# Ch. 9. Electronic structure of molecules

N nature molecules can be found in a myriad of different shapes. Some like carbon dioxide are one-dimensional or linear like methane have a shape that expands in the three directions of space—they have a three-dimensional shape. The shape of molecules results from the chemical bonds connecting atoms in a molecule and from the existence of lone pairs of electrons. This chapter covers the analysis of the molecular shape and gains insight into advanced models of the chemical bond. After studying the ideas in this chapter you will be able to draw the connections between the atoms of a molecule and draft Lewis structures used as graphical representations of the molecular bonds. At the end of the chapter, we will address the idea of molecular polarity, which results from the distribution of charge in a molecule. Polarity will understand the reasons why you use soap to get rid of oil while doing dished.

# 9.1 Electron-dot structures of atoms & molecules

Protons, neutrons, and electrons make the atoms. Electrons—in particular valence electrons—are responsible for the main chemical properties of an atom. These electrons are loosely bound and can be exchanged easily with other atoms, in contrast to the strongly-tied core electrons. The electron-dot structure of an atom or a molecule—also called Lewis structures—is a visual representation of the electronic arrangement of the valence electrons. Atoms in a molecule will tend to be surrounded by eight electrons so that their electron configuration resembles a noble gas. This arrangement is known as the octet rule. This rule is responsible for the common negative charge of F, and the positive charge of Na: F ( $[He]2s^22p^5$ ) can easily receive an extra electron producing ionic F<sup>-</sup> ( $[He]2s^22p^6$ =[Ne]), and atomic Na ( $[Ne]3s^1$ ) can lose an electron producing ionic Na<sup>+</sup> ( $[He]2s^22p^6$  = [Ne]). There are a few exceptions. A remarkable one is the case of the hydrogen atom that follows the duet rule.

Valence electrons of atoms, and molecules The electrons of an atom are divided into core electrons and valence electrons. The valence electrons of an atom are involved in chemical bonds as they are less bonded to the nucleus. The number of valence electrons of an atom corresponds to the group number. For example, hydrogen H belongs to the group IA, and hence it has one valence electron. Similarly, oxygen O belongs to the group VIA, having six valence electrons. Similarly, we can count the number of valence electrons of a molecule by adding the valence electrons of the atoms that make the molecule. For example, water (H<sub>2</sub>O) has eight valence electrons as each oxygen has one valence electron and oxygen has six. The number of pairs of electrons is just the overall number of valence electrons divided by two. For example, water has



eight valence electrons that correspond to 4 pairs of electrons.

#### Sample Problem 3

Indicate the number of valence electrons for the following atoms: N, O, C and S, and the number of pairs of electrons of the following molecules:  $NH_3$ , and  $CO_2$ .

#### **SOLUTION**

Nitrogen is in group VA and hence it has five valence electrons (5e<sup>-</sup>). Oxygen belongs to the group VIA and C belong to IVA, hence they have wiz and four valence electrons, respectively. For the molecules, we have that ammonia has 8 electrons (nitrogen has five valence electrons and each hydrogen has one electron) that correspond to four pairs, whereas carbon dioxide has 16 electrons (carbon has four electrons and each oxygen has six) and eight pairs.

#### **STUDY CHECK**

Indicate the number of valence electrons for the following atoms: Cl and B.

The octet rule Atoms exchange electrons when they combine to form molecules. This electron exchange is the driving force that drives the formation of molecules from single atoms. The octet rule states that each atom in a stable molecule should be surrounded by eight (octet) electrons achieving noble gas electron configurations. There are two important exceptions to this rule as H is surrounded only by two electrons (this is called the duet rule), and B by six. This rule comes from the experimental observation of numerous molecules.

Electron-dot structure of an atom The electron-dot structure of an atom is a visual representation of the arrangement of the valence electrons of the atom. To write the electron-dot structure of an atom, you just need to write down the symbol of the atom surrounded by the valence electrons located in the four directions of the space: top, bottom, right, and left. To place the electrons, you start in any of the directions and fill one electron at a time. For example, for the case of three electrons, we would have: •B• . After all four directions have been filled, you need to start pairing the electrons. For example, for the case of five electrons, we would have: •P: . Another example, oxygen has six valence electrons and hence, the electron-dot structure would be .Ö: Similarly, the electron-dot structure of fluorine would be :F: . For ions, you need to add (if its an anion) or subtract (if its a cation) valence electrons, and for example the electron-dot structure of the oxide anion  $O^{2-}$  is  $\vdots \ddot{O} : {}^{2-}$ . The electron-dot structure of atoms is useful to predict-or make sense-of the atomic valence. Mind that the number of valence electrons of an atom is not the same as the valence of the atom. The valence of an atom is a number used to combine with other atoms forming compounds. For example, the electron-dot structure of nitrogen is ·N: and this atom needs to gain three electrons to reach the noble gas configuration with eight electrons: N:3- hence its valence is -3.

#### Sample Problem

Write down the electron-dot structure for the following atoms: N, C and Cl<sup>-</sup>. **SOLUTION** 

N has five valence electrons, whereas C has four. Hence the electron-dot for both will be:  $\dot{N}$  and  $\dot{C}$ . Cl<sup>-</sup> has eight valence electrons, that is seven plus




one, and hence its electron-dot structure will be : Cl: -.

#### **STUDY CHECK**

Write down the electron-dot structure for N<sup>3</sup>-

An introduction to electron-dot structures Below, you will find some examples of electron-dot structures. Mind that the lines represent pairs of electrons hence below there are two equivalent representations for the hydrogen molecule. In these structures, you will find two different types of lines. Some pairs of electrons connect atoms. We call these types of pairs bonds. Other pairs lay on atoms. We call these lone pairs. Each atom can have a different number of lone pairs. For example, in the Lewis structures below carbon has one lone pair whereas iodide has three pairs. Bonds can be simple or multiple, double or triple. Finally, some molecules are charged and the charge is normally indicated on the top right side of the representation.



structures—or Lewis structures—of diatomic molecules are the most simple electron-dot structures of molecules that you will see. To obtain these structures, you need to follow the next steps. The first step is (1) to set up the atoms in the molecule in the form of a line. After that, (2) you need to count the total number of valence electrons in the molecule by adding the valence electrons of each atom (remember the number of valence electrons corresponds to the group number in the A notation). Then (3) compute the pairs of electrons represented by lines—the total number of valence electrons divided by two. Finally, (4) you need to start distributing the electron pairs

Electron-dot structure of diatomic molecules Electron-dot

# and then placing the remaining pairs surrounding the atoms. Following the octet rule, each atom except for H and B should be surrounded by four pairs, counting as pairs the bonds and lone pairs.

in the molecule in a very specific way, first connecting the atoms among themselves,

#### Sample Problem 7

Construct the electron-dot structure of HCl.

