

## An Overview for the paper: Identifying Incorrect Annotations in Multi-Label Classification Data.

The paper discusses the challenge of accurately labeling data in multi-label classification tasks, where each example can belong to multiple classes. Errors in labeling are common due to the complexity of these tasks. The authors propose an enhanced version of the Confident Learning framework to identify mislabeled examples in such datasets. They also introduce a label quality score that prioritizes examples with potential labeling errors. Both methods leverage a trained classifier. Through experiments, they demonstrate that their approach outperforms existing algorithms for detecting label errors. They apply their method to the CelebA image tagging dataset and discover numerous labeling errors.

The paper discusses further the prevalence of label errors in real-world datasets, especially in tasks like structured prediction where annotators make numerous choices per example.

Confident Learning algorithms and label quality scores are proposed methods for detecting mislabeled examples, allowing for systematic error detection and ranking of examples for review.

While label error detection has been extensively studied for tasks like multi-class classification, token classification, and image segmentation, less attention has been given to the specific task of multi-label classification. In multi-label classification, examples can belong to multiple classes or none at all, posing unique challenges for labeling accuracy.

Two approaches are considered for label error detection in multi-label classification datasets: one estimates mislabeled examples, while the other computes a quality score for each example's label. These methods, termed Flagger and Scorer, respectively, help estimate error statistics and prioritize examples for re-examination based on their likelihood of being incorrectly labeled.

The proposed algorithms are flexible and can be applied regardless of the underlying multi-label classifier used. Overall, the contributions of the paper include extending Confident Learning to multi-label tasks, introducing a novel quality score for multi-label classification, and identifying thousands of incorrectly labeled images in the CelebA dataset.

The main two approaches were Confident Learning and Label Quality score:

Confident Learning:

It's an approach initially developed for multi-class classification to identify mislabeled examples in datasets. The paper extends this framework to multi-label classification, where an example can belong to multiple classes simultaneously. The extension

involves adopting a one-vs-rest perspective, analyzing each class as a separate binary classification problem. For each class “K”, binary labels “b” are created to indicate whether class “K” applies to example “i” or not. The probability that  $(b_{\{k\}} = 1)$  is estimated using the output of a trained classifier. Confident Learning is then applied independently to each binary classification task to identify examples where the binary label  $(b_{\{k\}})$  is likely incorrect. Finally, the union of flagged examples across all classes is considered as the subset of the dataset likely to contain label errors.

This method leverages the strength of Confident Learning in handling label noise and relies on the accuracy of binary probability estimates to flag mislabeled examples effectively.

#### Label Quality Score:

This approach focuses on evaluating the confidence in the correctness of each example's labels, useful for prioritizing examples for label verification under limited resources. It operates under the same one-vs-rest perspective, generating a label quality score for each binary label. The paper proposes calculating individual label quality scores based on the classifier's estimated likelihood of the correct label and pooling these scores into a single score for each example. Various pooling methods are explored, with an emphasis on the Exponential Moving Average (EMA) method, which combines the scores while giving more weight to the most suspect class annotations. This score aims to achieve high precision and recall in identifying examples with any label errors and prioritize severely mislabeled examples.

#### The Sequence of methods applied on the dataset:

##### 1-Neural Network Training:

They trained a neural network for multi-label classification. This involves using a pretrained network called EfficientNet, which they fine-tuned for their specific task. Fine-tuning means they adjusted the pretrained network with their own dataset to make it perform better for their specific classification task.

##### 2-Independent Sigmoid Activation:

For the output layer of the network, they used independent sigmoid activations instead of a softmax activation. This allows each tag to be treated independently, meaning the presence of one tag doesn't affect the probability of another.

##### 3-Binary Cross-Entropy Loss Optimization:

They optimized the network using a loss function called binary cross-entropy. This helps the model learn by adjusting itself to reduce the difference between the predicted and actual labels.

#### 4-Adam Optimization:

They used an optimization algorithm called Adam to adjust the network's parameters (weights) during training, aiming to minimize the error in predictions.

#### 5- Four-Fold Cross-Validation:

To validate their model, they used 4-fold cross-validation. This technique divides the dataset into four parts, trains the model on three parts, and tests it on the fourth, repeating this process four times with different parts used for testing each time.

#### 6- Confident Learning:

After training, they applied a method called Confident Learning to identify and correct label errors in the dataset. This method helps to find mislabeled examples by analyzing the model's predictions.

#### 7- EMA Label Quality Score:

They used an EMA (Exponential Moving Average) label quality score to rank images based on the likelihood of label errors. This score helped them identify which images were most likely mislabeled and required further inspection.

#### Results:

The approaches have been applied on a part of the CelebA dataset, which has pictures of celebrities labeled with certain features like whether they are wearing a hat or smiling.

The methods helped discovering that there were quite a few mistakes in the labels, like some pictures missing tags they should have or having extra tags they shouldn't.

To understand how efficient the methods were, they looked closely at 100 pictures and found that 15 were labeled wrong. This suggests that out of the whole dataset of 188,000 images, about 30,000 might have mistakes in their labels. When the methods were used to check the most likely mislabeled images, it was found that the methods were 4.5 times better at finding these mistakes than if they just picked random images to check.

Code to run the method:

<https://github.com/cleanlab/cleanlab>