Capacity of a Structural Binary Symmetric Channel

Lan V. Truong

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA
Email: truongl@purdue.edu

Wojciech Szpankowski

Department of Computer Science
Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA
Email: spa@cs.purdue.edu

Abstract—**Information theory traditionally deals with the problem of transmitting sequences over a communication channel and finding the maximum number of messages that a transmitter can send so that the receiver recovers these messages with arbitrarily small probability of error. However, databases of various sorts have come into existence in recent years that require the transmission of new sources of data (e.g., graphs and sets) over communication channels. Here, we investigate a communication model transmitting Erdős-Rényi (unlabeled) graphs to a destination over a Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC). We find the capacity of such a channel — called the Structural Binary Symmetric Channel (SBSC) — to be $C = 1 - h(\epsilon)$ where $h(\epsilon)$ is the binary entropy of the error bit rate ϵ.**

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2003 Brooks [1] observed that there is no information theory that gives us a metric for information embodied in structure. Such investigations should be of particular interest to biology as recently opined by P. Nurse [8] (e.g., in biology information is often coded in structure, for example of a protein). P. Nurse argued that focusing on information flow will help to understand better how cells and organisms work. This opens unbounded opportunities for information theory to extend its scope beyond its original goals, that of communication and storage. We suggested [2], [10] to broaden information theory to study finite size *data structures* (e.g., graphs, sets, social networks), that is, to develop information theory of data structures beyond first-order asymptotics. In

Fig. 1.   Effect of BSC Channel on Graphs

particular, in [2] as the first step in understanding structural information, we explore structures on graphs, specifically, we study unlabeled graphs (or structures) and defined structural entropy characterizing graph compression.

In this paper, we move one step further and investigate how much structural information can be recovered when a structure (unlabeled graph) is transmitted over a noisy

channel, as shown in Figure 1. Observe that – due to graph symmetry – an unlabeled graph transmitted over a noisy channel can have the same structure on the receiving side even if errors occur illustrated in the next example.

Example. Let us consider a graph on four vertices $G_1 = \{A, B, C, D\}$ presented on the left-side of Figure 2. On the


Fig. 2.   Two identical unlabeled graphs

right-hand side we draw graph G_2 on the same set of vertices with labels A and B switched. Thus both graphs have the same *structure* (i.e., the same unlabeled graph). Observe that the adjacency matrices of these two graphs are quite different. But we can obtain graph (adjacency matrix) G_2 as an output of a BSC with input G_1 and the following error matrix:

$$Z = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Thus a natural question arises: how much "structural information" can be reliably transmitted over a noisy channel?

More precisely, here we analyze a communication system presented in Figure 3. Input messages consist of unlabeled graphs S generated from (labeled) Erdős-Rényi graphs $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ over n vertices in which edges are added independently and randomly with probability p. These input unlabeled graphs S are encoded as adjacency matrices (sequences of length $\binom{n}{2}$) denoted also as labeled graphs G. Adjacency matrices are transmitted through a Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC) bit by bit. The graph decoder decodes the received matrices (sequences), then forms the estimation of the transmitted structure. We assume that the graph decoder knows the number of vertices of the transmitted graphs, hence the received graphs are random graphs over n vertices. Observe that some edges of the transmitted graphs can be deleted or added, therefore the transmitted and received graphs may differ even if their unlabeled graphs – or structures – are indistinguishable. In short, in our setting

Fig. 3. Model of Graph Transmission over SBSC Channel

an unlabeled transmitted graph is correctly decoded if the transmitted and received graphs have the same structure. We call such a system the *Structural Binary Symmetric Channel* (SBSC), and study its capacity defined as

$$C = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \max_{0 \le p \le 1} I(S; S')$$

where $I(S; S')$ is the mutual information between the output structure S' and the input structure S. The main result of this paper is presented next.

Theorem 1: Capacity of the structural Binary Symmetric Channel SBSC(ϵ) is $C = 1 - h(\epsilon)$ where ϵ is the error bit rate and $h(\epsilon) = -\epsilon \log \epsilon - (1 - \epsilon) \log(1 - \epsilon)$ is the binary entropy.

