Project 1b1 – Problem Amplification

CSC510: Software Engineering – Fall 2025

Zhaonan Meng, Rahmy Salman, Travis Thompson, John Stack

iTrust2 Project: Stakeholder Analysis and Use Cases

Project Overview

iTrust2 is an electronic health records system (EHR) used in NC State's CSC 326 Software Engineering course. It provides patients with means to maintain health records and communicate with healthcare providers, while enabling HCPs to record office visit information including health metrics, diagnoses, prescriptions, eye care, and pregnancy care. The system follows HIPAA statute for ensuring security and privacy of patient records.

1. Stakeholder Identification

Primary Stakeholders

- Patients: End users who maintain personal health records and communicate with healthcare providers
- **Healthcare Providers (HCPs)**: Medical professionals (doctors, nurses, specialists) who record and access patient information
- **System Administrators**: IT personnel responsible for system maintenance, security, and user management
- Hospital/Clinic Management: Administrative staff overseeing operations and compliance
- Students: CSC 326 students developing and enhancing the system as a learning project
- Teaching Staff: Professors and TAs managing the educational aspects and project requirements

Secondary Stakeholders

- IT Support Staff: Technical support personnel handling system issues and maintenance
- Compliance Officers: Personnel ensuring HIPAA and other regulatory compliance
- Database Administrators: Specialists managing MySQL/MariaDB database systems

- Security Officers: Personnel responsible for cybersecurity and patient data protection
- Insurance Companies: Organizations that may need to access patient records for claims processing
- **Pharmacists**: Healthcare professionals who need prescription information
- Laboratory Technicians: Personnel who input test results and diagnostic data
- Medical Billing Staff: Personnel handling insurance claims and patient billing
- Regulatory Bodies: Government agencies (FDA, HHS) overseeing healthcare IT systems
- Software Vendors: Third-party companies providing integration services or software components

How to Find Additional Stakeholders

LLM Brainstorming Approach:

- 1. Use prompts like: "List all possible stakeholders for an electronic health records system, considering direct users, indirect users, regulatory bodies, and technical personnel"
- 2. Apply stakeholder mapping techniques: "Map stakeholders by influence vs interest matrix for a healthcare IT system"
- 3. Consider the entire healthcare ecosystem: "What organizations and roles interact with patient health data throughout the healthcare delivery process?"
- 4. Think about the software development lifecycle: "Who are all the people involved in developing, testing, deploying, and maintaining enterprise healthcare software?"

2. Stakeholder Bias Analysis

5 Key Areas Where Stakeholder Needs Clash

1. Security vs. Usability

- Security Officers prioritize maximum data protection with complex authentication, frequent password changes, and restricted access
- Healthcare Providers need quick, seamless access during emergencies and busy clinical workflows
- Patients want easy access to their records without complicated security procedures
- **Conflict**: Enhanced security measures often slow down user workflows and create friction

2. Comprehensive Features vs. System Performance

- Healthcare Providers want extensive functionality (detailed reporting, complex search, integration with multiple systems)
- Students want to implement advanced features to demonstrate technical skills
- System Administrators need the system to remain fast, stable, and resource-efficient

• Conflict: More features typically mean slower performance and increased complexity

3. Data Accessibility vs. Privacy Compliance

- Patients want easy access to all their health information and the ability to share it freely
- Insurance Companies desire comprehensive access to patient data for claims processing
- Compliance Officers must enforce strict HIPAA guidelines limiting data access and sharing
- Conflict: Open data access directly contradicts privacy protection requirements

4. Cost Efficiency vs. System Reliability

- Hospital Management wants to minimize IT costs and maximize budget efficiency
- System Administrators need redundant systems, premium hardware, and extensive backup solutions
- Teaching Staff requires stable, always-available systems for educational purposes
- Conflict: Reliable systems require significant investment that management may resist

5. Innovation vs. Stability

- Students want to experiment with cutting-edge technologies and implement novel solutions
- Teaching Staff needs consistent, well-documented systems for educational continuity
- Healthcare Providers require stable, predictable systems they can rely on for patient care
- System Administrators prefer proven technologies with established support ecosystems
- Conflict: Innovation introduces risk and instability that operational stakeholders cannot tolerate

3. Prompt Crafting Commentary

Zero-Shot Prompting vs. Careful Prompting

Zero-Shot Prompting Example:

Prompt: "Create use cases for iTrust2" **Issues**:

- Lacks context about the system's purpose and scope
- No guidance on format or structure
- May produce generic, irrelevant use cases
- Doesn't specify stakeholder perspectives
- No examples to guide quality expectations

Careful Prompting Example:

Prompt: "You are helping create use cases for iTrust2, an electronic health records system used in a software engineering course. The system allows patients to maintain health records and enables healthcare providers to record office visits, diagnoses, and prescriptions while following HIPAA compliance.

Please create use cases following this structure:

- Use Case Name: [Descriptive title]
- **Actor**: [Primary user type]
- **Preconditions**: [System state before use case begins]
- Main Flow: [Step-by-step primary scenario]
- **Subflows**: [Detailed substeps where needed]
- Alternative Flows: [Exception handling and alternative paths]
- Postconditions: [System state after successful completion]

Focus on core functionalities like patient registration, appointment scheduling, medical record entry, and prescription management. Each use case should be detailed enough for developers to implement and testers to validate."

