1000)$ .

### Remark

Observe that  $1000 = 10^3 = 2^3 \times 5^3 = 8 \times 125$ , and it suffices to consider  $(F_{2020} \bmod 8)$  and  $(F_{2020} \bmod 125)$ , which will be shown do-able.

(You may like to refer to the [wiki page of Chinese Remainder Theorem](#).)

Notice that it would be extremely time consuming to write out sequence  $(F_n \bmod 125)$  or even  $(F_n \bmod 1000)$  until it repeats itself.

For the sequence  $(F_n \bmod 5^k)$ , I observe that it would repeat itself each  $4 \times 5^k$  terms, i.e.,  $F_{n+4 \times 5^k} = F_n$ .

The sequence  $(F_n \bmod 125)$  and  $(F_n \bmod 1000)$  are known to have (smallest) period 500 and 1500 respectively.

(1500 is the least common multiple of 500 and 12 = the period of sequence  $(F_n \bmod 8)$ )

For example,  $F_{2020} \bmod 1000 = F_{520} \bmod 1000 = 515$ .

Notice that (by a small *Python* program),

```
F2020 = 6390698115594355866513492739852871393819620004374587135576307978726515
1995322001898071485437809065533306267303596007174873825024273095647974
6498789136160967666042480660086493956623437642602865340590032906541161
2695736240674586650793536636971284213893001403888857222739849074228772
2358671367235709189166548965693916054419169307250217586108972590100204
080980670597873094617540854443783530320723473081393553497241453257464515
```

which even a calculator would not do it for you.

However, for small  $n$  ( $n \leq 250$ ), you may visit [Online Fibonacci Calculator](#) to check.

## Solution

For  $(F_{2020} \bmod 8)$ , you can simply list it out:

$(F_{2020} \bmod 8) = 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 0, 5, 5, 2, 7, 1, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 0, 5, 5, 2, \dots$

The cyclic sequence has a (smallest) period of 12, and so in general  $F_{n+12} \bmod 8 = F_n \bmod 8$ , thus:

$$F_{2020} \bmod 8 = F_{2016+4} = F_4 \bmod 8 = 3$$

For  $(F_{2020} \bmod 125)$ , however, as stated above, it is hard to wait for the sequence to repeat itself.

Instead, it is well-known that:

$$F_n = \frac{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n}{\sqrt{5}}$$

(or you shall prove by Mathematical induction and remember the formula)  
Therefore, by binomial expansion,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_n &= \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{5}} \left( (1 + \sqrt{5})^n - (1 - \sqrt{5})^n \right) = \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{5}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^n C_i^n (\sqrt{5})^i - \sum_{i=0}^n C_i^n (-\sqrt{5})^i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{5}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^n C_i^n \left( (\sqrt{5})^i - (-\sqrt{5})^i \right) \right) = \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=0}^n C_i^n (\sqrt{5})^i (1 - (-1)^i) \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n \sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2i+1}^n (\sqrt{5})^{2i+1} (1 - (-1)^{2i+1}) = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2^{n-1} \sqrt{5}} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2i+1}^n (\sqrt{5})^{2i} \\
&\quad \left( \text{Notice that } \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ is the least number } m \text{ such that } 2m+1 \leq n \right) \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} C_{2i+1}^n 5^i}{2^{n-1}} \\
\therefore F_{2020} &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{1009} C_{2i+1}^{2020} 5^i}{2^{2019}} \\
\therefore 2^{2019} F_{2020} &= \sum_{i=0}^{1009} C_{2i+1}^{2020} 5^i = C_1^{2020} + 5 \times C_3^{2020} + 25 \times C_5^{2020} + 125 \times (\dots) \\
&\equiv C_1^{2020} + 5 \times C_3^{2020} + 25 \times C_5^{2020} \pmod{125}
\end{aligned}$$

Now it suffices to find  $2^{2019} \pmod{125}$  and  $C_1^{2020} + 5 \times C_3^{2020} + 25 \times C_5^{2020} \pmod{125}$  or something similar.  
Below is a suggested way to do so, and is not too easy to make careless mistakes:

$$\begin{aligned}
2^5 &\equiv 32 \pmod{125} \\
2^{10} &= 1024 \equiv 24 \pmod{125} \\
2^{20} &= 1024^2 \equiv 24^2 = 576 \equiv -49 \pmod{125} \\
2^{25} &= 2^{20} \times 2^5 \equiv (32) \times (-49) = -1568 \equiv 57 \pmod{125} \\
2^{50} &\equiv (57)^2 = 60^2 - 2 \times 3 \times 60 + 3^2 = 3600 - 360 + 9 \equiv -1 \pmod{125} \\
\left( 2^{100} &= 2^{\varphi(125)} \equiv 1 \pmod{125} \text{ simply by Euler's Theorem} \right) \\
2^{200} &\equiv 2^{20} \times ((2^{50})^2)^{20} \equiv -49 \times ((-1)^2)^{20} = -49 \pmod{125}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1^{2020} + 5 \times C_3^{2020} + 25 \times C_5^{2020} &= 2020 + \frac{2020 \times 2019 \times 2018}{3!} \times 5 + \frac{2020 \times 2019 \times 2018 \times 2017 \times 2016}{5!} \times 25 \\
&= 2020 + 2020 \times 2019 \times 2018 \div 6 \times 5 \\
&\quad + 2020 \times 2019 \times 2018 \times 2017 \times 2016 \div 3 \div 8 \times 5 \\
&= 2020 + 2020 \times 673 \times 1009 \times 5 + 2020 \times 673 \times 2018 \times 2017 \times 252 \times 5 \\
&\equiv 20 + 20 \times 48 \times 9 \times 5 + 20 \times 48 \times 18 \times 17 \times 2 \times 5 \\
&= 20 + 100 \times 432 + 100 \times 96 \times 18 \times 17 \\
&\equiv 20 + 75 + (-25) \times (-29) \times 306 = 95 + 725 \times 306 \equiv -30 - 25 \times 56 \\
&= -1430 \equiv 70 \pmod{125}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore 22F_{2020} \equiv 147F_{2020} = -3(-49F_{2020}) \equiv -6(2^{2019}F_{2020}) \equiv -6 \times 70 = -420 \equiv -45 \pmod{125}$$

To find  $F_{2020} \pmod{125}$ , try to find the multiplicative inverse of 22:  $22^{-1} \pmod{125}$ .

Here is a method without explicitly finding the multiplicative inverse:

Notice that  $22 \times 6 = 132 = 125 + 7$

, which  $7F_{2020} \equiv 132F_{2020}$  can simplify the expression.

After that, notice that  $18 \times 7 = 126 = 125 + 1$  can again simplify the problem.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2020} &\equiv 126F_{2020} = 18(7F_{2020}) \equiv 18(132F_{2020}) = (18)(6)(22F_{2020}) \equiv (108)(-45) \\ &\equiv 17 \times 45 = 765 \equiv 15 \pmod{125} \end{aligned}$$

After all, use the Chinese Remainder Theorem, or by considering:

$$F_{2020} = 15, 140, 265, 390, \mathbf{515}, 640, 765, 890 \pmod{1000}$$

and notice that only 515 provides  $F_{2020} \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ .

## Interesting Generalization

Consider a sequence  $x, y, x + y, x + 2y, 2x + 3y, \dots$

It is defined similarly as the *Fibonacci sequence* except that the initial two terms are different.

One may generalize *Fibonacci sequence* for other starting values. And may also generalize it for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(Just define  $F_n = F_{n+2} - F_{n+1}$  for all non-negative integers  $n$ )

These generalizations are obvious. Instead, here we would like to generalize the property of the *Fibonacci sequence* and discover the relation between the sequence and the number 5 (which is mostly implied by the beautiful relation with the *golden ratio*, see the section [More about the general term formula of Fibonacci sequence](#) below).

**Lemma 0.1.** *If  $(2i + 1)! = 5^g h$  for non-negative integers  $g, h$  and  $5 \nmid h$ , then  $i - g \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \left\lfloor \frac{2i+1}{5^1} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{2i+1}{5^2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{2i+1}{5^3} \right\rfloor + \dots \leq \frac{2i+1}{5^1} + \frac{2i+1}{5^2} + \frac{2i+1}{5^3} + \dots = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \frac{2i+1}{5^j} \right) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\text{Next term} - \text{first term}}{\text{Common ratio} - 1} \right) = \frac{(2i+1) - 0}{5 - 1} \\ \frac{2i-1}{4} &\leq i - g \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \therefore i - g \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Theorem 0.2.** *For non-negative integers  $m, n$ ,  $F_{n \times 5^m} \equiv 0 \pmod{5^m}$ .*

*Proof.*

$$2^{n \times 5^m - 1} F_{n \times 5^m} = \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n \times 5^m - 1}{2} \right\rfloor} C_{2i+1}^{n \times 5^m} (5^i) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{\min \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{n \times 5^m - 1}{2} \right\rfloor, m-1 \right\}} C_{2i+1}^{n \times 5^m} (5^i) \pmod{5^m}$$

$$\text{For each } i, C_{2i+1}^{n \times 5^m} (5^i) = \frac{(5^m)(5^m - 1) \dots (5^m - 2i)}{(2i+1)!} (5^i) \equiv 0 \pmod{5^m} \text{ by this lemma.}$$

Then, coping the special case  $n = 0$ , by considering multiplicative inverse or just  $2^{n \times 5^m - 1} F_{n \times 5^m} = 5^k t$  ( $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ):

$$F_{n \times 5^m} \equiv 0 \pmod{5^m}$$

■



## Alternative solution simplified at finding $(F_{2020} \bmod 125)$

In the above, we have proven that  $F_{n+500} \equiv F_n \pmod{125}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We may then compute  $F_{2020} \bmod 125 = F_{20} \bmod 125$  by listing out the terms of  $(F_n \bmod 125)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ :

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 19, 108, 2, 110, 112, 97, 84, 56, \mathbf{15}$$

Notice that for this solution, as  $F_{n+500} \equiv F_n \pmod{125}$  is used, you need to observe that  $F_{4 \times 125} \equiv 0 \pmod{125}$  and  $F_{4 \times 125 + 1} \equiv 1 \pmod{125}$  in order to think of this solution.

