Thomas Fiorilla (trf40)

Srikanth Kundeti (sk1799)

Anthony Tiongson (ast119)

Ethan Wang (ew360)

Professor McMahon

CS440 Intro to Al

Assignment 3

April 24, 2020

- A) Gain (9,+) = \$4,000 gain \$3,000 cost \$1,000 profit

 Gain (9,-) = \$4,000 gain (\$3,000 cost \$1,400 repair) = -\$400 profit

 A Since not given a test, mechanic fee is not substituted into cost-assessment
- b) $P(pass) = P(pass|q^{+}) P(q^{+}) + P(pass|q^{-}) P(q^{-}) = (as)(0.7) + (0.35)(0.3) = 0.665$ $P(pass) = P(fail) = (1 P(pass|q_{+})) P(q^{+}) + P(pass|q_{-}) P(q^{-}) = (0.2)(0.7) + (0.65)(0.3) = 0.335$ Obscrvc P(pass) + P(fail) = 0.665 + 0.335 = 1

$$P(9, + | Pass) = P(Pass | 9, +) P(9, +) = (0.8) (0.7)$$

$$P(Pass) = \frac{P(Pass | 9, +) P(9, +)}{P(Pass)} = \frac{(0.35) (0.3)}{(0.665)} = 0.842$$

$$P(9, + | 7 Pass) = \frac{(1 - P(Pass | 9, +)) P(9, +)}{P(7 Pass)} = \frac{(0.2) (0.7)}{0.335} = 0.4179104472$$

$$P(9, + | 7 Pass) = \frac{(1 - P(Pass | 9, +)) P(9, +)}{P(7 Pass)} = \frac{(0.65) (0.3)}{0.335} = 0.4179104472$$

(c) It seems the best decision and be the amount of money recieved it the first is passed since there would not need to be repairs done on the cour.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \big[\text{Pass} \big] &= P(9^{4} | \text{Pass}) \cos t(9^{4}) + P(9^{4} | \text{Pass}) \cos t(9^{4}) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} | \log 0 \right) \left(0.842 \right) + \left(-\frac{1}{2} | \log 0 \right) \left(0.157 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} | \text{Pan} \right) \cos t(9^{4}) + P(9^{4} | \text{Pan}) \cos t(9^{4}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \cos 0 \right) \left(0.4179 \right) + \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \cos 0 \right) \left(\cos 12 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \cos 10 \end{split}$$

clearly, the expected utility or passing is girculers

(d) since flow is charged by the methode. cost(97) = 1000 - 1000 = 1000 and cost(97) = 1000 - 1000 = 1000 and cost(97) = 1000 = 1000 [E[Pass] = (1900)(0.842) + (-1800)(0.187) = \$679.30 = 10000 = 10000 = 10000 = 10000 = 10000 = 10000 = 10000 = 10000 = 10000 = 10000 = 10000 =

Since the expected utility values of both pass and tail given a mechanic is consulted is lower than when he is not consulted; C, will not be taken to the mechanic.

courstion 3)

(a) Given: Phileft) + f(left) = 0.5

Pla(stay) | f(left) = 0.2

Pla(stay) | f(left) = 0.3

Pla(stay) | f(right) = 0.2

Pla(stay) | f(right) = 0.5

Pla(stay) | f(right) = 0.5

Pla(stay) | f(right) = 0.25

Pla(left) | f(same) = 0.25

Pla(stay) | fisame) = 0.25

Pla(stay) | fisame) = 0.25

initial

 $P(f(g) + of ant) = \frac{1}{5} = 0.2$ $P(f(g) + of ant) = 3 \cdot \frac{1}{5} = \frac{3}{5} = 0.6$ $P(f(g) + of ant) = \frac{1}{5} = 20.2$

P(a(some)|P(some)

P(a(same)) = p(a(same) | f(icf)) . P(f(icf)) + p(a(same)) f(right)) fp(f(right)) + p(a(same)) f(right)) + p(a(same