The literature on structural compression (entropy) and transmission of structures over noisy channel is quite limited. In 1984, Turan [11] raised the question of finding an efficient coding method for general unlabeled graphs on n vertices, suggesting a lower bound of $\binom{n}{2} - n \log n + O(n)$ bits. In 1990, Naor [7] proposed such a representation that is optimal up to the first two leading terms when all unlabeled graphs are equally likely (i.e.e, $p = 0.5$). Finally, in a recent paper Kieffer et al. [5] (see also [6]) presented a structural complexity of a binary tree. The structural entropy was introduced in [2] where the first provable (asymptotically) optimal graph compressor for Erdős-Rényi graph models was presented.

II. STRUCTURAL ENTROPY AND MUTUAL INFORMATION

Given n distinguishable vertices, a random graph is generated by adding edges randomly according to some distribution. Such a random graph model $\mathcal{G}$ produces a probability distribution on graphs, and the graph entropy $H(G)$ is defined naturally as

$$H(G) = \mathbf{E}[-\log P(G)] = -\sum_{G \in \mathcal{G}} P(G) \log P(G)$$

where $P(G)$ is the probability of a graph G. In [2], the authors introduced a random *structure model* S for the unlabeled version of a random graph model $\mathcal{G}$. In such a model, graphs are generated in the same manner as in $\mathcal{G}$, but they are thought of as unlabeled graphs. That is, the vertices are indistinguishable, and the graphs having "the same structure" are considered to be the same even if their labeled versions are different. Thus, we shall use the terms *unlabeled graphs* and *structures* interchangeably.

The probability of S can be computed as

$$P(S) = \sum_{G \cong S, G \in \mathcal{G}} P(G) = M(S)P(G) \qquad (1)$$

where $G \cong S$ means that G and S have the same structure (i.e., they are isomorphic), and $M(S)$ denotes the number of labeled graphs having common structure S. It is well known that

$$M(S) = \frac{n!}{|\mathrm{Aut}(S)|}$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$ denotes *automorphism* of graph G such that $G \cong S$ (so we also write $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$). We recall that an *automorphism* of a graph G is an *adjacency preserving permutation* of the vertices of G. The *structural entropy* $H(S)$ of a random graph G is then defined as [2]

$$H(S) = \mathbf{E}[-\log P(S)] = -\sum_{S \in \mathcal{G}} P(S) \log P(S)$$

where the summation is over all distinct structures.

In this paper, we introduce the *structural mutual information*. When one transmits a sequence of structures (unlabeled graphs) over a communication channel, then the received sequence represents a structure of an unlabeled graph. The *structural mutual information* between the transmitted structure S and received structure S' is then defined as

$$I(S; S') = \mathbf{E}\left[\log \frac{P(S, S')}{P(S)P(S')}\right].$$

In order to estimate the mutual information, and ultimately the capacity of the SBSC, we need to specify the input. We shall assume that we transmit structures or unlabeled Erdős-Rényi graphs defined as follows. In the Erdős-Rényi random graph model $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$, graphs are generated randomly on n vertices with edges chosen independently with probability $0 < p < 1$. If a graph G in $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ has k edges, then $P(G) = p^k(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-k}$. Let $\mathcal{S}(n, p)$ be the random structure model (unlabeled graphs) corresponding to $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$. Then, by (1) the probability of a structure S (unlabeled version of S becomes $P(S) = M(S) \cdot p^k(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-k}$.

III. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we list some properties of the received structures over SBSC. In particular, we show that such a structure is still a random Erdős-Rényi graph. We also estimate the structural entropy and the conditional entropy. We will view graphs and the corresponding adjacency matrices as identical and denote as G.

