

Chapter 6. General Linear Model

Sec 6.1. Intro

We will study inference problem for GLM in this chapter.

Definition 6.1.1 General Linear Model (GLM).

Let \underline{Y} be an $n \times 1$ observable random vector, X be an $n \times p$ matrix ($n > p$) of known fixed numbers; $\underline{\beta}$ be a $p \times 1$ vector of unknown parameters; $\underline{\varepsilon}$ be an $n \times 1$ unobservable random (error) vector with $E(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \underline{0}$ and $Cov(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \Sigma$; and let these quantities be related ~~to given~~ by:

$$\underline{Y} = X\underline{\beta} + \underline{\varepsilon} \quad (6.1.1)$$

These specifications define a GLM.

Remark (1) For this chapter, we assume X is of its full rank p .

(2) Two cases will be considered:

Case 1: $\underline{\varepsilon} \sim N_n(\underline{0}, \sigma^2 I)$. σ^2 unknown

Case 2: $\underline{\varepsilon}$ follows an unknown distribution with $E(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \underline{0}$ and $Cov(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \sigma^2 I$, σ^2 unknown.

We will discuss estimation and hypothesis testing, mostly for Case 1 ^(Sec 6.1-6.4) and estimate for case 2 in the follow sections of this chapter. ^(Sec 6.10)

(6-2)

Sec 6.2. Point Estimation of σ^2 and Linear Functions of β : Case 1.

Y?

Thm 6.2.1 Let $Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ as specified in Defn 6.1.1. Assume $\varepsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I)$. Then the following results follow:

- (1) $\hat{\beta} = X^{-} Y$ is the MLE for β , where $X^{-} = (X'X)^{-1}X'$.
- (2) $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} Y'(I-K)Y$ is the MLE for σ^2 , where $K = X(X'X)^{-1}X' = XX^{-}$.
- (3) $\hat{\beta} \sim N_p(\beta, \sigma^2 C)$, where $C = (X'X)^{-1}$.
- (4) $(n-p)\hat{\sigma}^2/\sigma^2 = U \sim \chi^2_{n-p}$.
- (5) $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are independent.
- (6) $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are sufficient statistics for β and σ^2 .
- (7) $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are complete statistics.

Proof: $\beta \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I) \Rightarrow Y \sim N_n(X\beta, \sigma^2 I)$.

\Rightarrow The likelihood function is:

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2 | Y) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta)$$

where the parameter space is:

$$\Omega = \{(\beta, \sigma^2) : \sigma^2 > 0, -\infty < \beta_i < \infty, i=1, \dots, p\}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta} = +\frac{1}{\sigma^2} X'(Y - X\beta) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln L(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} X'Y - X'X\beta = 0 \\ (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta) - n\sigma^2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{"normal equations"}$$

$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} X'X\hat{\beta} = X'y \\ \hat{\alpha}^2 = \frac{1}{n} (y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta}) \end{cases}$ are solutions for the above normal equations.

As X has rank p , $X'X$ is of full rank. That is, $(X'X)^{-1}$ exists. Then the MLEs are obtained as:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = X^{-}y$$

$$\hat{\alpha}^2 = \frac{1}{n} (y - X(X'X)^{-1}X'y)'(y - X(X'X)^{-1}X'y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} y'[I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] y$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} y'[I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] y \quad \uparrow \text{idempotent}$$

where $X^{-} = (X'X)^{-1}X'$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = X^{-}y$ is the MLE of β (1) is proved.

also $\Rightarrow \hat{\alpha}^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} y'[I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] y$ is a function of the MLE $\hat{\beta}$, and hence the MLE of α^2 . (2) is proved. (a constant multiple)

As $\hat{\beta} = X^{-}y = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$ is a ~~linear form~~ ^{linear form} of y

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} \sim N_p((X'X)^{-1}X'X\beta, \alpha^2(X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1})$$

$$= N_p(\beta, \alpha^2(X'X)^{-1}) = N_p(\beta, \alpha^2 C), C = (X'X)^{-1}$$

\Rightarrow (3) is proved.

