## tool-test Tool Validation

## Data Management Software Solutions

## December 19, 2018

#### Abstract

In this document tool-test is the Configuration Item being validated and Report Package is the tool-test Report Package. tool-test is validated after this Report Package has been executed, test evidence has been obtained, and test evidence supports the conclusion Intended Use Requirements (IUR) are satisfied. This document is stored in Fresenius-Kabi's (Company) Quality Management System after tool-test has been validated.

## Contents

	0.1	Purpose
	0.2	Scope
		Deviations
	0.4	Tool Validation Objectives
	0.5	General Terms
	0.6	General Acronyms
	0.7	References
	0.8	Training
	0.9	Tool Validation Test Approach
	0.10	Configuration Management
	0.11	Test Plan Instructions
	0.12	Test Plan Storage and Review
1	Test	Plan Overview
2	Test	Evidence
3	Conf	figuration Item Conclusion



### 0.1 Purpose

This Report Package is a detailed record that provides a Configuration Item overview, a list of its Intended Use Requirements (IUR), one or more Test Reports, evidence the Test Reports ran, along with the output produced by the Test Report. The Test Report includes a pass/fail result for each Test Step and Test Report, a statement indicating the Configuration Item has a Configuration Identification and a conclusion that the Configuration Item has been validated for its intended use.

### 0.2 Scope

This Report Package applies to Company medical device software projects that have determined a Configuration Item must be validated for its intended use. This Report Package covers activities associated with validating a Configuration Item for its intended use requirements.

### 0.3 Deviations

The process governing the creation of this protocol and report deviates from the normal standard operating procedure (SOP-FQA02002 Protocols and Reports). This document combines both the protocol and the report. Normally the protocol is released first and report is released after the protocol is executed. This document represents an automated protocol execution facilitated through the use of automation scripting and software. The review of a paper protocol and pre-approval of said protocol does not satisfy the need to review the automated components used for the generation of this document. As a result, the automated components which codify the actual test protocol are reviewed by a technical approver as this document and the components are developed. This technical approver is an approver of this document and their approval indicates the automated components effectively test the article under test to meet the intended use as specified in the user requirements.

Additionally data obtained from the execution of the protocol is collected and presented in the grey boxes as objective evidence from the automated test application. Normally this would not be presented together with the protocol, but given this is an automated process in a combined document; this is an effective means of retaining and presenting the objective evidence for review and approval.

Finally, presenting the protocol and the report together allows for a single step automation process that can be easily maintained and re-executed. Re-execution is often desired due to changes to the article under test or changes to user needs.

#### 0.4 Tool Validation Objectives

- 1. Describe the intended use of the tool.
- 2. Set the purpose and scope for the tool validation effort.
- 3. Enumerate intended use requirements.
- 4. Disclose compliance criteria.
- 5. Define Tool validation acceptance criteria.
- 6. Identify responsible persons and their roles.
- 7. Document required deliverables.
- 8. Define specific test steps and test steps to confirm that the Tool's intended use requirements have been met.
- 9. Collect test evidence.
- 10. Record Tool validation conclusion.

#### 0.5 General Terms

Configuration Control The systematic process for managing changes to and established baseline.

Configuration Identification A unique identifier used to associate a collection of software

artifacts.



Configuration Items Software source code, executables, build scripts, and other

software development and software test artifacts relevant

to creating and maintaining a software project.

Configuration Status Accounting The recording and reporting of the information needed to

effectively manage the software and documentation com-

ponents of a software project.

Report Package A detailed record that provides a Configuration Item overview,

a list of its Intended Use Requirements (IUR), one or more Test Reports, evidence the Test Reports ran along with the output produced by Test Report including a pass/fail result for each Test Step and Test Report, and a statement indicating the Configuration Item has a Configuration Identification, and conclusion that the Configuration

Item has been validated for its intended use.

**Test Plan** A test plan is a collection of one or more test suites a tester

has determined to use to challenge requirements.

**Test Suite** A test suite is a collection of one or more test cases a tester

has determined to use to challenge requirements.

Test Case A test case is a set of conditions under which a tester will

determine whether the test is working as it was originally

established for it to do.

Test Step A unique test identifier with predetermined expectation,

confirmation criteria, and pass/fail result.

Test Report A test report consists of Detailed instructions for the set-

up, execution, and evaluation of results for a given test. The test protocol may include one or more test cases for which the steps of the protocol will repeat with different input data. Test cases are chosen to ensure that corner cases in the code and data structures are covered. A test protocol may be a script that is automatically run by the

computer.

#### 0.6 General Acronyms

FDA Food and Drug Administration
IUR Intended Use Requirements
LMS Learning Management System
SOP Standard Operating Procedure
SOUP Software Of Unknown Provenance

#### 0.7 References

- 1. SOP-PRC02004 Software Development Procedure
- 2. SOP-PRC02004 Software Development Procedure; Software Configuration Management
- 3. SOP-FE0101005 Good Documentation Practices
- 4. SOP-FQA02002 Protocols and Reports
- 5. SOP-PRC02001 Issue Tracking Procedure
- 6. 21 CFR 820.70(i) Automated Processes, and General Principles of Software Validation
- General Principles of Software Validation; Final Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff; January 11, 2002



### 0.8 Training

Company's training records are stored in the Quality Management System. Additional training is not reqired because this is an automated test that is executed by the the automated testing platform. SOP-PRC02004 Software Development Procedure provides training required to create, maintain, and execute this testing protocol.

