

1 Introduction

Over the past decades, gauge theories have been one of the most important subjects in theoretical physics. They describe the fundamental interaction among elementary particles and are building blocks of the standard model of particle physics. Still, however, the non-perturbative dynamics of quantum gauge theories has not been fully uncovered.

A breakthrough was initiated by the discovery of exact solutions to the low energy effective action of certain $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories in the pioneering works by Seiberg and Witten [1, 2]. In their work, a Riemann surface and a differential on it, today such a pair is referred to as “Seiberg-Witten curve,” played a significant role, and it brought to us rich connections to two-dimensional integrable systems [3–5]. It was also the first time that the techniques in algebraic geometry were introduced to theoretical physics.

The work of Seiberg and Witten was based on certain assumptions on the strong coupling behavior of the relevant gauge theories, but it was somewhat heuristic. Then, it was a great progress when it was shown that the mathematical description for the prepotential conjectured by Seiberg and Witten can be obtained by an honest calculation of the quantum corrections to a certain two-parameter deformation of the prepotential to all orders in the instanton expansion [6–8].

Soon after these prominent works, there were two more important developments in the study of supersymmetric gauge theories. The first is that Pestun formulated so-called the method of supersymmetric localization [9], which is a systematic way to exactly compute partition functions and observables for a class of supersymmetric gauge theories. Based on Pestun’s result, another progress was achieved by Alday, Gaiotto and Tachikawa [10], which is now well known as AGT correspondence. The AGT correspondence relates four-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories and two-dimensional (non-supersymmetric) Liouville/Toda conformal field theory. Not only did it provide new interesting dualities among quantum field theories, but also has promoted fruitful interactions between physicists and mathematicians. These interesting phenomena, involving the work of Seiberg and Witten, can naturally be understood from the string theory viewpoint [11, 12]. In addition, the techniques to compute exact physical quantities provide us many nontrivial checks for AGT correspondence, AdS/CFT correspondence, and other dualities in quantum field theories such as S-duality.

Behind the “exact solvability” of supersymmetric gauge theories, generically there are certain integrable structures, as in Seiberg-Witten curve, and they play a crucial role for the gauge theories to be exactly solvable. In fact, it is suggested that the AGT correspondence and many related developments can ultimately be understood as consequences of the integrable structure in supersymmetric field theories [13, 14]. In some cases concrete correspondences between supersymmetric gauge theory and integrable system were established, e.g. [15–20]. Then, we come up with two questions:

- Why is there an integrable system behind supersymmetric gauge theory?
- Why, at all, does integrable system exist?

The second question might look fancy, but we will explain its meaning in a moment. It turns out that these two questions are really addressed into a single answer: it is because there is a *topological* quantum field theory equipped with line operators localized in extra dimensions. This statement is to be the main theme of this thesis, but let us pause here and begin with a brief introduction to integrable system.

Integrable system, or integrable model,¹ may have several definitions (or characterizations), but a canonical one should be the *Yang-Baxter equation* with *spectral parameters*, that is graphically represented by

$$\begin{array}{c} i \\ \nearrow \\ \text{---} \\ \nwarrow \\ j \\ \text{---} \\ k \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} i \\ \nwarrow \\ \text{---} \\ \nearrow \\ j \\ \text{---} \\ k \end{array}, \quad (1.1)$$

eq:intro_yb
e0

where three intersecting lines are called *rapidity lines* in the literature. Each rapidity line carries a set of a vector space V and a spectral parameter u taking values in a Riemann surface. At each crossing of the two lines, say i and j , we associate an *R-matrix*:

$$\begin{array}{c} i \\ \nearrow \\ \text{---} \\ \nwarrow \\ j \\ \text{---} \end{array} =: R_{ij}(u_i, u_j) \in \text{End}(V_i \otimes V_j). \quad (1.2)$$

Then, the Yang-Baxter equation reads

$$R_{ij}(u_i, u_j)R_{ik}(u_i, u_k)R_{jk}(u_j, u_k) = R_{jk}(u_j, u_k)R_{ik}(u_i, u_k)R_{ij}(u_i, u_j), \quad (1.3)$$

eq:intro_yb
e

where each R-matrix is extended to an operator $R_{ij} := R_{ij} \otimes \text{id}_{V_k} \in \text{End}(V_i \otimes V_j \otimes V_k)$, etc. R-matrix satisfying the Yang-Baxter equation implies a global relation, that is, the transfer matrices constructed from the R-matrices commute each other. When we have commuting transfer matrices, by expanding them with respect to the spectral parameters, we obtain an infinite number of commuting conserved charges, that is another definition of integrability.

