## Introductory Functional Analysis Chapter 1 Exercises

## Top Maths

Last Updated: Friday 12th April, 2024

**1.1.** Prove<sup>1</sup> the reverse triangle inequality: For vectors x and y in any normed linear space,

$$||x - y|| \ge |||x|| - ||y|||.$$

Proof. We have,

$$||x|| = ||x - y + y|| \le ||x - y|| + ||y|| \implies ||x|| - ||y|| \le ||x - y||$$

Note that this argument is reflexive in x and y, we similarly obtain

$$||y|| - ||x|| \le ||x - y||.$$

Putting both together, we get

$$-\|x - y\| \le \|x\| - \|y\| \le \|x - y\|.$$

That is,

$$|||x|| - ||y||| \le ||x - y||$$

as desired.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There is a typo in the book. The reverse triangle inequality is as I stated here.

**1.2.** Show that C[0,1] is a Banach space in the supremum norm. Hint: if  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in C[0,1], then for each fixed  $x \in [0,1]$ ,  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ , which is complete.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in C[0,1]. That means, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n, m \geq N$  we have

$$||f_n - f_m|| = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \varepsilon.$$

We also get that, for  $m, n \ge N$ , for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$|f_n(a) - f_m(a)| \le \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \varepsilon.$$
 (1)

In particular, since  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in C[0,1],  $\{f_n(a)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$  for all  $a \in [0,1]$ . Since  $\mathbb{C}$  is complete,  $\{f_n(a)\}$  converges (because it is Cauchy) for all  $a \in [0,1]$ . For each  $a \in [0,1]$ , define

$$f(a) := \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(a).$$

Considering (1) again, we have by the continuity of  $|\cdot|$ ,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} |f_n(a) - f_m(a)| = |f_n(a) - \lim_{m \to \infty} f_m(a)| = |f_n(a) - f(a)| < \varepsilon.$$

Since this  $\varepsilon$  is independent of our choice of  $a \in [0, 1]$ , it follows that

$$\sup |f_n(a) - f(a)| = ||f_n - f|| < \varepsilon$$

giving that  $f_n \to f$  in C[0,1]. To establish the continuity of f, pick  $a \in [0,1]$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrary. Since each  $f_n$  is continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|x-a| < \delta \implies |f_n(x) - f_n(a)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Also, pick n large enough so that

$$\sup_{p \in [0,1]} |f_n(p) - f(p)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Then,

$$|f(x) - f(a)| = |f(x) - f(a) + f_n(x) - f_n(x) + f_n(a) - f_n(a)|$$

$$= |f(x) - f_n(x) + f_n(x) - f_n(a) + f_n(a) - f(a)|$$

$$\leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + \underbrace{|f_n(x) - f_n(a)|}_{<\varepsilon/3} + |f_n(a) - f(a)|$$

$$\leq ||f - f_n|| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + ||f_n - f||$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.$$

**1.3.** Let  $C^1[0,1]$  be the space of continuous, complex-valued functions on [0,1] with continuous first derivative. Show that with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ ,  $C^1[0,1]$  is not a Banach space, but that in the norm defined by  $\|f\| = \|f\|_{\infty} + \|f'\|_{\infty}$  it does become a Banach space.

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq C^1[0,1]$  be defined by

$$f_n(x) = \sqrt{x + \frac{1}{n}}$$
  $(x \in [0, 1]).$ 

Observe that  $\sqrt{x}$  is uniformly continuous<sup>2</sup> on [0, 1], and so for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any two points  $p_1, p_2 \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$|p_1 - p_2| < \delta \implies |f(p_1) - f(p_2)| < \varepsilon.$$

Take  $p_1 = x + 1/n$  and  $p_2 = x$ , then the uniform continuity condition yields

$$\frac{1}{n} < \delta \implies \left| \sqrt{x + 1/n} - \sqrt{x} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

There exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough so that  $1/n < \delta$ , and so there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough so that

$$\left| \sqrt{x + 1/n} - \sqrt{x} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Since this  $\varepsilon$  comes from the uniform continuity condition, it is independent of the choice of x, as is N, and therefore there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left| \sqrt{x + 1/n} - \sqrt{x} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

While  $\sqrt{x+1/n}$  converges to  $\sqrt{x}$  in the supremum norm, we find that  $\sqrt{x} \notin C^1[0,1]$  because the derivative of  $\sqrt{x}$ :

$$\frac{d}{dx}\sqrt{x} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}$$

is not differentiable at 0, and hence  $(C^1[0,1],\|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is not a Banach space.

