

**TABLE 1** Hand-Icon Exercises and Where They Are Used

<i>Section</i>	<i>Exercise</i>	<i>Section Where Used</i>	<i>Pages Where Used</i>
1.1	40	1.3	31
1.1	41	1.3	31
1.3	9	1.6	71
1.3	10	1.6	70, 71
1.3	15	1.6	71
1.3	30	1.6	71, 74
1.3	42	12.2	820
1.7	16	1.7	86
2.3	72	2.3	144
2.3	79	2.5	170
2.5	15	2.5	174
2.5	16	2.5	173
3.1	43	3.1	197
3.2	72	11.2	761
4.2	36	4.2	270
4.3	37	4.1	239
4.4	2	4.6	301
4.4	44	7.2	464
6.4	17	7.2	466
6.4	21	7.4	480
7.2	15	7.2	466
9.1	26	9.4	598
10.4	59	11.1	747
11.1	15	11.1	750
11.1	30	11.1	755
11.1	48	11.2	762
12.1	12	12.3	825
A.2	4	8.3	531

**THE VALUE OF THIS BOOK** My intention is to make your substantial investment in this text an excellent value. The book, the associated ancillaries, and companion website have taken many years of effort to develop and refine. I am confident that most of you will find that the text and associated materials will help you master discrete mathematics, just as so many previous students have. Even though it is likely that you will not cover some chapters in your current course, you should find it helpful—as many other students have—to read the relevant sections of the book as you take additional courses. Most of you will return to this book as a useful tool throughout your future studies, especially for those of you who continue in computer science, mathematics, and engineering. I have designed this book to be a gateway for future studies and explorations, and to be comprehensive reference, and I wish you luck as you begin your journey.

*Kenneth H. Rosen*

## 1

# The Foundations: Logic and Proofs

- 1.1 Propositional Logic
- 1.2 Applications of Propositional Logic
- 1.3 Propositional Equivalences
- 1.4 Predicates and Quantifiers
- 1.5 Nested Quantifiers
- 1.6 Rules of Inference
- 1.7 Introduction to Proofs
- 1.8 Proof Methods and Strategy

**T**he rules of logic specify the meaning of mathematical statements. For instance, these rules help us understand and reason with statements such as “There exists an integer that is not the sum of two squares” and “For every positive integer  $n$ , the sum of the positive integers not exceeding  $n$  is  $n(n+1)/2$ .” Logic is the basis of all mathematical reasoning, and of all automated reasoning. It has practical applications to the design of computing machines, to the specification of systems, to artificial intelligence, to computer programming, to programming languages, and to other areas of computer science, as well as to many other fields of study.

To understand mathematics, we must understand what makes up a correct mathematical argument, that is, a proof. Once we prove a mathematical statement is true, we call it a theorem. A collection of theorems on a topic organize what we know about this topic. To learn a mathematical topic, a person needs to actively construct mathematical arguments on this topic, and not just read exposition. Moreover, knowing the proof of a theorem often makes it possible to modify the result to fit new situations.

Everyone knows that proofs are important throughout mathematics, but many people find it surprising how important proofs are in computer science. In fact, proofs are used to verify that computer programs produce the correct output for all possible input values, to show that algorithms always produce the correct result, to establish the security of a system, and to create artificial intelligence. Furthermore, automated reasoning systems have been created to allow computers to construct their own proofs.

In this chapter, we will explain what makes up a correct mathematical argument and introduce tools to construct these arguments. We will develop an arsenal of different proof methods that will enable us to prove many different types of results. After introducing many different methods of proof, we will introduce several strategies for constructing proofs. We will introduce the notion of a conjecture and explain the process of developing mathematics by studying conjectures.

## 1.1 Propositional Logic

### Introduction

The rules of logic give precise meaning to mathematical statements. These rules are used to distinguish between valid and invalid mathematical arguments. Because a major goal of this book is to teach the reader how to understand and how to construct correct mathematical arguments, we begin our study of discrete mathematics with an introduction to logic.

Besides the importance of logic in understanding mathematical reasoning, logic has numerous applications to computer science. These rules are used in the design of computer circuits, the construction of computer programs, the verification of the correctness of programs, and in many other ways. Furthermore, software systems have been developed for constructing some, but not all, types of proofs automatically. We will discuss these applications of logic in this and later chapters.

## Propositions

Our discussion begins with an introduction to the basic building blocks of logic—propositions. A **proposition** is a declarative sentence (that is, a sentence that declares a fact) that is either true or false, but not both.

**EXAMPLE 1** All the following declarative sentences are propositions.



1. Washington, D.C., is the capital of the United States of America.
2. Toronto is the capital of Canada.
3.  $1 + 1 = 2$ .
4.  $2 + 2 = 3$ .

Propositions 1 and 3 are true, whereas 2 and 4 are false. ▶

Some sentences that are not propositions are given in Example 2.

**EXAMPLE 2** Consider the following sentences.

1. What time is it?
2. Read this carefully.
3.  $x + 1 = 2$ .
4.  $x + y = z$ .

Sentences 1 and 2 are not propositions because they are not declarative sentences. Sentences 3 and 4 are not propositions because they are neither true nor false. Note that each of sentences 3 and 4 can be turned into a proposition if we assign values to the variables. We will also discuss other ways to turn sentences such as these into propositions in Section 1.4. ▶

We use letters to denote **propositional variables** (or **statement variables**), that is, variables that represent propositions, just as letters are used to denote numerical variables. The



**ARISTOTLE (384 B.C.E.–322 B.C.E.)** Aristotle was born in Stagirus (Stagira) in northern Greece. His father was the personal physician of the King of Macedonia. Because his father died when Aristotle was young, Aristotle could not follow the custom of following his father's profession. Aristotle became an orphan at a young age when his mother also died. His guardian who raised him taught him poetry, rhetoric, and Greek. At the age of 17, his guardian sent him to Athens to further his education. Aristotle joined Plato's Academy, where for 20 years he attended Plato's lectures, later presenting his own lectures on rhetoric. When Plato died in 347 B.C.E., Aristotle was not chosen to succeed him because his views differed too much from those of Plato. Instead, Aristotle joined the court of King Hermeas where he remained for three years, and married the niece of the King. When the Persians defeated Hermeas, Aristotle moved to Mytilene and, at the invitation of King Philip of Macedonia, he tutored Alexander, Philip's son, who later became Alexander the Great. Aristotle tutored Alexander for five years and after the death of King Philip, he returned to Athens and set up his own school, called the Lyceum.

Aristotle's followers were called the peripatetics, which means "to walk about," because Aristotle often walked around as he discussed philosophical questions. Aristotle taught at the Lyceum for 13 years where he lectured to his advanced students in the morning and gave popular lectures to a broad audience in the evening. When Alexander the Great died in 323 B.C.E., a backlash against anything related to Alexander led to trumped-up charges of impiety against Aristotle. Aristotle fled to Chalcis to avoid prosecution. He only lived one year in Chalcis, dying of a stomach ailment in 322 B.C.E.

Aristotle wrote three types of works: those written for a popular audience, compilations of scientific facts, and systematic treatises. The systematic treatises included works on logic, philosophy, psychology, physics, and natural history. Aristotle's writings were preserved by a student and were hidden in a vault where a wealthy book collector discovered them about 200 years later. They were taken to Rome, where they were studied by scholars and issued in new editions, preserving them for posterity.

conventional letters used for propositional variables are  $p, q, r, s, \dots$ . The **truth value** of a proposition is true, denoted by T, if it is a true proposition, and the truth value of a proposition is false, denoted by F, if it is a false proposition.

The area of logic that deals with propositions is called the **propositional calculus** or **propositional logic**. It was first developed systematically by the Greek philosopher Aristotle more than 2300 years ago.



We now turn our attention to methods for producing new propositions from those that we already have. These methods were discussed by the English mathematician George Boole in 1854 in his book *The Laws of Thought*. Many mathematical statements are constructed by combining one or more propositions. New propositions, called **compound propositions**, are formed from existing propositions using logical operators.

### DEFINITION 1

Let  $p$  be a proposition. The *negation of  $p$* , denoted by  $\neg p$  (also denoted by  $\overline{p}$ ), is the statement

“It is not the case that  $p$ .”

The proposition  $\neg p$  is read “not  $p$ .” The truth value of the negation of  $p$ ,  $\neg p$ , is the opposite of the truth value of  $p$ .

### EXAMPLE 3 Find the negation of the proposition

“Michael’s PC runs Linux”



and express this in simple English.

*Solution:* The negation is

“It is not the case that Michael’s PC runs Linux.”

This negation can be more simply expressed as

“Michael’s PC does not run Linux.”



### EXAMPLE 4 Find the negation of the proposition

“Vandana’s smartphone has at least 32GB of memory”

and express this in simple English.

*Solution:* The negation is

“It is not the case that Vandana’s smartphone has at least 32GB of memory.”

This negation can also be expressed as

“Vandana’s smartphone does not have at least 32GB of memory”

or even more simply as

“Vandana’s smartphone has less than 32GB of memory.”



**TABLE 1** The Truth Table for the Negation of a Proposition.

$p$	$\neg p$
T	F
F	T

Table 1 displays the **truth table** for the negation of a proposition  $p$ . This table has a row for each of the two possible truth values of a proposition  $p$ . Each row shows the truth value of  $\neg p$  corresponding to the truth value of  $p$  for this row.

The negation of a proposition can also be considered the result of the operation of the **negation operator** on a proposition. The negation operator constructs a new proposition from a single existing proposition. We will now introduce the logical operators that are used to form new propositions from two or more existing propositions. These logical operators are also called **connectives**.

**DEFINITION 2**

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be propositions. The *conjunction* of  $p$  and  $q$ , denoted by  $p \wedge q$ , is the proposition “ $p$  and  $q$ .” The conjunction  $p \wedge q$  is true when both  $p$  and  $q$  are true and is false otherwise.

Table 2 displays the truth table of  $p \wedge q$ . This table has a row for each of the four possible combinations of truth values of  $p$  and  $q$ . The four rows correspond to the pairs of truth values TT, TF, FT, and FF, where the first truth value in the pair is the truth value of  $p$  and the second truth value is the truth value of  $q$ .

Note that in logic the word “but” sometimes is used instead of “and” in a conjunction. For example, the statement “The sun is shining, but it is raining” is another way of saying “The sun is shining and it is raining.” (In natural language, there is a subtle difference in meaning between “and” and “but”; we will not be concerned with this nuance here.)

**EXAMPLE 5** Find the conjunction of the propositions  $p$  and  $q$  where  $p$  is the proposition “Rebecca’s PC has more than 16 GB free hard disk space” and  $q$  is the proposition “The processor in Rebecca’s PC runs faster than 1 GHz.”

**Solution:** The conjunction of these propositions,  $p \wedge q$ , is the proposition “Rebecca’s PC has more than 16 GB free hard disk space, and the processor in Rebecca’s PC runs faster than 1 GHz.” This conjunction can be expressed more simply as “Rebecca’s PC has more than 16 GB free hard disk space, and its processor runs faster than 1 GHz.” For this conjunction to be true, both conditions given must be true. It is false, when one or both of these conditions are false. ◀

**DEFINITION 3**

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be propositions. The *disjunction* of  $p$  and  $q$ , denoted by  $p \vee q$ , is the proposition “ $p$  or  $q$ .” The disjunction  $p \vee q$  is false when both  $p$  and  $q$  are false and is true otherwise.

Table 3 displays the truth table for  $p \vee q$ .

**TABLE 2** The Truth Table for the Conjunction of Two Propositions.

$p$	$q$	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

**TABLE 3** The Truth Table for the Disjunction of Two Propositions.

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$
T	T	T
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

The use of the connective *or* in a disjunction corresponds to one of the two ways the word *or* is used in English, namely, as an **inclusive or**. A disjunction is true when at least one of the two propositions is true. For instance, the inclusive or is being used in the statement

“Students who have taken calculus or computer science can take this class.”

Here, we mean that students who have taken both calculus and computer science can take the class, as well as the students who have taken only one of the two subjects. On the other hand, we are using the **exclusive or** when we say

“Students who have taken calculus or computer science, but not both, can enroll in this class.”

Here, we mean that students who have taken both calculus and a computer science course cannot take the class. Only those who have taken exactly one of the two courses can take the class.


Similarly, when a menu at a restaurant states, “Soup or salad comes with an entrée,” the restaurant almost always means that customers can have either soup or salad, but not both. Hence, this is an exclusive, rather than an inclusive, or.

**EXAMPLE 6** What is the disjunction of the propositions  $p$  and  $q$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are the same propositions as in Example 5?




**Solution:** The disjunction of  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $p \vee q$ , is the proposition

“Rebecca’s PC has at least 16 GB free hard disk space, or the processor in Rebecca’s PC runs faster than 1 GHz.”

This proposition is true when Rebecca’s PC has at least 16 GB free hard disk space, when the PC’s processor runs faster than 1 GHz, and when both conditions are true. It is false when both of these conditions are false, that is, when Rebecca’s PC has less than 16 GB free hard disk space and the processor in her PC runs at 1 GHz or slower. 

As was previously remarked, the use of the connective *or* in a disjunction corresponds to one of the two ways the word *or* is used in English, namely, in an inclusive way. Thus, a disjunction is true when at least one of the two propositions in it is true. Sometimes, we use *or* in an exclusive sense. When the exclusive or is used to connect the propositions  $p$  and  $q$ , the proposition “ $p$  or  $q$  (but not both)” is obtained. This proposition is true when  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false, and when  $p$  is false and  $q$  is true. It is false when both  $p$  and  $q$  are false and when both are true.



