

# Normal Deformation and Normal Cones

---

大柴 寿浩

2024 年 7 月 8 日

## sect.4.1

---

# Normal Deformation

- $X$ : a manifold of  $\dim M = n$
- $M \subset X$ : a closed submanifold of  $\text{codim } M = l$
- $T_M X$ : the normal bundle to  $M$  in  $X$

We defined the **normal deformation** of  $M$  in  $X$ :

- $\tilde{X}_M$
- $p: \tilde{X}_M \rightarrow X$
- $t: \tilde{X}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

# Normal Deformation

$p$  and  $t$  satisfy the following conditions:

$$(4.1.3) \quad \begin{cases} p^{-1}(X - M) \cong (X - M) \times (\mathbf{R} - \{0\}), \\ t^{-1}(\mathbf{R} - \{0\}) \cong X \times (\mathbf{R} - \{0\}), \\ t^{-1}(0) \cong T_M X. \end{cases}$$

# Normal Deformation

- $\Omega := t^{-1}(]0, +\infty[)$
- $j: \Omega \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}_M$
- $\tilde{p} := p \circ j$

(4.1.5)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_M X \hookrightarrow & & \tilde{X}_M & \xleftarrow{j} & \Omega \\ \tau \downarrow & \xrightarrow{s} & \downarrow p & & \swarrow \tilde{p} \\ M \hookrightarrow & & X & & \end{array}$$

$i$

# Normal Deformation

## Claim

$\tilde{p}$  is smooth and  $\Omega$  is isomorphic to  $X \times \mathbf{R}^+$  by the map  $(\tilde{p}, t)$ .

**Proof.** We have  $\tilde{p}^{-1}(X) = j^{-1}p^{-1}(X) = \Omega$  by the definition of  $\tilde{p}$  and the surjectivity of  $p$ . The condition about tangent maps is a local property, and the claim follows.

We have  $t^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^+) \cong \Omega$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{p}, t)(\Omega) &\cong \tilde{p}(\Omega) \times t(\Omega) \\ &\cong X \times \mathbf{R}^+.\end{aligned}$$

The inverse morphism is induced by (4.1.3). □

# Normal Deformation

## Claim

$p^{-1}(M)$  is the union of  $T_M X$  and  $M \times \mathbf{R}$ .

**Proof.** We can see locally

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-1}(M) &= \left\{ (x, t) \in \tilde{X}_M; (tx', x'') \in M \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (x, t) \in \tilde{X}_M; tx' = 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (x, t) \in \tilde{X}_M; t = 0, \text{ or } x' = 0 \right\} \\ &= T_M X \cup (M \times \mathbf{R}). \end{aligned}$$



# Normal Deformation

## Claim

$T_M X \cap (M \times \mathbf{R}) = M \times \{0\}$  coincides with the zero-section of  $T_M X$ .

**Proof.** As how we consider above,

$$\begin{aligned} T_M X \cap (M \times \mathbf{R}) &= t^{-1}(0) \cap (M \times \mathbf{R}) \\ &= \left\{ (x, t) \in \tilde{X}_M; \ t = 0, \text{ and } x' = 0 \right\} \\ &= M \times \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $M \times \{0\} \cong M \subset T_M X$ . □



## Definition ([KS90, Def.4.1.1])

(i) For  $S \subset X$ , the **normal cone to  $S$  along  $M$**  is

$$C_M(S) = T_M X \cap \overline{\tilde{p}^{-1}(S)}.$$

(ii) For  $S_1, S_2 \subset X$ , we define  $C(S_1, S_2) := C_{\Delta_X}(S_1 \times S_2) \subset TX$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\Delta_X}(X \times X) & \longrightarrow & TX \\ \Psi & & \Psi \\ ((x, x), (\xi, 0)) & \longmapsto & (x, \xi) \end{array}$$

# Normal Cones

We can write an element of  $T_{\Delta_X}(X \times X)$  as

$$((x, x), (\xi, 0)).$$

Indeed, for  $(\xi, \eta) \in T_{(x,x)}(X \times X)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\xi, \eta) + T_{(x,x)}\Delta_X &= \{(\xi + \zeta, \eta + \zeta); \zeta \in T_{(x,x)}\Delta_X\} \\&= \{(\xi + (\zeta - \eta), \eta + (\zeta - \eta)); \zeta \in T_{(x,x)}\Delta_X\} \\&= \{(\xi - \eta, 0) + \zeta; \zeta \in T_{(x,x)}\Delta_X\} \\&= (\xi - \eta, 0) + T_{(x,x)}\Delta_X.\end{aligned}$$

That is to say, we can make any vectors  $(\xi, \eta)$  in  $T_{\Delta_X}(X \times X)$  in the form  $(\zeta, 0)$  preserving the difference between the first and second entries.

# Normal Cones

## Claim

1.  $C_M(S)$  is a closed conic subset of  $T_M X$ .
2. its projection onto  $M$  is the set  $M \cap \overline{S}$ .

**Proof.** 1. The claim follows from

$$\begin{aligned} C_M(S) &= T_M X \cap \overline{\tilde{p}^{-1}(S)} \\ &= T_M X \cap \overline{\{(x'/t, x'') \in \Omega; x \in S, t \in \mathbf{R}^+\}} \\ &= T_M X \cap \overline{\mathbf{R}^+ \{(x', x'') \in \Omega; x \in S\}}. \end{aligned}$$



Proof. 2. ????

$$\tau(C_M(S)) \stackrel{?}{=} M \cap \overline{S}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(C_M(S)) &= \tau(T_M X \cap \overline{\tilde{p}^{-1}(S)}) \\ &= \tau\left(s^{-1}\left(\overline{\tilde{p}^{-1}(S)}\right)\right) \\ &= \tau\left(s^{-1}\left(\overline{j^{-1}(p^{-1}(S))}\right)\right) \\ &= \tau\left(\overline{s^{-1}(j^{-1}(p^{-1}(S)))}\right)\end{aligned}$$

□

As a consequence of the claim,

$$C(S_1, S_2) \subset TX: \text{ closed conic.}$$

If  $M$  is a closed submanifold, then  $C(S, M)$  is the inverse image of  $C_M(S)$  by the projection  $M \times_X TX \rightarrow T_M X$  by the next proposition.

# References

---

[KS90] Kashiwara, Schapira *Sheaves on Manifolds*, Springer, 1990.