

CMSI 370-01
INTERACTION DESIGN
Fall 2013

Assignment I024 Feedback

Anthony B. Menjivar

TonyMenji

3a — The lack of Ajax code shows a lack of exposure to the *dynamic* aspects of user interface construction. At the very least, you could have integrated the Ajax code written in class, but you did not do that either. This is a very clear gap that needs to be filled. (–)

3b — Your code shows a very rudimentary event handling functionality—click—but nothing else, and your click handling is always the same (dismissal of a modal). You need to show a lot more than that to demonstrate your ability to do event-driven programming. (/)

3c — No new Ajax code means nothing further to say about MVC; this proficiency remains the same until you are able to eliminate those font elements. (/)

4a — Without Ajax, there really is no new functionality to examine for this assignment; everything else belongs to the previous one. (–)

4b — Like *3c*, no change can be noted here because no Ajax code has been added to provide further information on how well you understand separation of concerns. (/)

4c — Code readability remains at the same level as with the previous assignment. This is not surprising of course, because until this point you have not yet been called on it. But you are being called on it now, so you'll want to improve on this with future work and, if you choose, a resubmission of these assignments. (/)

4d — For this round, despite sample code from class and demonstration code fragments from the web service site itself (<http://lmu-diabolical.appspot.com>), the absence of Ajax code suggests that you were not able to make use of these resources effectively. (–)

4e — Your commit rate improved from 1 to 2, and your messages were a little better. Frequency can still be much improved though. (/)

4f — Not submitted to GitHub on time; *my.cs.lmu.edu* version does not reflect the prescribed functionality for this assignment (Ajax). (–)