**GEORGE BOOLE (1815–1864)** George Boole, the son of a cobbler, was born in Lincoln, England, in November 1815. Because of his family’s difficult financial situation, Boole struggled to educate himself while supporting his family. Nevertheless, he became one of the most important mathematicians of the 1800s. Although he considered a career as a clergyman, he decided instead to go into teaching, and soon afterward opened a school of his own. In his preparation for teaching mathematics, Boole—unsatisfied with textbooks of his day—decided to read the works of the great mathematicians. While reading papers of the great French mathematician Lagrange, Boole made discoveries in the calculus of variations, the branch of analysis dealing with finding curves and surfaces by optimizing certain parameters.

In 1848 Boole published *The Mathematical Analysis of Logic*, the first of his contributions to symbolic logic. In 1849 he was appointed professor of mathematics at Queen’s College in Cork, Ireland. In 1854 he published *The Laws of Thought*, his most famous work. In this book, Boole introduced what is now called *Boolean algebra* in his honor. Boole wrote textbooks on differential equations and on difference equations that were used in Great Britain until the end of the nineteenth century. Boole married in 1855; his wife was the niece of the professor of Greek at Queen’s College. In 1864 Boole died from pneumonia, which he contracted as a result of keeping a lecture engagement even though he was soaking wet from a rainstorm.

**TABLE 4** The Truth Table for the Exclusive Or of Two Propositions.

$p$	$q$	$p \oplus q$
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	F

**TABLE 5** The Truth Table for the Conditional Statement  $p \rightarrow q$ .

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

**DEFINITION 4**

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be propositions. The *exclusive or* of  $p$  and  $q$ , denoted by  $p \oplus q$ , is the proposition that is true when exactly one of  $p$  and  $q$  is true and is false otherwise.

The truth table for the exclusive or of two propositions is displayed in Table 4.

## Conditional Statements

We will discuss several other important ways in which propositions can be combined.

**DEFINITION 5**

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be propositions. The *conditional statement*  $p \rightarrow q$  is the proposition “if  $p$ , then  $q$ .” The conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is false when  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false, and true otherwise. In the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$ ,  $p$  is called the *hypothesis* (or *antecedent* or *premise*) and  $q$  is called the *conclusion* (or *consequence*).

**Assessment**

The statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is called a conditional statement because  $p \rightarrow q$  asserts that  $q$  is true on the condition that  $p$  holds. A conditional statement is also called an **implication**.

The truth table for the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is shown in Table 5. Note that the statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is true when both  $p$  and  $q$  are true and when  $p$  is false (no matter what truth value  $q$  has).

Because conditional statements play such an essential role in mathematical reasoning, a variety of terminology is used to express  $p \rightarrow q$ . You will encounter most if not all of the following ways to express this conditional statement:

“if  $p$ , then  $q$ ”

“if  $p$ ,  $q$ ”

“ $p$  is sufficient for  $q$ ”

“ $q$  if  $p$ ”

“ $q$  when  $p$ ”

“a necessary condition for  $p$  is  $q$ ”

“ $q$  unless  $\neg p$ ”

“ $p$  implies  $q$ ”

“ $p$  only if  $q$ ”

“a sufficient condition for  $q$  is  $p$ ”

“ $q$  whenever  $p$ ”

“ $q$  is necessary for  $p$ ”

“ $q$  follows from  $p$ ”

A useful way to understand the truth value of a conditional statement is to think of an obligation or a contract. For example, the pledge many politicians make when running for office is

“If I am elected, then I will lower taxes.”

If the politician is elected, voters would expect this politician to lower taxes. Furthermore, if the politician is not elected, then voters will not have any expectation that this person will lower taxes, although the person may have sufficient influence to cause those in power to lower taxes. It is only when the politician is elected but does not lower taxes that voters can say that the politician has broken the campaign pledge. This last scenario corresponds to the case when  $p$  is true but  $q$  is false in  $p \rightarrow q$ .

Similarly, consider a statement that a professor might make:

“If you get 100% on the final, then you will get an A.”

If you manage to get a 100% on the final, then you would expect to receive an A. If you do not get 100% you may or may not receive an A depending on other factors. However, if you do get 100%, but the professor does not give you an A, you will feel cheated.

Of the various ways to express the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$ , the two that seem to cause the most confusion are “ $p$  only if  $q$ ” and “ $q$  unless  $\neg p$ .” Consequently, we will provide some guidance for clearing up this confusion.

To remember that “ $p$  only if  $q$ ” expresses the same thing as “if  $p$ , then  $q$ ,” note that “ $p$  only if  $q$ ” says that  $p$  cannot be true when  $q$  is not true. That is, the statement is false if  $p$  is true, but  $q$  is false. When  $p$  is false,  $q$  may be either true or false, because the statement says nothing about the truth value of  $q$ . Be careful not to use “ $q$  only if  $p$ ” to express  $p \rightarrow q$  because this is incorrect. To see this, note that the true values of “ $q$  only if  $p$ ” and  $p \rightarrow q$  are different when  $p$  and  $q$  have different truth values.

To remember that “ $q$  unless  $\neg p$ ” expresses the same conditional statement as “if  $p$ , then  $q$ ,” note that “ $q$  unless  $\neg p$ ” means that if  $\neg p$  is false, then  $q$  must be true. That is, the statement “ $q$  unless  $\neg p$ ” is false when  $p$  is true but  $q$  is false, but it is true otherwise. Consequently, “ $q$  unless  $\neg p$ ” and  $p \rightarrow q$  always have the same truth value.

We illustrate the translation between conditional statements and English statements in Example 7.

**EXAMPLE 7** Let  $p$  be the statement “M aria learns discrete mathematics” and  $q$  the statement “M aria will find a good job.” Express the statement  $p \rightarrow q$  as a statement in English.



**Solution:** From the definition of conditional statements, we see that when  $p$  is the statement “M aria learns discrete mathematics” and  $q$  is the statement “M aria will find a good job,”  $p \rightarrow q$  represents the statement

“If M aria learns discrete mathematics, then she will find a good job.”

There are many other ways to express this conditional statement in English. Among the most natural of these are:

“M aria will find a good job when she learns discrete mathematics.”

“For M aria to get a good job, it is sufficient for her to learn discrete mathematics.”

and

“M aria will find a good job unless she does not learn discrete mathematics.”

Note that the way we have defined conditional statements is more general than the meaning attached to such statements in the English language. For instance, the conditional statement in Example 7 and the statement

“If it is sunny, then we will go to the beach.”

are statements used in normal language where there is a relationship between the hypothesis and the conclusion. Further, the first of these statements is true unless M aria learns discrete mathematics, but she does not get a good job, and the second is true unless it is indeed sunny, but we do not go to the beach. On the other hand, the statement

You might have trouble understanding how “unless” is used in conditional statements unless you read this paragraph carefully.



“If Juan has a smartphone, then  $2 + 3 = 5$ ”

is true from the definition of a conditional statement, because its conclusion is true. (The truth value of the hypothesis does not matter then.) The conditional statement

“If Juan has a smartphone, then  $2 + 3 = 6$ ”

is true if Juan does not have a smartphone, even though  $2 + 3 = 6$  is false. We would not use these last two conditional statements in natural language (except perhaps in sarcasm), because there is no relationship between the hypothesis and the conclusion in either statement. In mathematical reasoning, we consider conditional statements of a more general sort than we use in English. The mathematical concept of a conditional statement is independent of a cause-and-effect relationship between hypothesis and conclusion. Our definition of a conditional statement specifies its truth values; it is not based on English usage. Propositional language is an artificial language; we only parallel English usage to make it easy to use and remember.

The if-then construction used in many programming languages is different from that used in logic. Most programming languages contain statements such as **if**  $p$  **then**  $S$ , where  $p$  is a proposition and  $S$  is a program segment (one or more statements to be executed). When execution of a program encounters such a statement,  $S$  is executed if  $p$  is true, but  $S$  is not executed if  $p$  is false, as illustrated in Example 8.

**EXAMPLE 8** What is the value of the variable  $x$  after the statement

**if**  $2 + 2 = 4$  **then**  $x := x + 1$

if  $x = 0$  before this statement is encountered? (The symbol  $:=$  stands for assignment. The statement  $x := x + 1$  means the assignment of the value of  $x + 1$  to  $x$ .)

**Solution:** Because  $2 + 2 = 4$  is true, the assignment statement  $x := x + 1$  is executed. Hence,  $x$  has the value  $0 + 1 = 1$  after this statement is encountered. ◀

**CONVERSE, CONTRAPOSITIVE, AND INVERSE** We can form some new conditional statements starting with a conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$ . In particular, there are three related conditional statements that occur so often that they have special names. The proposition  $q \rightarrow p$  is called the **converse** of  $p \rightarrow q$ . The **contrapositive** of  $p \rightarrow q$  is the proposition  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ . The proposition  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$  is called the **inverse** of  $p \rightarrow q$ . We will see that of these three conditional statements formed from  $p \rightarrow q$ , only the contrapositive always has the same truth value as  $p \rightarrow q$ .

We first show that the contrapositive,  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ , of a conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  always has the same truth value as  $p \rightarrow q$ . To see this, note that the contrapositive is false only when  $\neg p$  is false and  $\neg q$  is true, that is, only when  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false. We now show that neither the converse,  $q \rightarrow p$ , nor the inverse,  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ , has the same truth value as  $p \rightarrow q$  for all possible truth values of  $p$  and  $q$ . Note that when  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false, the original conditional statement is false, but the converse and the inverse are both true.

When two compound propositions always have the same truth value we call them **equivalent**, so that a conditional statement and its contrapositive are equivalent. The converse and the inverse of a conditional statement are also equivalent, as the reader can verify, but neither is equivalent to the original conditional statement. (We will study equivalent propositions in Section 1.3.) Take note that one of the most common logical errors is to assume that the converse or the inverse of a conditional statement is equivalent to this conditional statement.

We illustrate the use of conditional statements in Example 9.

Remember that the contrapositive, but neither the converse or inverse, of a conditional statement is equivalent to it.

**EXAMPLE 9** What are the contrapositive, the converse, and the inverse of the conditional statement  
“The home team wins whenever it is raining?”



**Solution:** Because “ $q$  whenever  $p$ ” is one of the ways to express the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$ , the original statement can be rewritten as

“If it is raining, then the home team wins.”

Consequently, the contrapositive of this conditional statement is

“If the home team does not win, then it is not raining.”

The converse is

“If the home team wins, then it is raining.”

The inverse is

“If it is not raining, then the home team does not win.”

Only the contrapositive is equivalent to the original statement. 

**BICONDITIONALS** We now introduce another way to combine propositions that expresses that two propositions have the same truth value.

**DEFINITION 6**

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be propositions. The *biconditional statement*  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is the proposition “ $p$  if and only if  $q$ .” The biconditional statement  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is true when  $p$  and  $q$  have the same truth values, and is false otherwise. Biconditional statements are also called *bi-implications*.

The truth table for  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is shown in Table 6. Note that the statement  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is true when both the conditional statements  $p \rightarrow q$  and  $q \rightarrow p$  are true and is false otherwise. That is why we use the words “if and only if” to express this logical connective and why it is symbolically written by combining the symbols  $\rightarrow$  and  $\leftarrow$ . There are some other common ways to express  $p \leftrightarrow q$ :

“ $p$  is necessary and sufficient for  $q$ ”

“if  $p$  then  $q$ , and conversely”

“ $p$  iff  $q$ .”

The last way of expressing the biconditional statement  $p \leftrightarrow q$  uses the abbreviation “iff” for “if and only if.” Note that  $p \leftrightarrow q$  has exactly the same truth value as  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$ .

**TABLE 6** The Truth Table for the Biconditional  $p \leftrightarrow q$ .

$p$	$q$	$p \leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

**EXAMPLE 10** Let  $p$  be the statement “You can take the flight,” and let  $q$  be the statement “You buy a ticket.” Then  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is the statement

“You can take the flight if and only if you buy a ticket.”



This statement is true if  $p$  and  $q$  are either both true or both false, that is, if you buy a ticket and can take the flight or if you do not buy a ticket and you cannot take the flight. It is false when  $p$  and  $q$  have opposite truth values, that is, when you do not buy a ticket, but you can take the flight (such as when you get a free trip) and when you buy a ticket but you cannot take the flight (such as when the airline bumps you). ◀

**IMPLICIT USE OF BICONDITIONALS** You should be aware that biconditionals are not always explicit in natural language. In particular, the “if and only if” construction used in biconditionals is rarely used in common language. Instead, biconditionals are often expressed using an “if, then” or an “only if” construction. The other part of the “if and only if” is implicit. That is, the converse is implied, but not stated. For example, consider the statement in English “If you finish your meal, then you can have dessert.” What is really meant is “You can have dessert if and only if you finish your meal.” This last statement is logically equivalent to the two statements “If you finish your meal, then you can have dessert” and “You can have dessert only if you finish your meal.” Because of this imprecision in natural language, we need to make an assumption whether a conditional statement in natural language implicitly includes its converse. Because precision is essential in mathematics and in logic, we will always distinguish between the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  and the biconditional statement  $p \leftrightarrow q$ .

## Truth Tables of Compound Propositions



We have now introduced four important logical connectives— conjunctions, disjunctions, conditional statements, and biconditional statements— as well as negations. We can use these connectives to build up complicated compound propositions involving any number of propositional variables. We can use truth tables to determine the truth values of these compound propositions, as Example 11 illustrates. We use a separate column to find the truth value of each compound expression that occurs in the compound proposition as it is built up. The truth values of the compound proposition for each combination of truth values of the propositional variables in it is found in the final column of the table.

