

# ICPC ASIA DHAKA REGIONAL CONTEST 2023

## Problem A: Gona Guni

Author: Md Mahamudur Rahaman Sajib

Alternate writers: Nafis Sadique

Category: DP, Polynomials

Explanation:

**Author's explanation:**  Problem A: ICPC ASIA DHAKA REGIONAL CONTEST 2023

**Alternative explanation:**

1. Instead of counting  $\sum \{(\text{the minimum vertex cover})^m\}$ , we can use the [ordered pair technique](#). This insight leads to solving a different problem: select a set of vertices of upto  $m$  size, in how many different subsets of vertices of the tree these vertices are guaranteed to be selected as the minimum vertex cover. Then we count the sum over the sizes of all sets of vertices.
2. What we really want is given a set of vertices from the minimum vertex cover, can we get back the original sub-tree. That way we can generate all possible subtrees and in turn count the subset of vertices that will contain this given set as minimum vertex cover. This turns out to be difficult to do, unless you consider the maximum matching instead of minimum vertex cover and always assign the vertex closer to the root (of the whole tree) as the cover vertex.
3. This approach can lead to a bottom up sibling dp solution. From each node we need to calculate 2 things, the number of ways to select  $k \leq m$  maximum matching with the subtree below the vertex and the sibling vertices with the current vertices matching with one of the children or the current vertices becoming available for matching with the parent. Merging the count from the children and the siblings requires convolution, thankfully with some small optimization we can avoid doing fft.
4. This dp calculation is a little tricky, as you need to think about what happens in a lot of situations. Like, whether to cut off the edge from the parent and remove the subtree rooted at the current vertex, when the current vertex is matched with a child, do we count it as part of the  $k$  set or we don't, is the vertex included in the subset or not.
5. At the end, you will have the count of selecting exactly  $k$  different vertices and the number of subsets where they will be guaranteed to be a part of the minimum vertex cover, from there we can calculate the number of ordered lists of size  $m$  from  $k$  vertices (from the ordered pair technique). The sum of them is the result.
6. To avoid doing fft, we can do this trick: instead of multiplying  $m \times m$ , we avoid the suffix with 0s. So, we find the last non-zero position of both arrays, say `non_zero_a` and `non_zero_b`, so we multiply two arrays of size `non_zero_a`  $\times$  `non_zero_b`. We can also stop multiplying if the multiplication will be added to any position beyond  $m$ .
7. Alternative solution is roughly 210 lines long.

## Problem B: ASCII Table

Author: Shahriar Manzoor

Alternate writers: Rumman Mahmud, Raihat Zaman Nelay

Explanation:

Category: Observation and modulo arithmetic.

## Problem C: Bears

Author: Iftekhar Hakim Kaowsar

Alternate writers: Kazi Md Irshad, Pritom Kundu

Explanation:

put all divisor pair in list, sort it, and find the LIS. But you have to delete duplicates from the input, otherwise it may hit TL. Complexity:  $O(N \lg^2)$

## Problem D: Pyramid

Author: Shahriar Manzoor

Alternate writers: Kazi Md Irshad, Rumman Mahmud

Explanation:

Math, Ternary Search.

## Problem E: Crazy General

Author: Anindya Das

Alternate writers: Nafis Sadique, Kazi Md Irshad, Raihat Zaman Niloy

Explanation:

Category: DP, Stirling number, Group theory

The way the problem is described leads us to sum over all possible values of  $k, x, y$ :  $S1r(k, x) * S1r(n - k, y) * C(n, k)$ , where  $S1r(k, x)$  is the reduced Stirling number of 1st kind, i.e. Stirling Number of 1st kind with the limitation that every cycle needs to have at least  $x$  members.  $C(n, k)$  is the binomial coefficient. Say,  $x + y = z$ . The sum can be simplified to

$S1r(n, z) * C(z, x)$  for all possible values of  $z$  and  $x$ . Now  $S1r(n, k) = (n - 1) * S1r(n - 1, k) + C(n - 1, r - 1) * (r - 1)! * S1r(n - r, k - 1)$ . We can precompute this table and Binomial Coefficients.