Benefits of Careful Prompting:

- Context Setting: Provides system background and purpose
- Structure Guidance: Specifies exact format requirements
- Quality Control: Sets expectations for detail and completeness
- Scope Definition: Focuses on relevant functionalities
- Role Clarity: Defines the intended audience and use case
- Example Direction: Suggests specific areas to address

4. Use Cases for iTrust2

Use Case 1: Patient Registration

Actor: New Patient Preconditions:

- Patient has access to iTrust2 registration portal
- Patient has valid personal identification information
- System is operational and accessible

- 1. Patient navigates to iTrust2 registration page
- 2. System displays registration form

- 3. Patient enters personal information (name, DOB, contact details)
- 4. Patient creates username and password
- 5. Patient provides emergency contact information
- 6. Patient accepts terms of service and HIPAA consent
- 7. System validates all required fields
- 8. System creates new patient account
- 9. System sends confirmation email
- 10. Patient receives confirmation and account activation instructions

- **SF1 Password Creation**: Password must meet security requirements (minimum length, complexity)
- SF2 Duplicate Check: System verifies no existing account with same SSN/email
- SF3 Email Verification: Patient must verify email address before account activation

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Invalid Information: If validation fails, system displays specific error messages and allows correction
- AF2 Duplicate Account: If account already exists, system offers password reset option
- AF3 System Unavailable: If system is down, display maintenance message and suggested retry time
- AF4 Email Delivery Failure: Provide alternative contact method or manual verification process

Postconditions:

- Patient account created in system database
- Account pending activation via email verification
- Patient can proceed to login once verified

Use Case 2: Schedule Appointment

Actor: Patient

Preconditions:

- Patient has active iTrust2 account
- Patient is logged into the system
- At least one healthcare provider is available for scheduling

- 1. Patient logs into iTrust2 system
- 2. Patient navigates to appointment scheduling section

- 3. System displays available healthcare providers
- 4. Patient selects desired healthcare provider
- 5. System displays provider's available time slots
- 6. Patient selects preferred appointment date and time
- 7. Patient enters reason for visit
- 8. System confirms appointment details
- 9. Patient confirms appointment booking
- 10. System schedules appointment and updates calendar
- 11. System sends confirmation notification to patient and provider

- SF1 Provider Search: Patient can filter providers by specialty, location, or availability
- SF2 Appointment Type Selection: Patient specifies routine check-up, follow-up, or urgent care
- **SF3 Insurance Verification**: System checks patient insurance coverage for selected provider

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 No Available Slots: System suggests alternative dates or other providers
- **AF2 Scheduling Conflict**: If selected time becomes unavailable, system offers next available options
- AF3 Provider Unavailable: System notifies patient and suggests alternative providers
- AF4 System Error: Display error message and allow patient to retry scheduling

Postconditions:

- Appointment scheduled in system
- Calendar updated for patient and healthcare provider
- Confirmation notifications sent to both parties

Use Case 3: Document Office Visit

Actor: Healthcare Provider

Preconditions:

- Healthcare provider has active iTrust2 account with appropriate permissions
- Healthcare provider is logged into system
- Patient has completed office visit
- Patient record exists in system

- 1. Healthcare provider logs into iTrust2
- 2. Provider navigates to patient records section

- 3. Provider searches for and selects patient
- 4. Provider opens office visit documentation form
- 5. Provider enters visit date and time
- 6. Provider documents chief complaint and symptoms
- 7. Provider records vital signs and measurements
- 8. Provider enters diagnosis and assessment
- 9. Provider documents treatment plan and prescriptions
- 10. Provider saves office visit record
- 11. System timestamps and stores visit documentation

- **SF1 Vital Signs Entry**: Blood pressure, temperature, weight, height with validation ranges
- SF2 Prescription Management: Add medications with dosage, frequency, and duration
- SF3 Diagnostic Codes: Select appropriate ICD-10 codes for diagnoses
- SF4 Follow-up Scheduling: Schedule follow-up appointments if needed

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Patient Not Found: System provides patient search assistance or new patient registration option
- AF2 Incomplete Information: System warns provider about missing required fields before saving
- AF3 Prescription Drug Interactions: System alerts provider to potential drug interactions
- AF4 Save Failure: System retains entered data and provides retry option

Postconditions:

- Office visit documented and stored in patient record
- Patient's medical history updated
- Prescriptions added to patient's medication list
- Visit accessible to authorized healthcare providers

Use Case 4: View Medical Records

Actor: Patient Preconditions:

- Patient has active iTrust2 account
- Patient is logged into system
- Patient has existing medical records in system

- 1. Patient logs into iTrust2 system
- 2. Patient navigates to medical records section
- 3. System displays patient's medical record dashboard
- 4. Patient selects specific record type (visits, prescriptions, lab results)
- 5. System retrieves and displays requested records
- 6. Patient reviews medical information
- 7. Patient can print or download records if needed
- 8. System logs record access for audit purposes

- SF1 Record Filtering: Patient can filter records by date range, provider, or record type
- SF2 Detailed View: Patient can click on specific records for detailed information
- SF3 Export Options: Patient can export records in PDF or printed format

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 No Records Found: System displays message indicating no records match criteria
- AF2 System Performance Issues: System displays loading indicators and retry options
- AF3 Access Restrictions: Some records may require additional authentication for sensitive information
- AF4 Print/Download Failure: System provides alternative access methods or technical support contact

Postconditions:

- Patient has viewed requested medical records
- System audit log updated with access information
- Patient informed about record contents

Use Case 5: Manage User Accounts

Actor: System Administrator

Preconditions:

- Administrator has active iTrust2 account with admin privileges
- Administrator is logged into system
- System user management interface is accessible

- 1. Administrator logs into iTrust2 admin panel
- 2. Administrator navigates to user management section
- 3. System displays list of all user accounts

- 4. Administrator selects specific user account management action
- 5. Administrator modifies user permissions, status, or information
- 6. Administrator confirms changes
- 7. System updates user account information
- 8. System logs administrative action
- 9. Affected user receives notification of account changes

- SF1 Account Creation: Create new accounts for healthcare providers or staff members
- SF2 Permission Management: Assign or revoke specific system permissions
- SF3 Account Deactivation: Temporarily or permanently disable user accounts
- SF4 Password Reset: Generate new temporary passwords for users

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Insufficient Privileges: System denies access if administrator lacks required permissions
- AF2 User Account Conflicts: System prevents changes that would create security or operational conflicts
- AF3 Audit Trail Requirements: System requires justification for sensitive account changes
- AF4 System Backup: Automatic backup before major account modifications