P(a(R)) = P(a(R)|f(L)) P(f(L)) + P(a(R)|f(R)) P(f(R)) + P(a(R)|f(S)) P(f(S)) = (0.2)(0.2) + (0.5)(0.6) + (0.25)(0.2) = 0.39

P(a(L)) = P(a(L)) f(L)) P(f(L)) + P(a(L)) f(R)) P(R(R)) + P(a(L)) f(R)) P(R(S)) = (0.5) (0.2) + (0.2)(0.6) + (0.25)(0.2) = 0.27

* Notice P(a(s)) + P(a(N) + P(a(N)) = 0.34+0.39+6.27=1.0

```
0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
 P(f(c.) | a(R)) = P(a(R) | P(L)) P(P(L)) = (0.2) (0.2) = 0.1025641026
 \frac{P(f(c_2) \mid \alpha(R)) = P(\alpha(R) \mid f(S))P(f(S))}{P(\alpha(R))} = \frac{(0.25)(0.2)}{(0.34)} = 0.1282051282
                                P(a(R))
P(f(cz)|a(R)) = P(f(cu)|a(R)) = P(f(cs)|a(R)) = 1/3 . P(f(R)|a(R)) = 1/3 [P(a(R))f(R))P(f(R))]
  = = 1 (0.5)(0.6) = 0.2564102564
      P(f(c1) | a(R)) + P(f(c2) | a(R)) + P(f(c3) | a(R)) + P(f(c4) | a(R)) + P(f(c5) | a(R))
      0-1025641026+ 6-1282051282+3(02564162564)=1
  0.1025641026 0.1282051282 0.2564102564 0.2564102564 0.2564102564
                                     ant location
                                     after 1 step right.
   P(f(left)) = 0.1025641026+ 0.1282051282 = 0.2367692308
   P(f(same)) = 0.2564102564
    P(f(right)) = 0.5128205128
   P(alsame) = P(als) | Flu) P(flu) + P(als) | F(R) + P(als) | F(S)) P(f(S))
              = (0.3) (0.2307692308)+ (0.3) (0.5128205128) + (0.5) (0.2564102564)
              = 0.35/2820513
  P(a(R)) = P(a(R) | F(L)) P(HU) + P(a(R) | F(R)) P(HR)) + P(a(R) | F(S)) P(+(S))
            = (0.2)(0.2507692368)+(0.5)(0.5128205128)+(0.25)(0.2564602564)
            = 0-366666667
   P(a(L)) = P(a(4)) f(L)) P(F(L)) + P(a(2)) F(R)) P(F(R)) + P(a(2)) F(S)) P(F(S))
           = (0.5) (6.2307692308) + (0.2) (0.5128205728) + (0.256402564)(0.25)
                                         P(acs))+P(ack)+P(ack)) = 1 (Acheck)
            = 0.282051282
```

```
0.102564026
                0.1282051282 0.256102564 0.2564102564 0.2564102564
P(f(c1) | a(R)) = P(f(c2) | a(R)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{P(a(R) | P(f(c1)) \ P(f(c1))}{P(a(R))} \frac{2}{2} \frac{(0.2) (0.2307692308)}{(0.366666666667(2))}
       = 0.0629370629
P(f(c3)|a(R)) = P(f(same)|a(Rignt)) = P(a(R)|f(s))P(f(s)) = (0.25)(0.2564102564)
P(a(R)) = (0.366666667)
       = 0-1748281748
 P(f(cu) | a(R)) = p(f(cs)|a(R)) = \frac{1}{2} p(f(R)|a(R)) = \frac{P(R(R)|f(R))}{2 (p(a(R)))} = \frac{(0.5)(6.5128205128)}{2(0.366666667)}
  = 0.3496503496
= P(f(c;)|a(R)) = 2(0.0629870629) + 0.1748251748 + 2(0,3496503496) = 0.999999999999
      0-0629370629
                     0.0629870629 0.1748251748 0.3496803496 0.3496503496
 = 8-3349656369 B.3699301431
  P(a(R)) = P(a(R) | f(L)) P(f(L)) + P(a(R) | f(R)) P(f(R)) + P(a(R) | f(B)) P(f(S)) P(G(S)) 

= (0.2) (2006 493006) + (0.5) (2008 406) + (0.25) (2008 406)
           = All C.3223776223
  P[a(L)) = P[a(L)|f(L)) P(f(L)) + P(a(L)|f(R)) P(f(R)) + P(a(L)|f(S)) P(f(S))
           z & HAMANA 6,3076 923 c76
                    P(als))+P(alk))+P(all))= 948444444444
```