Once we have the Yang-Baxter equation, we solve it and the solution yields an integrable model. This is, however, highly nontrivial. For example, if one naively tries to solve the Yang-Baxter equation (1.3), one finds that these equations are over-constrained in general. To see this, let all the vector spaces V_i be N -dimensional for simplicity. Then the R-matrix associated with each crossing has four boundary lines and hence has $O(N^4)$ components. On the other hand, the Yang-Baxter equation, associated with diagrams with six boundary lines, has $O(N^6)$ equations. Even if one considers the simplest nontrivial case, $N = 2$, the R-matrix has 16 components while it is constrained by 64 equations. The larger N becomes, this over-counting problem gets severer. Thus, at first sight it seems hopeless that we could have a solution to the Yang-Baxter equation (1.3), but we know there exist solutions as well.

Now we arrive at the question: why integrable model exists? If we have a good understanding of this question, then we can try to understand existing integrable models from a

¹Throughout this thesis, we will use the terms *integrable system* and *integrable model* interchangeably.




Figure 1: In the Gauge/YBE correspondence, the quiver diagram specifying a gauge theory is identified with the lattice of an integrable spin system.

fig:intro_g
auge_ybe

unified perspective. Furthermore, we may try to find new integrable models based on such understanding. Indeed, there are two approaches to this question, both based on the ideas from quantum field theory. These two approaches can also provide an answer to the first question given above. Let us introduce them in order.

The first approach is based on four-dimensional analogue of Chern-Simons theory, which is a cousin of the usual three-dimensional Chern-Simons theory but somewhat exotic. The four-dimensional Chern-Simons theory can be defined only on a product manifold of a two-dimensional plane and a Riemann surface, and the theory is partially topological and partially holomorphic on each two-manifold. This theory was pioneered by Costello [21, 22], and further investigated in [23–25]. The ordinary three-dimensional Chern-Simons theory produces quantum invariants of knots, links, and three-manifolds [26]. It is well known that knots and links are closely related to integrable systems (see e.g. [27] and the references therein), but a direct connection between Chern-Simons theory and integrable systems was missing. Costello, Witten and Yamazaki showed that in four-dimensional Chern-Simons theory, line operators extending the topological plane generate a lattice model, and it is really an integrable lattice model. An important fact here is that the line operators can be elongated only in the topological plane, and their coordinates localized at the Riemann surface play the role of spectral parameters. Therefore, what they demonstrated is that an integrable lattice model comes from line operators in a topological quantum field theory with extra dimensions.

Another approach is based on supersymmetric quiver gauge theories, which is our main focus in this thesis. Today this approach is called *Gauge/YBE correspondence* [18, 19]. This correspondence claims a more direct relation between the partition function, or supersymmetric index, of quiver gauge theories and the partition function of integrable lattice models. In fact, in this correspondence there is one-by-one mapping between quiver gauge theories and integrable lattice models, such as an identification between the quiver diagram and the lattice of an integrable spin system; see figure 1. A topological structure behind the Gauge/YBE correspondence was elucidated in [28]. It is again a topological quantum field theory equipped with line operators localized in extra dimensions.

Based on these observations, we may conclude that the answer to the question “why is

there an integrable system behind supersymmetric gauge theory” is that we have a topological quantum field theory with line operators. Moreover, this also answers to the question “why does integrable system exist,” since it is manifest that the Yang-Baxter equation (1.1) is satisfied by the topological nature of the system. (Here notice that rapidity lines shown in (1.1) are viewed as line operators in a topological quantum field theory.)

The aim of this thesis is to give a unified picture of the above two approaches using branes in string theory, and present a more elaborate description of the correspondence between supersymmetric gauge theories and integrable lattice models. By doing so, we can proceed to introducing further defect operators in supersymmetric gauge theories. Then we study its counterpart in integrable lattice model side. The introduction of such additional defects enriches our correspondence, and hopefully provide an insight into a new integrable system. We will mostly work in the second approach, namely the correspondence between quiver gauge theory and integrable lattice model. Both of our approach and that of four-dimensional Chern-Simons theory can eventually be embedded into string theory setup [29]. We will make comments on that aspect and clarify the relationship between the two from the perspective of string dualities.

Organization of the thesis

The structure of the thesis is shown as in figure 2. Section ?? is devoted to a collection of basic facts regarding topological quantum field theory, integrable lattice model, and their realization by brane construction in string theory. In section ??, we briefly review the natural requirements for quantum field theory and introduce Atiyah’s axioms of topological quantum field theory. Then we introduce lattice model as a discrete version of quantum field theory in section ??. Under these preparations, in section ?? we show that line operators in a topological quantum field theory and integrable lattice models turn out to be equivalent through branes in string theory. In section ??, we get into a concrete setup of the correspondence between quiver gauge theories on spacetime $S^1 \times S^3$ and integrable lattice models of elliptic type. There we add on additional surface defects in gauge theory side, and verify that they are identified with the transfer matrix of the corresponding integrable lattice model. In section ??, we consider line defects in circular and linear quiver gauge theories on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, which is based on the author’s work [30]. Such line defects are represented as half-BPS Wilson-’t Hooft lines in gauge theories and identified with transfer matrices of trigonometric type. A variant of the AGT correspondence implies an identification of the transfer matrices with Verlinde operators in Toda conformal field theory, which we also verify. We make comments in section ?? how these field theory setups are related to four-dimensional Chern-Simons theory via embedding into string theory and dualities. We conclude the thesis with some future directions in section ??.