As $\frac{1}{\alpha^2} y'[I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] y$ is a quadratic function of y with $y \sim N_n(X\beta, \alpha^2 I)$ and

$$A = [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \frac{1}{\alpha^2}$$

Now,
$$A\Sigma = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] \sigma^2 I = I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

$$= [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X']$$

$$A\Sigma A\Sigma = [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X']$$

$$= I - X(X'X)^{-1}X' - X(X'X)^{-1}X' + X(X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

$$= I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

$$= A\Sigma$$

that is, $A\Sigma$ is idempotent
and $\text{rank}(A\Sigma) = \text{rank}[I - X(X'X)^{-1}X']$

$$\stackrel{\text{Thm 4.5.5}}{=} \text{trace}[I - X(X'X)^{-1}X']$$

$$= \text{trace}(I) - \text{trace}[X(X'X)^{-1}X']$$

$$= n - \text{trace}[X'X(X'X)^{-1}X']$$

$$= n - \text{trace}[I_p] = n - p$$

Thm 4.4.3

$$\Rightarrow U \sim \chi^2_{n-p}, \lambda$$

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} (X\beta)' \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] (X\beta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [\beta' X' X \beta - \beta' X' X (X'X)^{-1} X' X \beta]$$

$$= 0.$$

That is, indeed, $U \sim \chi^2_{n-p}$. (4) is proved.

Further, as $\hat{\beta} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$ is a linear form of Y while $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is a quadratic form of Y , where $Y \sim N_n(X\beta, \sigma^2 I)$, $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'] Y$

$$\text{As } B\Sigma A = (X'X)^{-1}X' \sum_{i=1}^{n-p} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [I - X(X'X)^{-1}X']$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n-p} [(X'X)^{-1}X' - (X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}X']$$

$$= 0 \stackrel{\text{Thm 4.5.2}}{\Rightarrow} \hat{\beta} \perp \hat{\sigma}^2.$$

In the proof of Thm 6.2.1;

(6-5)

Lastly, we want to prove the sufficiency of $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$. ^{and completeness}

Note again:

$$f_Y(y; \beta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2})^n} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta)\right\}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} & (Y - X\beta)'(Y - X\beta) \\ &= [(Y - X\hat{\beta})' + (X\hat{\beta} - X\beta)'][(Y - X\hat{\beta}) + (X\hat{\beta} - X\beta)] \\ &= (Y - X\hat{\beta})'(Y - X\hat{\beta}) - (\beta - \hat{\beta})'X'(Y - X\hat{\beta}) \quad \text{pay attention} \\ & \quad - (Y - X\hat{\beta})'X(\beta - \hat{\beta}) + (\beta - \hat{\beta})'X'X(\beta - \hat{\beta}) \quad \text{starting here} \\ &= (Y - X\hat{\beta})'(Y - X\hat{\beta}) + (\beta - \hat{\beta})'X'X(\beta - \hat{\beta}) \\ &= n\hat{\sigma}^2 + \beta'X'X\beta - \hat{\beta}'X'X\beta - \beta'X'X\hat{\beta} + \hat{\beta}'X'X\hat{\beta} \\ &= n\hat{\sigma}^2 + \beta'X'X\beta - 2\hat{\beta}'X'X\beta + Y'Y - n\hat{\sigma}^2 \\ &= Y'Y + \beta'X'X\beta - 2\hat{\beta}'X'X\beta \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(Y; \beta, \sigma^2) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}Y'Y - \frac{\beta'X'X\beta}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\hat{\beta}'X'X\hat{\beta}}{\sigma^2}\right\} \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n}_{h(\theta)} e^{-\frac{\beta'X'X\beta}{2\sigma^2}} \cdot \underbrace{1}_{g(Y)} \cdot \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\underbrace{Y'Y}_{S_2(Y)} + \frac{\underbrace{\beta'X'X}_{P_1(\theta)}\underbrace{\hat{\beta}}_{S_1(Y)}}{\sigma^2}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Defn 2.6.3

