

# MODULAR INTERPRETATION OF CONFIGURATION SPACE $X(2, 4)$

DASHEN YAN

ABSTRACT. In this talk, I will describe the configuration space of  $X(2, 4)$  and  $X\{2, 4\}$  using Weierstrass elliptic function. Next I will use hyper-geometry function and periodic integral to show those configuration spaces have intimate connections with tessellation of complex plane and representation of  $\pi_1(\mathbf{C} - \{0, 1\})$ .

Some useful notation:

- (1)  $D_z = \frac{d}{dz}$
- (2)  $\theta_z = z \frac{d}{dz}$
- (3)  $(M)_k = M.(M+1) \dots (M+k-1)$
- (4) linear system  $E(a, b, c; z)$ : Euler-Gauss hyper-geometric differential equation  $((\theta_z + a)(\theta_z + b) + (\theta_z + c)(\theta_z + 1)\frac{1}{z})f(z) = 0$  or  $(z(1-z)D_z^2 + (c - (a+b+1)z)D_z - ab)f(z) = 0$
- (5) hyper-geometric function:  $F(a, b, c; z) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k(b)_k}{(c)_k k!} z^k$
- (6)  $\Gamma(2) := \{g | g \in SL(2, Z), g \equiv I \pmod{2}\}$

## 1. PRELIMINARY

**Theorem 1.1** (The local existence problem 1). *Let  $B(0, r)$  be an open neighborhood of the origin. Let  $(A(z)) = (a_{ij}(z))_{n \times n}$  be a matrix function, where  $a_{ij}(z)$  is analytic in  $B(0, r)$ . Then the differential equation  $D_z F(z) + A(z)F(z)$  assumes  $n$  linearly independent holomorphic solutions in  $B(0, r)$ .*

proof: observe that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  converges in  $B(0, r)$  iff  $\forall \rho \in (0, 1) \exists M_\rho$  such that  $|a_n| \leq M_\rho(\rho r)^{-n}$ . Let  $A(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n, A_n \in M(n, \mathbf{C})$ . If such  $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n z^n, F_n \in \mathbf{C}^n$  exists, then it must yield to the following relation:

$$(1.1) \quad (n+1)F_{n+1} + \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}F_k = 0$$

To prove this theorem it is suffice to show that such  $M_\rho$  exists for  $\|F_n\| := \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2}$ . Since  $a_{ij}(z)$  is analytic in  $B(0, r)$ ,  $\|A_n\| := \sqrt{\text{tr}(A_n A_n^*)} < M_\rho(\rho r)^{-n-1}$ . We claim that  $\|F_k\| < \frac{(M_\rho)_k}{k!}(\rho r)^{-k}\|F_0\|$ . The inequality holds when  $n = 0$ , and by induction  $\|F_{n+1}\| < \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M_\rho(\rho r)^{-n-1} \frac{\Gamma(M_\rho+k)}{\Gamma(M_\rho)k!} \|F_0\| = \frac{(M_\rho)_{n+1}}{(n+1)!}(\rho r)^{-n-1}\|F_0\|$ . Moreover we observe that  $(1-z)^{M_\rho} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(M_\rho)_n}{n!} z^n$

converges in  $B(0, 1)$ . So we obtain an inequality:  $\frac{(M_\rho)_n}{n!} < N_\sigma \sigma^{-n}$ , and henceforth  $\|F_n\| < N_{\sqrt{\rho}} \|F_0\| (\rho r)^{-n}$ . Let  $\{F(z)^{(k)}\}$  be the solution of the differential equation, and  $\{F(0)^{(k)}\}$  is linearly independent. We conclude, by calculating their Wronskian,  $\{F(z)^{(k)}\}$  are linearly independent for each  $z \in B(0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (The local existence problem 2). *Let  $B(0, r)$  be an open neighborhood of the origin. Let  $(B(z)) = (B_{ij}(z))_{n \times n}$  be a matrix function, where  $b_{ij}(z)$  is analytic in  $B(0, r)$ . If  $B_0$  is semisimple and does not have eigenvalues in negative integer, the differential equation  $\theta_z F(z) + B(z)F(z)$  assumes  $n$  linearly independent solutions of the form  $z^{s_i} G_i(z)$ , where  $\det(s_i I + B_0) = 0$  and  $G_i(z)$  is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin.*

Proof: One can use Frobenius method to show that the formal power series  $F(z) = z^s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n z^n$  is the solution to  $\theta_z F(z) + B(z)F(z)$  iff  $(sI + B_0)F_0 = 0$  and  $((s + n + 1)I + B_0)F_{n+1} + \sum_{k=0}^n B_{n+1-k} F_k = 0$ . Since  $c_1 \|A\| \leq \sup_{v, \|v\|=1} \|Av\| \leq c_2 \|A\|$ , we obtain  $\|((s_i + k + 1)I + B_0)^{-1}\| < K(k + 1)^{-1}$ ,  $K$  is a constant. It is easy to show  $\|F_n\| < \frac{(M_\rho)_n K^n}{n!} (\rho r)^{-n} \|F_0\|$ . The rest of the proof is trivial.

