

SAHYADRI

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & MANAGEMENT An Autonomous Institution MANGALURU

SUMMER INTERNSHIP - II

(Innovation-based Internship) Academic Batch 2021-25

Protecting systems and data from internal or external threats

Submitted by

Mahaan N Bhat 4SF21CD015

Department of Computer Science & Engineering(Data Science)

Under the Mentorship of

Ms. Chaitra Aanchan

Mrs. Masooda

Assistant Professor

Assistant Professor

Dept. of CSE, SCEM

Dept. of ISE, SCEM

October 2023

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the SUMMER INTERNSHIP-II has been carried out by Mahaan N Bhat bearing the USN - 4SF21CD015, bonafide student of Department of Computer Science & Engineering (Data Science), Sahyadri College of Engineering & Management, Adyar, Mangaluru, during the Academic Year 2022-23.

The internship report is verified as per the requirements of the Academic Statute and is recommended for the award of the academic Credit for the said course.

__ __ _

Mrs. Masooda

Assistant Professor

Dept. of ISE, SCEM

Dr. Rithesh Pakkala P

Associate Professor & HOD

Dept. of ISE and CSE(DS)

Acknowledgement

It is with great satisfaction and euphoria that I am submitting a report on internship carried out at Sahyadri College of Engineering & Management provided by Center of Excellence Cybersecurity in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the IV Semester of Bachelor of Engineering in Information Science & Engineering. I have completed the project entitled "Protecting systems and data from internal or external threats" during the internship.

I am profoundly indebted to guide **Ms. Chaitra Aanchan**, Associate Professor, Dept. of CSE SCEM. for timely advice, encouragement and I sincerely express my gratitude.

I also thank Mrs. Masooda, Internship Coordinator & Assistant Professor, Department of Information Science & Engineering for their constant encouragement and support extended throughout.

I express our sincere gratitude to **Dr. Rithesh Pakkala P**, Head & Associate Professor, Department of Information Science & Engineering and Computer Science & Engineering (Data Science) for his invaluable support and guidance.

I sincerely thank **Dr. Sidramappa Shivanna Injaganeri**, Principal, Sahyadri College of Engineering & Management, who have always been a great source of inspiration.

Mahaan N Bhat (4SF21CD015)

Table of Contents

1	Intr	oducti	on	1
	1.1	Projec	et Overview	1
		1.1.1	Significance of Vehicle Insurance Fraud Detection $$	1
1.2 Problem Statement			em Statement	2
		1.2.1	Data-Centric Approach	2
		1.2.2	Risk Mitigation through Understanding	2
		1.2.3	Predictive Modeling for Fraud Detection	2
2	Solu	ıtion		3
		2.0.1	Data Preparation	3
		2.0.2	Model Training and Evaluation	3
		2.0.3	Implementing XGBoost Classifier	4
		2.0.4	Interpreting and Analyzing Results	4
		2.0.5	Error Handling and Recommendations	4
3	Key	Data	Insights and Preprocessing Analysis	5
		3.0.1	Dependent Variable	5
		3.0.2	Value Counts	5
		3.0.3	Data Types	5
		3.0.4	Unique Values for Each Attribute	5
		3.0.5	Unique Attributes	6
		3.0.6	Independent Variables	6
		3.0.7	Filter Based on None Values	6
		3.0.8	Outliers - Age	6
		3.0.9	Categorical Data - Conversion	6
		3.0.10	Categorical Total Data	7

4	on and Bivariate Analysis	8			
	4.0.1	Correlation (Corr)	8		
	4.0.2	Bivariate Analysis	8		
	4.0.3	Boxplot	8		
	4.0.4	t-Test	8		
	4.0.5	Crosstab	9		
	4.0.6	Chi-Square Test	9		
5	Data Analysis and Model Performance				
	5.0.1	Chi-Square Analysis and Entropy Calculation	10		
	5.0.2	Model Performance	10		
6	Conclusio	n/Outcome	12		
	6.1 Refere	ences	13		

Introduction

1.1 Project Overview

In recent years, the insurance industry has witnessed a significant rise in fraudulent activities, particularly in the realm of vehicle insurance. Fraudulent claims not only incur substantial financial losses for insurance companies but also disrupt the overall market stability, leading to higher premiums for honest policyholders. As a result, the need for robust fraud detection mechanisms has become paramount to safeguard the integrity of the insurance sector.

The advent of advanced data analysis techniques, coupled with the proliferation of digital technologies, has empowered insurance companies to tackle fraud more effectively. In this context, the focus of this study is on vehicle insurance fraud detection, employing a comprehensive data analysis approach. By leveraging sophisticated analytical tools and machine learning algorithms, insurers can sift through vast datasets to identify patterns, anomalies, and trends indicative of fraudulent activities.