**EXAMPLE 11** Construct the truth table of the compound proposition

$$(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q).$$

**Solution:** Because this truth table involves two propositional variables  $p$  and  $q$ , there are four rows in this truth table, one for each of the pairs of truth values TT, TF, FT, and FF. The first two columns are used for the truth values of  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively. In the third column we find the truth value of  $\neg q$ , needed to find the truth value of  $p \vee \neg q$ , found in the fourth column. The fifth column gives the truth value of  $p \wedge q$ . Finally, the truth value of  $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$  is found in the last column. The resulting truth table is shown in Table 7. ◀

TABLE 7 The Truth Table of $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$ .					
$p$	$q$	$\neg q$	$p \vee \neg q$	$p \wedge q$	$(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$
T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	F	F

## Precedence of Logical Operators

**TABLE 8**  
Precedence of  
Logical Operators.

Operator	Precedence
$\neg$	1
$\wedge$	2
$\vee$	3
$\rightarrow$	4
$\leftrightarrow$	5

We can construct compound propositions using the negation operator and the logical operators defined so far. We will generally use parentheses to specify the order in which logical operators in a compound proposition are to be applied. For instance,  $(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg r)$  is the conjunction of  $p \vee q$  and  $\neg r$ . However, to reduce the number of parentheses, we specify that the negation operator is applied before all other logical operators. This means that  $\neg p \wedge q$  is the conjunction of  $\neg p$  and  $q$ , namely,  $(\neg p) \wedge q$ , not the negation of the conjunction of  $p$  and  $q$ , namely  $\neg(p \wedge q)$ .

A nother general rule of precedence is that the conjunction operator takes precedence over the disjunction operator, so that  $p \wedge q \vee r$  means  $(p \wedge q) \vee r$  rather than  $p \wedge (q \vee r)$ . Because this rule may be difficult to remember, we will continue to use parentheses so that the order of the disjunction and conjunction operators is clear.

Finally, it is an accepted rule that the conditional and biconditional operators  $\rightarrow$  and  $\leftrightarrow$  have lower precedence than the conjunction and disjunction operators,  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$ . Consequently,  $p \vee q \rightarrow r$  is the same as  $(p \vee q) \rightarrow r$ . We will use parentheses when the order of the conditional operator and biconditional operator is at issue, although the conditional operator has precedence over the biconditional operator. Table 8 displays the precedence levels of the logical operators,  $\neg$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\rightarrow$ , and  $\leftrightarrow$ .

## Logic and Bit Operations

Truth Value	Bit
T	1
F	0



Computers represent information using bits. A **bit** is a symbol with two possible values, namely, 0 (zero) and 1 (one). This meaning of the word bit comes from *binary digit*, because zeros and ones are the digits used in binary representations of numbers. The well-known statistician John Tukey introduced this terminology in 1946. A bit can be used to represent a truth value, because there are two truth values, namely, *true* and *false*. As is customarily done, we will use a 1 bit to represent true and a 0 bit to represent false. That is, 1 represents T (true), 0 represents F (false). A variable is called a **Boolean variable** if its value is either true or false. Consequently, a Boolean variable can be represented using a bit.

Computer **bit operations** correspond to the logical connectives. By replacing true by a one and false by a zero in the truth tables for the operators  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ , and  $\oplus$ , the tables shown in Table 9 for the corresponding bit operations are obtained. We will also use the notation *OR*, *AND*, and *XOR* for the operators  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$ , and  $\oplus$ , as is done in various programming languages.



**JOHN WILDER TUKEY (1915–2000)** Tukey, born in New Bedford, Massachusetts, was an only child. His parents, both teachers, decided home schooling would best develop his potential. His formal education began at Brown University, where he studied mathematics and chemistry. He received a master's degree in chemistry from Brown and continued his studies at Princeton University, changing his field of study from chemistry to mathematics. He received his Ph.D. from Princeton in 1939 for work in topology, when he was appointed an instructor in mathematics at Princeton. With the start of World War II, he joined the Fire Control Research Office, where he began working in statistics. Tukey found statistical research to his liking and impressed several leading statisticians with his skills. In 1945, at the conclusion of the war, Tukey returned to the mathematics department at Princeton as a professor of statistics, and he also took a position at AT&T Bell Laboratories. Tukey founded

the Statistics Department at Princeton in 1966 and was its first chairman. Tukey made significant contributions to many areas of statistics, including the analysis of variance, the estimation of spectra of time series, inferences about the values of a set of parameters from a single experiment, and the philosophy of statistics. However, he is best known for his invention, with J. W. Cooley, of the fast Fourier transform. In addition to his contributions to statistics, Tukey was noted as a skilled wordsmith; he is credited with coining the terms *bit* and *software*.

Tukey contributed his insight and expertise by serving on the President's Science Advisory Committee. He chaired several important committees dealing with the environment, education, and chemicals and health. He also served on committees working on nuclear disarmament. Tukey received many awards, including the National Medal of Science.

**HISTORICAL NOTE** There were several other suggested words for a binary digit, including *binit* and *bigit*, that never were widely accepted. The adoption of the word *bit* may be due to its meaning as a common English word. For an account of Tukey's coining of the word *bit*, see the April 1984 issue of *Annals of the History of Computing*.


**TABLE 9** Table for the Bit Operators *OR*, *AND*, and *XOR*.

$x$	$y$	$x \vee y$	$x \wedge y$	$x \oplus y$
0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	1
1	0	1	0	1
1	1	1	1	0

Information is often represented using bit strings, which are lists of zeros and ones. When this is done, operations on the bit strings can be used to manipulate this information.

**DEFINITION 7**

A *bit string* is a sequence of zero or more bits. The *length* of this string is the number of bits in the string.

**EXAMPLE 12** 101010011 is a bit string of length nine. 

We can extend bit operations to bit strings. We define the **bitwise OR**, **bitwise AND**, and **bitwise XOR** of two strings of the same length to be the strings that have as their bits the *OR*, *AND*, and *XOR* of the corresponding bits in the two strings, respectively. We use the symbols  $\vee$ ,  $\wedge$ , and  $\oplus$  to represent the bitwise *OR*, bitwise *AND*, and bitwise *XOR* operations, respectively. We illustrate bitwise operations on bit strings with Example 13.


**EXAMPLE 13** Find the bitwise *OR*, bitwise *AND*, and bitwise *XOR* of the bit strings 0110110110 and 1100011101. (Here, and throughout this book, bit strings will be split into blocks of four bits to make them easier to read.)

**Solution:** The bitwise *OR*, bitwise *AND*, and bitwise *XOR* of these strings are obtained by taking the *OR*, *AND*, and *XOR* of the corresponding bits, respectively. This gives us

```

01 1011 0110
11 0001 1101
-----
11 1011 1111  bitwise OR
01 0001 0100  bitwise AND
10 1010 1011  bitwise XOR

```



## Exercises

---

- Which of these sentences are propositions? What are the truth values of those that are propositions?
  - Boston is the capital of Massachusetts.
  - Miami is the capital of Florida.
  - $2 + 3 = 5$ .
  - $5 + 7 = 10$ .
  - $x + 2 = 11$ .
  - Answer this question.
- Which of these are propositions? What are the truth values of those that are propositions?
  - Do not pass go.
  - What time is it?
  - There are no black flies in Maine.
  - $4 + x = 5$ .
  - The moon is made of green cheese.
  - $2^n \geq 100$ .
- What is the negation of each of these propositions?
  - Mei has an MP3 player.
  - There is no pollution in New Jersey.
  - $2 + 1 = 3$ .
  - The summer in Maine is hot and sunny.
- What is the negation of each of these propositions?
  - Jennifer and Teja are friends.
  - There are 13 items in a baker's dozen.
  - A bby sent more than 100 text messages every day.
  - 121 is a perfect square.

5. What is the negation of each of these propositions?
- Steve has more than 100 GB free disk space on his laptop.
  - Zach blocks e-mails and texts from Jennifer.
  - $7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 = 999$ .
  - Diane rode her bicycle 100 miles on Sunday.

6. Suppose that Smartphone A has 256 MB RAM and 32 GB ROM, and the resolution of its camera is 8 MP; Smartphone B has 288 MB RAM and 64 GB ROM, and the resolution of its camera is 4 MP; and Smartphone C has 128 MB RAM and 32 GB ROM, and the resolution of its camera is 5 MP. Determine the truth value of each of these propositions.

- Smartphone B has the most RAM of these three smartphones.
- Smartphone C has more ROM or a higher resolution camera than Smartphone B.
- Smartphone B has more RAM, more ROM, and a higher resolution camera than Smartphone A.
- If Smartphone B has more RAM and more ROM than Smartphone C, then it also has a higher resolution camera.
- Smartphone A has more RAM than Smartphone B if and only if Smartphone B has more RAM than Smartphone A.

7. Suppose that during the most recent fiscal year, the annual revenue of Acme Computer was 138 billion dollars and its net profit was 8 billion dollars, the annual revenue of Nadir Software was 87 billion dollars and its net profit was 5 billion dollars, and the annual revenue of Quixote Media was 111 billion dollars and its net profit was 13 billion dollars. Determine the truth value of each of these propositions for the most recent fiscal year.

- Quixote Media had the largest annual revenue.
- Nadir Software had the lowest net profit and Acme Computer had the largest annual revenue.
- Acme Computer had the largest net profit or Quixote Media had the largest net profit.
- If Quixote Media had the smallest net profit, then Acme Computer had the largest annual revenue.
- Nadir Software had the smallest net profit if and only if Acme Computer had the largest annual revenue.

8. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be the propositions

$p$  : I bought a lottery ticket this week.  
 $q$  : I won the million dollar jackpot.

Express each of these propositions as an English sentence.

- |                           |                               |                                |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| a) $\neg p$               | b) $p \vee q$                 | c) $p \rightarrow q$           |
| d) $p \wedge q$           | e) $p \leftrightarrow q$      | f) $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ |
| g) $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ | h) $\neg p \vee (p \wedge q)$ |                                |

9. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be the propositions "Swimming at the New Jersey shore is allowed" and "Sharks have been spotted near the shore," respectively. Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

- |                               |                                    |                                |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| a) $\neg q$                   | b) $p \wedge q$                    | c) $\neg p \vee q$             |
| d) $p \rightarrow \neg q$     | e) $\neg q \rightarrow p$          | f) $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ |
| g) $p \leftrightarrow \neg q$ | h) $\neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q)$ |                                |

10. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be the propositions "The election is decided" and "The votes have been counted," respectively. Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

- |                                |                                    |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| a) $\neg p$                    | b) $p \vee q$                      |
| c) $\neg p \wedge q$           | d) $q \rightarrow p$               |
| e) $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ | f) $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$     |
| g) $p \leftrightarrow q$       | h) $\neg q \vee (\neg p \wedge q)$ |

11. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be the propositions

$p$  : It is below freezing.  
 $q$  : It is snowing.

Write these propositions using  $p$  and  $q$  and logical connectives (including negations).

- It is below freezing and snowing.
- It is below freezing but not snowing.
- It is not below freezing and it is not snowing.
- It is either snowing or below freezing (or both).
- If it is below freezing, it is also snowing.
- Either it is below freezing or it is snowing, but it is not snowing if it is below freezing.
- That it is below freezing is necessary and sufficient for it to be snowing.

12. Let  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  be the propositions

$p$  : You have the flu.  
 $q$  : You miss the final examination.  
 $r$  : You pass the course.

Express each of these propositions as an English sentence.

- |   |                               |
|---|-------------------------------|
| a) $p \rightarrow q$                                    | b) $\neg q \leftrightarrow r$ |
| c) $q \rightarrow \neg r$                               | d) $p \vee q \vee r$          |
| e) $(p \rightarrow \neg r) \vee (q \rightarrow \neg r)$ |                               |
| f) $(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg q \wedge r)$                |                               |

13. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be the propositions

$p$  : You drive over 65 miles per hour.  
 $q$  : You get a speeding ticket.

Write these propositions using  $p$  and  $q$  and logical connectives (including negations).

- You do not drive over 65 miles per hour.
- You drive over 65 miles per hour, but you do not get a speeding ticket.
- You will get a speeding ticket if you drive over 65 miles per hour.
- If you do not drive over 65 miles per hour, then you will not get a speeding ticket.
- Driving over 65 miles per hour is sufficient for getting a speeding ticket.
- You get a speeding ticket, but you do not drive over 65 miles per hour.
- Whenever you get a speeding ticket, you are driving over 65 miles per hour.

14. Let  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  be the propositions

$p$  : You get an A on the final exam.  
 $q$  : You do every exercise in this book.  
 $r$  : You get an A in this class.

Write these propositions using  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  and logical connectives (including negations).