## SOLUTION

We first arrange the atoms in the molecule as indicated below and then we count the number of valence electrons: H(1) and Cl(7) that gives a total of eight electrons. We have four pairs of electrons.

Now we distribute the pair on each atoms knowing that each atom has to have four pairs with the exception of hydrogen that can only be surrounded by one pair. We can use lines instead of pairs

$$H: \overline{C}l: \text{ or } H - \overline{C}l$$

#### STUDY CHECK

Construct the electron-dot structure of HF.



Number of bonds and atomic nature The number of covalent bonds that a nonmetal form is related to the number of electrons needed to complete the octet. For example, Hydrogen (1s<sup>2</sup>) tends to form one bond to make compounds, whereas Nitrogen ([He]2s<sup>2</sup>2p<sup>3</sup>) forms three bonds. For example, in the NH<sub>3</sub> molecule, each H forms one bond whereas N forms three bonds.

Table 10.1 Relation between the number of bonds and the atomic nature					
1A	3A	4A	5A	6A	7A
Н					
1 bond					
	В	С	N	O	F
	3 bonds	4 bonds	3 bonds	2 bonds	1 bonds
		Si	P	S	Cl, Br, I
		4 bonds	3 bonds	2 bonds	1 bond

Electron-dot structure of general molecules Now we will address how to build up electron-dot structures of more complex molecules given that one of the atoms is the central atom and the others are connected to this central atom. The first step is (1) to arrange the atoms in the molecule, in the form of a central atom and the remaining atoms around it; the central atom is the one with a lower index in the molecule (e.g. in H<sub>2</sub>O is O or in NH<sub>3</sub> is N). After that, (2) you need to count the total number of valence electrons in the molecule, dividing this number by two to obtain the number of pairs of electrons represented by lines. In the following (3) you need to connect the surrounding atoms to the central atom with electron pairs, and then (4) place electron pairs on top of the surrounding atoms, always placing a maximum of four atoms. Finally (5) place the remaining pairs in the central atom. Overall, each atom should be surrounded by four pairs (this is the octet rule) except H and B which should be surrounded by one and three pairs respectively. When drawing Lewis structures it is not important the atom arrangement (if the molecule looks like a line, a triangle or so) as long as the connectivity (which atom goes in the center and the surroundings) is correct.

#### Sample Problem 4

Construct the electron-dot structure of H<sub>2</sub>O indicating the number of bonds and lone pairs.

#### **SOLUTION**

- 1 Step one: we first arrange the atoms in the molecule as H O H. The central atom is O as oxygen has the lower index in the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule—the index for O is one and the index for H is two.
- 2 Step two: now we count the total number of valence electrons, including all atoms: 2xH(1) and O(6) that gives a total of eight electrons.
- 3 **Step three:** let us count the pairs of electrons; we have eight electrons and that is four pairs.
- 4 Step four: now we distribute the pair on each atoms knowing that each atom has to have four pairs with the exception of hydrogen that can only



be surrounded by one pair. H: $\Dot{Q}$ :H: and using lines instead of pairs (this is not necessary but makes the electron-dot structure look better) we obtain  $H-\Dot{Q}-H$ . The molecule has two bonds, each one connecting and H to the oxygen atom and two lone pairs located on the oxygen atom.

## **STUDY CHECK**

Construct the electron-dot structure of NH<sub>3</sub> indicating the number of bonds and lone pairs.

Multiple bonds Often you are going to encounter electron-dot structures like the ones below

$$: N \equiv N:$$
 and  $: O = O:$ 

in which the atoms are connected through multiple bonds, double or triple bonds. Multiple bonds are formed while constructing electron-dot structures to impose the octet rule. Look for example the lewis structure for the HCN molecule below

$$H-C-\ddot{N}$$
:

In this structure, carbon does not follow the octet rule. We can enforce the octet rule by moving lone pairs from the atoms into the bond forming the structure below

$$H-C \equiv N$$
:

In this structure both carbon and nitrogen follow the octet rule. Hence, we need to add one more step to the Lewis structure construction scheme: convert lone pairs of electrons into bonds to enforce the octet rule.

#### Sample Problem 5

Construct the electron-dot structure of O<sub>2</sub>.

#### **SOLUTION**

1 Step one: We first arrange the atoms in the molecule as

ОО

Now we count the total number of valence electrons, including all atoms: 2xO(6) that gives a total of twelve electrons. Let us count the pairs of electrons; we have twelve electrons and that is six pairs. Then we distribute the pairs, fist connecting the atoms O-O (we have five extra pairs to distribute at this point), and we place the remaining pairs on top of the oxygen atoms

$$|\overline{O} - O\rangle$$

The right oxygen do not follow the octet rule. In order to enforce the octet rule we move lone pairs into the bond

$$\langle 0=0 \rangle$$

### **STUDY CHECK**

Construct the electron-dot structure of CO<sub>2</sub>.



Atomic charges in a molecule The electron-dot structure of a molecule results from counting the overall number of valence electrons of the molecule given that each atom brings a different number of valence electrons  $(n_e^{free})$ . For example, two H atoms bring one electron each, whereas O brings two electrons, giving a total of six valence electrons. When arranging the electron pairs in the molecule, the number of electrons surrounding an atom is called the valence of this atom in the molecule  $(n_e^{bonded})$ . We calculate the number of valence electrons of an atom in a molecule by accounting for the number of lone pairs on this atom and half the number of bonds:

$$n_e^{bonded}$$
 = number of lone pairs + 1/2number of bonds

It is important to note that the valence of a free atom and the valence of this atom in a molecule is not necessarily the same. Indeed the difference between the valence electron of a free atom and the same atom in a molecule is the effective charge of that atom in the molecule, Q:

$$Q_{eff} = \mathbf{n}_e^{free} - \mathbf{n}_e^{bonded}$$

When the valence of an atom in a molecule is larger than the valence of the free atoms we have negative effective charges. In the example below