Or, from a competition-directed point of view:

One may suggest or guess  $F_{2000}$  to be something simple  $\pmod{125}$  (just like  $(F_n \bmod 2)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is something easily predictable), and with  $F_{2001} \equiv 1 \pmod{125}$  also observed, one can notice that the *Fibonacci sequence* repeats itself every 2000 terms. Though not the least possible period, it's still useful.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2000} &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^2 C_{2i+1}^{2000}(5^i) = 2000 + \frac{2000 \times 1999 \times 1998}{6} \times 5 + \frac{2000 \times 1999 \times 1998 \times 1997 \times 1996}{5 \times 24} \times 25 \\ &= \mathbf{125} \times 16 + \mathbf{125} \times 16 \times 1999 \times 333 \times 5 + \mathbf{125} \times 16 \times 1999 \times 333 \times 1997 \times 499 \times 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbf{125}} \\ F_{2001} &\equiv \sum_{i=0}^2 C_{2i+1}^{2001}(5^i) = 2001 + \frac{2001 \times 2000 \times 1999}{6} \times 5 + \frac{2001 \times 2000 \times 1999 \times 1998 \times 1997}{5 \times 24} \times 25 \\ &= (\mathbf{125} \times 16 + 1) + 667 \times \mathbf{125} \times 8 \times 1999 \times 5 + 2001 \times \mathbf{125} \times 4 \times 1999 \times 333 \times 1997 \times 5 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathbf{125}} \end{aligned}$$

So it is just proven that  $F_{2020} \equiv F_{20} \pmod{125}$ .

## More about the general term formula of *Fibonacci sequence*

Notice that we have something called the geometric series, for example,  $1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots$ , and notice that the property that the *Fibonacci sequence* is inductively defined and the fact that it is *addition* of previous two terms make it reasonable to suggest some relations between geometric series and it.

Obviously the *Fibonacci sequence* is not a geometric series, as the ratio between two terms is not a constant.

(Though, the ratio between two consecutive terms actually tends to the *golden ratio*  $\phi = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ ,

which provides further justification on the decision to compare geometric series with it)

We assume  $F_n = a^n + b^n$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Or, you should let, more generally,  $F_n = ca^n + db^n$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

$$\begin{cases} ca^1 + db^1 = F_1 = 1 \\ ca^0 + db^0 = F_0 = F_2 - F_1 = 0 \\ c = -d \\ c(a - b) = 1 \end{cases}$$

(Using  $F_2 = 0$  to set up the equation also works, but it's slightly more complicated.)

We notice that there is not enough information given. However, as the induction condition is  $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$ , and it would be reasonable to pick  $a, b$  to be the roots of  $x^{n+2} = x^{n+1} + x^n$ , i.e.,  $x^2 = x + 1$ , so it is how the *Fibonacci sequence* is nicely related to the golden ratio.

If we let:

$$(a, b) = \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \right)$$

We then suggest  $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$ .

As  $ca^{n+2} + db^{n+2} = (ca^{n+1} + ca^n) + (db^{n+1} + db^n) = (ca^{n+1} + db^{n+1}) + (ca^n + db^n)$  and

$$\begin{cases} F_0 = 0 = ca^0 + db^0 \\ F_1 = 1 = ca^1 + db^1 = \frac{\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} - \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}}{\sqrt{5}} \end{cases}$$

We can then conclude

$$F_n = \frac{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n}{\sqrt{5}}$$

$\left( \text{You may compare inductively } \textit{Fibonacci sequence } (F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ with the suggested } \left( \frac{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n}{\sqrt{5}} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right)$  to prove.

By the way, for *Mathematical induction* here, it is slightly different than the ordinary one.

You may see [Strong Induction](#). Roughly speaking, the induction hypotheses when  $n = k + 1$  is that  $P(1), \dots$ , and  $P(k)$ . Obviously it's equivalent to the ordinary *Mathematical induction*: just let  $Q(n)$  to be ' $P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ '.

### A proof of the general term of *Fibonacci sequence*

Let  $P(n)$  be ' $F_n = \frac{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n}{\sqrt{5}}$ ', for non-negative integer  $n$ .

$P(0)$  and  $P(1)$  are true.

Assume that  $P(0) \wedge P(1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(k)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

For  $n = k + 1$ ,  $F_{k+1} = F_k + F_{k-1}$  as the golden ratios are the roots of  $(x^{k-1})x^2 = (x^{k-1})x + (x^{k-1})$ .

$\therefore P(k + 1)$  is true.

$\therefore$  By *Mathematical Induction*,  $P(n)$  is true for all non-negative integers  $n$ .