0.0629370629	0.0629370629	0.1748251748	0-34965-03496	0-3496503496
			ant location after 15th vigut, newtoken	

0.3006993006 (0.5) 0.3076923076

Assert 0,48863 63636 (3) = 0,1628787879

0.3496963496 P(a(L) I F(s)) P(F(s)) (0.25) (BANGESTA P(f(C4)| a(L)) = P(f(S)|a(b)) = P(a(u)) (Alater Charles) 0-3076923076

= 0-2840909091

P(f(cs)|a(c)) = P(f(R)|a(L)) = P(a(L)|f(R)) P(f(R)) (0.2) (0.69930069 P(a(L)) (-0-2465034964) 0-3076923076

2/14/14/14/1 6. 2276325375

Ep(f(ci)/a(u)) = (1) 1) 1/2/2/2012 1 .00035981 ~1

0-1628787879 0.2840909091/ 0.1628787879 0.2276325375 0.162878787979

P(FW) = 0.325757575788 P(F(S)) = 0.1628787879 P(F(R)) = 0.5681818182

Current location

(b) P(a(s)) = (0,8) (0.325) + (0.3) (0.163) + (0.5) (0.568) = 0.4304 P(all)) = (0,2) (0,835) + (0.5) (0,163) + (0,25) (0,568) = 0,7885 p(a(b)) = (0.5) (0.325) + (0.2) (0.163) +(6.25) (0.568) = 0.3371 1.056

> 21 detorousing.

Question 3 [25 points]:

a) Prove that

$$P(X | MB(X)) = \alpha P(X | U_1, ..., U_m) \prod_{Y_i}^{n} P(Y_i | Z_{i1}, ...)$$

where MB(X) is the Markov Blanket of variable X.

The probability of the Markov Blanket of variable X can be written as:

$$P(U_{1},...,U_{m},X,Y_{1},...,Y_{n},Z_{11},...,Z_{nj})$$

$$=P(U_{1}\cap...\cap U_{m}\cap X\cap Y_{1}\cap...\cap Y_{n}\cap Z_{11}\cap...\cap Z_{nj})$$

$$=\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m}P(U_{i})\right]P(X\mid U_{1},...,U_{m})\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}P(Y_{i}\mid X,Z_{i1},...,Z_{ij})\prod_{k=1}^{j}P(Z_{ik})\right]$$

The Markov Blanket of variable X is the set:

$$MB(X) = \{U_1, ..., U_i, Y_1, ..., Y_n, Z_{11}, ..., Z_{ni}\}$$

The conditional probability of X given MB(X) can be written as:

$$P(x \mid MB(x)) = \frac{P(x \cap MB(x))}{P(MB(x))}$$

The numerator $P(x \cap MB(x))$ can be equivalently expressed as the probability of the Markov Blanket of variable x:

$$\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} P(u_i)\right] P(x \mid u_1, ..., u_m) \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} P(y_i \mid x, z_{i1}, ..., z_{ij}) \prod_{k=1}^{j} P(z_{ik})\right]$$

The denominator P(MB(x)) can be written as:

$$P(u_1, ..., u_m, y_1, ..., y_n, z_{11}, ..., z_{nj})$$

$$= P(u_1 \cap ... \cap u_m \cap y_1 \cap ... \cap y_n \cap z_{11} \cap ... \cap z_{nj})$$

$$= \left[\prod_{i=1}^m P(u_i)\right] \left[\sum_{x} \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i | x, z_{i1}, ..., z_{ij}) \prod_{k=1}^j P(z_{ik})\right]$$