$$H \xrightarrow{\cdots} N \xrightarrow{\cdots} H$$

the number of electrons surrounding nitrogen is six electrons, more than the number of electrons originally brought to the molecule (five). We conclude that the atoms have a negative charge, and the effective atomic charge of nitrogen is Q=-1. In the next example,

$$\begin{array}{c} H \\ \downarrow \\ H \\ \downarrow \\ H \end{array}$$

the central atom, nitrogen, still has five valence electrons. After counting the electrons surrounding nitrogen, this time we find that this atom is surrounded by four electrons, less than the number of electrons originally brought to the molecule. We can conclude that nitrogen has a positive charge. In particular, the effective atomic charge of nitrogen in this molecule is the number of valence electrons minus the number of surrounding electrons. In this case, the atomic charge is Q=+1. When the valence of an atom in a molecule is the same as the valence of the free atoms we have zero effective charges. In this last example

$$H \xrightarrow{N} H$$

the central atom, nitrogen, has five valence electrons. After counting the electrons surrounding nitrogen–remember in a bond each atom shared an electron and hence each line around an atom counts as one electron–we find that this atom is surrounded by five electrons. As the number of valence electrons brought to the molecule is the same as the number of electrons surrounding the atom, we say the atomic charge of this atom is zero (Q=0). Hence, the atom is neutral. In all the molecules above, hydrogen remains neutral and hence the atomic charge of nitrogen corresponds to the molecular charge of the molecule. We can hence summarize the three scenarios indicated, as we have a neutral molecule in the center, a positive molecule on the right, and a negative molecule on the left.

$$\begin{bmatrix} H - \ddot{N} - H \end{bmatrix} - H - \ddot{N} - H - H - H + H$$

The atomic charge of an isolated atom can be well-defined. However, the atomic charge of an atom in a molecule is arbitrarily defined, and more than one definition exists. Formal charges are one of the possible definitions of atomic charges in a molecule, whereas redox numbers are an alternative definition of atomic charges in molecules. None of these definitions is exactly correct. For example, redox numbers tend to overestimate the atomic charges, as they assume that all shared electrons in a bond belong to the most electronegative atom. Normally, negative formal charges tend to reside on electronegative atoms and not on electropositive atoms. At the same time, the sum of all effective charges needs to give the overall charge of the species. Furthermore, atoms in molecules tend to achieve formal charges as close to zero as possible. One can use formal charges to assess the validity of a Lewis structure. When comparing a series of equivalent Lewis structures for a molecule, the structures that best describe the bonding in the molecule tend to be those with small effective charges located on electronegative atoms.

#### Sample Problem 6

Indicate the atomic charges of the blue highlighted atom

$$H - \overline{C} - H$$
 $H$ 

#### **SOLUTION**

The carbon atoms brings four electrons and in the molecule it is surrounded by eight electrons, five of which belongs to it. Hence the charge of C is -1; this means that carbon has one extra electron. Each hydrogen brings one electron and in the molecule each hydrogen has one electron (they share two electrons with C, one for C and one for H). The final lewis structure with the local charge of carbon can be indicated as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H} - \overline{\mathbf{C}} - \mathbf{H} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{bmatrix}^{-}$$

#### **STUDY CHECK**

Indicate the atomic charges of all atoms in the Lewis structure below

$$|\overline{Q} - O\rangle$$

Resonant structures Let us analyze the Lewis structure of the sulfate ion, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-2</sup>. The goal is to analyze the Lewis structure to gain insight into the bonding state of the molecule, with an emphasis on the S-O bond length. The total number of electrons in the ion is 32, which corresponds to 16 pairs. We will first set up the atomic structure of the ion, using sulfur as a central atom, and connect the central atom to the surrounding oxygens using four pairs of electrons. Still, we have pairs of electrons that will be localized as lone pairs on the oxygen atoms given the structure below:

Section 9.1 • Electron-dot st
$$\begin{bmatrix}
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
0 & S & 0
\end{bmatrix}^{2}$$

The structure above is a valid Lewis structure. However, it does not accurately represent the bonding of the sulfate ion, as the formal charges are very high: the formal charge of S is +2 and the formal charge of each O is -1, giving the overall ionic charge of -2. All oxygen atoms and sulfur follow the octet rule. Still, the charge on sulfur can be minimized by moving two lone pairs into a bond. There are several ways to do this movement. Indeed there is no reason to choose a particular oxygen atom to generate a double bond. In particular, one can think of six equivalent but yet different Lewis structures listed below:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 2 \ominus & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 2 \ominus & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 2 \ominus & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 2 \ominus & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 2 \ominus & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2-} \longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 \ominus & 0$$

Often there are several equivalent lewis structures for the same molecule. These structures do not differ in the atomic connections but in the electron distribution. For these situations, we say there is resonance between the different structures. Resonance exists on the sulfate ion, hence the bonds are neither single nor double, all S-O bonds are equivalent, with equivalent lengths. The arrows above indicate that all structures are equivalent, and it does not represent any type of equilibrium. The concept of resonance is in contrast with the assumption of the Lewis structures which are based on a localized bond model: molecules contain localized bonds and lone pairs. However, this model is less than perfect and goes against the quantum nature of the electron in which electrons exist in a delocalized state. The existence of resonance has other strong consequences. Molecules with numerous resonant structures are stabilized by resonance. This means they are more stable than equivalent molecules without resonance. For example, benzene—a molecule with 15 pairs of electrons—is a very stable molecule with two resonant structures which stabilize its structure.

Exceptions to the octet rule The octet rule assumes that all atoms are surrounded by eight pairs of electrons (either lone pairs or bonds) as atoms tend to achieve a stable noble gas configuration. However, not all atoms follow the octet rule. Is fair to say that most of the second-period atoms, C, N, O, and F follow the octet rule. Hydrogen does not follow the octet rule. It follows the duet rule of being surrounded by a single pair of electrons. Similarly, Boron does not follow the octet rule and tends to



form a maximum of three bonds. The Lewis structure of a boron compound is presented below:

$$\begin{array}{c} H \\ B - H \\ H \end{array} \qquad |\overline{\underline{C}}l| - P \\ |\underline{C}l| \overline{\underline{C}}l| \\ \end{array}$$

Boron and hydrogen have a reduced octet, with less than eight electrons. At the same time, atoms belonging to the third period (e.g. S, P) do not tend to follow the octet rule. They tend to have an expanded octet, surrounded by more than eight electrons. This is because the model behind the octet rule, the localized electron model, assumes that all atoms use their s and p orbitals to create bonds. However, atoms in the third period can also use the d orbitals with can fit extra pairs of electrons. The Lewis structure of a phosphorous compound is presented above. When dealing with expanded octets it is safe to assume that the central atom locates the expanded octet and hence the extra pairs of electrons.