- a) You get an A in this class, but you do not do every exercise in this book.
- b) You get an A on the final, you do every exercise in this book, and you get an A in this class.
- c) To get an A in this class, it is necessary for you to get an A on the final.
- d) You get an A on the final, but you don't do every exercise in this book; nevertheless, you get an A in this class.
- e) Getting an A on the final and doing every exercise in this book is sufficient for getting an A in this class.
- f) You will get an A in this class if and only if you either do every exercise in this book or you get an A on the final.
- 15.** Let  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  be the propositions  
 $p$  : Grizzly bears have been seen in the area.  
 $q$  : Hiking is safe on the trail.  
 $r$  : Berries are ripe along the trail.  
 Write these propositions using  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  and logical connectives (including negations).
- a) Berries are ripe along the trail, but grizzly bears have not been seen in the area.
- b) Grizzly bears have not been seen in the area and hiking on the trail is safe, but berries are ripe along the trail.
- c) If berries are ripe along the trail, hiking is safe if and only if grizzly bears have not been seen in the area.
- d) It is not safe to hike on the trail, but grizzly bears have not been seen in the area and the berries along the trail are ripe.
- e) For hiking on the trail to be safe, it is necessary but not sufficient that berries not be ripe along the trail and for grizzly bears not to have been seen in the area.
- f) Hiking is not safe on the trail whenever grizzly bears have been seen in the area and berries are ripe along the trail.
- 16.** Determine whether these biconditionals are true or false.
- a)  $2 + 2 = 4$  if and only if  $1 + 1 = 2$ .
- b)  $1 + 1 = 2$  if and only if  $2 + 3 = 4$ .
- c)  $1 + 1 = 3$  if and only if monkeys can fly.
- d)  $0 > 1$  if and only if  $2 > 1$ .
- 17.** Determine whether each of these conditional statements is true or false.
- a) If  $1 + 1 = 2$ , then  $2 + 2 = 5$ .
- b) If  $1 + 1 = 3$ , then  $2 + 2 = 4$ .
- c) If  $1 + 1 = 3$ , then  $2 + 2 = 5$ .
- d) If monkeys can fly, then  $1 + 1 = 3$ .
- 18.** Determine whether each of these conditional statements is true or false.
- a) If  $1 + 1 = 3$ , then unicorns exist.
- b) If  $1 + 1 = 3$ , then dogs can fly.
- c) If  $1 + 1 = 2$ , then dogs can fly.
- d) If  $2 + 2 = 4$ , then  $1 + 2 = 3$ .
- 19.** For each of these sentences, determine whether an inclusive or, or an exclusive or, is intended. Explain your answer.
- a) Coffee or tea comes with dinner.
- b) A password must have at least three digits or be at least eight characters long.
- c) The prerequisite for the course is a course in number theory or a course in cryptography.
- d) You can pay using U.S. dollars or euros.
- 20.** For each of these sentences, determine whether an inclusive or, or an exclusive or, is intended. Explain your answer.
- a) Experience with C++ or Java is required.
- b) Lunch includes soup or salad.
- c) To enter the country you need a passport or a voter registration card.
- d) Publish or perish.
- 21.** For each of these sentences, state what the sentence means if the logical connective or is an inclusive or (that is, a disjunction) versus an exclusive or. Which of these meanings or do you think is intended?
- a) To take discrete mathematics, you must have taken calculus or a course in computer science.
- b) When you buy a new car from A cme M otor Company, you get \$2000 back in cash or a 2% car loan.
- c) Dinner for two includes two items from column A or three items from column B.
- d) School is closed if more than 2 feet of snow falls or if the wind chill is below  $-100$ .
- 22.** Write each of these statements in the form "if  $p$ , then  $q$ " in English. [*Hint*: Refer to the list of common ways to express conditional statements provided in this section.]
- a) It is necessary to wash the boss's car to get promoted.
- b) Winds from the south imply a spring thaw.
- c) A sufficient condition for the warranty to be good is that you bought the computer less than a year ago.
- d) Willy gets caught whenever he cheats.
- e) You can access the website only if you pay a subscription fee.
- f) Getting elected follows from knowing the right people.
- g) Carol gets seasick whenever she is on a boat.
- 23.** Write each of these statements in the form "if  $p$ , then  $q$ " in English. [*Hint*: Refer to the list of common ways to express conditional statements.]
- a) It snows whenever the wind blows from the northeast.
- b) The apple trees will bloom if it stays warm for a week.
- c) That the Pistons win the championship implies that they beat the L akers.
- d) It is necessary to walk 8 miles to get to the top of Long's Peak.
- e) To get tenure as a professor, it is sufficient to be world-famous.
- f) If you drive more than 400 miles, you will need to buy gasoline.
- g) Your guarantee is good only if you bought your CD player less than 90 days ago.
- h) Jan will go swimming unless the water is too cold.

24. Write each of these statements in the form “if  $p$ , then  $q$ ” in English. [*Hint*: Refer to the list of common ways to express conditional statements provided in this section.]
- I will remember to send you the address only if you send me an e-mail message.
  - To be a citizen of this country, it is sufficient that you were born in the United States.
  - If you keep your textbook, it will be a useful reference in your future courses.
  - The Red Wings will win the Stanley Cup if their goalie plays well.
  - That you get the job implies that you had the best credentials.
  - The beach erodes whenever there is a storm.
  - It is necessary to have a valid password to log on to the server.
  - You will reach the summit unless you begin your climb too late.
25. Write each of these propositions in the form “ $p$  if and only if  $q$ ” in English.
- If it is hot outside you buy an ice cream cone, and if you buy an ice cream cone it is hot outside.
  - For you to win the contest it is necessary and sufficient that you have the only winning ticket.
  - You get promoted only if you have connections, and you have connections only if you get promoted.
  - If you watch television your mind will decay, and conversely.
  - The trains run late on exactly those days when I take it.
26. Write each of these propositions in the form “ $p$  if and only if  $q$ ” in English.
- For you to get an A in this course, it is necessary and sufficient that you learn how to solve discrete mathematics problems.
  - If you read the newspaper every day, you will be informed, and conversely.
  - It rains if it is a weekend day, and it is a weekend day if it rains.
  - You can see the wizard only if the wizard is not in, and the wizard is not in only if you can see him.
27. State the converse, contrapositive, and inverse of each of these conditional statements.
- If it snows today, I will ski tomorrow.
  - I come to class whenever there is going to be a quiz.
  - A positive integer is a prime only if it has no divisors other than 1 and itself.
28. State the converse, contrapositive, and inverse of each of these conditional statements.
- If it snows tonight, then I will stay at home.
  - I go to the beach whenever it is a sunny summer day.
  - When I stay up late, it is necessary that I sleep until noon.
29. How many rows appear in a truth table for each of these compound propositions?
- $p \rightarrow \neg p$
  - $(p \vee \neg r) \wedge (q \vee \neg s)$
  - $q \vee p \vee \neg s \vee \neg r \vee \neg t \vee u$
  - $(p \wedge r \wedge t) \leftrightarrow (q \wedge t)$
30. How many rows appear in a truth table for each of these compound propositions?
- $(q \rightarrow \neg p) \vee (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$
  - $(p \vee \neg t) \wedge (p \vee \neg s)$
  - $(p \rightarrow r) \vee (\neg s \rightarrow \neg t) \vee (\neg u \rightarrow v)$
  - $(p \wedge r \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge t) \vee (r \wedge \neg t)$
31. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
- $p \wedge \neg p$
  - $p \vee \neg p$
  - $(p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow q$
  - $(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$
  - $(p \rightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$
  - $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$
32. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
- $p \rightarrow \neg p$
  - $p \leftrightarrow \neg p$
  - $p \oplus (p \vee q)$
  - $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$
  - $(q \rightarrow \neg p) \leftrightarrow (p \leftrightarrow q)$
  - $(p \leftrightarrow q) \oplus (p \leftrightarrow \neg q)$
33. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
- $(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \oplus q)$
  - $(p \oplus q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$
  - $(p \vee q) \oplus (p \wedge q)$
  - $(p \leftrightarrow q) \oplus (\neg p \leftrightarrow q)$
  - $(p \leftrightarrow q) \oplus (\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg r)$
  - $(p \oplus q) \rightarrow (p \oplus \neg q)$
34. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
- $p \oplus p$
  - $p \oplus \neg p$
  - $p \oplus \neg q$
  - $\neg p \oplus \neg q$
  - $(p \oplus q) \vee (p \oplus \neg q)$
  - $(p \oplus q) \wedge (p \oplus \neg q)$
35. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
- $p \rightarrow \neg q$
  - $\neg p \leftrightarrow q$
  - $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (\neg p \rightarrow q)$
  - $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg p \rightarrow q)$
  - $(p \leftrightarrow q) \vee (\neg p \leftrightarrow q)$
  - $(\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q) \leftrightarrow (p \leftrightarrow q)$
36. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
- $(p \vee q) \vee r$
  - $(p \vee q) \wedge r$
  - $(p \wedge q) \vee r$
  - $(p \wedge q) \wedge r$
  - $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg r$
  - $(p \wedge q) \vee \neg r$
37. Construct a truth table for each of these compound propositions.
- $p \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r)$
  - $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$
  - $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (\neg p \rightarrow r)$
  - $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg p \rightarrow r)$
  - $(p \leftrightarrow q) \vee (\neg q \leftrightarrow r)$
  - $(\neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q) \leftrightarrow (q \leftrightarrow r)$
38. Construct a truth table for  $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow s$ .
39. Construct a truth table for  $(p \leftrightarrow q) \leftrightarrow (r \leftrightarrow s)$ .



40. Explain, without using a truth table, why  $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p)$  is true when  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  have the same truth value and it is false otherwise.
41. Explain, without using a truth table, why  $(p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$  is true when at least one of  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  is true and at least one is false, but is false when all three variables have the same truth value.
42. What is the value of  $x$  after each of these statements is encountered in a computer program, if  $x = 1$  before the statement is reached?
- if  $x + 2 = 3$  then  $x := x + 1$
  - if  $(x + 1 = 3)$  OR  $(2x + 2 = 3)$  then  $x := x + 1$
  - if  $(2x + 3 = 5)$  AND  $(3x + 4 = 7)$  then  $x := x + 1$
  - if  $(x + 1 = 2)$  XOR  $(x + 2 = 3)$  then  $x := x + 1$
  - if  $x < 2$  then  $x := x + 1$
43. Find the bitwise OR, bitwise AND, and bitwise XOR of each of these pairs of bit strings.
- 101 1110, 010 0001
  - 1111 0000, 1010 1010
  - 00 0111 0001, 10 0100 1000
  - 11 1111 1111, 00 0000 0000
44. Evaluate each of these expressions.
- $1\ 1000 \wedge (0\ 1011 \vee 1\ 1011)$
  - $(0\ 1111 \wedge 1\ 0101) \vee 0\ 1000$
  - $(0\ 1010 \oplus 1\ 1011) \oplus 0\ 1000$
  - $(1\ 1011 \vee 0\ 1010) \wedge (1\ 0001 \vee 1\ 1011)$

**Fuzzy logic** is used in artificial intelligence. In fuzzy logic, a proposition has a truth value that is a number between 0 and 1, inclusive. A proposition with a truth value of 0 is false and one with a truth value of 1 is true. Truth values that are between 0 and 1 indicate varying degrees of truth. For instance, the truth value 0.8 can be assigned to the statement “Fred is happy,”

because Fred is happy most of the time, and the truth value 0.4 can be assigned to the statement “John is happy,” because John is happy slightly less than half the time. Use these truth values to solve Exercises 45–47.

45. The truth value of the negation of a proposition in fuzzy logic is 1 minus the truth value of the proposition. What are the truth values of the statements “Fred is not happy” and “John is not happy?”
46. The truth value of the conjunction of two propositions in fuzzy logic is the minimum of the truth values of the two propositions. What are the truth values of the statements “Fred and John are happy” and “Neither Fred nor John is happy?”
47. The truth value of the disjunction of two propositions in fuzzy logic is the maximum of the truth values of the two propositions. What are the truth values of the statements “Fred is happy, or John is happy” and “Fred is not happy, or John is not happy?”
- \*48. Is the assertion “This statement is false” a proposition?
- \*49. The  $n$ th statement in a list of 100 statements is “Exactly  $n$  of the statements in this list are false.”
- What conclusions can you draw from these statements?
  - Answer part (a) if the  $n$ th statement is “At least  $n$  of the statements in this list are false.”
  - Answer part (b) assuming that the list contains 99 statements.
50. An ancient Sicilian legend says that the barber in a remote town who can be reached only by traveling a dangerous mountain road shaves those people, and only those people, who do not shave themselves. Can there be such a barber?

## 1.2 Applications of Propositional Logic

### Introduction

Logic has many important applications to mathematics, computer science, and numerous other disciplines. Statements in mathematics and the sciences and in natural language often are imprecise or ambiguous. To make such statements precise, they can be translated into the language of logic. For example, logic is used in the specification of software and hardware, because these specifications need to be precise before development begins. Furthermore, propositional logic and its rules can be used to design computer circuits, to construct computer programs, to verify the correctness of programs, and to build expert systems. Logic can be used to analyze and solve many familiar puzzles. Software systems based on the rules of logic have been developed for constructing some, but not all, types of proofs automatically. We will discuss some of these applications of propositional logic in this section and in later chapters.

### Translating English Sentences

There are many reasons to translate English sentences into expressions involving propositional variables and logical connectives. In particular, English (and every other human language) is

often ambiguous. Translating sentences into compound statements (and other types of logical expressions, which we will introduce later in this chapter) removes the ambiguity. Note that this may involve making a set of reasonable assumptions based on the intended meaning of the sentence. Moreover, once we have translated sentences from English into logical expressions we can analyze these logical expressions to determine their truth values, we can manipulate them, and we can use rules of inference (which are discussed in Section 1.6) to reason about them.

To illustrate the process of translating an English sentence into a logical expression, consider Examples 1 and 2.

**EXAMPLE 1** How can this English sentence be translated into a logical expression?

“You can access the Internet from campus only if you are a computer science major or you are not a freshman.”



**Solution:** There are many ways to translate this sentence into a logical expression. Although it is possible to represent the sentence by a single propositional variable, such as  $p$ , this would not be useful when analyzing its meaning or reasoning with it. Instead, we will use propositional variables to represent each sentence part and determine the appropriate logical connectives between them. In particular, we let  $a$ ,  $c$ , and  $f$  represent “You can access the Internet from campus,” “You are a computer science major,” and “You are a freshman,” respectively. Noting that “only if” is one way a conditional statement can be expressed, this sentence can be represented as

$$a \rightarrow (c \vee \neg f).$$

**EXAMPLE 2** How can this English sentence be translated into a logical expression?

“You cannot ride the roller coaster if you are under 4 feet tall unless you are older than 16 years old.”