$\Rightarrow f_Y \in$ exponential family

Thm 2.7.8

$\Rightarrow S_1(Y) = \hat{\beta}$ and $S_2(Y) = Y'Y$ are complete sufficient statistics.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n}Y'[I - XX' - J]Y = \frac{1}{n}[Y'Y - Y'XX'Y] = \frac{1}{n}[Y'Y - \hat{\beta}'X'X\hat{\beta}] \\ &= \frac{1}{n}[S_2(Y) - S_1'(Y)X'X S_1(Y)], \text{ } \{\hat{\sigma}^2, \hat{\beta}\} \text{ is 1-1 of } \{S_2, S_1\} \end{aligned}$$

Thm 2.7.6

$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2, \hat{\beta}$ ^{are} complete sufficient statistics. \Rightarrow (6) & (7) are proved.

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = E[X^{-1}Y] = X^{-1}E(Y) = (X'X)^{-1}X'X\beta = \beta$$

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{1}{n-p} E[Y'(I-K)Y] = \frac{1}{n-p} E[\text{trace}(Y'(I-K)Y)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-p} E[\text{trace}(I-K)Y Y'] = \frac{1}{n-p} \text{trace}[(I-K)E(Y Y')]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-p} \text{trace}[(I-K)(\text{Cov}(Y) + \mu_Y \mu_Y')] = \frac{1}{n-p} \text{trace}[(I-K)(\sigma^2 I + X(X'X)^{-1}X' + \mu_Y \mu_Y')]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-p} \text{trace}[\sigma^2(I-K) + (I-K)X(X'X)^{-1}X' + (I-K)\mu_Y \mu_Y']$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-p} \text{trace}[\sigma^2(I-K) + 0 + 0] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n-p} \text{trace}(I-K) = \sigma^2$$

6-6

Combining Thm 2.7.6 & Thm 6.2.1, we can have the following theorem.

Note: $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are unbiased for β and σ^2 (HW problem)

Thm 6.2.2 Let $Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ be defined by (6.1.1) and $\varepsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I)$. Let $t(\beta, \sigma^2)$ be any function of the parameters β and σ^2 for which an unbiased estimator exists. Then there exists a function of the sufficient and complete statistics $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$, $g(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$, that is also an unbiased estimator of $t(\beta, \sigma^2)$. In addition, $g(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ is the UMVUE for $t(\beta, \sigma^2)$.

Guide students to read example 6.2.1. <see 6-10

Large sample properties of $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are given in Thm 6.2.3 and Cor 6.2.3.

skip

Thm 6.2.3 Consider the sequence of GLMs:

$$Y_n = X_n \beta + \varepsilon_n, \quad \varepsilon_n \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n), \quad n = p+1, p+2, \dots$$

where Y_n is an $n \times 1$ vector, X_n is $n \times p$ of rank p (for each n), β is $p \times 1$, ε_n is $n \times 1$. Let $\hat{\beta}_n$ and $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ be the MLEs (with $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ adjusted for unbiasedness) of β and σ^2 in the n th model, where

$$\hat{\beta}_n = (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' Y_n$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} Y_n' [I_n - X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n'] Y_n, \quad n = p+1, p+2, \dots$$

(i) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (X_n' X_n)^{-1} = 0$, then for any constant vector ℓ of $p \times 1$, the sequence of estimators $\{\ell' \hat{\beta}_n\}$ is a MSE (and simple) consistent estimator of $\ell' \beta$.

Example 6.2.1 Simple linear regression model.