Obtain the Lewis structure of SCl<sub>6</sub>.

#### **SOLUTION**

We have that in the sulfur hexachloride molecule, sulfur is connected to six chlorine atoms. Hence, in this molecule, sulfur has an expanded octet. Let us first count the number of electrons and pairs of electrons. The valence of sulfur is six and the valence of chlorine is seven. Overall we have 48 electrons and 24 pairs. The Lewis structure of this molecule will be:

$$|\underline{\overline{Cl}}| |\overline{\overline{Cl}}| |\overline{Cl}| \\ |\underline{Cl}| |\underline{\overline{Cl}}| |\overline{Cl}|$$

## **STUDY CHECK**

Obtain the Lewis structure of I<sub>3</sub>-

Molecules with odd number of electrons Lewis structures are based on localized electron models that assume that molecules are made of atoms connected through pairs of electrons. Some molecules have an odd number of molecules and for those, the localized electron model does not work. An example is nitrogen monoxide NO which has eleven electrons. Nitrogen monoxide forms as a result of the combustion of gasoline in car engines. The localized electron model does not handle well molecules with an odd number of electrons and more advanced models would be required to describe the bonding state of these molecules.

Steps to obtain Lewis structures The following steps can be used to obtain the Lewis structure of a general molecule:



- 1 Step one: Arrange the atoms in the molecule, in the form of a central atom and the surrounding atoms
- 2 Step two: Obtain the number of pairs of valence electrons by dividing the total number of valence electrons of the molecule by two
- 3 Step three: Connect the surrounding atoms to the central atom with electron pairs
- 4 Step four: Place electron pairs on top of the surrounding atoms, always placing a maximum of four atoms
- 5 Step five: Place the remaining pairs in the central atom.
- 6 Step six: Convert lone pairs of electrons into bonds to enforce the octet rule
- **7 Step seven:** For extended octets place the extra electrons on the central atom
- 8 **Step seight:** When numerous equivalent Lewis structures exist, the best structures would have low formal charges, with negative charges located on electronegative atoms


# 9.2 Molecular shape

Molecules consist of arrangements of atoms presented in different forms. Let us use as an example the  $H_2O$  molecule, which contains two hydrogen atoms and one oxygen. Knowing that both hydrogens are connected to oxygen through a covalent bond, one can envision several molecular geometries such as a linear geometry or maybe a v-shaped geometry with oxygen at the point. The geometry of a molecule determines its properties, and small geometrical changes can have severe consequences on the functioning of molecules. For example, at high temperatures, when proteins in the body denaturalize losing their unique structure they also lose their functionality. The goal of this section is to identify the approximate shape of a given molecule.

The VSEP model The VSEPR model, also known as the valence shell electron-pair repulsion model, is a model that predicts the geometries of molecules made of nonmetals. This model predicts the atomic arrangement of the molecules with an emphasis on the shape of the arrangement. However, it is not a very accurate model to predict geometries and there are better methods to obtain molecular geometries. The model predicts, for example, that water molecules have a v-shaped geometry and not a linear geometry while giving an estimate of the angle between the two O-H bonds. Still, VSEPR is not accurate enough to predict the O-H bond length and more advanced methods should be used for this purpose. The VSEPS model is based on the premise that the structure around a given atom results from minimizing the electron-pair repulsion. This way, the bonding and nonbonding pairs of electrons around a central atom are differently accounted for. Let us analyze a few cases in which the central atom only has bonding pairs of electrons. For example, the BeH<sub>2</sub> molecule has two bonding pairs around Be and the arrangement that maximizes the distance between both pairs hence minimizing repulsion is a linear arrangement. Hence, the BeH<sub>2</sub> molecule is linear with a 180° angle between both Be-H bonds. Another example would be the BH<sub>3</sub> molecules, a molecule with three bonding pairs. The geometry that maximizes the distance between the three pairs hence minimizing repulsion is a trigonal planar structure in which the three bonding pairs are in the same plane with an angle of 120° between the three bonds. A final example would be the methane molecule (CH<sub>4</sub>), a molecule with four bonding



pairs. A tetrahedral arrangement with 109.5° between the C-H bonds is the most stable arrangement for this case.



For the case of five bonds, the geometrical arrangement that minimizes the electron-pair interaction is a trigonal bipyramidal arrangement consisting of two pyramidal arrangements sharing a common base. The PH<sub>5</sub> molecule presents this arrangement. In this arrangement, there are two different bond angles: 90° between the vertical and in-plane and 120° for the in-plane bonds. Finally, the octahedral structure minimizes the pair repulsion in the case of six bonds and for example, the SH<sub>6</sub> molecule has an octahedral arrangement. In this arrangement, all bonds have a 90° angle. All atomic arrangements discussed above are presented in the diagram below.


ABE Molecular code We will use the ABE code to identify the molecular geometry of more complex molecules, with bonds and lone pairs. This code is based on the Lewis structure of the molecule, with B refers to the number of atoms connected to the central atom in the molecule (number of bonded atoms), and E is the number of lone pairs on the central atom. The overall number of bonded atoms and lone pairs is called the number of electron groups. Corresponding geometry for different ABE codes is tabulated. For example, an AB<sub>2</sub> molecule will be linear, whereas an AB<sub>2</sub>E<sub>2</sub> is bent. The electron-dot structure of water and ammonia are:

$$H - \overline{\underline{O}} - H$$
 and  $H - \overline{N} - H$   $H$ 

Water has two bonds with the central atom and hence two Bs and two lone pairs on top of the central atom and hence two Es. The ABE code of water is AB<sub>2</sub>E<sub>2</sub> and its geometry is bent. The ABE code of ammonia is AB<sub>3</sub>E, as the molecule has three atoms connected to the central nitrogen and N has a single lone pair. Its geometry would be trigonal pyramidal. Angles between the different bonds for the different atomic arrangements are also tabulated. For example, the angle between the two H-O bonds of water would be 104.5°, whereas the angle between two of the N-H bonds of ammonia would be 107°. The overall number of bonding and lone pairs is referred to as the number of electron regions and the molecular geometry of the molecule is not necessarily the geometry of the electron regions. For example, the molecule methane has four bonds and a tetrahedral geometry. Ammonia has two bonds and two lone pairs. The geometry of the electron regions is also tetrahedral with three bonds pointing toward the lower part of the tetrahedron and the lone pair pointing toward the upper part. At the same time, the molecular geometry of ammonia is trigonal pyramidal. For the case of water, we have that again the geometry of the four electron regions is tetrahedral whereas the molecular geometry is bent. We can also conclude that lone pairs require more room than bonding pairs and this has an impact on the molecular angles. For example, the