We'll consider the new norm  $\|\cdot\|$  as defined above. Suppose  $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(C^1[0,1],\|\cdot\|)$ . And so for all  $\varepsilon>0$ , there exists  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that for  $m,n\geq N$ ,

$$||f_n - f_m|| = ||f_n - f_m||_{\infty} + ||f'_n - f'_m||_{\infty} < \varepsilon.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Continuous functions on compact sets, such as [0, 1] in question here, are always uniformly continuous

It follows immediately that  $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{f'_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  are a Cauchy sequences in C[0,1], and from our previous work we know  $\lim_{n\to\infty}f_n=f$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}f'_n=g$ , where

$$f(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x), \qquad g(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} f'_n(x)$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . In fact, since this convergence is in the sup-norm, it follows<sup>3</sup> that  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  uniformly converges to f and  $\{f'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  uniformly converges to g. But, we now have the conditions acquired<sup>4</sup> to say that

$$g(x) = f'(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f'(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||f_n - f|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||f_n - f||_{\infty} + \lim_{n \to \infty} ||f'_n - f'||_{\infty} = 0.$$

Hence,  $C^1[0,1]$  is complete under this new norm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See *Principles of Mathematical Analysis* (PMA) by Walter Rudin, 3rd Edition, Theorem 7.9 on p. 148 <sup>4</sup>See PMA, Theorem 7.17, p. 152

**1.4.** Show that the space  $\ell^1$  of Example 1.5 is complete.

*Proof.* Writing down the definition of  $\ell^1$  for convenience, we have:

$$\ell^1 = \left\{ \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{C} : \|\{a_n\}\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty \right\}.$$

Let  $\{\{a_m^n\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a notations nightmare, but also let it be a Cauchy sequence in  $\ell^1$ , and so for all  $\varepsilon>0$  there exists  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that for  $k,\ell\geq N$ ,

$$\|\{a_n^\ell\} - \{a_n^k\}\| = \|\{a_n^\ell - a_n^k\}\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^\ell - a_n^k| < \varepsilon.$$

For a fixed  $n^*$ , we have

$$|a_{n^*}^{\ell} - a_{n^*}^{k}| < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^{\ell} - a_n^{k}| < \varepsilon$$

and so, for each fixed  $n^* \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\{a_{n^*}^\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}}$$

is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence defined by

$$a_n = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} a_n^{\ell}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . First, we check that  $\sum |a_n| < \infty$ . We have,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| = \lim_{\ell \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^{\ell}|$$

Is this limit finite? In terms of the reverse triangle inequality for norms, we have,

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^{\ell}| - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^{k}| \right| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^{\ell} - a_n^{k}| < \infty$$

by our Cauchy condition we obtained earlier. This result gives that the sequence of sums

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^{\ell}| \right\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a Cauchy sequence of complex numbers, and therefore converges. Hence,  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\ell^1$ . Now, to prove  $\lim_{\ell\to\infty}\{a_n^\ell\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}=\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , we have

$$\lim_{\ell \to \infty} \| \{a_n^{\ell}\} - \{a_n\} \|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^{\ell} - a_n|$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lim_{\ell \to \infty} a_n^{\ell} - a_n|$$

$$= 0.$$

We have shown  $\ell^1$  is complete, as desired.