We still need to sum over all  $z$  and  $x$ , but here we can use sum over  $x$ :  $C(z, x) = 2^z$ . Then we need to sum over only  $z$  for each case.

## Problem F: Uncle Bob and XOR Sum

Author: Mohammad Rumman Mahmud

Alternate writers: Raihat Zaman Nelay, Pritom Kundu

Explanation:

Category: Maths, Gauss - Jordan Elimination, XOR Basis.

Given two arrays of integers **A** of length **N** and **B** of length **K**, how many subsets of array **A** are there so that the xor sum of the subset does not contain  $b_i$  as a submask where  $b_i \in B$ .

Which means  $S_{\oplus} \wedge b_i \neq b_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq K$ .

That means if we choose a subset  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_m\}$  then

$$(x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus \dots \oplus x_m) \wedge b_i \neq b_i$$

$$\text{or, } (x_1 \wedge b_i) \oplus (x_2 \wedge b_i) \oplus (x_3 \wedge b_i) \oplus \dots \oplus (x_m \wedge b_i) \neq b_i$$

This can be solved using the XOR Basis. Instead of finding a good subset xor sum, we can find the total number of bad subset xor sum and then just subtract it from the total number of subsets.

As we could have duplicate results when calculating bad subsets, we need to carefully subtract them. Let's say we have calculated the number of bad subsets that has  $b_1$  as a submask and the number of bad subsets that has  $b_2$  as a submask. There could be subsets that has both  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  as a submask. Hence, we need to subtract them. How can we do that?

We know that if a subset xor sum  $S_{\oplus}$  contains both  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  as submask then  $S_{\oplus} \wedge b_1 = b_1$  and  $S_{\oplus} \wedge b_2 = b_2$ . We can derive a new equation from them

$$(S_{\oplus} \wedge b_1) \vee (S_{\oplus} \wedge b_2) = b_1 \vee b_2$$

or

$$S_{\oplus} \wedge (b_1 \vee b_2) = b_1 \vee b_2$$

That means we need to find the subsets which contains  $b_1 \vee b_2$  as submask and then subtract it. More formally, we need to use the Inclusion–exclusion principle.

Let **X** be a subset of **B** and **x** be the OR of elements of **X**.

**Lemma 1:**  $S_{\oplus} \wedge x = x$

**Complexity:**

We need to calculate the basis  $2^k - 1$  times. Hence, the complexity per test case is  $O(2^k NL^2)$  where  $L$  is the number of rows/equations we need to add. This could be as big as

32 because all numbers will fit in a 32 bits signed integer. If we use bitset, the complexity will be reduced by a constant factor of 32/64.

However, the above solution is too slow for the given constraints for this problem. We can actually optimise the solution even further. In our previous solution for each of the  $2^k - 1$  bases we are inserting  $a_i \wedge b$  where  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $b$  is the OR of elements of the chosen subset of the array **B**. In reality, what we are doing is, we are inserting submasks of  $a_i$ . Instead of doing this for all  $a_i$ , we can actually precalculate the basis of **A**. Then for each subset of **B** we need to calculate basis over  $\text{basisA}(i) \wedge b$  if the  $i^{\text{th}}$  bit of  $\text{basisA}(i)$  is set (where  $0 \leq i \leq 32$ ). If we can make  $b$  then the number of subsets that can make XOR Sum  $b$  would be  $2^{(n - \text{rank})}$ , otherwise 0.