angle between two bonds in a tetrahedron is  $109.5^{\circ}$  being this value is the same as the molecule angles of methane. Differently, the molecular angles of ammonia–a molecule with one lone pair–are  $107^{\circ}$ , and the molecular angle of water–a molecule with two lone pairs–is  $104.5^{\circ}$ . These results indicate that as the number of lone pairs increases the bonding pairs are more squeezed together.



#### Sample Problem 8

Identify the geometry of the following molecules: BF<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>.

#### SOLUTION

We need first the electron-dot structure of both molecules. For BF<sub>3</sub>  $|\overline{F}|$  B  $-\overline{F}|$  The code of this molecule is AB<sub>3</sub> and hence its geometry would be trigonal planar. The correct way to draw the molecule respecting its geometry would be:  $B-\overline{F}|$ . The electron-dot structure for sulfur dioxide–remember this is |F| covalent molecule–is  $|Q=\overline{S}=Q|$  and its class is AB<sub>2</sub>E. Hence the molecular geometry is linear.

#### **STUDY CHECK**

Identify and draw the geometry of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>).

Complex molecules and multiple bonds To identify the ABE code we need to identify first the central and peripheral atoms. For some more complex molecules, there might not seem to be a central atom. An example of this case can be found in acetic acid.

$$\begin{array}{c} H & \bigcirc \\ H - \overset{\Gamma}{C} - \overset{\Gamma}{C} - \overset{\overline{O}}{\overline{O}} - H \end{array}$$

In the molecule we have a carbon atom connected to three hydrogens and to another carbon which at the same time is connected to two oxygens, one of these is also connected to the final hydrogen. For this case, we just need to identify different geometry centers, that is, atoms that are central to the other connections and which are connected to at least two atoms. For this molecule, we have three central atoms. For each central atom, there will be a molecular arrangement. For example, the ABE code for the left carbon is AB<sub>4</sub> whereas for the right carbon is AB<sub>3</sub>. The geometry for the left-center would be tetrahedral, whereas for the right center would be planar trigonal. The right oxygen is also a central atom connected to carbon and hydrogen. The ABE code for this center is AB<sub>2</sub>E<sub>2</sub> and the geometry is bent. Furthermore, in the ABE code double bonds count as a single bonding pair. For example, the Lewis structure

of carbon dioxide is:  $\bigcirc C = C = \bigcirc$ . The ABE code for carbon dioxide would be AB<sub>2</sub> and its geometry would be linear with a 180° angle between the two C=O bonds. In the case of resonance, with several Lewis structures contributing to the chemical bond, any structure would predict the VSEPR geometry. Finally, it is important to stress that the VSPER model is just a simple model and we should be careful when predicting quantitative information. For example, we have that both molecules ammonia and phosphine, NH<sub>3</sub> and PH<sub>3</sub>, both with code AB<sub>3</sub>E have a trigonal pyramidal geometry. However, whereas the experimental bond angle of ammonia is  $107^{\circ}$ –close to the value predicted by the model–the bond angle for phosphine is  $94^{\circ}$ –very different than the angle predicted by the VSEPR model. Still, this model is good enough to predict general molecular structures.

Table 10.2	2 Molecular geometries						
Electron groups (AEs)	Electron-group geometry	Bonded atoms (Bs)	Lone pairs (Es)	ABE Code	Molecular shape	Bond Angle	3D model
2	Linear	2	0	AB <sub>2</sub>	Linear	180°	
3	Trigonal Planar	3	0	$AB_3$	Trigonal Planar	120°	3
3	Trigonal Planar	2	1	AB <sub>2</sub> E	Bent	120°	<b>~</b>
4	Tetrahedral	4	0	$AB_4$	Tetrahedral	109°	<b>,</b>
4	Tetrahedral	3	1	AB <sub>3</sub> E	Trigonal pyramidal	109°	<b>*</b>
4	Tetrahedral	2	2	$AB_2E_2$	Bent	109°	-
5	trigonal bipyramidal	5	0	$AB_5$	trigonal bipyramidal	90°, 120°,180°	<b>-</b>
6	octahedral	6	0	$AB_6$	octahedral	90°, 180°,180°	مالي
5	trigonal bipyramidal	4	1	AB <sub>4</sub> E	see-saw	180°,120°, 90°	
5	trigonal bipyramidal	3	2	$AB_3E_2$	T-shaped	90°, 180°	
5	trigonal bipyramidal	2	3	$AB_2E_3$	Linear	180°	
6	octahedral	5	1	AB <sub>5</sub> E	square pyramidal	90°	
6	octahedral	4	2	$AB_4E_2$	square planar	90°, 180°	



molecular geometry using the VSEPR model:

- 1 Step one: Identify the central and the peripheral atoms.
- 2 Step two: Obtain the Lewis structure of the molecule
- 3 Step three:Obtain the ABE code with B being the number of peripheral atoms and E being the number of lone pairs. A represents the central atom. Multiple bonds (double, triple) count as a single B.
- 4 Step four: Use Table 10.2 to obtain the molecular geometry

# 9.3 Polarity of molecules

This section deals with bond and molecule polarity. A chemical bond will be polar or nonpolar depending on the tendency of the atoms in a bond to attract the electrons in the bond. Polar bonds result in the existence of a permanent dipole moment that makes a molecule polar. Polar molecules can interact with polar molecules and mix.