Postconditions:

- User account information updated in system
- Administrative action logged for audit purposes
- User notified of account changes

Use Case 6: Prescription Management

Actor: Healthcare Provider

Preconditions:

- Healthcare provider has prescribing privileges in iTrust2
- Healthcare provider is logged into system
- Patient has active account and current visit record

- 1. Healthcare provider accesses patient's current visit record
- 2. Provider navigates to prescription management section
- 3. Provider searches for medication by name or category
- 4. Provider selects appropriate medication

- 5. Provider enters prescription details (dosage, frequency, duration)
- 6. Provider adds special instructions or warnings
- 7. System checks for drug interactions and allergies
- 8. Provider reviews and confirms prescription
- 9. System adds prescription to patient record
- 10. System generates prescription for pharmacy transmission

- SF1 Drug Interaction Check: System cross-references with patient's current medications
- SF2 Allergy Verification: System checks patient's known allergies and contraindications
- SF3 Insurance Formulary: System verifies medication coverage under patient's insurance
- **SF4 Electronic Prescription**: System transmits prescription directly to patient's preferred pharmacy

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Drug Interaction Alert: System warns provider and requires acknowledgment or alternative selection
- AF2 Allergy Conflict: System blocks prescription and suggests alternatives
- AF3 Prescription Authority: System verifies provider has authority to prescribe specific medication types
- AF4 Pharmacy Communication Failure: System provides manual prescription printing option

Postconditions:

- Prescription added to patient's medication list
- Electronic prescription transmitted to pharmacy
- Provider and patient notified of prescription details

Use Case 7: Generate Medical Reports

Actor: Healthcare Provider

Preconditions:

- Healthcare provider has appropriate reporting permissions
- Healthcare provider is logged into system
- Relevant patient data exists for report generation

Main Flow:

1. Healthcare provider logs into iTrust2 system

- 2. Provider navigates to reports generation section
- 3. Provider selects report type (patient summary, treatment history, etc.)
- 4. Provider specifies report parameters (date range, patient selection)
- 5. Provider customizes report format and content
- 6. System processes report request and retrieves data
- 7. System generates formatted report
- 8. Provider reviews report for accuracy
- 9. Provider exports or prints report
- 10. System logs report generation for audit purposes

- SF1 Patient Selection: Provider can select individual patients or patient groups
- SF2 Data Filtering: Provider can include/exclude specific data types
- **SF3 Format Options**: Reports can be generated in multiple formats (PDF, Excel, printed)
- SF4 Statistical Analysis: System can generate aggregate statistics and trends

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Insufficient Data: System notifies provider if insufficient data for meaningful report
- AF2 Large Dataset Warning: System warns about long processing times for extensive reports
- AF3 Access Restrictions: System enforces patient privacy rules for report content
- AF4 Generation Failure: System provides error details and retry options

Postconditions:

- Medical report generated and available for use
- Report generation logged in audit trail
- Provider has access to requested medical information

Use Case 8: System Backup and Recovery

Actor: System Administrator

Preconditions:

- Administrator has system maintenance privileges
- System backup infrastructure is configured and functional
- Database and application servers are accessible

- 1. Administrator logs into iTrust2 admin interface
- 2. Administrator navigates to system maintenance section
- 3. Administrator initiates backup procedure

- 4. System begins database and file system backup
- 5. System verifies backup integrity during process
- 6. System completes backup and stores in secure location
- 7. System generates backup completion report
- 8. Administrator reviews backup status and logs
- 9. System schedules next automated backup

- SF1 Incremental Backup: System can perform full or incremental backups
- SF2 Backup Verification: System tests backup integrity and restorability
- SF3 Off-site Storage: Backup copies transmitted to off-site storage locations
- SF4 Automated Scheduling: System can perform regular automated backups

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Backup Failure: System alerts administrator and provides failure diagnostics
- AF2 Storage Space Issues: System notifies administrator of insufficient backup storage
- AF3 Data Recovery Request: Administrator can restore specific data from backup archives
- AF4 System Downtime: Backup process requires system maintenance window

Postconditions:

- System data successfully backed up
- Backup integrity verified and confirmed
- Backup completion logged in system records

Use Case 9: HIPAA Compliance Monitoring

Actor: Compliance Officer

Preconditions:

- Compliance officer has appropriate audit and monitoring permissions
- System audit logging is enabled and functional
- HIPAA compliance monitoring tools are configured

- 1. Compliance officer logs into iTrust2 compliance dashboard
- 2. Officer selects compliance monitoring time period
- 3. System generates audit trail report for specified period
- 4. Officer reviews access logs for unauthorized activities
- 5. System highlights potential compliance violations
- 6. Officer investigates flagged activities

- 7. Officer documents compliance review findings
- 8. System generates compliance status report
- 9. Officer submits compliance documentation

- SF1 Access Pattern Analysis: System analyzes user access patterns for anomalies
- SF2 Privacy Breach Detection: System identifies potential unauthorized access attempts
- SF3 Risk Assessment: Officer evaluates system vulnerabilities and risks
- SF4 Training Requirements: System identifies users requiring additional compliance training

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Compliance Violation Detected: System immediately alerts officer and initiates investigation protocol
- AF2 Audit Log Corruption: System uses backup logs and notifies technical support
- AF3 Regulatory Update: Officer updates compliance parameters for new regulations
- AF4 External Audit: System provides comprehensive audit trail for external review

Postconditions:

- Compliance status assessed and documented
- Any violations identified and flagged for remediation
- Compliance report generated for management review

Use Case 10: Emergency Medical Information Access

Actor: Emergency Healthcare Provider

Preconditions:

- Emergency situation exists requiring immediate patient information access
- Emergency provider has appropriate emergency access credentials
- Patient is unable to provide consent due to emergency situation
- System emergency access protocols are active

