

# An Implementation of Sin and Cos Using Gal's Accurate Tables

Pascal Leroy (phl)

2025-02-02

This document describes the implementation of functions `Sin` and `Cos` in Principia. The goals of that implementation are to be portable (including to machines that do not have a fused multiply-add instruction), achieve good performance, and ensure correct rounding.

## Overview

The implementation follows the ideas described by [GB91] and uses accurate tables produced by the method presented in [SZ05]. It guarantees correct rounding with a high probability. In circumstances where it cannot guarantee correct rounding, it falls back to the (slower but correct) implementation provided by the CORE-MATH project [SZG22] [ZSG+24]. More precisely, the algorithm proceeds through the following steps:

- perform argument reduction using Cody and Waite's algorithm in double precision (see [Mul+10, p. 379]);
- if argument reduction loses too many bits (i.e., the argument is close to a multiple of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ), fall back to `cr_sin` or `cr_cos`;
- otherwise, uses accurate tables and a polynomial approximation to compute `Sin` or `Cos` with extra accuracy;
- if the result has a “dangerous rounding configuration” (as defined by [GB91]), fall back to `cr_sin` or `cr_cos`;
- otherwise return the rounded result of the preceding computation.

In this document we assume a base-2 floating-point number system with  $M$  significand bits<sup>1</sup> similar to the IEEE formats. We define a real function `m` and an integer function `e` denoting the *significand* and *exponent* of a real number, respectively:

$$x = \pm m(x) \times 2^{e(x)} \quad \text{with} \quad 2^{M-1} \leq m(x) \leq 2^M - 1$$

Note that this representation is unique. Furthermore, if  $x$  is a floating-point number, `m(x)` is an integer.

The distance between 1 and the next larger floating-point number is:

$$\epsilon_M := 2^{1-M}$$

and the distance between 1 and the next smaller floating-point number is  $\frac{\epsilon_M}{2}$ . The *unit of the last place* of  $x$  is defined as:

$$u(x) := 2^{e(x)}$$

In particular,  $u(1) = \epsilon_M$ .

We ignore the exponent bias, overflow and underflow as they play no role in this discussion.

---

<sup>1</sup>In binary64,  $M = 53$ .

## Argument Reduction

Given an argument  $x$ , the purpose of argument reduction is to compute a pair of floating-point numbers  $(\hat{x}, \delta\hat{x})$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{x} + \delta\hat{x} \cong x \pmod{\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ \hat{x} \text{ is approximately in } \left[-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right] \\ |\delta\hat{x}| < u(\hat{x}) \end{cases}$$

### Approximation of $\pi$

We approximate  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  as the sum of two floating-point numbers:

$$\frac{\pi}{2} \cong C + \delta C$$

where  $C$  is obtained by truncating  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  to  $\kappa_1$  significand bits:

$$C := \left\lfloor 2^{-\kappa_1} m\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right\rfloor 2^{\kappa_1} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

and  $\delta C$  is defined as  $\left\lfloor \frac{\pi}{2} - C \right\rfloor$ . Obviously we have:

$$0 < \frac{\pi}{2} - C < 2^{\kappa_1} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

but if  $\kappa_1$  is chosen to cut the significand of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  at a place where it has zeroes, we can actually have a stricter bound:

$$\frac{\pi}{2} - C < 2^{\kappa_2} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_2 \leq \kappa_1 \quad (1)$$

and therefore:

$$u\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - C\right) < \frac{2^{\kappa_2} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}{m\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - C\right)} \leq 2^{\kappa_2 - M + 1} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

Since the function  $u$  is always a power of 2 this implies:

$$u\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - C\right) = 2^{\kappa_2 - M} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

and:

$$\left| \frac{\pi}{2} - C - \delta C \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - C\right) = 2^{\kappa_2 - M - 1} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \quad (2)$$

In other words, we have a representation with a significand that has effectively  $2M - \kappa_2$  bits and is such that multiplying  $C$  by an integer less than or equal to  $2^{\kappa_1}$  is exact. The representation of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  has three zeroes after the 18th bit of its significand, so by taking  $\kappa_1 = 18$  we have  $\kappa_2 = 14$ .

### Argument Reduction for Small Angles

If  $|x| < \left\lfloor \frac{\pi}{4} \right\rfloor$  then  $\hat{x} = x$  and  $\delta\hat{x} = 0$ .