Find $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \varepsilon_i$, $\varepsilon_i \sim \text{iid } N(0, \sigma^2)$, $i=1, \dots, n$
~~Are there~~ UMVUEs for the following parameters:

1. β 2. β_0 3. σ^2 (4) $2\beta - 3\beta_0$ (5) $5\sigma^2 + 8\beta$
 (6) $\beta_0 + 1.94\sigma$ (7) β_0/σ^2 (8) $\log^3(1/\beta)$ 5. $\log^3(1/\beta)$

SL: Let $Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix}$ $X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X_1 \\ 1 & X_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_n \end{bmatrix}$ $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$

Then $X'X = \begin{bmatrix} n & \sum X_i \\ \sum X_i & \sum X_i^2 \end{bmatrix}$ $X'Y = \begin{bmatrix} \sum Y_i \\ \sum X_i Y_i \end{bmatrix}$

$(X'X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum X_i^2 & -\sum X_i \\ -\sum X_i & n \end{bmatrix}$

$\hat{\beta} = X^{-1}Y = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$
 $= \frac{1}{n \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \begin{bmatrix} (\sum Y_i)(\sum X_i^2) - (\sum X_i)(\sum X_i Y_i) \\ n \sum X_i Y_i - (\sum X_i)(\sum Y_i) \end{bmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_0 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X} \\ \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \end{bmatrix}$

That

$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$

$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}$

$\hat{\beta}_0 = \frac{\sum Y_i \sum X_i^2 - \sum X_i \sum X_i Y_i}{n \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$

$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n-2} [Y'Y - \hat{\beta}'X'Y] = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[\sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 - \frac{[\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})]^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \right]$

are ~~the~~ complete sufficient statistics.

$\hat{\beta}$ is UMVUE for β and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is UMVUE for σ^2 . In particular, $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are UMVUE for β_0, β . The 4 parameters are functions of the complete suff. statistics, ~~then~~ From Thm 6.2.2, as long as we can find an unbiased estimator for each of the parameters using the complete sufficient statistics, these estimators will be the UMVUEs.

(1.) As $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$, $E(\hat{\beta}_0) = \beta_0$, $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$, $E(\hat{\beta}_0) = \beta_0$
 $E(2\hat{\beta} - 3\hat{\beta}_0) = 2E(\hat{\beta}) - 3E(\hat{\beta}_0) = 2\beta - 3\beta_0$

$$\Rightarrow 2\hat{\beta} - 3\hat{\beta}_0 = 2 \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} - 3(\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}\bar{x})$$

$$= 2 \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} - 3\left(\bar{y} - \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \bar{x}\right)$$

is unbiased for $2\beta - 3\beta_0$ $\xrightarrow{\text{Thm 6.2.2}}$ Also UMVUE.

(2) As $E(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \sigma^2$, and $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$

$$E[5\hat{\sigma}^2 + 8\hat{\beta}] = 5\sigma^2 + 8\beta$$

$$\Rightarrow 5\hat{\sigma}^2 + 8\hat{\beta} = \frac{5}{n-2} \left[\sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2 - \frac{[\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})]^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{8 \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

is unbiased for $5\sigma^2 + 8\beta$, and hence an UMVUE for $5\sigma^2 + 8\beta$

3.) For $\beta_0 + 1.94\alpha$: as long as we can find an unbiased estimator for α , we get the work done.

Note that: $U = \frac{(n-2)\hat{\alpha}^2}{\alpha^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-2}$

$$E(U^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \int_0^{\infty} U^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2}{2}) 2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} U^{\frac{n-4}{2}} e^{-u/2} du$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2}{2}) 2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} U^{\frac{n-3}{2}} e^{-u/2} du$$

$$= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2}{2})} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}) 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} U^{\frac{(n-1)-2}{2}} e^{-u/2} du$$

\uparrow
pdf of χ^2_{n-1}

$$= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2}{2})}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(U^{\frac{1}{2}}) = E\left[\sqrt{n-2} \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\alpha}\right] = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2}{2})}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-2}{2}) \sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{2} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})} \hat{\alpha}\right] = \alpha$$

$\leftarrow T$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_0 + 1.94T$ is unbiased for $\beta_0 + 1.94\alpha$ and hence UMVUE for $\beta_0 + 1.94\alpha$.