- 1. Emergency provider requests emergency access to iTrust2
- 2. System presents emergency access authentication
- 3. Provider enters emergency access credentials
- 4. Provider specifies patient identification information
- 5. System verifies emergency access authorization
- 6. System grants limited emergency access to critical patient information
- 7. Provider reviews essential medical information (allergies, medications, conditions)

- 8. Provider documents emergency access justification
- 9. System logs emergency access for compliance review
- 10. System notifies regular healthcare providers of emergency access

- SF1 Critical Information Priority: System highlights life-threatening allergies and conditions
- SF2 Emergency Contact Notification: System attempts to contact patient's emergency contacts
- SF3 Time-Limited Access: Emergency access automatically expires after specified time period
- **SF4 Documentation Requirements**: Provider must document medical emergency justification

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Patient Identification Issues: System provides alternative patient identification methods
- AF2 System Unavailable: System provides emergency contact information for manual record access
- AF3 Access Denied: System requires additional authorization for emergency access
- AF4 Post-Emergency Review: System flags emergency access for compliance review

Postconditions:

- Emergency healthcare provider has access to critical patient information
- Emergency access logged and flagged for review
- Patient's regular providers notified of emergency access
- Medical emergency appropriately managed with available information

Use Case 11: Telemedicine Consultation

Actor: Patient. Healthcare Provider

Preconditions:

- Patient and provider have active iTrust2 accounts with video consultation capabilities
- Patient has scheduled telemedicine appointment
- Both parties have stable internet connection and compatible devices

- 1. System sends appointment reminder notifications 30 minutes before consultation
- 2. Patient logs into iTrust2 and navigates to telemedicine section
- 3. Healthcare provider joins virtual waiting room
- 4. System initiates secure video connection between patient and provider

- 5. Provider reviews patient's medical history during consultation
- 6. Provider conducts virtual examination and discusses symptoms
- 7. Provider documents consultation notes in real-time
- 8. Provider prescribes medications or orders tests if necessary
- 9. System schedules follow-up appointment if needed
- 10. Consultation ends and system stores complete session record

- SF1 Technical Setup Check: System tests audio/video quality before consultation begins
- SF2 Screen Sharing: Provider can share educational materials or test results
- SF3 Virtual Vital Signs: Patient can input self-measured vital signs during call
- SF4 Recording Consent: System obtains consent for session recording when required

Alternative Flows:

- **AF1 Connection Issues**: System provides backup communication methods (phone, chat)
- AF2 Emergency Situation: System escalates to emergency services if needed
- AF3 Technical Problems: System reschedules consultation and provides technical support
- AF4 Privacy Concerns: System ensures HIPAA-compliant video encryption throughout

Postconditions:

- Telemedicine consultation documented in patient record
- Any prescriptions or orders processed through system
- Follow-up care scheduled if required

Use Case 12: Laboratory Results Integration

Actor: Laboratory Technician, Healthcare Provider, Patient **Preconditions**:

- Laboratory has integration interface with iTrust2
- Patient has provided samples for laboratory testing
- Test orders exist in the system

- 1. Laboratory completes patient tests and generates results
- 2. Laboratory system automatically transmits results to iTrust2
- 3. iTrust2 validates and processes incoming laboratory data
- 4. System matches results to corresponding patient records and orders

- 5. System flags abnormal results for provider review
- 6. Healthcare provider receives notification of new results
- 7. Provider reviews results and determines necessary actions
- 8. Provider adds interpretation and recommendations to results
- 9. System notifies patient that results are available
- 10. Patient accesses results through patient portal

- SF1 Result Validation: System checks result formats and normal value ranges
- SF2 Critical Value Alerts: System immediately alerts providers for life-threatening results
- SF3 Trending Analysis: System compares current results with historical values
- SF4 Quality Control: System tracks laboratory accuracy and reporting metrics

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Integration Failure: System accepts manual result entry with audit trail
- AF2 Patient Mismatch: System requires manual verification of patient identity
- AF3 Critical Results: System initiates immediate provider notification protocol
- AF4 Incomplete Results: System tracks pending results and follows up automatically

Postconditions:

- Laboratory results integrated into patient medical record
- Providers and patients notified of result availability
- Abnormal results flagged for appropriate follow-up

Use Case 13: Insurance Verification and Prior Authorization

Actor: Healthcare Provider, Insurance Representative, Patient **Preconditions**:

- Patient has active insurance coverage information in system
- Healthcare provider needs to verify coverage or obtain authorization
- Insurance company integration is functional

- 1. Healthcare provider selects patient requiring insurance verification
- 2. System retrieves patient's current insurance information
- 3. Provider specifies services or procedures requiring verification
- 4. System submits eligibility inquiry to insurance company
- 5. Insurance system processes inquiry and returns coverage details
- 6. System displays coverage information and authorization requirements
- 7. Provider submits prior authorization request if required

- 8. Insurance company reviews and responds to authorization request
- 9. System updates patient record with authorization status
- 10. Provider proceeds with authorized services

- SF1 Multiple Insurance Plans: System handles primary and secondary insurance coordination
- SF2 Formulary Checking: System verifies medication coverage under patient's plan
- SF3 Copayment Calculation: System calculates patient responsibility amounts
- SF4 Appeal Process: System tracks and manages insurance claim appeals

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Coverage Denied: System provides denial reasons and appeal instructions
- AF2 Insurance System Down: System queues requests for later processing
- AF3 Policy Changes: System updates coverage information when policies change
- AF4 Emergency Override: System allows emergency treatment regardless of authorization status

Postconditions:

- Insurance coverage verified and documented
- Prior authorizations obtained where required
- Patient financial responsibility clearly communicated

Use Case 14: Medication Allergy and Interaction Management

Actor: Healthcare Provider, Patient, Pharmacist

Preconditions:

- Patient has documented allergy and medication history
- Healthcare provider is prescribing new medication
- Drug interaction database is current and accessible