Figure 10.3 Electronegativity across the periodic table

Bond polarity Differences in electronegativity can be used to classify a chemical bond as covalent or ionic. On one hand, when the electronegativity difference ( $\Delta$ EN) of the atoms in the bond is less than 0.4 we will say the bond is nonpolar covalent. Examples are the H-H ( $\Delta$ EN=0) or C-H ( $\Delta$ EN=0.2) bonds. In these cases, the electrons are shared equally in the bond leading to a lack of charge distribution. On the other hand, a bond is *polar covalent* when the atoms bonded are different or have an electronegativity difference between 0.4 and 1.8. Examples are H-Br ( $\Delta$ EN=0.8), H-Cl ( $\Delta$ EN=1.0), or O-H (ΔEN=1.2). Bonds become more polar as the electronegative difference in the bond increases. In polar covalent bonds, each atom shares the electrons in the bond unevenly which creates a *dipole moment*, a permanent charge separation. In the examples above, bromine or chlorine is more electronegative than hydrogen being more prone to attract the electrons than H. Br and Cl are partially negative Cl<sup>o</sup> because of the negatively charged electrons and H is partially positive H<sup>o+</sup> because of the lack of electrons. We represent the excess of charge as on Cl or Br as Cl and electron deficiency in H as H<sup>o+</sup>. The polarity of the bond is represented with an arrow pointing from the less electronegative, from positive, to the more electronegative atom so that the larger the electronegative difference the larger the dipole moment:



Finally, a bond is *ionic* when the atoms bonded have an electronegativity difference larger than 1.8. Examples are Na–Cl ( $\Delta$ EN=2.2), K–Cl ( $\Delta$ EN=2.3), or K–Br ( $\Delta$ EN=2.1). For such a large electronegative difference, electrons are transferred from one atom to the other creating positive and negative ions in the bond. Ionic bonds are also polar due to the permanent charge distribution between the ions forming the bond. Mind that the variations in the type of bond are continuous and there is no defined point at which a bond stops being covalent to become ionic.





Polarity of molecules: diatomic molecules Molecules can either be polar or nonpolar. Polar molecules have a permanent dipole moment resulting from one of more polar bonds. Nonpolar molecules have no permanent dipole moment resulting either from nonpolar bonds or from a combination of polar bonds that lead to no dipole moment. The polarity of diatomic molecules, small molecules with only two atoms, only depends on the nature of the atoms that form the molecule. If the atoms in the molecule are the same (e.g. H<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>2</sub>) or have similar electronegativities, then the molecule would be nonpolar. If the atoms are different with electronegativity difference between 0.4 and 1.8 then the molecule would be polar. Examples are H<sub>2</sub> a nonpolar molecule whereas HCl or HBr are both polar molecules.

Table 10.5 Bond polarity differences in terms of electronegative (EN) differences				
Bond	Electron Sharing	EN Difference	Type of bond	
Н-Н	Evenly	0.0	Nonpolar covalent	
C-H	Evenly	0.2	Nonpolar covalent	
$H \xrightarrow{\delta +} Br$	Unevenly	0.8	Polar covalent	
$H \xrightarrow{\delta +} Cl$	Unevenly	1.0	Polar covalent	
$H \stackrel{\delta+}{\longrightarrow} O$	Unevenly	1.2	Polar covalent	
+ К—Вг	Electron transfer	2.1	Ionic	
Na —Cl	Electron transfer	2.2	Ionic	
	Electron transfer	2.3	Ionic	

2

Polarity of larger molecules The polarity of larger molecules depends on the molecular geometry as well as the polar nature of the bonds that form the molecule. Let us analyze a few cases,  $H_2O$ ,  $NH_3$  and  $CCl_4$ . On one hand, for the  $H_2O$  case, the H-O bond is polar. The ABE type of water of  $AB_2E_2$  and hence its geometry is bent; both H-O bonds do not compensate as they point in different directions and the directions do not cancel out what makes the water molecule polar having a permanent dipole moment ( $\mu > 0$ ). Similarly, ammonia is a polar molecule made of polar bonds. The corresponding dipoles do not compensate each other ( $\mu > 0$ ). On the other hand,  $CCl_4$  is a nonpolar molecule made of polar bonds that do compensate each other ( $\mu = 0$ ).

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
Cl & \mu = 0 \\
Cl & Cl \\
Cl & (non polar)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
H & \overline{N} \\
H & H
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\mu > 0 \\
(polar)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\mu > 0 \\
(polar)
\end{array}$$

On one hand, each of the C-O bonds on the carbon dioxide molecule is a polar bond. However,  $CO_2$  is a linear molecule and the polarity of each C-O bonds compensate so that at the end the molecule is polar.

$$O = C = O$$
 $\mu = 0$ 
(non polar)

Finally, in some molecules, the dipoles of different bonds can have different directions, partially compensating for each other, as shown below for the case of methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH). The O–H dipole is larger than the C–O dipole as hydrogen is less electronegative (EN=2.2) than carbon (EN=2.5). Therefore both dipole moments reduce giving an overall dipole. Note the C–O–H bonds should be arranged in a bent geometry.

#### Sample Problem 9

Identify the polar character (polar/nonpolar) of the following molecules: BF<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub>.

#### **SOLUTION**

Let us analyze the geometries of the three molecules:

The bonds on  $SO_2$  do not cancel out, as they do not point in opposite directions. Hence this molecule is polar. On the other hand, the bonds on methane and  $BF_3$  cancel each other out, and hence even when the C-H and B-F bonds are polar, these two molecules would be non-polar.

#### **STUDY CHECK**

Identify the polar character (polar/nonpolar) of the following

molecules: O<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>.

Polarity and mixing Polarity is a key property when two liquids or two gases mix. If the mixing molecules have the same polar character they will be able to mix, whereas they will not mix when the polar character is different. For example, H<sub>2</sub>O and HCl mix well as both are polar molecules. Differently, H<sub>2</sub>O and CCl<sub>4</sub> do not mix well, as while water is a polar molecule, carbon tetrachloride (or chloro methane) is a nonpolar molecule. Differences in polarity create immiscibility in liquids and gases. Finally, methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH) is a polar molecule as well. The central atom of a molecule (C) is connected to three hydrogens and an OH group. Hence this will be a polar molecule as one of the atoms attached to carbon is different. Both molecules, water, and methanol will mix as they have the same polarity. As a general rule: molecules with the same polarity (polar-polar or nonpolar-nonpolar) will mix.