Lemma 1: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ be transmitted over a BSC(ϵ) channel and G' be the received graph. Then
(i) $G' \in \mathcal{G}'(n, p * \epsilon)$, where $p * \epsilon := p(1 - \epsilon) + \epsilon(1 - p)$.
(ii) $G' = G \oplus Z$ where $Z \in \mathcal{G}(n, \epsilon)$, and $\oplus$ is the modulo-2 addition of the corresponding adjacency matrices.
(iii) The conditional probability $P(G'|G) = P(Z)$, where $Z = Z_1 Z_2 ... Z_m$ are $m = \binom{n}{2}$ independent Bernoulli random variables with parameter ϵ.
(iv) The conditional entropy is

$$H(G'|G) = H(Z) = \binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon).$$

Proof: We first prove (i). Assume U represents an edge of the transmitted graph $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ and V is the corresponding edge of the received graph G'. Then

$$P(V = 1) = P(V = 1|U = 0)P(U = 0) +$$

$$P(V = 1|U = 1)P(U = 1) = \epsilon(1 - p) + (1 - \epsilon)p := p * \epsilon.$$

Every edge of the received graph appears with the probability $p * \epsilon$, therefore the entire received graph is an Erdős-Rényi graph $\mathcal{G}'(n, p * \epsilon)$.

For part (ii) we observe that since each graph $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ is composed of $m = \binom{n}{2}$ edges, we need $m = \binom{n}{2}$ transmissions to send the entire graph through the SBSC(ϵ) channel. Each edge is subject to noise resulting in adding Bernoulli random variables Z_i to the corresponding edge (binary bit representing the edge in the adjacency matrix), where $P(Z_i = 1) = \epsilon$ and $P(Z_i = 0) = 1 - \epsilon$. This can be viewed as adding an Erdős-Rényi (noise) graph $Z \in \mathcal{G}(n, \epsilon)$ to the transmitted $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ graph. Therefore, $G' = G \oplus Z$ where $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$, $G' \in \mathcal{G}(n, p * \epsilon)$, and $Z \in \mathcal{G}(n, \epsilon)$.

For (iii) observe that the transmitted graph instance $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$ can be considered as a binary sequence $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_m)$ of length $m = \binom{n}{2}$. Similarly, the received graph instance $G' \in \mathcal{G}'(n, p * \epsilon)$ can be viewed as a binary sequence $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_m)$. Therefore, we have:

$$P(G'|G) = P(\mathbf{Y}_1^m|\mathbf{X}_1^m) \stackrel{(a)}{=} \prod_{k=1}^{m} P(Y_k|X_k)$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{=} \prod_{k=1}^{m} P(Z = Z_k) \stackrel{(c)}{=} P(Z_1 Z_2 ... Z_m).$$

Here (a) follows form the memoryless property of the BSC channel, (b) follows from (ii) since $Y_k = X_k \oplus Z_k$; and (c) follows from properties of the BSC channel.

For part (iv), we notice that from (iii), we know that

$$H(G'|G) = \mathbf{E}[-\log P(\mathbf{Z})] = \mathbf{E}[-\log P(Z_1 Z_2 ... Z_m)]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathbf{E}[-\log P(Z_k)] = \sum_{k=1}^{m} H(Z_k)$$

since $Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_m$ are independent. On the other hand, since $Z_k \sim \text{Bern}(\epsilon)$ for all $k = 1, 2, ..., m$, hence $H(Z_k) = h(\epsilon)$, where $h(\epsilon) = -\epsilon \log \epsilon - (1 - \epsilon) \log(1 - \epsilon)$ is the binary entropy rate. Finally,

$$H(G'|G) = \binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon)$$

for any fixed transmitted graph $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$. ∎

Lemma 2: Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 1:
(i) Let $\{G_1, G_2, ..., G_{M(S)}\}$ be the set of all distinct labeled graphs of $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ with structure S, and $\{G'_1, G'_2, ..., G'_{M(S')}\}$ be the set of all labeled graphs of $\mathcal{G}'(n, p * \epsilon)$ with structure S'. Then for every $k \in \{1, 2, ..., M(S)\}$ the set

$$\{G_k \oplus G'_1, G_k \oplus G'_2, ..., G_k \oplus G'_{M(S')}\}$$

is a vertex-permutation of

$$\{G_1 \oplus G'_1, G_1 \oplus G'_2, ..., G_1 \oplus G'_{M(S')}\}.$$

(ii) Furthermore,

$$P(S'|S) = \sum_{l=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_l|G_1)$$

where G_1 is a graph with structure S, and $\{G'_1, G'_2, ..., G'_{M(S')}\}$ is the set of graphs with structure S'.
(iii) The conditional entropy $H(S'|S)$ satisfies

$$H(S'|S) \geq \log n! - \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} \log |\text{Aut}(S')| P(S') + \binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon).$$