**Proof:** Let,  $B_A$  be the basis vector of **A**. Let  $f(a)$  be the number of subsets of **A** with XOR SUM  $a$ .

**Lemma 2:**  $f(a) = 0$  or  $2^{(n-r)}$  where  $r$  is the rank of basis vector  $B_A$ .

For each subset **X** of **B** we need to solve the following problem: how many subsets of **A** are there which contain all elements of **X** as a submask. Let,  $x$  be the OR of elements of **X**. Then we need to find how many subsets of **A** are there which contain  $x$  as submask according to **Lemma 1**. In other words, we want to find the sum of  $f(a)$  over all supermasks of  $x$ . Let  $g(x)$  be the number of supermasks of  $x$  which can be made as a XOR SUM. Then by Lemma 1, we need to find  $g(x) \times 2^{(n-r)}$ . The  $g(x)$  can be calculated with a modification of basis finding. Lets ignore all off bits of  $x$ . and perform Gauss - Jordan Elimination only on the set bits. Let  $r'$  be the new rank. If we can make  $x$ ,  $g(x) = 2^{(n-r')}$  otherwise,  $g(x) = 0$ .

**Complexity of precalculating the basis of A:**  $O(NL^2/32)$

**Complexity of finding the final answer:**  $O(2^k L^3/32)$ , here we are able to reduce **N** to **L**.

**Overall complexity:**  $O((2^k L^3 + NL^2)/32)$

**Need to handle a few corner cases like 0 in array A and array B.**

## Problem G: Pess Chuzzle

Author: Kazi Md Irshad

Alternate writers: Nafis Sadique

Explanation:

Category: Constructive Algorithm

If  $P[i] \neq i$  then this rook must make at least 1 horizontal move. If a rook makes one vertical move then it must do another vertical move to come down to the bottom most row.

We claim that no rook makes extra horizontal moves and makes exactly 0 or 2 vertical moves. Let us minimize the number of rooks requiring 2 vertical moves. If such a construction exists then it must be optimal because adding vertical rook moves cannot decrease the fixed requirement of horizontal moves.

Let us call rooks which forever stay in the bottom most row as horizontal rooks and the rest vertical rooks.

We take the longest increasing subsequence  $L$  of  $P$ . the elements not belonging in  $L$  will be our vertical rooks. This maximizes the number of horizontal rooks. If we take more than  $|L|$  horizontal rooks then there will exist at least a pair which must cross each other on the bottom row. But that is not possible.

For only the vertical rooks, first we take all  $i$  such that  $i \leq P[i]$ . we process these rooks with decreasing order of  $P[i]$ . take rook from  $(i, 1)$  to  $(i, 8)$  then to  $(P[i], 8)$

Then for the vertical rooks, we take all  $i$  such that  $i \geq P[i]$ . we process in increasing order of  $P[i]$ . take rook from  $(i, 1)$  to  $(i, 7)$  then to  $(P[i], 7)$ .

Now all vertical rooks have left the bottommost row. Now move the horizontal rooks (maintaining a stack helps) then bring down all vertical rooks. This solution works with only 3 rows and 8 is just a bait.

## Problem H: Island Invasion

Author: Pritom Kundu,

Alternate writers: Md Sabbir Rahman

Explanation:

Category: Geometry, Implementational.

Curse the setter.

## Problem I: Qwiksort

Author: Md Sabbir Rahman

Alternate writers: Pritom Kundu, Raihat Zaman Niloy

Explanation:

Category: Constructive Algorithm, Observation, Easy

We can follow bubble sorting like, first sort  $[1, n]$  range then  $[n/2, n+n/2]$ , then  $[n+1, 2n]$ , then sort  $[1, n]$ , then  $[n/2, n+n/2]$ , then  $[1, n]$  again. (when  $n$  is odd, will need some extra ops, but will totally require 8 ops)

## Problem J: Point Table

Author: Mohammad Ashraful Islam

Alternate writers: Hasinur Rahman

Explanation:

Category: Observation, Easy

Only 3 pair of matches, AB, BC and CA. Check all possible outcomes.

## Problem K: Strategies in Sequential Games

Author: Siam Habib

Alternate writers: Kazi Md Irshad, Pritom Kundu

Explanation:

Category: DP, DS

There is a brute force  $O(nk)$  dp solution which will get TLE. We can speed it up to an  $O(n)$  solution by using virtual trees. [Solution to Problem K](#)