Molecules with the same polarity Methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH) is a polar molecule as well. The central atom of molecule (C) is connected to three hydrogens and an OH group. Hence this will be a polar molecule as one of the atoms attached to carbon is different. Both molecules, water, and methanol will mix as they have the same polarity. Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) is a nonpolar molecule, as the four polar C-H bonds compensate each other. Similarly, CCl<sub>4</sub>, tetrachloro methene, is another nonpolar molecule, for the same reason. Both molecules, CH<sub>4</sub> and CCl<sub>4</sub> will mix together. As a general rule: molecules with the same polarity (polar-polar or nonpolar-nonpolar) will mix.

Molecules with different polarity CCl<sub>4</sub> is a nonpolar molecule, and H<sub>2</sub>O is a polar molecule. As both have different polar characters they will not mix. If you mix water and CCl<sub>4</sub>, two phases will remain instead of a single mixed liquid phase. As a general rule: molecules with different polarity (polar-nonpolar) will not mix. Another example will be water and oil. Water is polar, and oil is a nonpolar molecule. As a consequence, these two molecules will not mix. Soap has a polar and nonpolar parts. To remove oil from water, soap helps mix both polar water and nonpolar oil.

# 9.4 Hybrid orbitals

The molecular orbital theory is the most advanced bonding theory able to describe bond energies and bond lengths. Atomic orbitals are waves. When combining two waves one can obtain two possible results: a constructive combination and a destructive combination. The molecular orbital theory assumes that atomic orbitals combine to form molecular orbitals. For every two atomic orbitals you can obtain two possible molecular orbitals: one is called bonding orbital, resulting from the constructive combination, and another one is called antibonding orbital, resulting from the destructive combination. In this section, we will learn how to interpret molecular orbital diagrams.






Table 10.6 Equivalency bety	ween the ABE code ar	d the orbit	al hybridization	
ABE Code	Electron Regions	Hybrid	Shape	Bond Angle
AB <sub>2</sub> , ABE	2	sp	A	) 180°
AB <sub>3</sub> , AB <sub>2</sub> E,ABE <sub>3</sub>	3	${ m sp}^2$	A	120°
AB <sub>4</sub> , AB <sub>3</sub> E,AB <sub>2</sub> E <sub>2</sub> ,ABE <sub>3</sub>	4	${\sf sp}^3$	A	) 109.5°
AB <sub>5</sub> , AB <sub>4</sub> E,AB <sub>3</sub> E <sub>2</sub> ,AB <sub>2</sub> E <sub>3</sub>	5	$\mathrm{sp}^3 d$	A	90° and 120°

From ABE code to hybridization To obtain the hybridization of an atomic center in a molecule we just need the ABE code and Table  $\ref{thm:property}.$  For example, if the code of a molecule is AB4, the hybridization of the molecule will be  $sp^3$ . Similarly, if the class is AB3 the hybridization will be  $sp^2$  and in this case, an empty p orbital will remain in the bond—mind there are three different p orbitals:  $p_x$ ,  $p_y$  and  $p_z$ . Another example would be a molecule with class AB. In this case, the hybridization will be sp and two empty p orbital will remain in the bond. A final example would be a molecule with class AB4E. This time, the hybridization would be  $sp^3d^2$ . Mind that in general, the number of hybrid orbitals corresponds to adding the E and E from the class. For example, AB4E2, we have two E and four E with a total of six orbitals, hence we will need a E0, three E1, and two E2.

#### Sample Problem 10

Given the following Lewis structures, identify the hybridization of the central atom:

#### **SOLUTION**

In order to identify the hybrid character of the central atom, we first need to obtain the ABE code. For BF<sub>3</sub> the class is AB<sub>3</sub>, for SO<sub>2</sub> is AB<sub>2</sub>E and finally for CH<sub>4</sub> is AB<sub>4</sub>. The number of electron regions for BF<sub>3</sub> is three. Therefore we would need three hybrid orbitals: sp2. An empty p orbital will remain unused in the bond. For SO<sub>2</sub> we need three electron regions and hence the hybridization of the central atom will also be sp2. For the case of methane, the hybridization will be sp3, as the molecule has four electron regions.


#### **STUDY CHECK**

Identify the hybridization of the central atom for the following molecules:  $O_2$  and  $NH_3$ .


# 9.5 Molecular orbital theory


Molecules consist of arrangements of atoms presented in different forms. Let us use as an example the  $H_2O$  molecule, which contains two hydrogen atoms and one oxygen. Knowing that both hydrogens are connected to oxygen through a covalent bond, one can envision several molecular geometries such as a linear geometry or maybe a v-shaped geometry with oxygen at the point. The geometry of a molecule determines its properties, and small geometrical changes can have severe consequences on the functioning of molecules. For example, at high temperatures, when proteins in the body denaturalize losing their unique structure they also lose their functionality. The goal of this section is to identify the approximate shape of a given molecule.

Bonding and antibonding orbitals Atomic orbitals (AOs) combine to produce molecular orbitals (MOs). The combination of two atomic orbitals results in two new molecular orbitals: a bonding orbital and an antibonding orbital. Bonding MOs are more stable than the corresponding atomic orbitals. Antibonding MOs are less stable—they have higher positive energy—than the corresponding AOs. Antibonding orbitals are normally labeled with a \* sign. Let us analyze both combinations of a 1s orbital. We can add both 1s orbitals and the result is a bonding orbital, or we can subtract both 1s orbitals and the result is an antibonding orbital, as the electron density cancels.




**Figure 10.7** Bonding and antibonding  $\sigma$  orbitals resulting of combining two 1s atomic orbitals of Hydrogen.








Sigma and pi orbitals Let's analyze now the mixing of two  $2p_x$  orbitals of two oxygen atoms to make an  $O_2$  molecule. Mind that p orbitals look like dumbbells and each side of the dumbbell is called a lobe. In the  $p_x$  orbital the positive lobe is on the right side and the negative love on the left side. When combining both  $2p_x$  if we add both orbitals we obtain a bonding orbital and if we subtract them we obtain an antibonding orbital. Both of these orbitals are called  $\sigma$  orbitals, as the lobes of the orbitals mixing go through the axes of the molecule being formed—the molecule is located in the X axis. Differently, if we combine two  $2p_y$  orbitals we will obtain two  $\pi$  orbitals, as the lobes of the molecular orbital is perpendicular to the axes of the molecule being formed The first orbital is bonding as both lobes overlap constructively, whereas the second orbital is antibonding as both lobes cancel out.




**Figure 10.8** (Top) Bonding and antibonding  $\sigma$  orbitals resulting of combining two  $2p_x$  atomic orbitals of Oxygen. (Bottom) Bonding and antibonding  $\pi$  orbitals resulting of combining two 2p atomic orbitals of Oxygen.

