# A LEMMA ABOUT H-INVARIANT FUNCTION AND BIRKHOFF ERGODIC THEOREM FOR FLOW

#### RONGHAN YUAN

ABSTRACT. In this week, by introducing the Siegel domain, we proved that  $SL(n,\mathbb{Z})$  is a lattice in  $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ , and started to study the dynamics on  $SL(n,\mathbb{R})/SL(n,\mathbb{Z})$ and ergodicity with respect to a subgroup action is defined. A lemma about essentially H-invariant functions are a.e. equal to H-invariant functions is stated. Also Birkhoff ergodic theorem for flow is mentioned.

#### Contents

1.	Essentially H-invariant functions are almost H-invariant	1
2.	Birkhoff ergodic theorem for flow	2
3.	bibliography	Ş
References		•

## 1. Essentially H-invariant functions are almost H-invariant

This is an elementary technical lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** G is a locally compact topological group with left Haar measure  $\mu$ , and H a topological subgroup of G. If f is an essentially H-invariant  $\mu$ -measurable function, i.e. for  $\mu - a.e.$   $x \in X$ , f(hx) = f(x) holds for all  $h \in H$ , then there exists another measurable function F, such that F = f holds almost everywhere, and F is H-invariant, i.e. F(Hx) = F(x) holds for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $\mu_H$  to be the right Haar measure on H. Since it might not be a probability measure, we choose a strictly positive function  $\psi$  such that  $v = \psi d\mu_H$ is a probability measure on H.

For example, we can choose the  $\psi$  as follows: Find a increasing exhausting sequence consisted of finite measure set of H, denoted as  $E_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, E_0 = \emptyset$ . Then

(1.2) 
$$\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i} \mu(E_{i} \setminus E_{i-1})} \mathbb{1}_{E_{i} \setminus E_{i-1}}$$

An impotant property of v is that any H-translation of v-null subset of H still has zero measure. This is due to the fact that any v-null set is also  $\mu_H$ -null, and vise versa.

Define the subset of  $H \times X$ 

(1.3) 
$$Q = \{(h, x) | f(hx) \neq f(x) \}$$

According to definition of essentially H-invariant.

(1.4) 
$$0 = \int_{H} \int_{X} \mathbb{1}_{Q} = \int_{X} v(\{h \in H | f(hx) \neq f(x)\})$$

Thus for  $\mu - a.e. x$ , f(hx) = f(x) holds v - a.e., meaning

$$(1.5) X_0 = \{x \in X | f(hx) = f(x), v - a.e. \ h \in H\}$$

has full measure.

Define

(1.6) 
$$F(x) = \int_{H} f(hx)dv(h)$$

$$X_{1} = \{x \in X | f(hx) = F(x) \ v - a.e. \ h\}$$

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} F(x) & x \in X_{1} \\ \frac{1}{2} & x \in X \setminus X_{1} \end{cases}$$

Then  $X_1$  is H-invariant. Because if  $x \in X_1$ , then  $v(\{h|f(hx) = f(x)\}) = 0$ , and notice  $\{h|f(hh_1x) = f(x)\} = \{h|f(hx) = f(x)\}h_1^{-1}$ , so it also has zero measure, which implies  $h_1x \in X_1$ . As a corollary  $X \setminus X_1$  is invariant too.

Also  $F|_{X_1}$  is H-invariant. Because F(x) equals to 'most of the values' in  $\{f(hx)|h \in H\}$ , which equals to 'most of the values' in  $\{f(hh_1x)|h \in H\}$  (because right H-translation preserves v-null set), and this equals to  $F(h_1x)$ .

We notice that  $X_0 \subset X_1$ . Because for  $x \in X_0$ , f is v - a.e. constant on Hx. So  $X_1$  has full measure too.

So  $\tilde{f}$  is H-invariant, then  $\tilde{f}$  is the needed function, since it equals f on  $X_0$ .  $\square$ 

## 2. Birkhoff ergodic theorem for flow

**Theorem 2.1.**  $a_t, t \geq 0$  is a flow preserving measure  $\mu$  and ergodic. Assume f is integrable on X, and  $f(a_t(x))$  is also an integrable function on  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times X$ . Then

(2.2) 
$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(a_t(x)) dt = \int_X f(y) d\mu(y)$$

holds for  $\mu - a.e. \ x \in X$ .

*Proof.* With out loss of generality, we may assume  $f \geq 0$ . Define

(2.3) 
$$\psi(x) = \int_0^1 f(a_t(x))dt$$

Then  $\psi \geq 0$ , and is measurable on X, due to the Tonelli theorem. Also, its integral over X is equal to the integral of f over X, because

(2.4) 
$$\int_{X} \psi(x) d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X} \int_{0}^{1} f(a_{t}(x)) dt d\mu$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{X} f(a_{t}(x)) d\mu dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{X} f(x) d\mu dt$$

$$= \int_{Y} f(x) d\mu < \infty$$

So  $\psi$  is finite  $\mu - a.e.$  and  $\psi$  is integrable over X.

Apply the discrete version of Birkhoff ergodic theorem to  $\psi$ , we have for  $\mu-a.e.$  x

(2.5) 
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \psi(a_i(x)) = \int_X f(x) d\mu$$

But according to the definition of  $\psi$ , and semi-group property of the flow, the left hand side is just

$$(2.6) \frac{1}{N} \int_0^N f(a_t(x)) dt$$

Similarly, define

(2.7) 
$$\psi_k(x) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2^k}} f(a_t(x)) dt$$

We have for  $\mu - a.e. x$ 

(2.8) 
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N/2^k} \int_0^{\frac{N}{2^k}} f(a_t(x)) dt = \int_X f(x) d\mu$$

holds for arbitrary k.

If f is bounded, then the proof is completed. For general  $f \ge 0$ , this follows from that if  $\frac{N}{2^k} < T < \frac{N+1}{2^k}$ 

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{1}{(N+1)/2^k} \int_0^{\frac{N}{2^k}} f(a_t(x))dt \le \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(a_t(x))dt \le \frac{1}{N/2^k} \int_0^{\frac{N+1}{2^k}} f(a_t(x))dt$$

## 3. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Thanks Liu Xuan for telling me the proof of Birkhoff ergodic theorem for the flow.

#### References

 Manfred Einsiedler and Thomas Ward. Ergodic Theory: with a view towards Number Theory. Springer-Verlag London Limited. 2011.