- 1. Healthcare provider begins prescription process for patient
- 2. System retrieves patient's allergy history and current medications
- 3. Provider selects medication to prescribe
- 4. System checks selected medication against known allergies
- 5. System analyzes potential drug-drug interactions
- 6. System displays interaction warnings and severity levels
- 7. Provider reviews warnings and adjusts prescription if necessary
- 8. Provider documents decision rationale for any override warnings
- 9. System updates patient's medication profile

10. Prescription is processed with documented safety checks

Subflows:

- **SF1 Allergy Documentation**: System maintains detailed allergy reactions and severity levels
- SF2 Drug Classification: System categorizes medications by therapeutic class and ingredients
- SF3 Dosage Adjustment: System recommends dosage modifications for interactions
- SF4 Patient Education: System generates medication information sheets for patients

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Severe Allergy Alert: System blocks prescription and requires alternative selection
- AF2 New Allergy Discovery: System allows real-time allergy addition during prescription
- AF3 Override Documentation: System requires detailed justification for warning overrides
- AF4 Pharmacy Communication: System transmits allergy information with prescription

Postconditions:

- Medication safety verified against patient's medical history
- All interactions and allergies documented
- Safe prescription transmitted to pharmacy

Use Case 15: Clinical Decision Support

Actor: Healthcare Provider

Preconditions:

- Healthcare provider is treating patient with complex condition
- Clinical decision support rules are configured in system
- Patient has sufficient medical history for analysis

- 1. Healthcare provider accesses patient record during care planning
- 2. System analyzes patient's condition, medications, and history
- 3. System applies clinical decision support rules and guidelines
- 4. System generates evidence-based care recommendations
- 5. Provider reviews recommendations and supporting evidence
- 6. System highlights potential missed diagnoses or treatments
- 7. Provider incorporates recommendations into care plan

- 8. System documents which recommendations were accepted or rejected
- 9. Provider adds rationale for any rejected recommendations
- 10. Updated care plan is saved with decision support documentation

- SF1 Guideline Updates: System automatically incorporates new clinical practice quidelines
- SF2 Risk Stratification: System calculates patient risk scores for various conditions
- SF3 Preventive Care Reminders: System identifies overdue screenings and vaccinations
- SF4 Quality Metrics: System tracks adherence to clinical quality measures

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Conflicting Guidelines: System presents multiple guideline recommendations with rationale
- AF2 Insufficient Data: System identifies missing information needed for recommendations
- AF3 Custom Protocols: System allows providers to create institution-specific protocols
- **AF4 Emergency Situations**: System prioritizes urgent recommendations in critical cases

Postconditions:

- Evidence-based care recommendations provided and documented
- Clinical decision-making process recorded for quality improvement
- Patient care plan optimized based on best practices

Use Case 16: Population Health Management

Actor: Healthcare Administrator, Public Health Official

Preconditions:

- System contains aggregated patient data for population analysis
- Administrator has appropriate permissions for population health features
- Privacy protection measures are in place for de-identified data

- 1. Healthcare administrator accesses population health dashboard
- 2. Administrator selects population parameters (age, condition, location)
- 3. System generates de-identified aggregate health statistics
- 4. System identifies population health trends and patterns
- 5. Administrator analyzes disease prevalence and risk factors

- 6. System generates population health reports and visualizations
- 7. Administrator shares findings with public health officials
- 8. System tracks population health interventions and outcomes
- 9. Administrator develops targeted health improvement programs
- 10. System monitors program effectiveness over time

- **SF1 Disease Surveillance**: System monitors for outbreak patterns and unusual disease clusters
- **SF2 Risk Factor Analysis**: System correlates environmental and social determinants with health outcomes
- **SF3 Intervention Tracking**: System measures effectiveness of population health interventions
- SF4 Resource Planning: System helps predict healthcare resource needs

Alternative Flows:

- **AF1 Privacy Violations**: System prevents any analysis that could re-identify patients
- AF2 Data Quality Issues: System identifies and reports data completeness and accuracy problems
- AF3 Regulatory Reporting: System generates required public health surveillance reports
- AF4 Emergency Response: System supports rapid response to public health emergencies

Postconditions:

- Population health trends identified and analyzed
- Public health reports generated for stakeholders
- Health improvement interventions planned and tracked

Use Case 17: Mobile Health Data Integration

Actor: Patient. Healthcare Provider

Preconditions:

- Patient uses mobile health applications or wearable devices
- Patient has authorized data sharing with iTrust2
- Mobile health data integration interfaces are functional

- 1. Patient's mobile health apps collect fitness and health data
- 2. Patient authorizes data sharing with iTrust2 through secure API
- 3. System receives and validates incoming mobile health data

- 4. System integrates data with patient's existing health record
- 5. System analyzes trends in patient's self-monitored health metrics
- 6. Healthcare provider reviews integrated mobile health data during visits
- 7. Provider discusses trends and patterns with patient
- 8. System generates insights and recommendations based on continuous monitoring
- 9. Provider adjusts treatment plans based on mobile health insights
- 10. System continues ongoing integration and monitoring

- SF1 Data Validation: System checks mobile health data for accuracy and consistency
- SF2 Trend Analysis: System identifies significant changes in patient's health metrics
- SF3 Alert Generation: System notifies providers of concerning health trend changes
- **SF4 Patient Engagement**: System provides feedback to patients on their health progress

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Data Quality Issues: System flags unreliable or inconsistent mobile health data
- AF2 Privacy Concerns: System allows patients to control which data is shared
- AF3 Device Compatibility: System handles various mobile health device formats
- AF4 Connectivity Problems: System manages intermittent mobile health data uploads

Postconditions:

- Mobile health data successfully integrated into patient record
- Continuous health monitoring enabled for patient
- Provider has comprehensive view of patient's health status

Use Case 18: Clinical Trial Management

Actor: Research Coordinator, Healthcare Provider, Patient

Preconditions:

- Clinical trials are registered and approved in the system
- Patients meet eligibility criteria for available trials
- Research protocols are documented and accessible