**Solution:** Let  $q$ ,  $r$ , and  $s$  represent “You can ride the roller coaster,” “You are under 4 feet tall,” and “You are older than 16 years old,” respectively. Then the sentence can be translated to

$$(r \wedge \neg s) \rightarrow \neg q.$$

Of course, there are other ways to represent the original sentence as a logical expression, but the one we have used should meet our needs.

## System Specifications

Translating sentences in natural language (such as English) into logical expressions is an essential part of specifying both hardware and software systems. System and software engineers take requirements in natural language and produce precise and unambiguous specifications that can be used as the basis for system development. Example 3 shows how compound propositions can be used in this process.

**EXAMPLE 3** Express the specification “The automated reply cannot be sent when the file system is full” using logical connectives.



**Solution:** One way to translate this is to let  $p$  denote “The automated reply can be sent” and  $q$  denote “The file system is full.” Then  $\neg p$  represents “It is not the case that the automated

reply can be sent,” which can also be expressed as “The automated reply cannot be sent.” Consequently, our specification can be represented by the conditional statement  $q \rightarrow \neg p$ . ◀

System specifications should be **consistent**, that is, they should not contain conflicting requirements that could be used to derive a contradiction. When specifications are not consistent, there would be no way to develop a system that satisfies all specifications.

**EXAMPLE 4** Determine whether these system specifications are consistent:

“The diagnostic message is stored in the buffer or it is retransmitted.”

“The diagnostic message is not stored in the buffer.”

“If the diagnostic message is stored in the buffer, then it is retransmitted.”

**Solution:** To determine whether these specifications are consistent, we first express them using logical expressions. Let  $p$  denote “The diagnostic message is stored in the buffer” and let  $q$  denote “The diagnostic message is retransmitted.” The specifications can then be written as  $p \vee q$ ,  $\neg p$ , and  $p \rightarrow q$ . An assignment of truth values that makes all three specifications true must have  $p$  false to make  $\neg p$  true. Because we want  $p \vee q$  to be true but  $p$  must be false,  $q$  must be true. Because  $p \rightarrow q$  is true when  $p$  is false and  $q$  is true, we conclude that these specifications are consistent, because they are all true when  $p$  is false and  $q$  is true. We could come to the same conclusion by use of a truth table to examine the four possible assignments of truth values to  $p$  and  $q$ . ◀

**EXAMPLE 5** Do the system specifications in Example 4 remain consistent if the specification “The diagnostic message is not retransmitted” is added?

**Solution:** By the reasoning in Example 4, the three specifications from that example are true only in the case when  $p$  is false and  $q$  is true. However, this new specification is  $\neg q$ , which is false when  $q$  is true. Consequently, these four specifications are inconsistent. ◀

## Boolean Searches



Logical connectives are used extensively in searches of large collections of information, such as indexes of Web pages. Because these searches employ techniques from propositional logic, they are called **Boolean searches**.

In Boolean searches, the connective **AND** is used to match records that contain both of two search terms, the connective **OR** is used to match one or both of two search terms, and the connective **NOT** (sometimes written as **AND NOT**) is used to exclude a particular search term. Careful planning of how logical connectives are used is often required when Boolean searches are used to locate information of potential interest. Example 6 illustrates how Boolean searches are carried out.

**EXAMPLE 6 Web Page Searching** Most Web search engines support Boolean searching techniques, which usually can help find Web pages about particular subjects. For instance, using Boolean searching to find Web pages about universities in New Mexico, we can look for pages matching **NEW AND MEXICO AND UNIVERSITIES**. The results of this search will include those pages that contain the three words **NEW**, **MEXICO**, and **UNIVERSITIES**. This will include all of the pages of interest, together with others such as a page about new universities in Mexico. (Note that in Google, and many other search engines, the word “AND” is not needed, although it is understood, because all search terms are included by default. These search engines also support the use of quotation marks to search for specific phrases. So, it may be more effective to search for pages matching “New Mexico” **AND** **UNIVERSITIES**.)



Next, to find pages that deal with universities in New Mexico or Arizona, we can search for pages matching  $(\text{NEW AND MEXICO OR ARIZONA}) \text{ AND UNIVERSITIES}$ . (Note: Here the  $\text{AND}$  operator takes precedence over the  $\text{OR}$  operator. Also, in Google, the terms used for this search would be  $\text{NEW MEXICO OR ARIZONA}$ .) The results of this search will include all pages that contain the word  $\text{UNIVERSITIES}$  and either both the words  $\text{NEW}$  and  $\text{MEXICO}$  or the word  $\text{ARIZONA}$ . Again, pages besides those of interest will be listed. Finally, to find Web pages that deal with universities in Mexico (and not New Mexico), we might first look for pages matching  $\text{MEXICO AND UNIVERSITIES}$ , but because the results of this search will include pages about universities in New Mexico, as well as universities in Mexico, it might be better to search for pages matching  $(\text{MEXICO AND UNIVERSITIES}) \text{ NOT NEW}$ . The results of this search include pages that contain both the words  $\text{MEXICO}$  and  $\text{UNIVERSITIES}$  but do not contain the word  $\text{NEW}$ . (In Google, and many other search engines, the word “NOT” is replaced by the symbol “-”. In Google, the terms used for this last search would be  $\text{MEXICO UNIVERSITIES -NEW}$ .)

## Logic Puzzles



Puzzles that can be solved using logical reasoning are known as **logic puzzles**. Solving logic puzzles is an excellent way to practice working with the rules of logic. Also, computer programs designed to carry out logical reasoning often use well-known logic puzzles to illustrate their capabilities. Many people enjoy solving logic puzzles, published in periodicals, books, and on the Web, as a recreational activity.

We will discuss two logic puzzles here. We begin with a puzzle originally posed by Raymond Smullyan, a master of logic puzzles, who has published more than a dozen books containing challenging puzzles that involve logical reasoning. In Section 1.3 we will also discuss the extremely popular logic puzzle Sudoku.

### EXAMPLE 7



In [Sm78] Smullyan posed many puzzles about an island that has two kinds of inhabitants, knights, who always tell the truth, and their opposites, knaves, who always lie. You encounter two people  $A$  and  $B$ . What are  $A$  and  $B$  if  $A$  says “ $B$  is a knight” and  $B$  says “The two of us are opposite types?”

**Solution:** Let  $p$  and  $q$  be the statements that  $A$  is a knight and  $B$  is a knight, respectively, so that  $\neg p$  and  $\neg q$  are the statements that  $A$  is a knave and  $B$  is a knave, respectively.

We first consider the possibility that  $A$  is a knight; this is the statement that  $p$  is true. If  $A$  is a knight, then he is telling the truth when he says that  $B$  is a knight, so that  $q$  is true, and  $A$  and  $B$  are the same type. However, if  $B$  is a knight, then  $B$ ’s statement that  $A$  and  $B$  are of opposite types, the statement  $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q)$ , would have to be true, which it is not, because  $A$  and  $B$  are both knights. Consequently, we can conclude that  $A$  is not a knight, that is, that  $p$  is false.

If  $A$  is a knave, then because everything a knave says is false,  $A$ ’s statement that  $B$  is a knight, that is, that  $q$  is true, is a lie. This means that  $q$  is false and  $B$  is also a knave. Furthermore, if  $B$  is a knave, then  $B$ ’s statement that  $A$  and  $B$  are opposite types is a lie, which is consistent with both  $A$  and  $B$  being knaves. We can conclude that both  $A$  and  $B$  are knaves.

We pose more of Smullyan’s puzzles about knights and knaves in Exercises 19–23. In Exercises 24–31 we introduce related puzzles where we have three types of people, knights and knaves as in this puzzle together with spies who can lie.

Next, we pose a puzzle known as the **muddy children puzzle** for the case of two children.

**EXAMPLE 8** A father tells his two children, a boy and a girl, to play in their backyard without getting dirty. However, while playing, both children get mud on their foreheads. When the children stop playing, the father says “At least one of you has a muddy forehead,” and then asks the children to answer “Yes” or “No” to the question: “Do you know whether you have a muddy forehead?” The father asks this question twice. What will the children answer each time this question is asked, assuming that a child can see whether his or her sibling has a muddy forehead, but cannot see his or her own forehead? Assume that both children are honest and that the children answer each question simultaneously.

**Solution:** Let  $s$  be the statement that the son has a muddy forehead and let  $d$  be the statement that the daughter has a muddy forehead. When the father says that at least one of the two children has a muddy forehead, he is stating that the disjunction  $s \vee d$  is true. Both children will answer “No” the first time the question is asked because each sees mud on the other child’s forehead. That is, the son knows that  $d$  is true, but does not know whether  $s$  is true, and the daughter knows that  $s$  is true, but does not know whether  $d$  is true.


After the son has answered “No” to the first question, the daughter can determine that  $d$  must be true. This follows because when the first question is asked, the son knows that  $s \vee d$  is true, but cannot determine whether  $s$  is true. Using this information, the daughter can conclude that  $d$  must be true, for if  $d$  were false, the son could have reasoned that because  $s \vee d$  is true, then  $s$  must be true, and he would have answered “Yes” to the first question. The son can reason in a similar way to determine that  $s$  must be true. It follows that both children answer “Yes” the second time the question is asked. ◀

## Logic Circuits

Propositional logic can be applied to the design of computer hardware. This was first observed in 1938 by Claude Shannon in his MIT master’s thesis. In Chapter 12 we will study this topic in depth. (See that chapter for a biography of Shannon.) We give a brief introduction to this application here.

A **logic circuit** (or **digital circuit**) receives input signals  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ , each a bit [either 0 (off) or 1 (on)], and produces output signals  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ , each a bit. In this section we will restrict our attention to logic circuits with a single output signal; in general, digital circuits may have multiple outputs.



In Chapter 12 we design some useful circuits.



**RAYMOND SMULLYAN (BORN 1919)** Raymond Smullyan dropped out of high school. He wanted to study what he was really interested in and not standard high school material. After jumping from one university to the next, he earned an undergraduate degree in mathematics at the University of Chicago in 1955. He paid his college expenses by performing magic tricks at parties and clubs. He obtained a Ph.D. in logic in 1959 at Princeton, studying under Alonzo Church. After graduating from Princeton, he taught mathematics and logic at Dartmouth College, Princeton University, Yeshiva University, and the City University of New York. He joined the philosophy department at Indiana University in 1981 where he is now an emeritus professor.

Smullyan has written many books on recreational logic and mathematics, including *Satan, Cantor, and Infinity*; *What Is the Name of This Book?*; *The Lady or the Tiger?*; *Alice in Puzzleland*; *To Mock a Mockingbird*; *Forever Undecided*; and *The Riddle of Scheherazade: Amazing Logic Puzzles, Ancient and Modern*. Because his logic puzzles are challenging, entertaining, and thought-provoking, he is considered to be a modern-day Lewis Carroll. Smullyan has also written several books about the application of deductive logic to chess, three collections of philosophical essays and aphorisms, and several advanced books on mathematical logic and set theory. He is particularly interested in self-reference and has worked on extending some of Gödel’s results that show that it is impossible to write a computer program that can solve all mathematical problems. He is also particularly interested in explaining ideas from mathematical logic to the public.

Smullyan is a talented musician and often plays piano with his wife, who is a concert-level pianist. Making telescopes is one of his hobbies. He is also interested in optics and stereo photography. He states “I’ve never had a conflict between teaching and research as some people do because when I’m teaching, I’m doing research.” Smullyan is the subject of a documentary short film entitled *This Film Needs No Title*.

**FIGURE 1** Basic logic gates.**FIGURE 2** A combinatorial circuit.

Complicated digital circuits can be constructed from three basic circuits, called **gates**, shown in Figure 1. The **inverter**, or **NOT gate**, takes an input bit  $p$ , and produces as output  $\neg p$ . The **OR gate** takes two input signals  $p$  and  $q$ , each a bit, and produces as output the signal  $p \vee q$ . Finally, the **AND gate** takes two input signals  $p$  and  $q$ , each a bit, and produces as output the signal  $p \wedge q$ . We use combinations of these three basic gates to build more complicated circuits, such as that shown in Figure 2.

Given a circuit built from the basic logic gates and the inputs to the circuit, we determine the output by tracing through the circuit, as Example 9 shows.

**EXAMPLE 9** Determine the output for the combinatorial circuit in Figure 2.

**Solution:** In Figure 2 we display the output of each logic gate in the circuit. We see that the AND gate takes input of  $p$  and  $\neg q$ , the output of the inverter with input  $q$ , and produces  $p \wedge \neg q$ . Next, we note that the OR gate takes input  $p \wedge \neg q$  and  $\neg r$ , the output of the inverter with input  $r$ , and produces the final output  $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee \neg r$ . ▶

Suppose that we have a formula for the output of a digital circuit in terms of negations, disjunctions, and conjunctions. Then, we can systematically build a digital circuit with the desired output, as illustrated in Example 10.


**EXAMPLE 10** Build a digital circuit that produces the output  $(p \vee \neg r) \wedge (\neg p \vee (q \vee \neg r))$  when given input bits  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$ .

**Solution:** To construct the desired circuit, we build separate circuits for  $p \vee \neg r$  and for  $\neg p \vee (q \vee \neg r)$  and combine them using an AND gate. To construct a circuit for  $p \vee \neg r$ , we use an inverter to produce  $\neg r$  from the input  $r$ . Then, we use an OR gate to combine  $p$  and  $\neg r$ . To build a circuit for  $\neg p \vee (q \vee \neg r)$ , we first use an inverter to obtain  $\neg p$ . Then we use an OR gate with inputs  $q$  and  $\neg r$  to obtain  $q \vee \neg r$ . Finally, we use another inverter and an OR gate to get  $\neg p \vee (q \vee \neg r)$  from the inputs  $p$  and  $q \vee \neg r$ .