**Theorem 1.3** (Analytic continuation along curves in a homotopy equivalent class). *Let  $F(z)$  is a local solution of a differential equation on an path-connected open subset  $\Omega$ . If  $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0) = z_0, \gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1) = z_1$ , and if  $\gamma_1$  is homotopy to  $\gamma_2$  in  $\Omega$  relative to  $z_0, z_1$ , the analytic continuation of  $F(z)$  along  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  give the same value at  $z_1$ .*

proof: Denote the homotopy between  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  as  $h : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ . According to the local existence theorem, each point in  $\Omega$  has an open neighborhood that admits a unique solution. This gives rise to an open covering of  $\Omega$ . Since  $h$  is continuous, we can define an open covering on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Thanks to Lebesgue number lemma, one can divide this square equally into  $n^2$  smaller ones  $\{Q_{ij}\}$ , each of which is contained in an element of the covering. By tube lemma,  $Q_{k1}, Q_{kn}$  can be chosen to lie in the preimage of the neighborhood of  $z_0, z_1$  respectively. By induction  $F$  assumes a same value on the rightest boundary of  $\cup_0^k Q_{ln}$ .

*Remark 1.4.* This proposition implies that the analytic continuation induces a finite dimensional representation of  $\pi_1(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 1.5** (Schwartz reflection principal).

proof: One can refer to any textbook on complex analysis.

## 2. CONFIGURATION SPACES

**Definition 2.1.** Define  $X(k, n), k \leq n$  the quotient space of  $GL(k) \backslash M^*(k, n) / H(n)$ , where  $M^*(k, n)$  is the subset of  $M(k, n)$  with no degenerated  $k$ -minors.  $H(n)$  consists of diagonal matrices. Define  $X\{k, n\}$  to be  $S_n \backslash X(k, n)$ .

*Remark 2.2.* We can view  $X(2, n)$  as the equivalent class of  $n$  distinct points on  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  under mobius transformation.

In this talk I focus on the case when  $n = 4, k = 2$ , and to honest this is as far as I can go for the geometry of  $X(n, k)$  is rather complicated.

**Proposition 2.3.**  $X(2, 4) \simeq \mathbf{C} - \{0, 1\}$

proof: One can obtain a canonical element in the equivalent class.

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

**Proposition 2.4.**  $S_4$  acting on  $X(2, 4)$ .

proof: Let  $N = \{(12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$   $X(2, 4)$  is invariant under  $N$  action.  $(12) : \lambda \mapsto \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,  $(13) : \lambda \mapsto 1 - \lambda$ .  $(123) : \lambda \mapsto 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $G$  be the subgroup of automorphism of rational function field and  $G$  is generated by  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,  $1 - \lambda$ , then  $\mathbf{C}(x)^G = \mathbf{C}(j(\lambda))$ , where  $j(\lambda) = \frac{4(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^3}{27\lambda^2(1 - \lambda)^2}$

Hints: find out the subgroup of  $S_3$ .

**Proposition 2.6.**  $X\{2, 4\} \simeq \mathbf{C}$

proof:  $j(\lambda) : \mathbf{C} - \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is surjective (fundamental theorem of calculus). Injective of  $j(\lambda)$  from  $X\{2, 4\}$  can be shown by studying the fix points of the group action.

**Proposition 2.7.** Basic facts about Weierstrass elliptic function Let  $L$  be a lattice of rank 2 spanned by  $\omega_1, \omega_2$   $\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\omega \in L - \{0\}} \frac{1}{(z - \omega)^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2}$

- (1)  $g_2 = 60 \sum_{\omega \in L - \{0\}} \frac{1}{\omega^4}$ ,  $g_3 = 140 \sum_{\omega \in L - \{0\}} \frac{1}{\omega^6}$ .  $(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 - g_2\wp - g_3$ .
- (2)  $\mathbf{C}/L \simeq \{z_1 : z_2 : z_3 | z_2^2 z_3 = 4z_1^3 - g_2 z_1 z_3^2 - g_3 z_3^3\}$ ,  $z \mapsto \wp(z) : \wp'(z) : 1$
- (3) Since  $\wp'(z)$  is an odd elliptic function, then  $\wp'(z)$  has three zeros at  $\frac{\omega_1}{2}, \frac{\omega_2}{2}, \frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2}$ . And this implies  $e_1 = \wp(\frac{\omega_1}{2})$ ,  $e_2 = \wp(\frac{\omega_2}{2})$ ,  $e_3 = \wp(\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{2})$  are the only three zeros of the cubic  $4z^3 - g_2 z - g_3 = 0$ .
- (4) modular function: define  $\tau = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$  and  $\lambda(\tau) = \frac{e_3 - e_2}{e_1 - e_2}$ .
- (5)  $\mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  acting on  $\lambda$ .  $\lambda(\tau + 2) = \lambda(\frac{1}{2\tau + 1}) = \lambda(\tau)$  and  $\lambda(\tau + 1) = \frac{\lambda(\tau)}{\lambda(\tau) - 1}$ ,  $\lambda(\frac{-1}{\tau}) = 1 - \lambda(\tau)$ . Henceforth this action can factor through  $\mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})/\Gamma(2)$ .