4.) \leftarrow HW problem
For β_0/α^2 : we need to find an unbiased W estimator for α^2 first and since $\hat{\beta}_0$ and W are independent

$$U = \frac{(n-2)\hat{\alpha}^2}{\alpha^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-2}$$

(6-6)
(4)

Note:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\left(\frac{1}{U}\right) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right) 2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \cancel{2^{\frac{n-4-2}{2}}} e^{-u/2} du \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right) 2^{\frac{n-4}{2}}} u^{\frac{n-4-2}{2}} e^{-u/2} du \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)}{2 \cdot \frac{n-4}{2} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)} = \frac{1}{n-4}
 \end{aligned}$$

pdf of χ^2_{n-4}

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\frac{\cancel{A^2}}{(n-2)\hat{\sigma}^2}\right] = \frac{1}{n-4}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\frac{n-4}{(n-2)\hat{\sigma}^2}\right] = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2}$$

W is unbiased for $\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2}$.

Since W is a function of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ only, and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is independent of $\hat{\beta}_0$.

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\frac{\hat{\beta}_0 W}{\hat{\sigma}^2}\right] = E[\hat{\beta}_0] \cdot E\left[\frac{W}{\hat{\sigma}^2}\right]$$

$$= \beta_0 \cdot \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2} = \frac{\beta_0}{\hat{\sigma}^2}$$

$\Rightarrow \frac{\hat{\beta}_0}{\hat{\sigma}^2}$ is unbiased for β_0/σ^2 and hence UMVUE for β_0/σ^2 .

5. Currently, no unbiased estimator of $\log^3|\beta|$ exists.

Don't prove (2).
 two lengthy
 with an additional
 theorem needed.

6-7

(2). The sequence of estimators $\{\hat{\alpha}_n^2\}$ is a MSE (and simple, consistent) estimator of α^2 .

Proof: (1)
$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\underline{l}' \hat{\beta}_n] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\underline{l}' (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' Y_n] \\ &= \underline{l}' (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' E[Y_n] \\ &= \underline{l}' (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' X_n \beta \\ &= \underline{l}' \beta \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\underline{l}' \hat{\beta}_n] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{l}' \beta = \underline{l}' \beta \end{aligned}$$

That is, $\underline{l}' \hat{\beta}_n$ is unbiased for $\underline{l}' \beta$ and hence asymptotically unbiased for $\underline{l}' \beta$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\underline{l}' \hat{\beta}_n] &= \text{Var}[\underline{l}' (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' Y_n] \\ &= \underline{l}' (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' \text{Var}[Y_n] [X_n' (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n']' \\ &= \underline{l}' (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' \alpha^2 I X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1} \underline{l} \\ &= \underline{l}' (X_n' X_n)^{-1} \underline{l} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (X_n' X_n)^{-1} = 0 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}[\underline{l}' \hat{\beta}_n] = 0$

Thm 2.2.9

$\implies \{\underline{l}' \hat{\beta}_n\}$ is a MSE consistent estimator of $\underline{l}' \beta$

(2). $E(\hat{\alpha}_n^2) = \alpha^2$ (shown before)

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\alpha}_n^2) &= \frac{1}{n-p} E\{\text{tr}[Y_n' [I_n - X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n'] Y_n]\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n-p} E\{\text{tr}([I_n - X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n'] Y_n Y_n')\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n-p} \text{tr}\{([I_n - X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n'] E[Y_n Y_n'])\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n-p} \text{tr}\{([I_n - X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n'] (\text{Cov}(Y_n) + X_n \beta \beta' X_n'))\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n-p} \text{tr}\{[I_n - X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n'] [\alpha^2 I + X_n \beta \beta' X_n']\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-p} \text{tr} \{ [I_n - X_n(X_n'X_n)^{-1}X_n'] \alpha^2 + X_n\beta\beta'X_n' - X_n\beta\beta'X_n' \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-p} \alpha^2 \text{tr} \{ I_n - X_n(X_n'X_n)^{-1}X_n' \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-p} \alpha^2 \cdot [n-p] = \alpha^2.$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\alpha}_n^2) = E(\hat{\alpha}_n^4) - [E(\hat{\alpha}_n^2)]^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n-p)^2} \{ 2 \text{tr}([I - X_n(X_n'X_n)^{-1}X_n'] \alpha^2 I)^2 + 4(X_n\beta)' A \alpha^2 I A X_n\beta \}$$