We also hope this thesis would be a good introductory review to the beautiful and fascinating but largely unexplored connections among branes, topological quantum field theories, and integrable systems.




Figure 2: Structure of the thesis.

fig:structu
re

References

- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **426** (1994) 19–52, [[hep-th/9407087](#)].
- [2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric QCD*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **431** (1994) 484–550, [[hep-th/9408099](#)].
- [3] A. Gorsky, I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Integrability and Seiberg-Witten exact solution*, *Phys. Lett. B* **355** (1995) 466–474, [[hep-th/9505035](#)].
- [4] E. J. Martinec and N. P. Warner, *Integrable systems and supersymmetric gauge theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **459** (1996) 97–112, [[hep-th/9509161](#)].
- [5] R. Donagi and E. Witten, *Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable systems*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **460** (1996) 299–334, [[hep-th/9510101](#)].
- [6] N. A. Nekrasov, *Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7** (2003) 831–864, [[hep-th/0206161](#)].
- [7] N. Nekrasov and A. Okounkov, *Seiberg-Witten theory and random partitions*, *Prog. Math.* **244** (2006) 525–596, [[hep-th/0306238](#)].
- [8] H. Nakajima and K. Yoshioka, *Instanton counting on blowup. 1.*, *Invent. Math.* **162** (2005) 313–355, [[math/0306198](#)].
- [9] V. Pestun, *Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops*, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **313** (2012) 71–129, [[0712.2824](#)].
- [10] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto and Y. Tachikawa, *Liouville Correlation Functions from Four-dimensional Gauge Theories*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **91** (2010) 167–197, [[0906.3219](#)].
- [11] E. Witten, *Solutions of four-dimensional field theories via M theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **500** (1997) 3–42, [[hep-th/9703166](#)].
- [12] D. Gaiotto, *$N = 2$ dualities*, *JHEP* **08** (2012) 034, [[0904.2715](#)].
- [13] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore and A. Neitzke, *Wall-crossing, Hitchin Systems, and the WKB Approximation*, [0907.3987](#).
- [14] J. Teschner, *Quantization of the Hitchin moduli spaces, Liouville theory, and the geometric Langlands correspondence I*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **15** (2011) 471–564, [[1005.2846](#)].
- [15] N. A. Nekrasov and S. L. Shatashvili, *Supersymmetric vacua and Bethe ansatz*, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **192–193** (2009) 91–112, [[0901.4744](#)].

- [16] N. A. Nekrasov and S. L. Shatashvili, *Quantum integrability and supersymmetric vacua*, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **177** (2009) 105–119, [[0901.4748](#)].
- [17] N. A. Nekrasov and S. L. Shatashvili, *Quantization of Integrable Systems and Four Dimensional Gauge Theories*, in *16th International Congress on Mathematical Physics*, pp. 265–289, 8, 2009, [0908.4052](#), DOI.
- [18] M. Yamazaki, *Quivers, YBE and 3-manifolds*, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 147, [[1203.5784](#)].
- [19] Y. Terashima and M. Yamazaki, *Emergent 3-manifolds from 4d Superconformal Indices*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** (2012) 091602, [[1203.5792](#)].
- [20] M. Yamazaki, *New Integrable Models from the Gauge/YBE Correspondence*, *J. Statist. Phys.* **154** (2014) 895, [[1307.1128](#)].
- [21] K. Costello, *Supersymmetric gauge theory and the Yangian*, [1303.2632](#).
- [22] K. Costello, *Integrable lattice models from four-dimensional field theories*, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **88** (2014) 3–24, [[1308.0370](#)].
- [23] K. Costello, E. Witten and M. Yamazaki, *Gauge Theory and Integrability, I*, [1709.09993](#).
- [24] K. Costello, E. Witten and M. Yamazaki, *Gauge Theory and Integrability, II*, [1802.01579](#).
- [25] K. Costello and M. Yamazaki, *Gauge Theory And Integrability, III*, [1908.02289](#).
- [26] E. Witten, *Quantum Field Theory and the Jones Polynomial*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 351–399.
- [27] M. Wadati, T. Deguchi and Y. Akutsu, *Exactly Solvable Models and Knot Theory*, *Phys. Rept.* **180** (1989) 247.
- [28] J. Yagi, *Quiver gauge theories and integrable lattice models*, *JHEP* **10** (2015) 065, [[1504.04055](#)].
- [29] K. Costello and J. Yagi, *Unification of integrability in supersymmetric gauge theories*, [1810.01970](#).
- [30] K. Maruyoshi, T. Ota and J. Yagi, *Wilson-’t Hooft lines as transfer matrices*, [2009.12391](#).