Consequently, we have:

$$P(x \mid MB(x)) = \frac{\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} P(u_i)\right] P(x \mid u_1, ..., u_m) \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} P(y_i \mid x, z_{i1}, ..., z_{ij}) \prod_{k=1}^{j} P(z_{ik})\right]}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m} P(u_i)\right] \left[\sum_{x} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(y_i \mid x, z_{i1}, ..., z_{ij}) \prod_{k=1}^{j} P(z_{ik})\right]}$$

$$=\frac{\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m}P(u_{i})\right]P(x\,|\,u_{1},...,u_{m})\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}P(y_{i}\,|\,x,z_{i1},...,z_{ij})\right]\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{k=1}^{j}P(z_{ik})\right]}{\left[\prod_{i=1}^{m}P(u_{i})\right]\left[\sum_{x}\prod_{i=1}^{n}P(y_{i}\,|\,x,z_{i1},...,z_{ij})\right]\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\prod_{k=1}^{j}P(z_{ik})\right]}$$

$$= \frac{P(x | u_1, ..., u_m) \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i | x, z_{i1}, ..., z_{ij})}{\sum_x \prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i | x, z_{i1}, ..., z_{ij})}$$

The ratio $\frac{1}{\sum_{x}\prod_{i=1}^{n}P(y_{i}|x,z_{i1},...,z_{ij})}$ represents the normalization constant α .

Thus, we have proven:

$$P(x | MB(x)) = \alpha P(x | u_1, ..., u_m) \left[\prod_{i=1}^n P(y_i | x, z_{i1}, ..., z_{ij}) \right]$$

Q.E.D.

b) Consider the query

P(Rain | Sprinkler = true, WetGrass = true)

in the Rain/Sprinkler network and how MCMC would answer it. How many possible states are there for the approach to consider given the network and the available evidence variables?

Considering the number of evidence variables is 2, Sprinkler = true and WetGrass = true, and the nonevidence variables for the query are Rain and Cloudy since the Markov Blanket for node Rain is the set $\{Sprinkler, WetGrass, Cloudy\}$, the initial state for the network can be represented as $[true, true, R_i, C_i]$ where R_i and C_i can either be randomly initialized as true or false. This gives us 4 possible states for the initial approach to consider: [true, true, false, false], [true, true, false, true], [true, true, true, false], and [true, true, true, true].

C) Using the query in 3b, calculate the transition matrix Q that stores the probabilities $P(y \to y')$ for all the states y, y'. If the Markov Chain has n states, then the transition matrix has size $n \times n$ and you should compute n^2 probabilities.

For the query P(Rain | Sprinkler = true, WetGrass = true), we know the Markov Chain has 4 states so the resulting transition matrix Q will be a 4×4 matrix with 16 probabilities for $y \to y'$ where y represents a "current" state for the nonevidence variables Rain and Cloudy and y' is a possible next state that it can transition to. Of the 16 probabilities, we know that 4 will equal 0 for when the

following state has both nonevidence variables change, like from

 $Rain, Cloudy \rightarrow \neg Rain, \neg Cloudy$, since in Markov Chain Monte Carlo only a single variable is allowed to change at each transition. The matrix Q can then be initially visualized as:

To calculate the transition probabilities, we first calculate the the MCMC Gibbs sampling probabilities for Rain and Cloudy conditioned on the evidence variables Sprinkler and WetGrass in the respective Markov Blankets of Rain and Cloudy:

 $P(Rain | Cloudy, Sprinkler, WetGrass) = \alpha P(Rain | Cloudy) P(WetGrass | Rain, Sprinkler)$

$$= \alpha(0.8)(0.99) = \frac{0.792}{0.972} = \frac{22}{27}$$

 $P(\neg Rain \mid Cloudy, Sprinkler, WetGrass) = \alpha P(\neg Rain \mid Cloudy) P(WetGrass \mid \neg Rain, Sprinkler)$

$$= \alpha(0.2)(0.9) = \frac{0.18}{0.972} = \frac{5}{27}$$

 $P(Rain | \neg Cloudy, Sprinkler, WetGrass) = \alpha P(Rain | \neg Cloudy) P(WetGrass | Rain, Sprinkler)$