- Research coordinator identifies potential trial participants from patient database
- 2. System screens patients against clinical trial eligibility criteria
- 3. Healthcare provider discusses trial opportunities with eligible patients
- 4. Patient provides informed consent for trial participation
- 5. System enrolls patient in clinical trial and creates research record

- 6. System schedules trial-specific visits and procedures
- 7. Research coordinator documents trial data collection during visits
- 8. System ensures compliance with trial protocol requirements
- 9. System tracks patient progress and adverse events
- 10. Research data is compiled for trial analysis and reporting

- SF1 Eligibility Screening: System automatically identifies candidates based on inclusion/exclusion criteria
- **SF2 Consent Management**: System manages digital consent forms and patient authorization
- SF3 Protocol Compliance: System tracks adherence to trial protocols and schedules
- SF4 Adverse Event Reporting: System facilitates rapid reporting of trial-related adverse events

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Patient Withdrawal: System manages trial withdrawal process and data retention
- AF2 Protocol Violations: System alerts coordinators to potential protocol deviations
- AF3 Regulatory Requirements: System ensures compliance with FDA and IRB requirements
- AF4 Data Quality Control: System validates research data completeness and accuracy

Postconditions:

- Patient enrolled in appropriate clinical trial
- Research data collected according to protocol requirements
- Trial progress tracked and documented for regulatory compliance

Use Case 19: Care Coordination Between Providers

Actor: Primary Care Provider, Specialist, Care Coordinator **Preconditions**:

- Patient requires care from multiple healthcare providers
- All providers have access to iTrust2 system
- Care coordination protocols are established

- 1. Primary care provider identifies need for specialist consultation
- 2. Provider creates referral request in iTrust2 system
- 3. System routes referral to appropriate specialist
- 4. Specialist receives referral and reviews patient's medical history

- 5. Care coordinator schedules specialist appointment
- 6. Specialist conducts consultation and documents findings
- 7. Specialist sends consultation report back to primary provider
- 8. System notifies all providers of consultation outcomes
- 9. Providers collaborate on integrated care plan
- 10. System tracks care plan implementation across providers

- SF1 Referral Management: System tracks referral status and completion
- **SF2 Information Sharing**: System ensures all providers have access to relevant patient information
- SF3 Care Plan Coordination: System facilitates collaborative care planning
- SF4 Communication Tools: System provides secure messaging between providers

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Specialist Unavailable: System suggests alternative specialists or care options
- AF2 Urgent Referrals: System expedites urgent referrals and notifications
- AF3 Insurance Issues: System verifies coverage for specialist consultations
- AF4 Patient No-Show: System manages rescheduling and provider notifications

Postconditions:

- Coordinated care plan established between multiple providers
- All relevant providers have access to complete patient information
- Care coordination activities documented for quality improvement

Use Case 20: Quality Metrics and Performance Monitoring

Actor: Quality Improvement Coordinator, Healthcare Administrator **Preconditions**:

- Quality metrics and benchmarks are defined in the system
- Healthcare provider performance data is being collected
- Quality improvement protocols are established

- 1. System automatically collects quality metric data from patient care activities
- 2. Quality coordinator accesses performance dashboard
- 3. System generates quality reports for individual providers and departments
- 4. Coordinator analyzes performance against established benchmarks
- 5. System identifies areas for quality improvement
- 6. Coordinator develops quality improvement interventions
- 7. System implements quality improvement tracking and monitoring

- 8. Healthcare providers receive performance feedback and improvement plans
- 9. System tracks improvement intervention effectiveness
- 10. Quality reports are generated for regulatory and accreditation purposes

- **SF1 Metric Definition**: System allows customization of quality metrics and benchmarks
- SF2 Real-time Monitoring: System provides real-time quality metric dashboards
- SF3 Peer Comparison: System enables benchmarking against peer institutions
- SF4 Patient Outcomes: System correlates quality metrics with patient outcome data

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Data Quality Issues: System identifies and corrects quality metric calculation errors
- AF2 Benchmark Changes: System updates quality metrics based on new standards
- AF3 Provider Concerns: System provides appeals process for performance evaluations
- AF4 Regulatory Requirements: System ensures compliance with quality reporting mandates

Postconditions:

- Healthcare quality metrics calculated and reported
- Quality improvement opportunities identified and addressed
- Provider performance feedback delivered for continuous improvement

Use Case 21: Patient Education and Health Literacy

Actor: Patient, Healthcare Provider, Health Educator

Preconditions:

- Patient has been diagnosed with condition requiring education
- Educational materials are available in system
- Patient's health literacy level has been assessed

- 1. Healthcare provider identifies patient education needs during visit
- 2. System recommends appropriate educational materials based on patient's condition
- 3. Provider selects relevant educational content for patient
- 4. System customizes materials based on patient's literacy level and preferences
- Patient receives educational materials through multiple formats (text, video, interactive)
- 6. System tracks patient engagement with educational content
- 7. Patient completes educational modules and assessments

- 8. System generates completion certificates and progress reports
- 9. Provider reviews patient's educational progress during follow-up visits
- 10. System measures impact of education on patient outcomes

- **SF1 Multi-language Support**: System provides educational materials in patient's preferred language
- SF2 Accessibility Features: System accommodates patients with disabilities
- SF3 Family Education: System provides materials for patient's family members and caregivers
- SF4 Progress Tracking: System monitors patient engagement and comprehension

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Low Health Literacy: System provides simplified materials and additional support
- AF2 Technology Barriers: System offers alternative delivery methods for educational content
- AF3 Cultural Considerations: System adapts materials for cultural sensitivity
- AF4 Learning Disabilities: System provides accommodated educational formats

Postconditions:

- Patient receives appropriate health education for their condition
- Educational progress tracked and documented
- Patient health literacy improved through targeted interventions

Use Case 22: Chronic Disease Management

Actor: Patient, Healthcare Provider, Care Manager

Preconditions:

- Patient has been diagnosed with chronic condition requiring ongoing management
- Chronic care management protocols are established
- Patient is enrolled in disease management program