1.1.1 Significance of Vehicle Insurance Fraud Detection

Vehicle insurance fraud comes in various forms, ranging from staged accidents and false injury claims to fictitious policies and identity theft. These fraudulent activities not only lead to financial losses but also pose risks to public safety. Staged accidents, for instance, can result in severe injuries or even fatalities, making it crucial for insurers to identify

and prevent such incidents promptly. Additionally, insurance fraud raises premiums for

1.2 Problem Statement

Development of predictive models for vehicle insurance and fraud detection.

1.2.1 Data-Centric Approach

Utilizing a dataset of vehicle insurance claims allows for a data-driven analysis, enabling a comprehensive examination of the diverse characteristics and factors associated with both legitimate and fraudulent claims.

1.2.2 Risk Mitigation through Understanding

Gaining insights into these attributes is pivotal as it aids in recognizing patterns and behaviors indicative of potential fraudulent activities. This comprehension serves as a foundation to proactively mitigate risks associated with fraudulent claims.

1.2.3 Predictive Modeling for Fraud Detection

Leveraging the knowledge acquired from the dataset facilitates the development of sophisticated predictive models. These models play a pivotal role in identifying potentially fraudulent claims, offering insurance companies a powerful tool for early detection and intervention, ultimately reducing financial losses and maintaining the integrity of the insurance system.

Solution

2.0.1 Data Preparation

- Data Loading and Exploration: Using Pandas to load the dataset and understand its structure and unique values within each column.
- Data Cleaning: Dropping irrelevant columns, handling missing values, and filtering based on specific conditions.
- Balancing Classes: Oversampling the minority class to match the majority class instances.
- Encoding Categorical Variables: Transformation of categorical variables into a numeric format using label encoding.

2.0.2 Model Training and Evaluation

- Splitting the Dataset: Division into training and testing sets for model training and evaluation.
- KNeighborsClassifier: Utilizing the KNeighborsClassifier for predictive modeling, calculating accuracy, AUC score, confusion matrix, and classification report.
- Feature Importance: Evaluating feature importance using entropy, information gain, and other metrics for classification.

2.0.3 Implementing XGBoost Classifier

- XGBoost Training: Training an XGBoost classifier on the dataset.
- Model Evaluation: Calculating accuracy and generating a classification report for the XGBoost model.

2.0.4 Interpreting and Analyzing Results

- Metrics Evaluation: Analyzing accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and other metrics for the trained models.
- Feature Importance Analysis: Utilizing information gain to understand feature importance in the classification process.

2.0.5 Error Handling and Recommendations

- Addressing error handling strategies and recommending further analysis, model enhancement, and continuous monitoring of model performance.
- Suggesting the potential advantages of utilizing XGBoost over simpler models like KNeighborsClassifier.
- Emphasizing the importance of ongoing assessment of model performance considering the problem nature and business requirements.

Key Data Insights and Preprocessing Analysis

3.0.1 Dependent Variable

Sampling the dependent variable of interest in this analysis is 'FraudFound_P,' which represents the presence or absence of fraud in insurance claims. To investigate this variable, we can perform counts and sampling to understand its distribution and characteristics.

3.0.2 Value Counts

Each Variable Value counts have been performed for each attribute in the dataset to gain insights into the frequency of different categories within each attribute. This analysis reveals the distribution of data across attributes.

3.0.3 Data Types

Data types have been examined for all attributes, and it was found that the dataset contains a combination of categorical and numeric data.

3.0.4 Unique Values for Each Attribute

The unique values for each attribute have been analyzed. In this analysis, some attributes contain '0' or 'None' values, such as '0' for 'DayOfWeekClaimed' and 'MonthClaimed,' and 'None' for 'Days_Policy_Accident' and 'Days_Policy_Claim.' Further investigation

is required to understand the nature of these values, and appropriate actions, such as imputation or data cleaning, should be taken as necessary.

3.0.5 Unique Attributes

The dataset comprises various unique attributes, including 'Month,' 'Make,' 'AccidentArea,' 'Sex,' 'MaritalStatus,' 'Age,' 'Fault,' 'PolicyType,' 'VehicleCategory,' 'VehiclePrice,' 'PolicyNumber,' 'RepNumber,' 'Deductible,' 'DriverRating,' 'Days_Policy_Accident,' 'Days_Policy_Claim,' 'PastNumberOfClaims,' 'AgeOfVehicle,' 'AgeOfPolicyHolder,' 'PoliceReportFiled,' 'WitnessPresent,' 'AgentType,' 'NumberOfSuppliments,' 'AddressChange_Claim,' 'NumberOfCars,' 'Year,' and 'BasePolicy.'

3.0.6 Independent Variables

There are a total of 32 independent variables in the dataset, including both categorical and numeric attributes. These variables are used to predict the dependent variable 'FraudFound_P.'

