

# Extracting recipe ingredients from cookbooks

by  
Torsten Knauf

A thesis presented for the degree of  
Master of Science



Research Group for Communication Systems  
at  
Faculty of Engineering  
Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel  
Germany  
31.03.2017

Supervisor: Prof. Dr.-Ing. Norbert Luttenberger  
Dr.-Ing. Jesper Zedlitz

# Abstract

Always do this one last, when knowing the things to praise yourself for :P

# Acknowledgements

If I don't profit from nice people in these thesis, I have done something horrible wrong. So try to remember most of them here at the end... :)

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	What is this thesis about? . . . . .	5
1.2	Practical usefulness . . . . .	5
1.3	Structure of this thesis . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Related Work</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Theory Background</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Machine-readable data and Ontologies . . . . .	8
3.2	CRF . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Our recipe parser</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1	Exact formulation of the problem . . . . .	9
4.2	Data preparation . . . . .	9
4.3	Workflow . . . . .	9
4.4	Implementation notes . . . . .	9
<b>5</b>	<b>Evaluation</b>	<b>10</b>
5.1	Our Website . . . . .	10
5.2	Some more or less awesome metrics and formulas . . . . .	10
<b>6</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Statutory Declaration</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Something else</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Something else else</b>	<b>14</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

The introduction points out, what this thesis is about, why it is actual useful and finishes with an overview of the structure of this thesis.

### 1.1 What is this thesis about?

In general extracting automatically information from textual resources is a new field of research. In contrast to knowledge discovery in databases the information are unstructured, what makes it hard to automatically extract useful information. For example the world wide web consists mainly of textual information, enriched with some meta data for formatting, but without clues of its content. At least without clues, which a machine can process further. This restricts heavily the possibilities of new applications as described in (Berners-Lee et al. 2000).

For changing the lack of machine-readability, an ontology is required, as well as the usage of the ontology on the textual information (Berners-Lee et al. 2000). An ontology for recipes is already in place (Schema.org 2016). But to deploy a strict ontology on textual information manually, for example through tagging, is slow and error prone (Erdmann et al. 2000).

**Text mining** deals with the automatic extraction of information from unstructured text. Once useful information are extracted, it is easy to enclose them within tags and make them machine-readable this way.

**Therefore the goal of this work** is to automatically extract ingredients with their quantity and unit from recipes and apply tags around them for further processing.

### 1.2 Practical usefulness

Beside that text mining is interesting from a computer science point of view, being able to extract automatically ingredients from recipes and build a huge machine-readable data pool, can help in many scenarios.

The most obvious one is for cooking-services. It seems, that there is a huge cooking community with sheer endless of recipes for every region like e.g. allrecipes<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup><http://allrecipes.com/>

for English, CHEFKOCH.DE<sup>2</sup> for German and cookpad<sup>3</sup> for Japanese speaking regions. They all have the advantage over traditional cookbooks, that user can share their experience with recipes as well as their modifications. Furthermore they are the basis for recommendation networks for recipes. There are many studies, which take these sites as data pool and recommend recipes for example based on favourite ingredients or nutritionally value calculated out of the ingredients within a recipe (e.g. Teng et al. 2012 or Ueda et al. 2011).

Having a huge machine-readable base of recipes and its ingredients can also provide insights in sociological points of interest. For example in XXX is a comparison between American and Asian kitchen based on about 56.000 recipes.

There are many more interesting questions, which could be analysed with the help of such a huge data pool like a historic analysis of the development and changes of cooking. Occurrences of non-local ingredients or meals are evidence for inter cultural exchange and globalisation. More expensive ingredients could be an indication for prosperity, while very simple kitchen for poverty or even wartimes.

Therefore I hope, that the data pool of recipes and its ingredients made in this work, will be helpful for further studies.

## 1.3 Structure of this thesis

Unknown yet :P

---

<sup>2</sup><http://www.chefkoch.de/>

<sup>3</sup><http://cookpad.com/>

# Chapter 2

## Related Work

<http://skipthepizza.com/> 2016

# Chapter 3

## Theory Background

### 3.1 Machine-readable data and Ontologies

### 3.2 CRF



# Chapter 4

## Our recipe parser

4.1 Exact formulation of the problem

4.2 Data preparation

4.3 Workflow

4.4 Implementation notes

# Chapter 5

## Evaluation

### 5.1 Our Website

### 5.2 Some more or less awesome metrics and formulas

-Precision -Recall F-measure

# Chapter 6

## Summary

# Appendix A

## Statutory Declaration

I declare that I have developed and written the enclosed Master Thesis completely by myself, and have not used sources or means without declaration in the text. Any thoughts from others or literal quotations are clearly marked. The Master Thesis was not used in the same or in a similar version to achieve an academic grading or is being published elsewhere.

---

Location, Date

Signature

# Appendix B

## Something else

hi

# Appendix C

## Something else else

hello

# Bibliography

- Berners-Lee, T., J. Hendler, and O. Lassila (2000). “Semantic web”. In: *Scientific American*, 1(1):68–88.
- Erdmann, M. et al. (2000). “From Manual to Semi-automatic Semantic Annotation: About Ontology-based Text Annotation Tools”. In: *Scientific American*, 1(1):68–88.
- <http://skipthepizza.com/> (2016). *Skip The Pizza*. URL: <http://skipthepizza.com/blog/analyzing-the-ingredients-of-29200-recipes> (visited on 10/28/2016).
- Schema.org (2016). *Schema.org/Recipe*. URL: <https://schema.org/Recipe>.
- Teng, Chun-Yuen, Yu-Ru Lin, and Lada A. Adamic (2012). “Recipe Recommendation Using Ingredient Networks”. In: *Proceedings of the 4th Annual ACM Web Science Conference*. WebSci ’12. New York, NY, USA: ACM, pp. 298–307. ISBN: 978-1-4503-1228-8. DOI: 10.1145/2380718.2380757. URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2380718.2380757>.
- Ueda, Mayumi, Mari Takahata, and Shinsuke Nakajima (2011). “User’s Food Preference Extraction for Personalized Cooking Recipe Recommendation”. In: *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Semantic Personalized Information Management: Retrieval and Recommendation - Volume 781*. SPIM’11. Aachen, Germany, Germany: CEUR-WS.org, pp. 98–105. URL: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2887675.2887686>.