The case of molecular hydrogen Let us analyze the case of the formation of the  $H_2$  molecule from two Hydrogen atoms. Each H atom has one 1s orbital. Therefore, according to the Molecular orbital theory, both orbitals will combine to produce two MO's. When you combine s atomic orbitals, the resulting MOs are always sigma. Sigma refers to the symmetry of the orbital. Therefore, the resulting MOs will be  $\sigma_{1s}$  and  $\sigma_{1s}^*$ . Each AO contains one electron, hence the set of MO's will also contain two electrons that will occupy the most stable  $\sigma_{1s}$ . The resulting MO diagram is below. In this diagram, the atomic orbitals of H are on the left and right, whereas the MO's are in the center. We can also give the MO configuration as  $H_2 = \sigma_{1s}^2$ . The hydrogen molecule is more stable than the separate hydrogen atoms. Why is that? the molecular orbitals of the molecule are lower in energy than the atomic orbitals of the hydrogen atoms. This means they have more energy—as energy is negative that also means they are more stable. That is the reason why the hydrogen molecule is a stable existing molecule and takes energy to break down this molecule into atoms.




The case of molecular helium Let us analyze the case of the formation of the hypothetical Ne<sub>2</sub> molecule from two He atoms. Each He atom has one 1s orbital with two electrons. Therefore, according to the Molecular orbital theory, both orbitals will combine to produce two MO's with a total of four electrons. The resulting MOs will be as well:  $\sigma_{1s}$  and  $\sigma_{1s}^*$ . This time, MO configuration is:  $\text{He}_2 = \sigma_{1s}^2 \sigma_{1s}^{*2}$ . In general antibonding orbitals are not stable. In the He molecule we stabilize the molecule by forming two  $\sigma_{1s}^2$  orbitals, but we also destabilize the molecule by forming  $\sigma_{1s}^{*2}$ . Hence the He<sub>2</sub> molecule will not be stable compared to the atoms:



From MO diagram to MO configuration Obtaining a MO diagram is not obvious, and these diagrams can only be obtained after very complicated quantum mechanics simulations. However, after the MO diagram is given, one can obtain the MO configuration. From this configuration, we can calculate two main properties: the bond order–related to the length of the molecule—and the magnetic character of the molecule. Let us use the case of N<sub>2</sub>: In this diagram, the lower MO's are the most stable and should be filled first. The higher MO are less stable and they are listed on the right side of the MO configuration. For example, the MO configuration of N<sub>2</sub> would be:

$$N_2 \!\!=\!\! \sigma_{2s}^2 \sigma_{2s}^{*2} \pi_{2p}^4 \sigma_{2p}^2$$





Bond order of a MO configuration Let us go back to the MO configuration for  $N_2$ . In this configuration, we have some of the electrons occupying bonding MO and others occupying antibonding MO's. The bond order is just the number of bonding electrons—the number of electrons occupying bonding MO's—minus the number of antibonding electrons—the number of electrons occupying antibonding MO's—divided by two. The formula is:

$$BO = \frac{(n-n^*)}{2} Bond Order$$

where:

n is the number of electrons occupying bonding MO's  $n^*$  is the number of electrons occupying antibonding MO's

The bond order is related to the stability of the molecule and to the length of its chemical bond. The larger the bond order the most stable is the molecule as more electrons occupy bonding orbitals. The larger the bond order the smaller the chemical bond, and the atoms are looser.

#### Sample Problem 11

Given the following MO configurations: (a)  $\sigma_{2s}^2 \sigma_{2s}^{*2} \pi_{2p}^4 \sigma_{2p}^1$  and (b)  $\sigma_{2s}^2 \sigma_{2s}^{*2} \pi_{2p}^4 \sigma_{2p}^2 \pi_{2p}^{*3}$ . Calculate the bond order and compare the length of the chemical bond of both molecules.

#### **SOLUTION**

The bond order is the number of bonding electrons minus the number of antibonding electrons divided by two. For the first example, we have seven bonding electrons and tow antibonding. Hence the bond order will be 2.5. For the second example, we have eight bonding electrons and five antibonding. Hence the bond order will be 1.5. The larger the BO the smaller the bond, hence the second molecule has a smaller bond.



## **STUDY CHECK**

Calculate the bond order for  $\sigma_{2s}^2 \sigma_{2s}^{*2} \pi_{2n}^4 \sigma_{2n}^2$ .

Paramagnetism and diamagnetism One of the uses of the MO configuration is to predict the magnetic character (magnetic or non-magnetic) of a molecule. By reading the MO configuration of a molecule, we can also predict its magnetic character and hence estimate its magnetic properties. Paramagnetic molecules (normally referred to as magnetic) are attracted by magnetic fields, whereas diamagnetic molecules (normally referred to as non-magnetic) are repelled by magnetic fields. The magnetic character results from the presence of unpaired electrons in the MO configuration. For example  $\sigma_{2s}^2 \sigma_{2s}^{*1}$  is a paramagnetic (magnetic) molecule as we have one unpaired electron in the  $\sigma_{2s}^*$  orbital. In contrast,  $\sigma_{2s}^2\sigma_{2s}^{*2}$  is a diamagnetic (non-magnetic) molecule, as it has no unpaired electrons.

Given the following MO configurations, predict the magnetic character: (a)  $\sigma_{2s}^2 \sigma_{2s}^{*2} \pi_{2p}^4 \sigma_{2p}^1$  and (b)  $\sigma_{2s}^2 \sigma_{2s}^{*2} \pi_{2p}^4 \sigma_{2p}^2 \pi_{2p}^{*3}$ .

#### SOLUTION

The first example has an unpaired  $\sigma$  electron and hence it is paramagnetic. The second base also has a single unpaired electron, this time in the  $\pi_{2p}^*$  orbital. Mind  $\pi$  orbitals have capacity of four and hence can place two separate pairs of electrons.

#### **STUDY CHECK**

Given the following MO configurations, predict the magnetic character: (a)  $\sigma_{2s}^2 \sigma_{2s}^{*2} \pi_{2p}^4 \sigma_{2p}^2$  and (b)  $\sigma_{2s}^2 \sigma_{2s}^{*2} \pi_{2p}^4 \sigma_{2p}^2 \pi_{2p}^{*2}$ .