Student: Talgatov Ramazan

Group: SE-2405

University: Astana IT University

Course: Design Analysis and

Algorithms

Date: October 2025

Project Overview This project implements Prim's and Kruskal's algorithms to find the Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) of weighted graphs. It simulates optimizing a transportation network by connecting all vertices (cities) with minimal total edge cost, ensuring connectivity with no cycles.

Algorithms used:

- **Prim's Algorithm:** Greedy algorithm building MST by expanding from a starting vertex and choosing minimum-weight edges.
- **Kruskal's Algorithm:** Greedy algorithm sorting all edges and adding them to the MST if they do not form a cycle.

Execution Time:

• Times are measured in milliseconds for each dataset

• Operation counts are represented indirectly via the cost (sum of weights in MST).

| Orapi. | 701000 | 2 9 | 111110050 | rti dartaroose | | askarrineri |
|--------|--------|-----|-----------|----------------|------|-------------|
| graph1 | 5 | 5 | 50 | 50 | 4.8 | 1.82 |
| graph2 | 14 | 14 | 121 | 121 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| graph3 | 21 | 25 | 158 | 158 | 0.13 | 0.06 |

2. Comparison of Prim's and Kruskal's Algorithms

Theoretical Comparison

| Aspect | Prim's Algorithm | Kruskal's Algorithm | |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Time Complexity | O(V²) (adjacency matrix) / O(E log V) (priority queue) | O(E log E) due to sorting edges | |
| Space Complexity | $O(V^2)$ (adjacency matrix) / $O(V + E)$ (adjacency list) | O(V + E) | |
| Best for | Dense graphs | Sparse graphs | |
| Edge Representation | Adjacency matrix preferred | Edge list preferred | |
| Implementation Complexity | Moderate | Simple | |

Practical Comparison (Based on Results)

Execution Time: Kruskal's algorithm was consistently faster in all tested graphs, even for small graphs.

- Cost: Both algorithms produced identical MST costs, confirming correctness.
- Graph Size & Density:
 - o For small or sparse graphs, Kruskal is slightly more efficient.
 - o For larger, dense graphs, Prim with a priority queue may outperform Kruskal.

3. Conclusions

- **Correctness:** Both algorithms correctly computed the MST.
- **Efficiency:** Kruskal's algorithm showed better performance in these examples, particularly for sparse graphs.
- Recommendation:
 - o Use **Prim's algorithm** for dense graphs with adjacency matrices.
 - Use Kruskal's algorithm for sparse graphs with edge lists or when simplicity is preferred.
- **Implementation Considerations:** Priority queue optimizations for Prim can make it competitive for larger graphs.