### Argument Reduction for Medium Angles

If  $|x| \leq 2^{\kappa_1} \left\lfloor \frac{\pi}{2} \right\rfloor$  then we compute:

$$\begin{cases} n &= \left\lfloor \left\lfloor x \left\lfloor \frac{2}{\pi} \right\rfloor \right\rfloor \right\rfloor \\ y &= x - n C \\ \delta y &= \left\lfloor n \delta C \right\rfloor \\ \hat{x} &= \left\lfloor y - \delta y \right\rfloor \\ \delta\hat{x} &= (y - \hat{x}) - \delta y \end{cases}$$

First, note that  $|n| \leq 2^{\kappa_1}$ . Using the accuracy model of [Higo2], equation (2.4), we have<sup>2</sup>:

$$\begin{aligned} |x| &\leq 2^{\kappa_1} \frac{\pi}{2} (1 + \delta_1) \\ |n| &\leq \left\lceil 2^{\kappa_1} \frac{\pi}{2} (1 + \delta_1) \frac{2}{\pi} (1 + \delta_2)(1 + \delta_3) \right\rceil \\ &\leq \lceil 2^{\kappa_1} (1 + \gamma_3) \rceil \end{aligned}$$

where the notation follows [Higo2], lemma 3.1. Because  $2^{\kappa_1} \gamma_3$  is very small (less than  $2^{-33}$ ), the rounding cannot cause  $n$  to exceed  $2^{\kappa_1}$ .

The product  $n \cdot C$  is exact thanks to the  $\kappa_1$  trailing zeroes of  $C$ . The subtraction  $x - n \cdot C$  is exact by Sterbenz's Lemma. Finally, the last two steps form a compensated summation so that  $\hat{x} + \delta\hat{x} = y + \delta y$ .

To compute the overall error on argument reduction, first remember that, from equation 2 we have:

$$C + \delta C = \frac{\pi}{2} + \delta_5 \quad \text{with} \quad |\delta_5| \leq 2^{\kappa_2 - M - 1} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

The error computation proceeds as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y + \delta y &= x - n \cdot C - n \cdot \delta C (1 + \delta_4) \quad \text{with} \quad |\delta_4| < 2^{-M} \\ &= x - n(C + \delta C) - n \cdot \delta C \cdot \delta_4 \\ &= x - n \frac{\pi}{2} - n(\delta_5 + \delta C \cdot \delta_4) \end{aligned}$$

from which we can deduce an upper bound of the error:

$$\left| y + \delta y - \left( x - n \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right| < 2^{\kappa_1} 2^{\kappa_2} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) (2^{-M-1} + 2^{-M}) = \frac{3}{2} 2^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 - M} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$$

where we have used the upper bound on  $\delta C$  given by equation 1.

This means that the reduction is only correctly rounded to  $M$  bits if  $|\hat{x}| \geq \frac{3}{2} 2^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2} u\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ . If more bits are cancelled, a more advanced technique is needed.

*TODO(phl)*: It is not clear how many bits can actually be cancelled in this range. Use Muller's program to figure out. If the number is small enough, we may not even need to fall back to CORE-MATH in that case.

## Argument Reduction for Large Angles

## Accurate Tables and Their Generation

## Computation of the Functions

## References

- [GB91] S. Gal and B. Bachelis. "An Accurate Elementary Mathematical Library for the IEEE Floating Point Standard". In: *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software* 17.1 (Mar. 1991), pp. 26–45.
- [Higo2] N. J. Higham. *Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2002.
- [Mul+10] J.-M. Muller, N. Brisebarre, F. De Dinechin, C.-P. Jeannerod, V. Lefèvre, G. Melquiond, N. Revol, D. Stehlé, and S. Torres. *Handbook of Floating-Point Arithmetic*. Birkhäuser, 2010.
- [SZ05] D. Stehlé and P. Zimmermann. "Gal's accurate tables method revisited". In: *17th IEEE Symposium on Computer Arithmetic (ARITH'05)* (Cape Cod, MA, USA, June 27–29, 2005). Ed. by P. Montuschi and E. Schwarz. IEEE Computer Society, June 2005, pp. 257–264. DOI: 10.1109/ARITH.2005.24.

---

<sup>2</sup>We note that in Higham's notation  $u = \epsilon_M/2$ , see pages 37 and 38.

- [SZG22] A. Sibidanov, P. Zimmermann, and S. Glondou. “The CORE-MATH Project”. In: *2022 IEEE 29th Symposium on Computer Arithmetic (ARITH)*. IEEE, Sept. 2022, pp. 26–34.  
doi: 10.1109/ARITH54963.2022.00014.  
eprint: <https://inria.hal.science/hal-03721525v3/file/core-math-final.pdf>.
- [ZSG+24] P. Zimmermann, A. Sibidanov, S. Glondou, et al. *The CORE-MATH Project*. Software. Apr. 2024.