**1.5.** Show that a metric space is complete if every Cauchy sequence has a convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* Let (X,d) be a metric space having the property that every Cauchy sequence in X has a convergent subsequence. Given any sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  in X, let  $\{x_{n_j}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a convergent subsequence to  $x\in X$ . Since  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy, there exists  $N_1\in\mathbb{N}$  such that for  $j,m\geq N_1$ ,

$$d(x_m, x_{n_j}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Moreover, by the fact  $\lim_{j\to\infty} x_{n_j} = x$ , there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $j \geq N_2$ ,

$$|x_{n_j} - x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Take  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ , and therefore for  $n, j \geq N$ ,

$$d(x_n, x) \le d(x_n, x_{n_j}) + d(x_{n_j}, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Hence,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=x$  and we conclude that (X,d) is complete.

**1.6.** Assume that you now Minkowski's inequality

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j + b_j|^2\right)^{1/2} \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j|^2\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_j|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

for  $\mathbb{C}^n$  in the Euclidean norm.

(a) Show that for  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  in a weighted sequence space  $\ell^2_\beta$ ,

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_j + b_j|^2 \beta(j)^2\right)^{1/2} \le \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |a_j|^2 \beta(j)^2\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |b_j|^2 \beta(j)^2\right)^{1/2}$$

(b) Verify directly that  $\ell_{\beta}^2$  is complete.

Proof.

(a) For convenience, we recall the definition of  $\ell_{\beta}^2$ . For  $\{\beta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  a sequence of positive numbers with  $\beta(0)=1$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta(n)^{1/n}\geq 1$ , we have  $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\in\ell_{\beta}^2$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \beta(n)^2 < \infty.$$

Suppose that  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\} \in \ell^2_\beta$  and define  $\{a'_n\}, \{\beta'_n\}$  by setting  $a'_j = a_j\beta(j)$ ,  $b'_j = b_j\beta(j)$ . For all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have by the given finite Minkowski inequality over  $\mathbb{C}^m$ :

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |a'_j + b'_j|^2\right)^{1/2} \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |a'_j|^2\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |b'_j|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Replacing  $a'_i, b'_j$  in terms of  $a_j$  and  $b_j$ , we obtain the inequality

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_j + b_j|^2 \beta(j)^2\right)^{1/2} \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_j|^2 \beta(j)^2\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} |b_j|^2 \beta(j)^2\right)^{1/2}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given that  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$  are in  $\ell_{\beta}^2$ , the right hand side converges as  $m \to \infty$ , and therefore does the left hand side. Thus, the inequality is preserved taking limits as  $m \to \infty$ , and we obtain the desired weighted Minkowski inequality.

(b) It follows from (a) that the weighted norm is indeed a norm over  $\ell^2_{\beta}$ . Now, let  $\{\{a^n_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\ell^2_{\beta}$ , and so for all  $\varepsilon>0$  there exists  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  such that for  $k,l\geq N$ ,

$$\|\{a_n^l\} - \{a_n^k\}\| = \|\{a_n^l - a_n^k\}\| = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n^l - a_n^k|^2 \beta(n)^2\right)^{1/2} < \varepsilon.$$

For a fixed  $n^*$ , we have

$$|a_{n^*}^l - a_{n^*}^k|\beta(n^*) \le \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n^l - a_n^k|^2 \beta(n)^2\right)^{1/2} < \infty$$

and it follows that (taking  $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/\beta(n^*)$ ), for each  $n^* \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\{a_{n^*}^l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$$

is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence defined by

$$a_n = \lim_{l \to \infty} a_n^l$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . First, we check that  $\sum |a_n|^2 \beta(n)^2 < \infty$ . We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \beta(n)^2 = \lim_{l \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^l|^2 \beta(n)^2.$$

By the reverse triangle inequality for norms, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n^l|^2 \beta(n)^2 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n^k|^2 \beta(n)^2 \right| \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n^l - a_n^k|^2 \beta(j)^2 < \infty$$

by our Cauchy condition that we obtained earlier. This result gives that the sequence of sums

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n^l|^2 \beta(n) \right\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a Cauchy sequence of complex number, and therefore converges. Hence,  $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in\ell^2_\beta$ . Now, to prove  $\lim_{l\to\infty}\{a_n^l\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}=\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ , see that

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \|\{a_n^l\} - \{a_n\}\| = \lim_{l \to \infty} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n^l - a_n|^2 \beta(n)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$
$$= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\lim_{l \to \infty} a_n^l - a_n|^2 \beta(n)^2 \right)^{1/2}$$
$$= 0.$$

It follows that  $\ell^2_\beta$  is complete.