3.0.7 Filter Based on None Values

Attributes with 'none' values – None values such as 'Days_Policy_Accident,' 'PastNumberOfClaims,' and 'NumberOfSuppliments,' may require filtering or special handling during analysis to ensure that these values do not interfere with modeling and analysis.

3.0.8 Outliers - Age

The 'Age' attribute contains numeric data, and potential outliers were observed in the data. It is essential to consider how these outliers might affect the analysis and whether outlier treatment or transformation is needed.

3.0.9 Categorical Data - Conversion

Categorical data transformation may be required for several attributes, including one-hot encoding, label encoding, or other methods, to prepare the data for modeling and analysis. Eg: Month, DayOfWeek, Make, NumberOfCars.

3.0.10 Categorical Total Data

Out of the 32 independent variables, 25 are categorical, requiring specific techniques for handling categorical data, such as encoding, to make them suitable for modeling.

In summary, the analysis of the 'vehicle' dataset revealed several key aspects related to data types, unique values, independent variables, handling of '0' or 'None' values, and categorical data transformation. These insights serve as a foundation for further exploratory data analysis (EDA) and the development of predictive models aimed at addressing the problem statement of identifying fraudulent insurance claims.

Correlation and Bivariate Analysis

4.0.1 Correlation (Corr)

In the provided analysis, correlation is not applicable for categorical variables. Correlation typically measures the strength and direction of the linear relationship between two continuous variables. However, the analysis focuses on categorical variables, which do not have a linear relationship.

4.0.2 Bivariate Analysis

The bivariate analysis involves examining how each categorical independent variable relates to the binary dependent variable 'FraudFound_P.'

4.0.3 Boxplot

Boxplots provide a visual representation of how the distribution of each category within a categorical variable differs for fraud (1) and non-fraud (0) cases. Significant differences in boxplots could indicate a relationship between the categorical variable and fraud occurrence.

4.0.4 t-Test

The t-test assesses whether there is a significant difference in the means of each category within a categorical variable between fraud and non-fraud cases. Non-significant t-tests suggest that means are not significantly different, while significant t-tests indicate a

potential relationship.

4.0.5 Crosstab

Cross tabulations provide counts and percentages of cases for each combination of a categorical variable and 'FraudFound_P.' The chi-square test assesses the association between a categorical variable and the binary 'FraudFound_P.' Significant p-values in chi-square tests indicate a relationship between the categorical variable and fraud occurrence.

4.0.6 Chi-Square Test

Chi-square tests specifically evaluate the association between categorical independent variables and the binary dependent variable 'FraudFound_P.' Significant chi-square test results suggest that a categorical variable is related to the occurrence of fraud.

Data Analysis and Model

Performance

5.0.1 Chi-Square Analysis and Entropy Calculation

- Chi-Square Analysis: Identifying significant relationships between variables and 'FraudFound_P'.
- Entropy and Information Gain: Calculating the importance of categorical variables in predicting fraud.

5.0.2 Model Performance

- K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN): Accuracy: 81.79%
- XGBoost Model:
 - Accuracy: 82.86%
 - Sensitivity (True Positive Rate): 0.96
 - Specificity (True Negative Rate): 0.73
 - Precision: 0.78
- Support Vector Machine (SVM):
 - Accuracy: 73.36%
 - Sensitivity (True Positive Rate): 0.86

- Specificity (True Negative Rate): $0.61\,$
- Precision: 0.69

Conclusion/Outcome

In conclusion, the comprehensive analysis of the vehicle insurance fraud detection dataset provided valuable insights into the characteristics and factors associated with insurance claims and fraud presence. Through extensive data preparation, including class balancing and categorical variable encoding, we effectively prepared the data for modeling. The utilization of machine learning models, such as K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), XGBoost, and Support Vector Machine (SVM), enabled us to predict fraud occurrences with varying degrees of accuracy and precision.

The results demonstrated that the XGBoost model outperformed other models, achieving an accuracy of 82.86% and a high sensitivity of 0.96, indicating its capability to correctly identify most fraudulent claims. Additionally, the chi-square analysis highlighted significant relationships between specific variables and fraud occurrence, providing valuable insights into potential fraud indicators.

However, it's crucial to acknowledge that further refinement and continuous monitoring are necessary to enhance model performance. Recommendations include exploring hyperparameter tuning, experimenting with additional models like Random Forest or Gradient Boosting, and considering business-specific features for a more precise fraud detection system.

This analysis lays the groundwork for an effective fraud detection framework, emphasizing the importance of ongoing evaluation and adaptation to evolving fraud patterns. By leveraging advanced analytics and machine learning techniques, insurance companies can proactively identify fraudulent claims, thereby minimizing financial losses and ensuring a more secure insurance environment for all stakeholders.

6.1 References

- $\bullet \ \, https://www.javatpoint.com/machine-learning-models$
- $\bullet \ \, https://www.analyticsvidhya.com/blog/2021/10/everything-you-need-to-know-about-linear-regression/$