Proof: We start with part (i). For any $k \in \{1, 2, ..., M(S)\}$ since G_k and G_1 have the same structure, there exists a vertex-permutation π_k such that $\pi_k(G_1) = G_k$. Therefore, we have

$$\{G_k \oplus G'_1, G_k \oplus G'_2, ..., G_k \oplus G'_{M(S')}\}$$

$$= \{\pi_k(G_1) \oplus G'_1, \pi_k(G_1) \oplus G'_2, ..., \pi_k(G_1) \oplus G'_{M(S')}\}$$

$$= \{\pi_k(G_1 \oplus \pi_k^{-1}(G'_1)), ..., \pi_k(G_1 \oplus \pi_k^{-1}(G'_{M(S')}))\}$$

where π^{-1} denotes the inverse vertex permutation of π. Observe that since $\{G'_1, G'_2, ..., G'_{M(S')}\}$ is the set of all different labeled graphs of $\mathcal{G}'(n, p*\epsilon)$ with structure S', therefore $\{\pi_k^{-1}(G'_1), \pi_k^{-1}(G'_2), ..., \pi_k^{-1}(G'_{M(S')})\}$ is the same set as $\{G'_1, G'_2, ..., G'_{M(S')}\}$ although the later is a permutation of the former. This also means that

$$\{\pi_k(G_1 \oplus \pi_k^{-1}(G'_1)), ..., \pi_k(G_1 \oplus \pi_k^{-1}(G'_{M(S')}))\}$$

is a permutation of

$$\{\pi_k(G_1 \oplus G'_1), \pi_k(G_1 \oplus G'_2), ..., \pi_k(G_1 \oplus G'_{M(S')})\}$$

which completes the proof.

Now we look at part (ii). Observe that

$$P(S, S') = \sum_{k=1}^{M(S)} \sum_{l=1}^{M(S')} P(G_k, G'_l)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{M(S)} P(G_k) \sum_{l=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_l|G_k).$$

Since $G_1, G_2, ..., G_{M(S)}$ have the same structure S, $P(G_1) = P(G_2) = ... = P(G_{M(S)})$. Therefore, we obtain

$$P(S, S') = P(G_1) \sum_{k=1}^{M(S)} \sum_{l=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_l|G_k) \qquad (2)$$

On the other hand, from part (i), we know that $\{G_k \oplus G'_1, G_k \oplus G'_2, ..., G_k \oplus G'_{M(S')}\}$ is a vertex-permutation of $\{G_1 \oplus G'_1, G_1 \oplus G'_2, ..., G_1 \oplus G'_{M(S')}\}$ for any $k \in \{1, 2, ..., M(S)\}$. Therefore, for any k we must have for all $1 \leq i \leq M(S')$

$$P(G'_i|G_k) = P(Z = G_k \oplus G'_i) =$$

$$\overset{(a)}{=} P(Z = \pi_k(G_1 \oplus G'_i)) \overset{(b)}{=} P(Z = G_1 \oplus G'_i)) = P(G'_i|G_1)$$

where $Z \in \mathcal{G}'(n, p * \epsilon)$. Here, (a) is a direct consequence of (i), and (b) follows from the fact that any pair of graph instances of the Erdős-Rényi noise graph $\mathcal{G}(n, \epsilon)$, say $G_1 + G'_l$ and $\pi_k(G_1 + G'_l)$ for any $l \in \{1, 2, ..., M(S')\}$ (which are vertex-permutations of each other) have the same probability. This means that for any $k \in \{1, 2, ..., M(S)\}$, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_l|G_k) = \sum_{l=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_l|G_1). \tag{3}$$

From (2) and (3), we obtain $P(S, S') = P(S) \sum_{l=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_l|G_1)$ since $P(S) = P(G_1)M(S)$. Hence,

$$P(S'|S) = \sum_{l=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_l|G_1). \tag{4}$$

For part (iii) we need to establish a bound on the conditional entropy $H(S'|S)$. For this we first consider the convex function $f(x) = x \log x$ for $x > 0$. Applying Jensen's inequality, we have

$$f\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_k|G_1)}{M(S')}\right) \leq \frac{1}{M(S')} \sum_{k=1}^{M(S')} f(P(G'_k|G_1)).$$