**1.7.** Let x and y be any two vectors in an inner product space and set  $\lambda = \langle y, y \rangle$ . Show that

$$\lambda[\lambda\langle x, x\rangle - |\langle x, y\rangle|^2] = \langle \lambda x - \langle x, y\rangle y, \lambda x - \langle x, y\rangle y\rangle.$$

Use this to derive the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and to determine when equality holds in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

*Proof.* By direct computation, we have,

$$\begin{split} \langle \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y, \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y \rangle &= \langle \lambda x, \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y \rangle - \langle \langle x, y \rangle y, \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y \rangle \\ &= \lambda \langle x, \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y \rangle - \langle x, y \rangle \langle y, \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y \rangle \\ &= \lambda \overline{\langle \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y, x \rangle} - \langle x, y \rangle \overline{\langle \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y, y \rangle} \\ &= \lambda (\overline{\lambda \langle x, x \rangle} - \overline{\langle x, y \rangle \langle y, x \rangle}) - \langle x, y \rangle (\overline{\lambda \langle x, y \rangle} - \overline{\langle x, y \rangle \langle y, y \rangle}) \\ &= \lambda \overline{\langle \lambda \langle x, x \rangle} - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2) - \langle x, y \rangle (\lambda \langle y, x \rangle - \lambda \langle y, x \rangle) \\ &= \lambda^2 \langle x, x \rangle - \lambda |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 - \lambda |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 + \lambda |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \\ &= \lambda^2 \langle x, x \rangle - \lambda |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \\ &= \lambda [\lambda \langle x, x \rangle - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2]. \end{split}$$

Now, to derive the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality: Suppose  $x, y \neq 0$ , otherwise the inequality holds trivially. Note that by Definition 1.13(2),

$$\begin{split} \langle \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y, \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y \rangle &\geq 0 \implies \lambda [\lambda \langle x, x \rangle - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2] \geq 0 \\ &\implies \lambda \langle x, x \rangle - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \geq 0 \\ &\implies \lambda \langle x, x \rangle \geq |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \\ &\implies \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle \leq |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \end{split}$$

giving the result. Now, if  $|\langle x,y\rangle|^2=\langle x,x\rangle\langle y,y\rangle$ , it follows that by the formula we've derived

$$\langle \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y, \lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y \rangle = 0.$$

By Definition 1.13(2), this implies that  $\lambda x - \langle x, y \rangle y = 0$ , or rather that

$$x = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} y$$

so that x is a scalar multiple of y. So, for equality to hold, x must be a scalar multiple of y. To show that this is sufficient, let x = cy for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then,

$$\langle y, y \rangle \langle x, x \rangle - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 = |c|^2 \langle x, x \rangle^2 - |c|^2 \langle x, x \rangle^2 = 0.$$

## Problem 1.8.

- (a) Show that for a normed linear space X, the map  $x \mapsto ||x||$  of X into  $[0, \infty)$  is continuous. Is it uniformly continuous?
- (b) Show that the mappings  $X \times X \to X$  given by  $(x,y) \mapsto x+y$ , and  $\mathbb{C} \times X \to X$  given by  $(\alpha,) \mapsto \alpha x$  are continuous. The topologies on  $X \times X$  and  $\mathbb{C} \times X$  are the product topologies.
- (c) Suppose that X is an inner product space. Show that the maps  $x \mapsto \langle x, y \rangle$  and  $x \mapsto \langle y, x \rangle$  are continuous on X for each fixed y in X. Are they uniformly continuous?

Proof.

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)