Grade point	DFD	Psuedocode	Tests	Docs	Style	Git	Workflow
4	DFD is clear, concise,with correct symbols and dataflow	Code is commented, well-organised, and notes where functions are sourced from where relevant	Most functions have some kind of test, some have multiple tests cases	Most code is documented and hosted on the web. Code is commented with useful information.	Code follows a language-appropriate style and variables etc are well-named	Commits are made regularly with clear messages	Workflow mostly accomplishes the desired goal using an appropriate language. It follows logically from the plan and diversions are explained. A result is presented.
3	DFD has correct symbols and dataflow	Code is commented and well-organised	Roughly half the functions are tested	Some code is documented and hosted on the web. Some code is commented.	Some code is styled appropriately, names are mostly sensible	Commits are infrequent. Messages are brief.	Workflow is written in an appropriate language but does not function as intended. It follows logically from the planned work.
2	DFD is partially correct	Code is partially commented and readable	One or two functions have a test	Some code is documented and commented.	A linter was applied	Very few commits	Workflow does not function and does not follow the plan
1	No DFD	Code is unreadable	No tests	No documentation	Code is not styled	One or no commits	Workflow only partially complete