

---

**MH1812 Discrete Mathematics: Quiz (CA) 1**

---

Name:

Tutorial Group:

NTU Email:

---

*There are 3 (THREE) questions, please try all of them, and justify all your answers! Best of luck!*

**Question 1** (30 points)

- a) Compute  $40^{1234}$  modulo 2 (10 points).
- b) Consider the set  $S$  of odd natural numbers, with respective operator  $\Delta$ .
- Let  $\Delta$  be the multiplication. Is  $S$  closed under  $\Delta$ ? Justify your answer (10 points).
  - Let  $\Delta$  be the addition. Is  $S$  closed under  $\Delta$ ? Justify your answer (10 points).

**Solution.**

- a) We have that  $40^{1234} \equiv 0$  modulo 2 because  $40 = 2 \cdot 20 \equiv 0$  modulo 2.
- b)
- The  $S$  of odd integer numbers is closed under multiplication. To see that, notice that an odd integer number is of the form  $2a + 1$  for  $a$  some integer number. Then  $(2a + 1)(2b + 1) = 4ab + 2a + 2b + 1 = 2(2ab + a + b) + 1$  which is again an odd integer number.
  - The  $S$  of odd integer numbers is not closed under addition. To see that, notice that an odd integer number is of the form  $2a + 1$  for  $a$  some integer number. Then  $(2a + 1) + (2b + 1) = 2a + 2b + 2 = 2(a + b + 1)$  which is even number. Alternatively, one example can do. For example, take 3 and 5, they are both odd,  $3+5$  is 8 which is even, thus  $S$  is not closed under addition.

**Question 2** (40 points)

- a) Prove or disprove the following statement (20 points):

$$p \wedge (\neg(q \rightarrow r)) \equiv (p \rightarrow r).$$

- b) Decide whether the following argument is valid (20 points):

$$(p \vee q) \rightarrow \neg r;$$

$$\neg r \rightarrow s;$$

$$p;$$

$$\therefore s$$

**Solution.**

- a) One should disprove the statement. There are several ways to do so. For example, note that

$$p \wedge (\neg(q \rightarrow r)) \equiv p \wedge (\neg(\neg q \vee r)) \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge \neg r) \equiv p \wedge q \wedge \neg r$$

using the conversion theorem and De Morgan law. Now we see, for example, that if  $p$  is true and  $r$  is false, then  $p \wedge q \wedge \neg r$  can take the value true when  $q$  is true, but  $p \rightarrow r$  is then false, no matter the value of  $q$ . It is also possible to find the same conclusion by doing a truth table.

$p$	$q$	$r$	$q \rightarrow r$	$p \wedge \neg(q \rightarrow r)$	$p \rightarrow r$
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$T$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$T$	$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$

- b) Decide whether the following argument is valid (20 points):

$$(p \vee q) \rightarrow \neg r;$$

$$\neg r \rightarrow s;$$

$$p;$$

$$\therefore s$$

**Solution.** We start by noticing that

$$p;$$

$$\therefore (p \vee q)$$

Then

$$(p \vee q) \rightarrow \neg r;$$

$$p \vee q;$$

$$\therefore \neg r$$

Finally

$$\neg r \rightarrow s;$$

$$\neg r;$$

$$\therefore s$$

and we conclude that the argument is valid.

We can come to the same conclusion using a truth table. Note that we care only about the critical rows, those for which the premises are true. Thus in the table below, we assume that  $p$  is always true.

$s$	$q$	$r$	$p \vee q$	$p \vee q \rightarrow \neg r$	$\neg r \rightarrow s$	
$T$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$		
$T$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	critical
$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$		
$T$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	critical
$F$	$T$	$T$	$T$	$F$		
$F$	$T$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	
$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$		
$F$	$F$	$F$	$T$	$T$	$F$	

We see that there are only 2 critical rows, for which  $s$  is true, therefore the argument is valid.

**Question 3** (30 points)

Consider the domains  $X = \{2, 4, 6\}$  and  $Y = \{2, 3\}$ , and the predicate  $P(x, y) = "x \text{ is a multiple of } y"$ . What are the truth values of these statements:

- a)  $\forall x \in X, \exists y \in Y, P(x, y)$  (15 points).
- b)  $\neg(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y, P(x, y))$  (15 points).

**Solution.**

- a) The first one is true. We check all values in  $X$ . For  $x = 2$ , there exists  $y = 2$  such that  $x = 2$  is a multiple of  $y = 2$ . For  $x = 4$ , there exists  $y = 2$  such that  $x = 4$  is a multiple of  $y = 2$ . For  $x = 6$ , there exists  $y = 2$  such that  $x = 6$  is a multiple of 2.
- b)  $\neg(\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y, P(x, y))$  can be rewritten as

$$\exists x \in X, \exists y \in Y, \neg P(x, y).$$

So it is true. There exists an  $x$ , take  $x = 2$ , and there exists a  $y$ , take  $y = 3$ , such that  $x = 2$  is not a multiple of  $y = 3$ .