To complete the construction, we employ a final AND gate, with inputs  $p \vee \neg r$  and  $\neg p \vee (q \vee \neg r)$ . The resulting circuit is displayed in Figure 3. ▶

We will study logic circuits in great detail in Chapter 12 in the context of Boolean algebra, and with different notation.





**FIGURE 3** The circuit for  $(p \vee \neg r) \wedge (\neg p \vee (q \vee \neg r))$ .

## Exercises

In Exercises 1–6, translate the given statement into propositional logic using the propositions provided.

1. You cannot edit a protected Wikipedia entry unless you are an administrator. Express your answer in terms of  $e$ : “You can edit a protected Wikipedia entry” and  $a$ : “You are an administrator.”
2. You can see the movie only if you are over 18 years old or you have the permission of a parent. Express your answer in terms of  $m$ : “You can see the movie,”  $e$ : “You are over 18 years old,” and  $p$ : “You have the permission of a parent.”
3. You can graduate only if you have completed the requirements of your major and you do not owe money to the university and you do not have an overdue library book. Express your answer in terms of  $g$ : “You can graduate,”  $m$ : “You owe money to the university,”  $r$ : “You have completed the requirements of your major,” and  $b$ : “You have an overdue library book.”
4. To use the wireless network in the airport you must pay the daily fee unless you are a subscriber to the service. Express your answer in terms of  $w$ : “You can use the wireless network in the airport,”  $d$ : “You pay the daily fee,” and  $s$ : “You are a subscriber to the service.”
5. You are eligible to be President of the U.S.A. only if you are at least 35 years old, were born in the U.S.A., or at the time of your birth both of your parents were citizens, and you have lived at least 14 years in the country. Express your answer in terms of  $e$ : “You are eligible to be President of the U.S.A.,”  $a$ : “You are at least 35 years old,”  $b$ : “You were born in the U.S.A.,”  $p$ : “At the time of your birth, both of your parents were citizens,” and  $r$ : “You have lived at least 14 years in the U.S.A.”
6. You can upgrade your operating system only if you have a 32-bit processor running at 1 GHz or faster, at least 1 GB RAM, and 16 GB free hard disk space, or a 64-bit processor running at 2 GHz or faster, at least 2 GB RAM, and at least 32 GB free hard disk space. Express your answer in terms of  $u$ : “You can upgrade your operating system,”  $b_{32}$ : “You have a 32-bit processor,”  $b_{64}$ : “You have a 64-bit processor,”  $g_1$ : “Your processor runs at 1 GHz or faster,”  $g_2$ : “Your processor runs at 2 GHz or faster,”  $r_1$ : “Your processor has at least 1 GB RAM,”  $r_2$ : “Your processor has at least 2 GB RAM,”  $h_{16}$ : “You have at least 16 GB free hard disk space,” and  $h_{32}$ : “You have at least 32 GB free hard disk space.”
7. Express these system specifications using the propositions  $p$  “The message is scanned for viruses” and  $q$  “The message was sent from an unknown system” together with logical connectives (including negations).
  - a) “The message is scanned for viruses whenever the message was sent from an unknown system.”
  - b) “The message was sent from an unknown system but it was not scanned for viruses.”
  - c) “It is necessary to scan the message for viruses whenever it was sent from an unknown system.”
  - d) “When a message is not sent from an unknown system it is not scanned for viruses.”
8. Express these system specifications using the propositions  $p$  “The user enters a valid password,”  $q$  “Access is granted,” and  $r$  “The user has paid the subscription fee” and logical connectives (including negations).
  - a) “The user has paid the subscription fee, but does not enter a valid password.”
  - b) “Access is granted whenever the user has paid the subscription fee and enters a valid password.”
  - c) “Access is denied if the user has not paid the subscription fee.”
  - d) “If the user has not entered a valid password but has paid the subscription fee, then access is granted.”
9. Are these system specifications consistent? “The system is in multiuser state if and only if it is operating normally. If the system is operating normally, the kernel is functioning. The kernel is not functioning or the system is in interrupt mode. If the system is not in multiuser state, then it is in interrupt mode. The system is not in interrupt mode.”

10. Are these system specifications consistent? "Whenever the system software is being upgraded, users cannot access the file system. If users can access the file system, then they can save new files. If users cannot save new files, then the system software is not being upgraded."
  11. Are these system specifications consistent? "The router can send packets to the edge system only if it supports the new address space. For the router to support the new address space it is necessary that the latest software release be installed. The router can send packets to the edge system if the latest software release is installed. The router does not support the new address space."
  12. Are these system specifications consistent? "If the file system is not locked, then new messages will be queued. If the file system is not locked, then the system is functioning normally, and conversely. If new messages are not queued, then they will be sent to the message buffer. If the file system is not locked, then new messages will be sent to the message buffer. New messages will not be sent to the message buffer."
  13. What Boolean search would you use to look for Web pages about beaches in New Jersey? What if you wanted to find Web pages about beaches on the isle of Jersey (in the English Channel)?
  14. What Boolean search would you use to look for Web pages about hiking in West Virginia? What if you wanted to find Web pages about hiking in Virginia, but not in West Virginia?
  - \* 15. Each inhabitant of a remote village always tells the truth or always lies. A villager will give only a "Yes" or a "No" response to a question a tourist asks. Suppose you are a tourist visiting this area and come to a fork in the road. One branch leads to the ruins you want to visit; the other branch leads deep into the jungle. A villager is standing at the fork in the road. What one question can you ask the villager to determine which branch to take?
  16. An explorer is captured by a group of cannibals. There are two types of cannibals—those who always tell the truth and those who always lie. The cannibals will barbecue the explorer unless he can determine whether a particular cannibal always lies or always tells the truth. He is allowed to ask the cannibal exactly one question.
    - a) Explain why the question "Are you a liar?" does not work.
    - b) Find a question that the explorer can use to determine whether the cannibal always lies or always tells the truth.
  17. When three professors are seated in a restaurant, the hostess asks them: "Does everyone want coffee?" The first professor says: "I do not know." The second professor then says: "I do not know." Finally, the third professor says: "No, not everyone wants coffee." The hostess comes back and gives coffee to the professors who want it. How did she figure out who wanted coffee?
  18. When planning a party you want to know whom to invite. Among the people you would like to invite are three touchy friends. You know that if Jasmine attends, she will become unhappy if Samir is there, Samir will attend only if Kanti will be there, and Kanti will not attend unless Jasmine also does. Which combinations of these three friends can you invite so as not to make someone unhappy?
- Exercises 19–23 relate to inhabitants of the island of knights and knaves created by Smullyan, where knights always tell the truth and knaves always lie. You encounter two people, *A* and *B*. Determine, if possible, what *A* and *B* are if they address you in the ways described. If you cannot determine what these two people are, can you draw any conclusions?
19. *A* says "At least one of us is a knave" and *B* says nothing.
  20. *A* says "The two of us are both knights" and *B* says "*A* is a knave."
  21. *A* says "I am a knave or *B* is a knight" and *B* says nothing.
  22. Both *A* and *B* say "I am a knight."
  23. *A* says "We are both knaves" and *B* says nothing.
- Exercises 24–31 relate to inhabitants of an island on which there are three kinds of people: knights who always tell the truth, knaves who always lie, and spies (called normals by Smullyan [Sm78]) who can either lie or tell the truth. You encounter three people, *A*, *B*, and *C*. You know one of these people is a knight, one is a knave, and one is a spy. Each of the three people knows the type of person each of the other two is. For each of these situations, if possible, determine whether there is a unique solution and determine who the knave, knight, and spy are. When there is no unique solution, list all possible solutions or state that there are no solutions.
24. *A* says "*C* is the knave," *B* says, "*A* is the knight," and *C* says "I am the spy."
  25. *A* says "I am the knight," *B* says "I am the knave," and *C* says "*B* is the knight."
  26. *A* says "I am the knave," *B* says "I am the knave," and *C* says "I am the knave."
  27. *A* says "I am the knight," *B* says "*A* is telling the truth," and *C* says "I am the spy."
  28. *A* says "I am the knight," *B* says, "*A* is not the knave," and *C* says "*B* is not the knave."
  29. *A* says "I am the knight," *B* says "I am the knight," and *C* says "I am the knight."
  30. *A* says "I am not the spy," *B* says "I am not the spy," and *C* says "*A* is the spy."
  31. *A* says "I am not the spy," *B* says "I am not the spy," and *C* says "I am not the spy."
- Exercises 32–38 are puzzles that can be solved by translating statements into logical expressions and reasoning from these expressions using truth tables.
32. The police have three suspects for the murder of Mr. Cooper: Mr. Smith, Mr. Jones, and Mr. Williams. Smith, Jones, and Williams each declare that they did not kill Cooper. Smith also states that Cooper was a friend of Jones and that Williams disliked him. Jones also states that he did not know Cooper and that he was out of town the day Cooper was killed. Williams also states that he





saw both Smith and Jones with Cooper the day of the killing and that either Smith or Jones must have killed him. Can you determine who the murderer was if



- a) one of the three men is guilty, the two innocent men are telling the truth, but the statements of the guilty man may or may not be true?
  - b) innocent men do not lie?
33. Steve would like to determine the relative salaries of three coworkers using two facts. First, he knows that if Fred is not the highest paid of the three, then Janice is. Second, he knows that if Janice is not the lowest paid, then Maggie is paid the most. Is it possible to determine the relative salaries of Fred, Maggie, and Janice from what Steve knows? If so, who is paid the most and who the least? Explain your reasoning.
34. Five friends have access to a chat room. Is it possible to determine who is chatting if the following information is known? Either Kevin or Heather, or both, are chatting. Either Randy or Vijay, but not both, are chatting. If Abby is chatting, so is Randy. Vijay and Kevin are either both chatting or neither is. If Heather is chatting, then so are Abby and Kevin. Explain your reasoning.
35. A detective has interviewed four witnesses to a crime. From the stories of the witnesses the detective has concluded that if the butler is telling the truth then so is the cook; the cook and the gardener cannot both be telling the truth; the gardener and the handyman are not both lying; and if the handyman is telling the truth then the cook is lying. For each of the four witnesses, can the detective determine whether that person is telling the truth or lying? Explain your reasoning.
36. Four friends have been identified as suspects for an unauthorized access into a computer system. They have made statements to the investigating authorities. Alice said "Carlos did it." John said "I did not do it." Carlos said "Diana did it." Diana said "Carlos lied when he said that I did it."
- a) If the authorities also know that exactly one of the four suspects is telling the truth, who did it? Explain your reasoning.
  - b) If the authorities also know that exactly one is lying, who did it? Explain your reasoning.
37. Suppose there are signs on the doors to two rooms. The sign on the first door reads "In this room there is a lady, and in the other one there is a tiger"; and the sign on the second door reads "In one of these rooms, there is a lady, and in one of them there is a tiger." Suppose that you know that one of these signs is true and the other is false. Behind which door is the lady?
- \*38. Solve this famous logic puzzle, attributed to Albert Einstein, and known as the **zebra puzzle**. Five men with different nationalities and with different jobs live in consecutive houses on a street. These houses are painted different colors. The men have different pets and have different favorite drinks. Determine who owns a zebra and

whose favorite drink is mineral water (which is one of the favorite drinks) given these clues: The Englishman lives in the red house. The Spaniard owns a dog. The Japanese man is a painter. The Italian drinks tea. The Norwegian lives in the first house on the left. The green house is immediately to the right of the white one. The photographer breeds snails. The diplomat lives in the yellow house. Milk is drunk in the middle house. The owner of the green house drinks coffee. The Norwegian's house is next to the blue one. The violinist drinks orange juice. The fox is in a house next to that of the physician. The horse is in a house next to that of the diplomat. [Hint: Make a table where the rows represent the men and columns represent the color of their houses, their jobs, their pets, and their favorite drinks and use logical reasoning to determine the correct entries in the table.]

39. Freedonia has fifty senators. Each senator is either honest or corrupt. Suppose you know that at least one of the Freedonian senators is honest and that, given any two Freedonian senators, at least one is corrupt. Based on these facts, can you determine how many Freedonian senators are honest and how many are corrupt? If so, what is the answer?
40. Find the output of each of these combinatorial circuits.



41. Find the output of each of these combinatorial circuits.



42. Construct a combinatorial circuit using inverters, OR gates, and AND gates that produces the output  $(p \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg q \wedge r)$  from input bits  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$ .
43. Construct a combinatorial circuit using inverters, OR gates, and AND gates that produces the output  $((\neg p \vee \neg r) \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge (q \vee r))$  from input bits  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$ .

## 1.3 Propositional Equivalences

### Introduction

An important type of step used in a mathematical argument is the replacement of a statement with another statement with the same truth value. Because of this, methods that produce propositions with the same truth value as a given compound proposition are used extensively in the construction of mathematical arguments. Note that we will use the term “compound proposition” to refer to an expression formed from propositional variables using logical operators, such as  $p \wedge q$ .

We begin our discussion with a classification of compound propositions according to their possible truth values.

#### DEFINITION 1

A compound proposition that is always true, no matter what the truth values of the propositional variables that occur in it, is called a *tautology*. A compound proposition that is always false is called a *contradiction*. A compound proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction is called a *contingency*.

Tautologies and contradictions are often important in mathematical reasoning. Example 1 illustrates these types of compound propositions.

#### EXAMPLE 1

We can construct examples of tautologies and contradictions using just one propositional variable. Consider the truth tables of  $p \vee \neg p$  and  $p \wedge \neg p$ , shown in Table 1. Because  $p \vee \neg p$  is always true, it is a tautology. Because  $p \wedge \neg p$  is always false, it is a contradiction. ◀

### Logical Equivalences



Compound propositions that have the same truth values in all possible cases are called **logically equivalent**. We can also define this notion as follows.