$$= \alpha(0.21)(0.99) = \frac{0.198}{0.918} = \frac{11}{51}$$

 $P(\neg Rain | \neg Cloudy, Sprinkler, WetGrass) = \alpha P(\neg Rain | \neg Cloudy) P(WetGrass | \neg Rain, Sprinkler)$

$$= \alpha(0.8)(0.9) = \frac{0.72}{0.918} = \frac{40}{51}$$

 $P(Cloudy | Rain, Sprinkler) = \alpha P(Cloudy) P(Rain | Cloudy) P(Sprinkler | Cloudy)$

$$= \alpha(0.5)(0.8)(0.1) = \frac{0.04}{0.09} = \frac{4}{9}$$

 $P(\neg Cloudy | Rain, Sprinkler) = \alpha P(\neg Cloudy) P(Rain | \neg Cloudy) P(Sprinkler | \neg Cloudy)$

$$= \alpha(0.5)(0.2)(0.5) = \frac{0.05}{0.09} = \frac{5}{9}$$

 $P(Cloudy | \neg Rain, Sprinkler) = \alpha P(Cloudy) P(\neg Rain | Cloudy) P(Sprinkler | Cloudy)$

$$= \alpha(0.5)(0.2)(0.1) = \frac{0.01}{0.21} = \frac{1}{21}$$

 $P(\neg Cloudy | \neg Rain, Sprinkler) = \alpha P(\neg Cloudy) P(\neg Rain | \neg Cloudy) P(Sprinkler | \neg Cloudy)$

$$= \alpha(0.5)(0.8)(0.5) = \frac{0.20}{0.21} = \frac{20}{21}$$

We can now use these values to compute each transition probability. For transitions where the transition state equals the initial state, both samplings of those variables are taken into account in the form $\frac{1}{2}[SamProb_1 + SamProb_2].$

For transitions where only one variable changes, only the sampling probability of

the resulting variable is considered in the form $\frac{1}{2}SamProb$. The matrix Q is then:

 $Rain, Cloudy Rain, \neg Cloudy \neg Rain, Cloudy \neg Rain, \neg Cloudy$

Rain, Cloudy	$ \frac{17}{27} $	<u>5</u> 18	<u>5</u> 54	0
$Rain, \neg Cloudy$	$\frac{2}{9}$	59 153	0	<u>20</u> 51
$\neg Rain, Cloudy$	$\frac{11}{27}$	0	22 189	$\frac{10}{21}$
$\neg Rain, \neg Cloudy$	0	$\frac{11}{102}$	$\frac{1}{42}$	$\frac{310}{357}$

(a) The variables are boolean, stating whether a pawn/knight is placed on the boord or not. There is a variable for every grid on the boord. The Possible values are P or 7P (1 or 0) whice P(or 1) is a pawn that is placed, and 7P(or 0) is a pawn that count be placed on the board, so it must be left empty. The location of a variable is given by the subscript under the variable P: Piji for a matrix (ith row, jth column).

(b)
$$P_{i-2,j-1}$$
 $P_{i-2,j+1}$ $P_{i-1,j+2}$ $P_{i+1,j+2}$ $P_{i+1,j+2}$

Constraints: $P_{i,i} \longrightarrow P_{i-2,i-1} \wedge P_{i-2,i+1} \wedge P_{i-1,i-2} \wedge P_{i+1,i-2} \wedge P_{i+1,i+2} \wedge P_{i+$

(c) The CSF algorithm (Backtracking Algorithm) can be used to place the pawns in such a way that there would be no conflict, given the constraints, with the amongement.

Much like the n-queers problem, to solve this problem, it's best to place many random pieces on the board until all constraints are in conflict, then backtrack or simply boacktrack after initially placing all the pawns on the board. When deciding where to place a pawn, we may use the Min-conflict algorithm variation (page 225) to calculate the heavistic of a pawn placement based on the number of conflicts that arise as a result for any single placement. For example, a Pawn placement where a conflict of 0 versus a conflict of 3 (where 3 constraints are violated) arises, the Clear choice would be the placement on the grid with a heuristic of 8ero-