

Name: _____ Tutorial group: _____

Matriculation number:

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

20 September 2020 **MH1812 Test 1** 60 minutes

QUESTION 1. **(30 marks)**

Use mathematical induction to show that

$$1^2 - 2^2 + 3^2 - \dots + (-1)^{n-1}n^2 = (-1)^{n-1} \cdot \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

whenever n is a positive integer.

[Proof:]
Base case: when $n = 1$, the left-hand side is $1^2 = 1$ and the right-hand side is $(-1)^0 \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 2}{2} = 1$. The equality holds.
Inductive step: Suppose that the equality holds for $n = k$, and we shall show that it holds for $n = k + 1$, that is, we shall show that

$$1^2 - 2^2 + 3^2 - \dots + (-1)^{k-1}k^2 + (-1)^k(k+1)^2 = (-1)^k \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}. \tag{1}$$

By induction hypothesis, the LHS of (1) equals

$$(-1)^{k-1} \cdot \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (-1)^k(k+1)^2 = (-1)^k(k+1) \cdot \left(-\frac{k}{2} + k + 1\right) = (-1)^k(k+1) \frac{k+2}{2},$$

which is exactly the RHS of (1). This completes the proof of the inductive step.
Therefore, by mathematical induction, the original equality holds for all integers $n \geq 1$.

[Grading rules:] The base case is worth 5 points. In the inductive step, stating the equality holds for $n = k$ **and** stating the corresponding equation is worth 10 points. Alternatively, a correct application of the induction hypothesis is worth 10 points. The rest of the derivation is worth 15 points.

For graders only	Question	1	2(a)	2(b)	3(a)	3(b)	Bonus	Total
	Marks							

QUESTION 2. **(30 marks)**

(a) (10 points) What is $2020^{1812} \bmod 30$?

[Solution:] First, we have $2020 \bmod 30 = 10$. Observe that $10^2 \bmod 30 = 10$, we know that $10^n \bmod 30 = 10$ for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore $2020^{1812} \bmod 30 = 10^{1812} \bmod 30 = 10$.
[Grading rules:] Getting $2020 \bmod 30 = 10$ is worth 4 points and reducing $2020^{1812} \bmod 30$ to $10^{1812} \bmod 30$ is worth another 1 point. The rest is worth 5 points. Note that a direct claim $10^{1812} \bmod 30 = 10$ without any reasoning is not an acceptable argument.

(b) Let \mathbb{R} denote the set of reals. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, let $P(x, y)$ denote the predicate “ $x^2 - x + 2020y \geq 0$ ”. What are the truth values of these statements?

- (i) (10 points) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R}, P(x, y)$.
- (ii) (10 points) $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, P(x, y)$.

[Solution:]
(i) The statement is true. One can just choose $y = -(x^2 - x)/2020$.
(ii) The statement is false. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $y = -(x^2 - x)/2020 - 1$, then $P(x, y)$ is false.

[Grading rules:] For each subquestion, stating correctly its truth value is worth 3 points and the reason is worth another 7 points.

QUESTION 3.

(40 marks)

BONUS QUESTION.

(10 marks)

(a) (20 points) Show that $q \wedge \neg(p \rightarrow q)$ is a contradiction.

[Proof:]

$$\begin{aligned} q \wedge \neg(p \rightarrow q) &\equiv q \wedge \neg(\neg p \vee q) \\ &\equiv q \wedge (p \wedge \neg q) \\ &\equiv (q \wedge \neg q) \wedge p \\ &\equiv F \wedge p \\ &\equiv F \end{aligned}$$

[Grading rules:] Each equality above is worth 4 points. For a truth table of 2 variables and 4 rows, each row is worth 5 points and no partial credits are given for an incorrect row.

(b) (20 points) Determine whether the following argument is valid¹.

$$\begin{aligned} &(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (r \vee s); \\ &\neg r; \\ &p \rightarrow q; \\ &p; \\ &\therefore s. \end{aligned}$$

[Solution:] The argument is valid, as shown by the following inference table.

Step	Formula	Reason
(1)	$p \rightarrow q$	Premise
(2)	p	Premise
(3)	q	(1)+(2), modus ponens
(4)	$p \wedge q$	(2)+(3), conjunctive addition
(5)	$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (r \vee s)$	Premise
(6)	$r \vee s$	(4)+(5), modus ponens
(7)	$\neg r$	Premise
(8)	s	(6)+(7), disjunctive syllogism

[Grading rules:] One needs to apply the inference rules four times. Each application is worth 5 points. A correct argument based on the truth table is also acceptable (for which one may assume that p is true and r is false and have only two variables q and s in the table).

¹The inference rules you may use are: modus ponens, modus tollens, conjunctive simplification, conjunctive addition, disjunctive addition, disjunctive syllogism, rule of contradiction and disjunction elimination.