#### DEFINITION 2

The compound propositions  $p$  and  $q$  are called *logically equivalent* if  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is a tautology. The notation  $p \equiv q$  denotes that  $p$  and  $q$  are logically equivalent.

**Remark:** The symbol  $\equiv$  is not a logical connective, and  $p \equiv q$  is not a compound proposition but rather is the statement that  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is a tautology. The symbol  $\Leftrightarrow$  is sometimes used instead of  $\equiv$  to denote logical equivalence.

One way to determine whether two compound propositions are equivalent is to use a truth table. In particular, the compound propositions  $p$  and  $q$  are equivalent if and only if the columns

**TABLE 1** Examples of a Tautology and a Contradiction.

$p$	$\neg p$	$p \vee \neg p$	$p \wedge \neg p$
T	F	T	F
F	T	T	F

**TABLE 2** De Morgan's Laws.


$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$



giving their truth values agree. Example 2 illustrates this method to establish an extremely important and useful logical equivalence, namely, that of  $\neg(p \vee q)$  with  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ . This logical equivalence is one of the two **De Morgan laws**, shown in Table 2, named after the English mathematician Augustus De Morgan, of the mid-nineteenth century.


**EXAMPLE 2** Show that  $\neg(p \vee q)$  and  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$  are logically equivalent.

**Solution:** The truth tables for these compound propositions are displayed in Table 3. Because the truth values of the compound propositions  $\neg(p \vee q)$  and  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$  agree for all possible combinations of the truth values of  $p$  and  $q$ , it follows that  $\neg(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$  is a tautology and that these compound propositions are logically equivalent. 

**TABLE 3** Truth Tables for  $\neg(p \vee q)$  and  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ .

$p$	$q$	$p \vee q$	$\neg(p \vee q)$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$
T	T	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	T	T	T	T

**EXAMPLE 3** Show that  $p \rightarrow q$  and  $\neg p \vee q$  are logically equivalent.

**Solution:** We construct the truth table for these compound propositions in Table 4. Because the truth values of  $\neg p \vee q$  and  $p \rightarrow q$  agree, they are logically equivalent. 

**TABLE 4** Truth Tables for  $\neg p \vee q$  and  $p \rightarrow q$ .


$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

We will now establish a logical equivalence of two compound propositions involving three different propositional variables  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$ . To use a truth table to establish such a logical equivalence, we need eight rows, one for each possible combination of truth values of these three variables. We symbolically represent these combinations by listing the truth values of  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$ , respectively. These eight combinations of truth values are TTT, TTF, TFT, TFF, FTT, FTF, FFT, and FFF; we use this order when we display the rows of the truth table. Note that we need to double the number of rows in the truth tables we use to show that compound propositions are equivalent for each additional propositional variable, so that 16 rows are needed to establish the logical equivalence of two compound propositions involving four propositional variables, and so on. In general,  $2^n$  rows are required if a compound proposition involves  $n$  propositional variables.

**TABLE 5** A Demonstration That  $p \vee (q \wedge r)$  and  $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$  Are Logically Equivalent.

$p$	$q$	$r$	$q \wedge r$	$p \vee (q \wedge r)$	$p \vee q$	$p \vee r$	$(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	T	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F

**EXAMPLE 4** Show that  $p \vee (q \wedge r)$  and  $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$  are logically equivalent. This is the *distributive law* of disjunction over conjunction.

**Solution:** We construct the truth table for these compound propositions in Table 5. Because the truth values of  $p \vee (q \wedge r)$  and  $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$  agree, these compound propositions are logically equivalent. 

The identities in Table 6 are a special case of Boolean algebra identities found in Table 5 of Section 12.1. See Table 1 in Section 2.2 for analogous set identities.

Table 6 contains some important equivalences. In these equivalences, **T** denotes the compound proposition that is always true and **F** denotes the compound proposition that is always

**TABLE 6** Logical Equivalences.

Equivalence	Name
$p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$ $p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$	Identity laws
$p \vee \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Domination laws
$p \vee p \equiv p$ $p \wedge p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	Commutative laws
$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	Associative laws
$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	Distributive laws
$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	De Morgan's laws
$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws
$p \vee \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \wedge \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Negation laws

**TABLE 7** Logical Equivalences Involving Conditional Statements.

$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$
$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
$p \vee q \equiv \neg p \rightarrow q$
$p \wedge q \equiv \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q)$
$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$
$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)$
$(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \vee q) \rightarrow r$
$(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
$(p \rightarrow r) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

**TABLE 8** Logical Equivalences Involving Biconditional Statements.

$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$
$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$
$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
$\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q$

false. We also display some useful equivalences for compound propositions involving conditional statements and biconditional statements in Tables 7 and 8, respectively. The reader is asked to verify the equivalences in Tables 6–8 in the exercises.

The associative law for disjunction shows that the expression  $p \vee q \vee r$  is well defined, in the sense that it does not matter whether we first take the disjunction of  $p$  with  $q$  and then the disjunction of  $p \vee q$  with  $r$ , or if we first take the disjunction of  $q$  and  $r$  and then take the disjunction of  $p$  with  $q \vee r$ . Similarly, the expression  $p \wedge q \wedge r$  is well defined. By extending this reasoning, it follows that  $p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \cdots \vee p_n$  and  $p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n$  are well defined whenever  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are propositions.

Furthermore, note that De Morgan's laws extend to

$$\neg(p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \cdots \vee p_n) \equiv (\neg p_1 \wedge \neg p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg p_n)$$

and

$$\neg(p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n) \equiv (\neg p_1 \vee \neg p_2 \vee \cdots \vee \neg p_n).$$

We will sometimes use the notation  $\bigvee_{j=1}^n p_j$  for  $p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \cdots \vee p_n$  and  $\bigwedge_{j=1}^n p_j$  for  $p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n$ . Using this notation, the extended version of De Morgan's laws can be written concisely as  $\neg(\bigvee_{j=1}^n p_j) \equiv \bigwedge_{j=1}^n \neg p_j$  and  $\neg(\bigwedge_{j=1}^n p_j) \equiv \bigvee_{j=1}^n \neg p_j$ . (Methods for proving these identities will be given in Section 5.1.)

## Using De Morgan's Laws


The two logical equivalences known as De Morgan's laws are particularly important. They tell us how to negate conjunctions and how to negate disjunctions. In particular, the equivalence  $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$  tells us that the negation of a disjunction is formed by taking the conjunction of the negations of the component propositions. Similarly, the equivalence  $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$  tells us that the negation of a conjunction is formed by taking the disjunction of the negations of the component propositions. Example 5 illustrates the use of De Morgan's laws.

When using De Morgan's laws, remember to change the logical connective after you negate.

**EXAMPLE 5** Use De Morgan's laws to express the negations of "Miguel has a cellphone and he has a laptop computer" and "Heather will go to the concert or Steve will go to the concert."



**Solution:** Let  $p$  be "Miguel has a cellphone" and  $q$  be "Miguel has a laptop computer." Then "Miguel has a cellphone and he has a laptop computer" can be represented by  $p \wedge q$ . By the first of De Morgan's laws,  $\neg(p \wedge q)$  is equivalent to  $\neg p \vee \neg q$ . Consequently, we can express the negation of our original statement as "Miguel does not have a cellphone or he does not have a laptop computer."

Let  $r$  be "Heather will go to the concert" and  $s$  be "Steve will go to the concert." Then "Heather will go to the concert or Steve will go to the concert" can be represented by  $r \vee s$ . By the second of De Morgan's laws,  $\neg(r \vee s)$  is equivalent to  $\neg r \wedge \neg s$ . Consequently, we can express the negation of our original statement as "Heather will not go to the concert and Steve will not go to the concert." 

## Constructing New Logical Equivalences

The logical equivalences in Table 6, as well as any others that have been established (such as those shown in Tables 7 and 8), can be used to construct additional logical equivalences. The reason for this is that a proposition in a compound proposition can be replaced by a compound proposition that is logically equivalent to it without changing the truth value of the original compound proposition. This technique is illustrated in Examples 6–8, where we also use the fact that if  $p$  and  $q$  are logically equivalent and  $q$  and  $r$  are logically equivalent, then  $p$  and  $r$  are logically equivalent (see Exercise 56).

**EXAMPLE 6** Show that  $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$  and  $p \wedge \neg q$  are logically equivalent.



**Solution:** We could use a truth table to show that these compound propositions are equivalent (similar to what we did in Example 4). Indeed, it would not be hard to do so. However, we want to illustrate how to use logical identities that we already know to establish new logical identities, something that is of practical importance for establishing equivalences of compound propositions with a large number of variables. So, we will establish this equivalence by developing a series of



**AUGUSTUS DE MORGAN (1806–1871)** Augustus De Morgan was born in India, where his father was a colonel in the Indian army. De Morgan's family moved to England when he was 7 months old. He attended private schools, where in his early teens he developed a strong interest in mathematics. De Morgan studied at Trinity College, Cambridge, graduating in 1827. Although he considered medicine or law, he decided on mathematics for his career. He won a position at University College, London, in 1828, but resigned after the college dismissed a fellow professor without giving reasons. However, he resumed this position in 1836 when his successor died, remaining until 1866.

De Morgan was a noted teacher who stressed principles over techniques. His students included many famous mathematicians, including Augusta Ada, Countess of Lovelace, who was Charles Babbage's collaborator in his work on computing machines (see page 31 for biographical notes on Augusta Ada). (De Morgan cautioned the countess against studying too much mathematics, because it might interfere with her childbearing abilities!)

De Morgan was an extremely prolific writer, publishing more than 1000 articles in more than 15 periodicals. De Morgan also wrote textbooks on many subjects, including logic, probability, calculus, and algebra. In 1838 he presented what was perhaps the first clear explanation of an important proof technique known as *mathematical induction* (discussed in Section 5.1 of this text), a term he coined. In the 1840s De Morgan made fundamental contributions to the development of symbolic logic. He invented notations that helped him prove propositional equivalences, such as the laws that are named after him. In 1842 De Morgan presented what is considered to be the first precise definition of a limit and developed new tests for convergence of infinite series. De Morgan was also interested in the history of mathematics and wrote biographies of Newton and Halley.

In 1837 De Morgan married Sophia Frend, who wrote his biography in 1882. De Morgan's research, writing, and teaching left little time for his family or social life. Nevertheless, he was noted for his kindness, humor, and wide range of knowledge.

logical equivalences, using one of the equivalences in Table 6 at a time, starting with  $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$  and ending with  $p \wedge \neg q$ . We have the following equivalences.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p \rightarrow q) &\equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) && \text{by Example 3} \\ &\equiv \neg(\neg p) \wedge \neg q && \text{by the second De Morgan law} \\ &\equiv p \wedge \neg q && \text{by the double negation law}\end{aligned}$$

**EXAMPLE 7** Show that  $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$  and  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$  are logically equivalent by developing a series of logical equivalences.

*Solution:* We will use one of the equivalences in Table 6 at a time, starting with  $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$  and ending with  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ . (Note: we could also easily establish this equivalence using a truth table.) We have the following equivalences.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q)) &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge q) && \text{by the second De Morgan law} \\ &\equiv \neg p \wedge [\neg(\neg p) \vee \neg q] && \text{by the first De Morgan law} \\ &\equiv \neg p \wedge (p \vee \neg q) && \text{by the double negation law} \\ &\equiv (\neg p \wedge p) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) && \text{by the second distributive law} \\ &\equiv \mathbf{F} \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) && \text{because } \neg p \wedge p \equiv \mathbf{F} \\ &\equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee \mathbf{F} && \text{by the commutative law for disjunction} \\ &\equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q && \text{by the identity law for } \mathbf{F}\end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\neg(p \vee (\neg p \wedge q))$  and  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$  are logically equivalent.

**EXAMPLE 8** Show that  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$  is a tautology.

*Solution:* To show that this statement is a tautology, we will use logical equivalences to demonstrate that it is logically equivalent to **T**. (Note: This could also be done using a truth table.)

$$\begin{aligned}(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee q) &\equiv \neg(p \wedge q) \vee (p \vee q) && \text{by Example 3} \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (p \vee q) && \text{by the first De Morgan law} \\ &\equiv (\neg p \vee p) \vee (\neg q \vee q) && \text{by the associative and commutative} \\ &&& \text{laws for disjunction} \\ &\equiv \mathbf{T} \vee \mathbf{T} && \text{by Example 1 and the commutative} \\ &&& \text{law for disjunction} \\ &\equiv \mathbf{T} && \text{by the domination law}\end{aligned}$$

## Propositional Satisfiability

A compound proposition is **satisfiable** if there is an assignment of truth values to its variables that makes it true. When no such assignments exists, that is, when the compound proposition is false for all assignments of truth values to its variables, the compound proposition is **unsatisfiable**.

Note that a compound proposition is unsatisfiable if and only if its negation is true for all assignments of truth values to the variables, that is, if and only if its negation is a tautology.

When we find a particular assignment of truth values that makes a compound proposition true, we have shown that it is satisfiable; such an assignment is called a **solution** of this particular



satisfiability problem. However, to show that a compound proposition is unsatisfiable, we need to show that every assignment of truth values to its variables makes it false. Although we can always use a truth table to determine whether a compound proposition is satisfiable, it is often more efficient not to, as Example 9 demonstrates.

**EXAMPLE 9** Determine whether each of the compound propositions  $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p)$ ,  $(p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$ , and  $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p) \wedge (p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$  is satisfiable.