Hence, by (4)

$$f\left(\frac{P(S'|S)}{M(S')}\right) \leq \frac{1}{M(S')} \sum_{k=1}^{M(S')} f(P(G'_k|G_1)),$$

consequently

$$\frac{P(S'|S)}{M(S')} \log\left(\frac{P(S'|S)}{M(S')}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{M(S')} \sum_{k=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_k|G_1) \log P(G'_k|G_1).$$

In other words,

$$P(S'|S) \log\left(\frac{P(S'|S)}{M(S')}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_k|G_1) \log P(G'_k|G_1).$$

Therefore,

$$-\sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} \sum_{k=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_k|G_1) \log P(G'_k|G_1)$$

$$\leq -\sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S'|S) \log\left(\frac{P(S'|S)}{M(S')}\right). \tag{5}$$

By Lemma 2 (i)

$$-\sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} \sum_{k=1}^{M(S')} P(G'_k|G_1) \log P(G'_k|G_1)$$

$$= \sum_{G' \in \mathcal{G}'} -P(G'|G_1) \log P(G'|G_1) = H(G'|G_1) = \binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon).$$

Therefore, from (5), we obtain

$$\binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon) \leq -\sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S'|S) \log\left(\frac{P(S'|S)}{M(S')}\right)$$

$$= -\sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S'|S) \log P(S'|S) + \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S'|S) \log M(S')$$

for any fixed structure S. This further leads to

$$\sum_{S \in \mathcal{G}} \binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon)P(S) \leq -\sum_{S \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S'|S) \log P(S'|S)P(S)$$

$$+ \sum_{S \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S'|S) \log M(S')P(S)$$

$$= -\sum_{S \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} \log P(S'|S)P(S, S')$$

$$+ \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} \log M(S') \sum_{S \in \mathcal{G}} P(S, S')$$

$$= H(S'|S) + \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} \log M(S')P(S').$$

In conclusion

$$\binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon) \leq H(S'|S) + \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} \log M(S')P(S'). \tag{6}$$

Since $M(S') = \dfrac{n!}{\text{Aut}(S')}$, from (6) we obtain

$$\binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon) \leq H(S'|S) + \log n! - \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} \log |\text{Aut}(S')|P(S')$$

leading to

$$H(S'|S) \geq -\log n! + \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} \log |\text{Aut}(S')|P(S') + \binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon).$$

This completes the proof of (iii). ∎

IV. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We are now ready to prove our main result, namely Theorem 1. We first establish an upper bound, and then derive the corresponding lower bound.

Theorem 2: The capacity of the Structural Binary Symmetric Channel SBSC(ϵ) satisfies

$$C \leq 1 - h(\epsilon).$$

Proof: Our goal is to estimate the mutual information $I(S; S') = H(S') - H(S'|S)$. We start with calculating $H(S')$ of $S' \in \mathcal{G}'(n, p * \epsilon)$. Observe that

$$P(S') = \sum_{G' \cong S', G' \in \mathcal{G}} P(G') = M(S')P(G') \tag{7}$$

where $M(S') = n!/|\text{Aut}(S')|$ is the number of different labeled graphs that have the same structure as S'. Therefore, the structural entropy $H(S')$ of the random graph $\mathcal{G}'(n, p * \epsilon)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(S') &= \mathbf{E}[-\log P(S')] = -\sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S') \log P(S') \\
&= -\sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S') \log M(S') P(G') \\
&= \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S') \log |\text{Aut}(S')| - \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S') \log n! \\
&\quad - \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S') \log P(G') = -\log n! + \\
&\quad + \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S') \log |\text{Aut}(S')| - \sum_{G' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(G') \log P(G') \\
&= -\log n! + \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S') \log |\text{Aut}(S')| + H(G'). \quad (8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
H(G') = -m\mathbf{E}[\log P(X_1)] = \binom{n}{2} h(p * \epsilon)
$$

where $m = \binom{n}{2}$ edges. Thus

$$
H(S') = -\log n! + \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} P(S') \log |\text{Aut}(S')| + \binom{n}{2} h(p*\epsilon).
$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2 (iii)

$$
H(S'|S) \geq -\log n! + \sum_{S' \in \mathcal{G}'} \log |\text{Aut}(S')| P(S') + \binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon).
$$

