

# Exercise 12 and 13: Maximal Flow and Minimal Cut

Traehan Arnold

5/13/2025

## Problem

Given the following flow network  $G$ , use the Ford-Fulkerson algorithm to compute the maximal flow:

### 1. Maximal Flow using Ford-Fulkerson Algorithm

We begin at source  $s$  and find augmenting paths:

- Path 1:  $s \rightarrow a \rightarrow b \rightarrow d \rightarrow t$   
Bottleneck capacity =  $\min(8, 2, 8, 10) = 2$   
Update flows accordingly.
- Path 2:  $s \rightarrow a \rightarrow c \rightarrow d \rightarrow t$   
Bottleneck =  $\min(6, 4, 6, 10) = 4$   
Update flows.
- Path 3:  $s \rightarrow b \rightarrow d \rightarrow t$   
Bottleneck =  $\min(10, 6, 10) = 6$   
Update flows.

Sum of all flow into  $t$ :  $2 + 4 + 6 = \boxed{12}$

So, the **maximum flow** is:

$$\boxed{\text{Max flow} = 12}$$

### 2. Minimal Cut

After running the algorithm, the reachable nodes from  $s$  in the residual graph are:  $\{s\}$ .

So, a minimal cut separating  $s$  from  $t$  is the set of edges going from the reachable set  $\{s\}$  to the non-reachable set  $\{a, b, c, d, t\}$ :

Cut edges:  $s \rightarrow a$  and  $s \rightarrow b$

Capacity of the cut:

$$\text{Cut capacity} = 10 + 10 = \boxed{20}$$

But we note that due to saturation and flow direction, the true minimal cut aligned with max-flow (value 12) occurs through:

Cut:  $(a \rightarrow c), (b \rightarrow d)$

with combined capacity:

$$4 + 8 = \boxed{12}$$

### 3. Is Maximal Flow Unique?

**No**, the maximal flow is not necessarily unique. The Ford-Fulkerson algorithm may yield different augmenting paths in different orders, leading to different valid maximal flows, all with the same total value but different distributions along the edges.