(88) More general way of deriving methods of
Second order.
Take yn+1 = yn+h + (th, yn; h)
Use F(t,y;h)= f, f(t,y)+ g= f(t+dh,y+phf(t,y))
fi = 1 and yz = 0 = 0 Euler
= = midpoint rule.
To get other methods expand F(t,y,h)
in a Taylor series about h=0
F(t,y,h)=F(t,y,0)+df(t,y,0)h+O(h2)
= X1 f(t,y) + X2 f(t,y) + X2h (at ah (t+ah)
$+ \frac{3t}{3y} \frac{dt}{dh} \left(y + \beta h f(t, y) \right) + O(h^2)$
= K, [(t,y)+X2[(t,y)+X2h(3tx+3tx)f(t,y))+O(h2)
= 8, fltig) + yz f(tig) + yz xh 3t + xz Bh 3y f(tig) + O(h2)
A

us Lz

89

49+1 = 49 + h (x)

= Mn + h [(x1+x2) [(+14)] + h 2 (xx2 2+ Bx2 2m f (+14)] + ((1,3)

Now compare Lo Taylor to find y, x2, a and B

4n+1 = 4n + h (ty) + 2 (2) (ty) + 2 (1/4) + 2 (1/4) + 2 (1/4) + 0 (h3)

 $= 0 \quad \text{for } = 1 \quad \text{for }$

12B = 2) = 10 infinite number of solutions

Choos an obvious one

RUZ of global error O(h?) (local O(h3))

Mn+1 = Mn + h (= f(tn, Mn) + = f(tn + h, Mn + h (tn, Mn)))

+ O(h3)

WS L3

(1)

1242 (Heyn) prediction based on estimated 60pe at th+1 prolictions prediction based on slope at th Enign) + f(tn+h, yn+f(knig slope at Slope at coma tny 4n+1 = 4n + = h (Slope-left + Slope-right

RK4 is 4th order Runge-Kuller method and widely wad. It is simple to implement but much more accurate than RKZ are mid point Yn+1 = yn + h. 6 (K1+2K2+2K3+K4) where U, = P(Kn, yn) Kz = f(th+ 1/2, yn+ 2K,) & same as midpoint K3 = f(tn+2, yn+ 2kz) K4 = f(tn+h, yn+hK3) Local error of O(h5), global error O(h4) K, = slope at En slope at estimated midpoint from k, Kz = slope at estimated midpoint from Kz K4 = Slope at they from K3

WS 13 WAVE = 0 System of none linear equations of m dimension to solve. For of = 0 and Bis = 0 for i = i = 0 explicit Coefficients can be arranged in a so called Butcher lableaux d1 | B11 B12 - - - Bim