#### 0.9 Tool Validation Test Approach

This Test Plan describes a series of Test Suites, Test Cases, and Test Steps. When executed, each Test Step determines if the Configuration Item satisfies one or more software requirements. When a Test Step indicates that the software requirements are satisfied, the Test Step's result is "pass". Otherwise, the Test Step's result is "fail". The computer records all "pass" and "fail" results in the Test Plan record. The Configuration Item is considered verified when all Test Steps are executed and the Test Plan record contains no "fail" results. Each Test Step that results in a deviation, observation, incident, or failure shall be represented in the final report.

#### 0.10 Configuration Management

When a Configuration Item is changed, we will review the manufacturer's release notes or our design history file (DHF) to determine if regression testing or adjustments to this Report Package is necessary. We will verify the changes do not impact product operation, product quality, or quality decision made prior to performing the upgrade.

#### 0.11 Test Plan Instructions

This Test Plan describes Test Suits, Test Cases, and Test Steps that demonstrate how the Configuration Item satisfies the IUR. Each Test Plan describes any setup criteria needed to conduct the test. Each Test Plan contains a list of IUR\s and the steps that demonstrate how the Configuration Item satisfies the IUR. Each Test Step is marked passed or failed as it is completed. Each Test Plan is marked passed when all Test Steps pass or failed if a single Test Step fails. Failures are addressed per SOP-PRC02001 Issue Tracking Procedure. This serves as a record of the completed test.

Test Plans are automatically run by the computer, generating a report in PDF format. This Report Package is reviewed prior to execution per SOP-PRC02004 Software Development Procedure. The Report Package is routed and archived in the Quality Management System. When it becomes necessary to annotate a computer generated document SOP-FE0101005 Good Documentation Practices must be followed.

## 0.12 Test Plan Storage and Review

This Test Plan is part of a Company's automated validation framework. The framework consists of following parts:

ETEX files are used to provide an Abstract, Introduction, Intended Use Requirements, Test Plan Overview, Test Equipment, Configuration Item Validation, Conclusion, and Change Summary.

ETEX files are converted assembled into PDF documents. PDF documents are routed using the Company's document management system for approval.

Ruby software is used to run the automated framework to collect test evidence.

Git is used as the storage repository for  $\LaTeX$  X YAML files, a Git pull-request is used to review the  $\LaTeX$  X YAML files prior to use.

Evidence Test Plan output includes one Test Suite, Test Plan, and Test Step, and Test Evidence.

YAML files define the Test Plan, Test Suite, and Test Steps that are processed to generate test evidence.



#### 1 Test Plan Overview

This section describes Test Plans, Test Suites, Test Cases, and Test Steps that demonstrate how a Configuration Item satisfies the IUR. Each Test Plan describes any setup criteria needed to conduct the Test Steps. Each Test Plan contains a list of IUR\s and the Test Steps that demonstrate how the Configuration Item satisfies the IUR. Each Test Step is marked passed or failed as it is completed. Each Test Plan is marked passed when all Test Steps pass or failed if a single Test Step fails. This serves as a record of completed Test Plans and Test Steps.

Each Test Plan is described in its own section. The order the Test Plans are listed is the order they are run. Each Test Plan defines:

name Each Plan, Suite, and Case has a unique name.

purpose Each Plan, Suite, and Case has a purpose.

**Test Steps** Each step has a confirmation and expectation along with the command needed

to challenge the IUR.

Objective A record the Test Plan was run along with any evidence collected while the

**Evidence** Test Steps were run.

Traceability Suites and Cases are traced to an IUR that is challenged. IUR can be traced

to multiple Suites and Cases.

Each Test Plan, Test Suite, Test Case, and Test Step has been designed to be run by the computer. However, a person may choose to manually run the Test Plans, save the test results, and generate this test report as specified in the appropriate design documentation.

The example below runs two commands: 1) git help and 2) cat /gitconfig. The output from both commands are written to the system console.

```
plan:
    name: A Test Plan Name
    purpose: purpose of the plan
    name: A Test Suite Name
    purpose: a suite purpose
    requirement: IUR01 and IUR02
      name: A Test Case name
      purpose: A Test Case purpose
12
13
         confirm: Confirm git help is written to the console output.
14
          expectation: Git help is displayed.
16
          command: git
          argument: help
17
          confirm: Confirm .git config is written to the console.
19
          expectation: .gitconfig is written to the console output.
20
          command: cat
          argument: .gitconfig
22
```



## 2 Test Evidence

The Company's automation framework assembles the content in this section. The section has one or more Test Plans, Test Suites, Test Cases, and Test Evidence. The evidence provided is used to conclude the Tool has met the Intended Use Requirements.



# 3 Configuration Item Conclusion

This Report Package has satisfied the IUR for the Configuration Item described herein thus the Configuration Item is considered validated for its intended use.