→ INTRO TO SETS → PREDICATES / QUANTIFIERS

We took off a fair amount of points on the recent homework and quiz for incorrect style (thru-tables with wrong row order, etc.). The material we're teaching right now will add even more style rules you need to follow. They are all laid out in the style quide, it is your responsibility to ensure you're consistent with it.

INTRO TO SETS

In the comma weeks we're going to cover topics such as sets, number theory, predicates, and quantifiers in preparalition for proofs. Many of these topics are dependent on one another which makes teaching them complex. So, we're going to take a minute to cover some general fundamentals so we can better teach the topics above.

we begin with sets: These are Fundamental mathematical objects defined to be an unardered collection of objects with no serve of repeat elements. So,

/ (NAV, CLIFF, PAUL) = { CLIFF, PAUL, NAV)

X {NAV, CLIFF, NAV, PAUL}

A set can be empty, known as the empty or null set (\$\omega\$, \{\omega\$}\) at have everything which is usually context-dependent and needs a domain to be defined.

There's a Few ways to define sets which you need to be aware of, namely 1 listing/ellipses, 3 set-builder notation, 3 interval notation, and 4 set modification:

1) listing/ellipses: This one is very natifier, simply list all the clements in the ceti

A = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9}

If the set is manife or just large, ellipses are used to imply that a established pattern holds. So,

A = {0,1, ..., 93

Note, he do not recommend ellipses as they are technically ambigious. I will generally try to avoid using them.

② set-builder: The GOAT. In this notation, the form of the element is given as well as a defining property which all the elements satisfy. So, numbers greater than 24 OT less than 18 would be...

This is the recommended notation. Wonderful for proofs since it defines the set with a mathematical property you can utilize.

(3) interval: You have probably seen this used to define the domains of continuous functions. You provide on infimum and supremen and the set is all the numbers between them:

You can include the mamum/ supremum by using a square -bracket.

The last notation is set modification to a base set, but before we can get to that we weed to introduce the base sets you need to know:

REAL NUMBERS every number on an axis

RATIONALS $\begin{bmatrix} a/b & a,b \in \mathbb{Z} \land b \neq 0 \\ a,b \in \mathbb{Z} \land$

 $|R-Q^{**}| ||R-Q^{**}| ||R-Q$

* ZERO being a natural number or not is a source of scontention. In this class OEN

** The symbol used here is a set minus, we'll define it formally later.

a small change to a base set:

naturals not including 0: N =0

reals greater than 4: 1274

even megers: ZEVENS

PRACTICE

Give the touth-values of the following statements:

1 E ZZ

-4.5 E ZZ FALSE

TEIR TRUE

4/5 E Q TRUE

978 & IP FALSE

1234 e Zodd FALSE

Define the following sets using setbuilder notassan:

integers between a i b = {x67/ x>a nx66} exclusive

real numbers that = {x \in 12} x \in 123\

positive rationals = {x = 0 | x > 0}

PREDICATES OVANTIFIERS

up until this point, never talked about manipulating atomic statements. We would like to reason about other types of inputs such as 19. The question is how to square large domains with true/false framework were developed.

Our first tool is propositional functions or predicates:

We can think of these as functions that
take one or more inputs from any
domain in desire and outputs a beolean:

T = cmsc250 Instructors U(t) = t is an undergrad U(nav) = True V(cliff) = false

Predicates also allow us to reason about multiple non-boolean mouts:

E(x,y,z) := x+y=z

 $E(2,0,8) = True \quad E(8,2,0) = False$

So we can now handle non-boolean imputs, but what if we wanted to make statements about domains themselves? To do this, we use quantifiers. Quantifiers express the extent to which a predicate holds over a range of elements. The two most impurtant by far are universal (V) and existential (I):

DOMAIN = $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\} = D$ UNIVERSAL: $\forall x \in DP(x) = \bigcap P(x_i)$

EXISTENTIAL : 3xeDP(x) = VP(xi)

In terms of quantifiers, we can verify via Demargan's that the following holds: 7(4x)[P(x)] = (3x)[7P(x)]

Note, another quantifier worth knowing is uniqueness quantifier (3!)

Often times we need to quartify over multiple domains. For example, the statement

"For every integer, there exists a real that is smaller than it"

= (YxeZ, FyeIR)[y +x]

This statement is the of course, but note that the following is not:

(FyeIR, VXEZ)[yex] =

"there is a real such that every meger is greater than it"

The point is that when he have multiple qualifiers, ORDER MATTERS.

PRACTICE

Give the tath vales of the following statements.

(dx e {ppl in this room})[AGE(x) > 40] FALSE

(\x \ell \{ 32, 1/8, 4, 163) [x is a power of 2] TRUE

 $(\exists x \in |P|)[0 \equiv x \pmod{2}]$ TRUE

 $(\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}^{\neq 0})[(x \cdot x = x) \land (x + x = x)]$ FALSE

 $(\forall x \in |R)[4|x \Rightarrow 2|x]$ TRUE

(3xeIP, YyeIP)(x.y=x) FALSE

(VXEIR, FyEIR)[XY EZ] TRUE

TRUE

 $(\forall x, \exists y) [P(x,y)] \Rightarrow (\exists y, \forall x) P(x,y)]$ FALSE

 $(\exists x \forall y) [P(x,y)) \Rightarrow (\forall y, \exists x) [P(x,y)]$ TRUE