Therefore, combining it with the fact that $I(S; S') = H(S') - H(S'|S)$, we have

$$
I(S; S') \leq \binom{n}{2} [h(p * \epsilon) - h(\epsilon)].
$$

In summary

$$
C = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \max_{0 \leq p \leq 1} I(S; S') \leq 1 - h(\epsilon)
$$

which is the desired upper bound. ∎

Now we establish the corresponding lower bound.

Theorem 3: The capacity of structural Binary Symmetric Channel SBSC(ϵ) satisfies

$$
C \geq 1 - h(\epsilon).
$$

Proof: Observe that the capacity of the *labeled* graph transmission over a BSC(ϵ) channel is

$$
C_L = \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{0 \leq p \leq 1} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} I(G; G').
$$

To compute the mutual information $I(G; G') = H(G') - H(G'|G)$, we first observe that

$$
H(G'|G) = \binom{n}{2} h(\epsilon). \quad (9)
$$

Moreover, when G is an Erdős-Rényi graph $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$, then G' is an Erdős-Rényi graph $\mathcal{G}(n, p * \epsilon)$. Therefore, by (11) we have

$$
H(G') = \binom{n}{2} h(p * \epsilon). \quad (10)
$$

Thus

$$
I(G; G') = \binom{n}{2} [h(p * \epsilon) - h(\epsilon)].
$$

Clearly,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{0 \leq p \leq 1} \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} I(G; G') = \max_{0 \leq p \leq 1} h(p * \epsilon) - h(\epsilon),
$$

hence $C_L = 1 - h(\epsilon)$. This means that we can transmit labeled graphs up to the rate $C_L = 1 - h(\epsilon)$ per channel transmission with the average error probability

$$
P_1^{(n)}(e) = P(G' \neq G) \to 0.
$$

Now, re-consider the transmission model in Figure 1, i.e.,

$$
S \to G \to G' \to S'. \quad (11)
$$

In this model (i.e., SBSC), it is clear that we can transmit structures at the same transmission rates as labeled graph case by performing the process (11) since the average error probability in this case satisfies

$$
0 \leq P_2^{(n)}(e) = P(S' \neq S) \leq P(G' \neq G) = P_1^{(n)}(e) \to 0
$$

since $S' \neq S$ implies $G' \neq G$. Hence, $P_2^{(n)}(e) \to 0$. Consequently, $C \geq C_L = 1 - h(\epsilon)$. ∎

REFERENCES

[1] F. Brooks. Three great challenges for half-century-old computer science. *J. the ACM*, 50:25–26, 2003.

[2] Y. Choi and W. Szpankowski, Compression of Graphical Structures: Fundamental Limits, Algorithms, and Experiments," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 2, 620-638, 2012.

[3] T. Cover and J. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory,* 2nd ed.,New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2006.

[4] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, Asymmetric graphs, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, vol. 14, 295-315, 1963.

[5] J. Kieffer, E-H. Yang, and W. Szpankowski, Structural Complexity of Random Binary Trees *2009 International Symposium on Information Theory*, 635-639, Seoul, 2009.

[6] J. Kieffer and E-H. Yang, Redundancy Analysis in Lossless Compression of a Binary Tree Via its Minimal DAG Representation, *2009 International Symposium on Information Theory*, Istanbul, 2013.

[7] M. Naor, Succinct representation of general unlabeled graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 28(3), 303–307, 1990.

[8] P. Nurse. Life, logic, and information. *Nature*, 454:424–426, 2008.

[9] C. E. Shannon, A Mathematical Theory of Communications, *Bell Syst. Tech. , J.*, 27:379-423, 623-656, 1948.

[10] W. Szpankowski, Algorithms, Combinatorics, Information, and Beyond, *IEEE Information Theory Society Newsletter*, 62, 5-20, 2012.

[11] Gy. Turan, On the succinct representation of graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 8(3), 289–294, 1984.