$$A \leftarrow A^2 = A, \text{tr}(A) = n-p$$

addressed
Thm

$$\frac{1}{(n-p)^2} \{ 2 \text{tr}[A] + 4\alpha^2\beta'X_n'[I - X_n(X_n'X_n)^{-1}X_n']X_n\beta \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n-p)^2} \{ 2\alpha^2 \text{tr}(A) + 4\alpha^2\beta'X_n'X_n\beta - 4\alpha^2\beta'X_n'X_n(X_n'X_n)^{-1}X_n'X_n\beta \}$$

0

$$= \frac{2\alpha^2 \text{tr}(A)}{(n-p)^2} = \frac{2\alpha^2(n-p)}{(n-p)^2} = \frac{2\alpha^2}{n-p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\alpha}_n^2$ is MSE consistent for α^2 .

//

Cor 6.2.3 Under the conditions of ~~6.2.3~~ and ~~6.2.3~~ for Thm 6.2.3, each element of $\hat{\beta}_n$ is a MSE (and simple) consistent estimator of the corresponding element in β .

(3)

Sec 6.2.1 Point Estimation of $\underline{\ell}'\beta$ and $\mu(\underline{x})$ for case 1.

For a given vector \underline{x} in domain D , it follows that

$$\mu(\underline{x}) = \underline{\beta}'\underline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i x_i$$

and this is a given linear combination of the β_i .

Thm 6.2.2 says that any function $g(\underline{\hat{\beta}}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ that is unbiased for $t(\underline{\beta}, \sigma^2)$ must be the UMVUE of $t(\underline{\beta}, \sigma^2)$.

Hence, we can choose $g(\underline{\hat{\beta}}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = \underline{\hat{\beta}}'\underline{x} = \hat{\mu}(\underline{x})$, then $\mu(\underline{x}) = \underline{\beta}'\underline{x}$ is indeed an UMVUE of $\mu(\underline{x}) = \underline{\beta}'\underline{x}$.

Similarly, if we choose $g(\underline{\hat{\beta}}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = \underline{\ell}'\underline{\hat{\beta}}$, where $\underline{\ell}$ is $p \times 1$ constant vector, then, the UMVUE of $\underline{\ell}'\underline{\beta}$ is $\underline{\ell}'\underline{\hat{\beta}}$.

eg 6.2.2. student read. — easy.

eg 6.2.3 Alternative way of finding UMVUE for $\underline{\ell}'\underline{\beta}$ if not using Thm 6.2.2. Instead, use Thm 2.7.4 work a long-way to get the UMVUE of $\underline{\ell}'\underline{\beta}$ being $\underline{\ell}'\underline{\hat{\beta}}$.

Sec 6.3 Test of the Hypothesis $H\beta = \underline{h}$: case 1. (6.11)

In the general linear models such as the multiple linear regression model, we may be interested in testing some hypothesis regarding some of the regression coefficients in some kind of combinations, which usually leads to a testing of the so called "general linear hypothesis".

eg. In the following multiple linear regression model:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \beta_4 X_{i4} + \epsilon_i, i=1, \dots, r$$

The usual model ~~valid~~ utility test is to test

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1: \beta_1 \neq 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \beta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note: Let $\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}$. $H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ Then above is to test: $H_0: H\beta = \underline{0}$ vs $H_1: H\beta \neq \underline{0}$.

If we want to test: $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2$ and $\beta_3 = \beta_4$ ①
vs $H_1: \text{not } H_0$ ②

We can let a matrix $H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

and ~~then~~ then testing of ① vs ② is equivalent to testing $H_0: H\beta = \underline{0} \leftarrow \underline{h} = \underline{0}$

$$\text{vs } H_1: H\beta \neq \underline{0}$$

If want to test $\beta_1 = \beta_3 = 6, \beta_2 = \beta_4 = -6$

For this reason, we derive the following thm for testing generalize linear hypothesis in GLM

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{h} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -6 \\ 6 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix} \quad H\beta = \underline{h}$$