**Proposition 2.8.**  $\mathbf{H}/\Gamma(2) \simeq \mathbf{C} - \{0, 1\}$

proof: set  $\omega_1 = 1$  then

$$(2.2) \quad e_3 - e_2 = \pi^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\cos^2 \pi(n - \frac{1}{2})\tau} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \pi(n - \frac{1}{2})\tau}$$

$$(2.3) \quad e_1 - e_2 = \pi^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\cos^2 \pi n \tau} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \pi (n - \frac{1}{2}) \tau}$$

Use argument principle.

**Proposition 2.9.**  $\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathbf{C}$

proof: trivial.

### 3. HYPER-GEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND LOADED PATH

One can check the solutions of  $E(a, b, c; z)$  around  $0, 1, \infty$  are the following:

Let  $\xi = \frac{1}{z}$ ,  $\zeta = 1 - z$ .

And by computation one find:

(1) At  $z=0$ .  $B_0$  :

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & c-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So we obtain two solutions:  $F(a, b, c; z), z^{1-c}F(a+1-c, b+1-c, 2-c; z)$

(2) At  $z=1$ .  $B_0$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & a+b-c \end{bmatrix}$$

So we obtain two solution:  $F(a, b, a+b+c-1; 1-z), (1-z)^{c-a-b}F(c-b, c-a, c-a-b+1; 1-z)$

(3) At  $z = \infty$ .  $B_0$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ ab & -a-b \end{bmatrix}$$

two solution would be  $z^{-a}F(a, 1+a-c, a-b+1; \frac{1}{z}) z^{-b}F(1+b-c, b, b-a+1; \frac{1}{z})$

**Definition 3.1.** Define Schwartz map  $Pev : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$   $z \mapsto f_1(z) : f_2(z)$ , where  $f_1, f_2$  are two given linearly independent solutions of  $E(a, b, c; z)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**  $\Re c > \Re a > 0$  then

$$F(a, b, c; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^1 t^a (1-t)^{c-a-1} (1-tz)^{-b} dt$$

proof: by direct computation.

I would like to get rid of the restriction of the real part of  $a, c$ . One can generalize this formula by integrating the integrand along Pochhammer loop around  $0, 1, \gamma$  instead of interval  $(0, 1)$ .

Let  $\gamma_1$  be the path consists of  $\overrightarrow{(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\epsilon)}$ , counter-clockwise circle  $C(1, \epsilon)$  and  $\overrightarrow{(1-\epsilon, \frac{1}{2})}$ .  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_1$  are symmetric about  $\Re z = \frac{1}{2}$ . Define  $\gamma$  to be

$\gamma_1 * \gamma_2^{-1} * \gamma_1^{-1} * \gamma_2$ . One may observe that  $[\gamma_1], [\gamma_2]$  generate  $\pi_1(\mathbf{C} - \{0, 1\}, \frac{1}{2})$ , and that  $[\gamma]$  is a commutator of this group.

**Proposition 3.3.** *let  $\gamma$  be the Pochhammer loop around any two point of  $\{0, 1, \frac{1}{z}, \infty\}$ , then  $\int_{\gamma} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{c-a-1}(1-zt)^{-b}dt$  is a solution of  $E(a, b, c; z)$ .*

proof: Define Euler kernel  $K(t, z, \lambda) = (1-tz)^{\lambda}P(D_z) = (a + D_z)(b + D_z) - (c + D_z)(1 + D_z)\frac{1}{z}$ .  $Q(t, \theta_t) = \sum a_j(t)D_t^j$  and  $Q^* = \sum (-1)^j D_t^j a_j(t)$ ,  $G(t) = t^{a-1}(1-t)^{c-a-1}$ . Since the continuation of  $G$  along  $\gamma$  gives the same value. So we can integrate by part.

(3.4)

$$\int G(t)P(D_z)K(t, z, b) = \int G(t)Q(t, \theta_t)K(t, z, b+1) = \int Q^*(t, \theta_t)G(t)K(t, z, b+1) = 0$$

(I am typing the rest of this notes)

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Gert Heckman: Tsinghua Lectures on Hypergeometric Functions *Radboud University Nijmegen* December 8, 2015.
- [2] Yoshida M. Hypergeometric functions, my love: modular interpretations of configuration spaces[M]. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [3] Ahlfors L V, Ahlfors L V, Ahlfors L V, et al. Complex analysis: an introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable[M]. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, 230026, P.R. CHINA,