Recap:
The Yoneda lemma tells us that Y functor Fic > set and
Y a & C , it holds that

Nat
$$(C(a,+),F) \simeq Fa$$

One possible bisection is

$$\phi: Nat(c(a,-), F) \rightarrow Fa$$

Moreover, it gives us a recipe for enumerating all natural transformations

$$\{\varphi_{\mathsf{x}}\}_{\mathsf{x}}: C(\mathfrak{a},-)= F$$

by setting

$$\alpha_x = \lambda f. (Ff) P$$

where P = 18 Ta ida can be whatever.

In Huskell, this means that

 $\forall x. (\alpha \rightarrow x) \rightarrow F x \simeq F \alpha$

$$\phi:: \mathbf{0}(\forall x. (n \rightarrow x) \rightarrow Fx) \rightarrow F\alpha$$

and

0

are inverses of one another.

Solution:

$$= \lambda h_{\times} \cdot (F h_{\times}) (a_{\alpha} id_{\alpha})$$

П

(J)

What does the Yoneda Lemma tell us when applied to a discrete category?

Solution:

It tells us that there are # F a nutural transformations $C(a,-) \Rightarrow F$

Indeed, take an $x \neq a$ in C. Then $C(a, x) = \emptyset$. Thus, there is exactly I function $\emptyset \rightarrow Fu$ Yu, namely the empty function \emptyset . Consider now a map $g: C(a, a) \rightarrow Fa$. By the naturality condition, we only need to check what happens to ga ida. There are #Fa choices for it, corrobonating the claim from the lemma.

(3)

Construct another representation of [()] using the Yoneda lemma.

Solution:

By the Yoneda lemma we know that

$$\forall x \cdot (() \rightarrow x) \rightarrow [x] \simeq [()]$$

so any & with that signature will work.

Intuitively, a map ()-> x is just a container for an x; which is then repeated in a list.