**Solution:** Instead of using truth table to solve this problem, we will reason about truth values. Note that  $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p)$  is true when the three variable  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  have the same truth value (see Exercise 40 of Section 1.1). Hence, it is satisfiable as there is at least one assignment of truth values for  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  that makes it true. Similarly, note that  $(p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$  is true when at least one of  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  is true and at least one is false (see Exercise 41 of Section 1.1). Hence,  $(p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$  is satisfiable, as there is at least one assignment of truth values for  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  that makes it true.

Finally, note that for  $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p) \wedge (p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$  to be true,  $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p)$  and  $(p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$  must both be true. For the first to be true, the three variables must have the same truth values, and for the second to be true, at least one of three variables must be true and at least one must be false. However, these conditions are contradictory. From these observations we conclude that no assignment of truth values to  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $r$  makes  $(p \vee \neg q) \wedge (q \vee \neg r) \wedge (r \vee \neg p) \wedge (p \vee q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r)$  true. Hence, it is unsatisfiable. ▶



**AUGUSTA ADA, COUNTESS OF LOVELACE (1815-1852)** Augusta Ada was the only child from the marriage of the famous poet Lord Byron and Lady Byron, Annabella Millbanke, who separated when Ada was 1 month old, because of Lord Byron's scandalous affair with his half sister. The Lord Byron had quite a reputation, being described by one of his lovers as "mad, bad, and dangerous to know." Lady Byron was noted for her intellect and had a passion for mathematics; she was called by Lord Byron "The Princess of Parallelograms." Augusta was raised by her mother, who encouraged her intellectual talents especially in music and mathematics, to counter what Lady Byron considered dangerous poetic tendencies. At this time, women were not allowed to attend universities and could not join learned societies. Nevertheless, Augusta pursued her mathematical studies independently and with mathematicians, including William Frend. She was also encouraged by another female mathematician, Mary Somerville, and in 1834 at a dinner party hosted by Mary Somerville, she learned about Charles Babbage's ideas for a calculating machine, called the Analytic Engine. In 1838 Augusta Ada married Lord King, later elevated to Earl of Lovelace. Together they had three children.

Augusta Ada continued her mathematical studies after her marriage. Charles Babbage had continued work on his Analytic Engine and lectured on this in Europe. In 1842 Babbage asked Augusta Ada to translate an article in French describing Babbage's invention. When Babbage saw her translation, he suggested she add her own notes, and the resulting work was three times the length of the original. The most complete accounts of the Analytic Engine are found in Augusta Ada's notes. In her notes, she compared the working of the Analytic Engine to that of the Jacquard loom, with Babbage's punch cards analogous to the cards used to create patterns on the loom. Furthermore, she recognized the promise of the machine as a general purpose computer much better than Babbage did. She stated that the "engine is the material expression of any indefinite function of any degree of generality and complexity." Her notes on the Analytic Engine anticipate many future developments, including computer-generated music. Augusta Ada published her writings under her initials A. A. L., concealing her identity as a woman as did many women at a time when women were not considered to be the intellectual equals of men. After 1845 she and Babbage worked toward the development of a system to predict horse races. Unfortunately, their system did not work well, leaving Augusta Ada heavily in debt at the time of her death at an unfortunately young age from uterine cancer.

In 1953 Augusta Ada's notes on the Analytic Engine were republished more than 100 years after they were written, and after they had been long forgotten. In his work in the 1950s on the capacity of computers to think (and his famous Turing Test), Alan Turing responded to Augusta Ada's statement that "The Analytic Engine has no pretensions whatever to originate anything. It can do whatever we know how to order it to perform." This "dialogue" between Turing and Augusta Ada is still the subject of controversy. Because of her fundamental contributions to computing, the programming language Ada is named in honor of the Countess of Lovelace.



	2	9				4		
			5			1		
	4							
				4	2			
6							7	
5								
7			3					5
	1			9				
							6	

**FIGURE 1** A  $9 \times 9$  Sudoku puzzle.

## Applications of Satisfiability

Many problems, in diverse areas such as robotics, software testing, computer-aided design, machine vision, integrated circuit design, computer networking, and genetics, can be modeled in terms of propositional satisfiability. Although most of these applications are beyond the scope of this book, we will study one application here. In particular, we will show how to use propositional satisfiability to model Sudoku puzzles.

**SUDOKU** A **Sudoku puzzle** is represented by a  $9 \times 9$  grid made up of nine  $3 \times 3$  subgrids, known as **blocks**, as shown in Figure 1. For each puzzle, some of the 81 cells, called **givens**, are assigned one of the numbers 1, 2, . . . , 9, and the other cells are blank. The puzzle is solved by assigning a number to each blank cell so that every row, every column, and every one of the nine  $3 \times 3$  blocks contains each of the nine possible numbers. Note that instead of using a  $9 \times 9$  grid, Sudoku puzzles can be based on  $n^2 \times n^2$  grids, for any positive integer  $n$ , with the  $n^2 \times n^2$  grid made up of  $n^2 \times n \times n$  subgrids.



The popularity of Sudoku dates back to the 1980s when it was introduced in Japan. It took 20 years for Sudoku to spread to rest of the world, but by 2005, Sudoku puzzles were a worldwide craze. The name Sudoku is short for the Japanese *suuji wa dokushin ni kagiru*, which means “the digits must remain single.” The modern game of Sudoku was apparently designed in the late 1970s by an American puzzle designer. The basic ideas of Sudoku date back even further; puzzles printed in French newspapers in the 1890s were quite similar, but not identical, to modern Sudoku.

Sudoku puzzles designed for entertainment have two additional important properties. First, they have exactly one solution. Second, they can be solved using reasoning alone, that is, without resorting to searching all possible assignments of numbers to the cells. As a Sudoku puzzle is solved, entries in blank cells are successively determined by already known values. For instance, in the grid in Figure 1, the number 4 must appear in exactly one cell in the second row. How can we determine which of the seven blank cells it must appear? First, we observe that 4 cannot appear in one of the first three cells or in one of the last three cells of this row, because it already appears in another cell in the block each of these cells is in. We can also see that 4 cannot appear in the fifth cell in this row, as it already appears in the fifth column in the fourth row. This means that 4 must appear in the sixth cell of the second row.

Many strategies based on logic and mathematics have been devised for solving Sudoku puzzles (see [Da10], for example). Here, we discuss one of the ways that have been developed for solving Sudoku puzzles with the aid of a computer, which depends on modeling the puzzle as a propositional satisfiability problem. Using the model we describe, particular Sudoku puzzles can be solved using software developed to solve satisfiability problems. Currently, Sudoku puzzles can be solved in less than 10 milliseconds this way. It should be noted that there are many other approaches for solving Sudoku puzzles via computers using other techniques.

To encode a Sudoku puzzle, let  $p(i, j, n)$  denote the proposition that is true when the number  $n$  is in the cell in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column. There are  $9 \times 9 \times 9 = 729$  such propositions, as  $i, j$ , and  $n$  all range from 1 to 9. For example, for the puzzle in Figure 1, the number 6 is given as the value in the fifth row and first column. Hence, we see that  $p(5, 1, 6)$  is true, but  $p(5, j, 6)$  is false for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, 9$ .

Given a particular Sudoku puzzle, we begin by encoding each of the given values. Then, we construct compound propositions that assert that every row contains every number, every column contains every number, every  $3 \times 3$  block contains every number, and each cell contains no more than one number. It follows, as the reader should verify, that the Sudoku puzzle is solved by finding an assignment of truth values to the 729 propositions  $p(i, j, n)$  with  $i, j$ , and  $n$  each ranging from 1 to 9 that makes the conjunction of all these compound propositions true. After listing these assertions, we will explain how to construct the assertion that every row contains every integer from 1 to 9. We will leave the construction of the other assertions that every column contains every number and each of the nine  $3 \times 3$  blocks contains every number to the exercises.

- For each cell with a given value, we assert  $p(i, j, n)$  when the cell in row  $i$  and column  $j$  has the given value  $n$ .
- We assert that every row contains every number:

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^9 \bigwedge_{n=1}^9 \bigvee_{j=1}^9 p(i, j, n)$$

- We assert that every column contains every number:

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^9 \bigwedge_{n=1}^9 \bigvee_{i=1}^9 p(i, j, n)$$

- We assert that each of the nine  $3 \times 3$  blocks contains every number:

$$\bigwedge_{r=0}^2 \bigwedge_{s=0}^2 \bigwedge_{n=1}^9 \bigvee_{i=1}^3 \bigvee_{j=1}^3 p(3r + i, 3s + j, n)$$

- To assert that no cell contains more than one number, we take the conjunction over all values of  $n, n', i$ , and  $j$  where each variable ranges from 1 to 9 and  $n \neq n'$  of  $p(i, j, n) \rightarrow \neg p(i, j, n')$ .

We now explain how to construct the assertion that every row contains every number. First, to assert that row  $i$  contains the number  $n$ , we form  $\bigvee_{j=1}^9 p(i, j, n)$ . To assert that row  $i$  contains all  $n$  numbers, we form the conjunction of these disjunctions over all nine possible values of  $n$ , giving us  $\bigwedge_{n=1}^9 \bigvee_{j=1}^9 p(i, j, n)$ . Finally, to assert that every row contains every number, we take the conjunction of  $\bigwedge_{n=1}^9 \bigvee_{j=1}^9 p(i, j, n)$  over all nine rows. This gives us  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^9 \bigwedge_{n=1}^9 \bigvee_{j=1}^9 p(i, j, n)$ . (Exercises 65 and 66 ask for explanations of the assertions that every column contains every number and that each of the nine  $3 \times 3$  blocks contains every number.)

Given a particular Sudoku puzzle, to solve this puzzle we can find a solution to the satisfiability problems that asks for a set of truth values for the 729 variables  $p(i, j, n)$  that makes the conjunction of all the listed assertions true.



It is tricky setting up the two inner indices so that all nine cells in each square block are examined.

## Solving Satisfiability Problems

A truth table can be used to determine whether a compound proposition is satisfiable, or equivalently, whether its negation is a tautology (see Exercise 60). This can be done by hand for a compound proposition with a small number of variables, but when the number of variables grows, this becomes impractical. For instance, there are  $2^{20} = 1,048,576$  rows in the truth table for a compound proposition with 20 variables. Clearly, you need a computer to help you determine, in this way, whether a compound proposition in 20 variables is satisfiable.

When many applications are modeled, questions concerning the satisfiability of compound propositions with hundreds, thousands, or millions of variables arise. Note, for example, that when there are 1000 variables, checking every one of the  $2^{1000}$  (a number with more than 300 decimal digits) possible combinations of truth values of the variables in a compound proposition cannot be done by a computer in even trillions of years. No procedure is known that a computer can follow to determine in a reasonable amount of time whether an arbitrary compound proposition in such a large number of variables is satisfiable. However, progress has been made developing methods for solving the satisfiability problem for the particular types of compound propositions that arise in practical applications, such as for the solution of Sudoku puzzles. Many computer programs have been developed for solving satisfiability problems which have practical use. In our discussion of the subject of algorithms in Chapter 3, we will discuss this question further. In particular, we will explain the important role the propositional satisfiability problem plays in the study of the complexity of algorithms.



## Exercises

- Use truth tables to verify these equivalences.
  - $p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$
  - $p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$
  - $p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$
  - $p \vee \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$
  - $p \vee p \equiv p$
  - $p \wedge p \equiv p$
- Show that  $\neg(\neg p)$  and  $p$  are logically equivalent.
- Use truth tables to verify the commutative laws
  - $p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$
  - $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$
- Use truth tables to verify the associative laws
  - $(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$
  - $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
- Use a truth table to verify the distributive law
 
$$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r).$$
- Use a truth table to verify the first De Morgan law
 
$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q.$$
- Use De Morgan's laws to find the negation of each of the following statements.
  - Jan is rich and happy.
  - Carlos will bicycle or run tomorrow.



**HENRY MAURICE SHEFFER (1883-1964)** Henry Maurice Sheffer, born to Jewish parents in the western Ukraine, emigrated to the United States in 1892 with his parents and six siblings. He studied at the Boston Latin School before entering Harvard, where he completed his undergraduate degree in 1905, his master's in 1907, and his Ph.D. in philosophy in 1908. After holding a postdoctoral position at Harvard, Henry traveled to Europe on a fellowship. Upon returning to the United States, he became an academic nomad, spending one year each at the University of Washington, Cornell, the University of Minnesota, the University of Missouri, and City College in New York. In 1916 he returned to Harvard as a faculty member in the philosophy department. He remained at Harvard until his retirement in 1952.

Sheffer introduced what is now known as the Sheffer stroke in 1913; it became well known only after its use in the 1925 edition of Whitehead and Russell's *Principia Mathematica*. In this same edition Russell wrote that Sheffer had invented a powerful method that could be used to simplify the *Principia*. Because of this comment, Sheffer was something of a mystery man to logicians, especially because Sheffer, who published little in his career, never published the details of this method, only describing it in mimeographed notes and in a brief published abstract.

Sheffer was a dedicated teacher of mathematical logic. He liked his classes to be small and did not like auditors. When strangers appeared in his classroom, Sheffer would order them to leave, even his colleagues or distinguished guests visiting Harvard. Sheffer was barely five feet tall; he was noted for his wit and vigor, as well as for his nervousness and irritability. Although widely liked, he was quite lonely. He is noted for a quip he spoke at his retirement: "Old professors never die, they just become emeriti." Sheffer is also credited with coining the term "Boolean algebra" (the subject of Chapter 12 of this text). Sheffer was briefly married and lived most of his later life in small rooms at a hotel packed with his logic books and vast files of slips of paper he used to jot down his ideas. Unfortunately, Sheffer suffered from severe depression during the last two decades of his life.