




Clients Input Data: Alexandria




Clients 1. Total Users Trends, FPET Estimates vs. Service Statistics Estimates (Adjusted and Unadjusted)




Clients 2. Comparing Estimated Total FP Users, Survey/FPET vs. Service Statistics Estimates (Adjusted and Unadjusted)



Clients 3. Users Trends by Method




Clients 4. Comparing Estimated Total FP Users: Service Statistics and Surveys




Clients 5. Comparing Estimate of Modern Users by Method: Service Statistics vs. Survey

Alexandria: 2020




Clients 7. Comparing User Trends: EMU (Service Statistics) and Surveys (FPET)

Alexandria




Clients 6. Comparing Method Mix: Service Statistics vs. Survey

Alexandria: 2020




Clients 8. Comparing Growth Rates: EMU (Service Statistics) and Surveys (FPET)

Alexandria




EMU 1. Comparing EMUs and mCPR (AW)


Alexandria



EMU 2. Comparing Average Annual % Point Growth in mCPR/EMU  
Alexandria












EMU 3. Comparing Comparing Modern Method Users by Method  
Alexandria: 2020




EMU 4. Trends in Users by Method

Alexandria




Clients Input Data: Dorothyville







Clients 1. Total Users Trends, FPET Estimates vs. Service Statistics Estimates (Adjusted and Unadjusted)  
Dorothyville




Clients 2. Comparing Estimated Total FP Users, Survey/FPET vs. Service Statistics Estimates (Adjusted and Unadjusted)  
Dorothyville: 2020



Clients 3. Users Trends by Method  
Dorothyville




Clients 4. Comparing Estimated Total FP Users: Service Statistics and Surveys  
Dorothyville: 2020




Clients 5. Comparing Estimate of Modern Users by Method: Service Statistics vs. Survey

Dorothyville: 2020




Clients 6. Comparing Method Mix: Service Statistics vs. Survey

Dorothyville: 2020




Clients 7. Comparing User Trends: EMU (Service Statistics) and Surveys (FPET)

Dorothyville




Clients 8. Comparing Growth Rates: EMU (Service Statistics) and Surveys (FPET)

Dorothyville




EMU 1. Comparing EMUs and mCPR (AW)


Dorothyville



EMU 2. Comparing Average Annual % Point Growth in mCPR/EMU  
Dorothyville




EMU 3. Comparing Comparing Modern Method Users by Method  
Dorothyville: 2020




EMU 4. Trends in Users by Method


Dorothyville




# Clients Input Data: Hagridland




Clients 1. Total Users Trends, FPET Estimates vs. Service Statistics Estimates (Adjusted and Unadjusted)




Clients 2. Comparing Estimated Total FP Users, Survey/FPET vs. Service Statistics Estimates (Adjusted and Unadjusted)



Clients 3. Users Trends by Method




Clients 4. Comparing Estimated Total FP Users: Service Statistics and Surveys






Clients 5. Comparing Estimate of Modern Users by Method: Service Statistics vs. Survey

Hagridland: 2020




Clients 7. Comparing User Trends: EMU (Service Statistics) and Surveys (FPET)

Hagridland




Clients 6. Comparing Method Mix: Service Statistics vs. Survey

Hagridland: 2020




Clients 8. Comparing Growth Rates: EMU (Service Statistics) and Surveys (FPET)

Hagridland




EMU 1. Comparing EMUs and mCPR (AW)


Hagridland




EMU 2. Comparing Average Annual % Point Growth in mCPR/EMU  
Hagridland




EMU 3. Comparing Comparing Modern Method Users by Method  
Hagridland: 2020




EMU 4. Trends in Users by Method  
Hagridland




Clients Input Data: Port\_Alvin




Clients 1. Total Users Trends, FPET Estimates vs. Service Statistics Estimates (Adjusted and Unadjusted)




Clients 2. Comparing Estimated Total FP Users, Survey/FPET vs. Service Statistics Estimates (Adjusted and Unadjusted)



Clients 3. Users Trends by Method




Clients 4. Comparing Estimated Total FP Users: Service Statistics and Surveys




Clients 5. Comparing Estimate of Modern Users by Method: Service Statistics vs. Survey

Port\_Alvin: 2020



Clients 7. Comparing User Trends: EMU (Service Statistics) and Surveys (FPET)


Port\_Alvin



EMU: Commodities ex. Condoms    mCPR (AW): DHS  
EMU: Commodities inc. Condoms    mCPR (AW): FPET

Clients 6. Comparing Method Mix: Service Statistics vs. Survey


Port\_Alvin: 2020



Sterilization (female)    Implant    Condom (m+f)  
Sterilization (male)    Injectable    LAM  
IUD    OC Pills    Other Modern Methods

Clients 8. Comparing Growth Rates: EMU (Service Statistics) and Surveys (FPET)


Port\_Alvin






EMU 1. Comparing EMUs and mCPR (AW)


Port\_Alvin



EMU 2. Comparing Average Annual % Point Growth in mCPR/EMU  
Port\_Alvin



EMU 3. Comparing Comparing Modern Method Users by Method  
Port\_Alvin: 2020



EMU 4. Trends in Users by Method

Port\_Alvin