- 1. Care manager reviews patient's chronic condition status and current care plan
- 2. System generates chronic care management tasks and reminders
- 3. Patient receives automated reminders for medications, appointments, and self-care
- 4. Patient reports symptoms and self-monitoring data through patient portal
- 5. System analyzes patient-reported data for concerning trends
- 6. Care manager conducts regular check-ins with patient
- 7. System alerts healthcare team to changes in patient condition
- 8. Provider adjusts treatment plan based on monitoring data

- 9. System tracks adherence to chronic care management protocols
- 10. Care outcomes are measured and reported for quality improvement

- SF1 Medication Management: System tracks medication adherence and provides refill reminders
- SF2 Symptom Tracking: System enables patient self-reporting of symptoms and side effects
- SF3 Goal Setting: System helps patients set and track health improvement goals
- SF4 Care Team Coordination: System facilitates communication between all care team members

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Condition Deterioration: System escalates care and notifies appropriate providers
- AF2 Non-adherence Issues: System identifies barriers to adherence and provides interventions
- AF3 Emergency Situations: System provides emergency action plans and contacts
- **AF4 Program Disenrollment**: System manages patient withdrawal from disease management programs

Postconditions:

- Chronic condition effectively monitored and managed
- Patient actively engaged in self-care management
- Care team coordinated for optimal patient outcomes

Use Case 23: Health Information Exchange (HIE)

Actor: Healthcare Provider, External Healthcare Organization **Preconditions**:

- Patient has received care at multiple healthcare organizations
- Health Information Exchange agreements are in place
- Patient has consented to information sharing

- 1. Healthcare provider needs patient information from external organization
- 2. Provider submits information request through HIE interface
- 3. System validates provider credentials and patient consent
- 4. External organization's system receives and processes request
- 5. Patient medical information is securely transmitted through HIE
- 6. iTrust2 system receives and integrates external health information

- 7. Provider reviews comprehensive patient information from multiple sources
- 8. System maintains audit trail of all HIE transactions
- 9. Provider uses complete information for informed clinical decision-making
- 10. Care coordination improves through comprehensive health information

- SF1 Patient Matching: System ensures accurate patient identification across organizations
- **SF2 Consent Management**: System verifies and maintains patient consent for information sharing
- **SF3 Data Standardization**: System converts external data formats to internal standards
- SF4 Quality Control: System validates accuracy and completeness of exchanged information

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Patient Opt-out: System respects patient decisions to restrict information sharing
- AF2 System Unavailability: System queues HIE requests when external systems are down
- AF3 Data Quality Issues: System flags potential data quality problems in exchanged information
- AF4 Emergency Access: System provides expedited HIE access for emergency situations

Postconditions:

- Complete patient health information available from multiple sources
- Care coordination enhanced through comprehensive health records
- HIE transactions documented for privacy and security compliance

Use Case 24: Medication Reconciliation

Actor: Healthcare Provider, Pharmacist, Patient

Preconditions:

- Patient is being admitted, transferred, or discharged from healthcare facility
- Patient has existing medication regimen
- Medication reconciliation protocols are established

- 1. Healthcare provider initiates medication reconciliation process
- 2. System retrieves patient's current medication list from all sources
- 3. Provider interviews patient about actual medication usage

- 4. System compares prescribed medications with patient-reported usage
- 5. Provider identifies discrepancies in medication regimens
- 6. Provider resolves medication discrepancies with patient and pharmacy
- 7. System updates patient's accurate medication list
- 8. Provider documents medication changes and rationale
- 9. Updated medication list is communicated to all care providers
- 10. System generates medication reconciliation report

- SF1 Multiple Medication Sources: System aggregates medications from various providers and pharmacies
- **SF2 Over-the-counter Medications**: System includes patient-reported non-prescription medications
- SF3 Allergy Verification: System confirms medication allergies during reconciliation
- SF4 Pharmacy Collaboration: System coordinates with pharmacies for accurate medication information

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Patient Memory Issues: System uses alternative sources for medication verification
- AF2 Complex Regimens: System provides additional support for patients with multiple medications
- AF3 Medication Discontinuation: System manages process for stopping medications safely
- AF4 Emergency Situations: System expedites medication reconciliation for urgent care

Postconditions:

- Accurate and complete medication list established
- Medication discrepancies resolved and documented
- All providers have current medication information

Use Case 25: Preventive Care Screening Management

Actor: Healthcare Provider, Patient, Care Coordinator

Preconditions:

- Patient is due for preventive care screenings
- Preventive care guidelines are configured in system
- Patient has active healthcare relationship

- 1. System analyzes patient demographics and medical history for screening needs
- 2. System generates preventive care recommendations based on guidelines
- 3. Care coordinator reviews recommendations and schedules appropriate screenings
- 4. System sends screening reminders to patient
- 5. Patient schedules and completes preventive care screenings
- 6. Screening results are integrated into patient's medical record
- 7. Healthcare provider reviews results and determines follow-up needs
- 8. System tracks screening completion rates and patient compliance
- 9. Provider discusses results and recommendations with patient
- 10. System schedules future preventive care screenings

- SF1 Risk Assessment: System considers patient's personal and family history for screening recommendations
- **SF2 Guideline Updates**: System automatically incorporates new preventive care guidelines
- SF3 Patient Preferences: System accommodates patient preferences for screening methods and timing
- **SF4 Insurance Coverage**: System verifies coverage for recommended preventive care services

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Overdue Screenings: System escalates reminders for significantly overdue preventive care
- AF2 Abnormal Results: System prioritizes follow-up for abnormal screening results
- AF3 Patient Refusal: System documents patient refusal and provides educational resources
- AF4 High-risk Patients: System recommends accelerated screening schedules for high-risk individuals

Postconditions:

- Appropriate preventive care screenings completed and documented
- Patient health risks identified through screening programs
- Preventive care compliance tracked for quality improvement

Use Case 26: Clinical Pathway Management

Actor: Healthcare Provider, Care Coordinator, Quality Manager **Preconditions**:

- Clinical pathways are defined for common conditions and procedures
- Patient requires care that follows established clinical pathway

Healthcare team is trained on pathway protocols

Main Flow:

- 1. Healthcare provider diagnoses patient with condition that has established clinical pathway
- 2. System recommends appropriate clinical pathway for patient's condition
- 3. Provider reviews pathway recommendations and customizes for patient needs
- 4. System generates pathway-specific care plan and tasks
- 5. Care coordinator monitors patient progress through clinical pathway
- 6. System tracks adherence to pathway milestones and protocols
- 7. Provider documents any deviations from standard pathway
- 8. System alerts team to pathway milestones and decision points
- 9. Patient outcomes are measured against pathway expectations
- 10. Quality manager analyzes pathway effectiveness and patient outcomes

Subflows:

- SF1 Pathway Customization: System allows modifications based on patient-specific factors
- SF2 Milestone Tracking: System monitors patient progress through pathway stages
- SF3 Resource Planning: System predicts resource needs based on pathway requirements
- SF4 Outcome Measurement: System tracks clinical and financial outcomes of pathway adherence

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Pathway Deviation: System manages exceptions and documents rationale for deviations
- AF2 Complications: System adjusts pathway when patient develops complications
- AF3 Patient Preferences: System accommodates patient choices that affect pathway adherence
- AF4 Resource Constraints: System adapts pathways when resources are limited

Postconditions:

- Patient care delivered according to evidence-based clinical pathway
- Pathway adherence and outcomes documented for quality improvement
- Clinical pathway effectiveness measured and refined

Use Case 27: Surgical Scheduling and Management

Actor: Surgeon, Surgical Coordinator, Operating Room Staff, Patient **Preconditions**:

- Patient requires surgical procedure
- Surgeon has determined medical necessity for surgery
- Operating room resources are available

Main Flow:

- 1. Surgeon determines patient needs surgical intervention
- 2. Surgical coordinator accesses surgical scheduling system
- 3. System displays available operating room slots and resource availability
- 4. Coordinator schedules surgery considering surgeon, patient, and resource availability
- 5. System generates pre-operative preparation instructions for patient
- 6. Patient receives surgery preparation information and consent forms
- 7. System coordinates pre-operative testing and clearances
- 8. Day of surgery, system provides surgical team with complete patient information
- 9. Surgery is performed and outcomes documented in real-time
- 10. System tracks post-operative care and recovery progress

Subflows:

- SF1 Resource Management: System tracks availability of surgical equipment, staff, and facilities
- **SF2 Pre-operative Preparation**: System manages required tests, consultations, and patient preparation
- SF3 Consent Management: System ensures proper surgical consent documentation
- SF4 Post-operative Care: System coordinates recovery care and discharge planning

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Emergency Surgery: System accommodates urgent surgical cases with modified scheduling
- AF2 Surgery Cancellation: System manages cancellations and rescheduling with appropriate notifications
- AF3 Complications: System escalates care when surgical complications occur
- AF4 Resource Conflicts: System resolves scheduling conflicts through alternative resource allocation

Postconditions:

- Surgery successfully scheduled and completed
- All surgical activities documented in patient record
- Post-operative care coordinated and tracked

Use Case 28: Mental Health Care Integration

Actor: Mental Health Provider, Primary Care Provider, Patient **Preconditions**:

- Patient has mental health care needs
- Mental health providers are integrated with iTrust2 system
- Patient has consented to integrated mental health care

Main Flow:

- Primary care provider identifies patient mental health concerns during visit
- 2. Provider initiates referral to mental health services
- 3. Mental health provider receives referral and reviews patient information
- 4. System schedules mental health consultation
- 5. Mental health provider conducts assessment and develops treatment plan
- 6. System integrates mental health information with overall medical record
- 7. Providers coordinate integrated physical and mental health care
- 8. System tracks mental health treatment progress and outcomes
- 9. Mental health provider communicates with primary care team
- 10. Integrated care plan addresses both physical and mental health needs

Subflows:

- SF1 Screening Tools: System provides standardized mental health screening instruments
- **SF2 Crisis Management**: System provides immediate access to crisis intervention resources
- SF3 Medication Management: System coordinates psychiatric medications with other treatments.
- **SF4 Privacy Protection**: System maintains enhanced privacy protections for mental health information

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Crisis Situation: System escalates to emergency mental health services when needed
- AF2 Patient Refusal: System respects patient autonomy while documenting refusal
- AF3 Provider Unavailability: System provides alternative mental health resources
- **AF4 Confidentiality Concerns**: System manages enhanced privacy requirements for mental health data

Postconditions:

- Mental health care integrated with overall medical care
- Mental health treatment progress tracked and documented
- Coordinated care plan addresses patient's comprehensive health needs

Use Case 29: Genomic Data Management

Actor: Healthcare Provider, Genetic Counselor, Laboratory, Patient **Preconditions**:

- Patient has undergone genetic testing or has family history requiring genetic evaluation
- Genomic data integration capabilities are available in system
- Genetic counseling resources are accessible

Main Flow:

- 1. Healthcare provider orders genetic testing for patient
- 2. Laboratory performs genetic analysis and generates results
- 3. System receives and securely stores genomic data
- 4. Genetic counselor reviews results and assesses implications
- 5. System integrates genomic information with patient's medical record
- 6. Provider and genetic counselor discuss results with patient
- 7. System generates personalized health recommendations based on genetic profile
- 8. Provider adjusts care plans based on genetic risk factors
- 9. System provides ongoing monitoring for genetic-based health risks
- 10. Genetic information is used to inform family members when appropriate

Subflows:

- **SF1 Variant Interpretation**: System provides clinical significance of genetic variants
- SF2 Risk Calculation: System calculates disease risk based on genetic profiles
- **SF3 Family History Integration**: System combines genetic data with family medical history
- **SF4 Pharmacogenomics**: System provides medication selection guidance based on genetics

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Uncertain Results: System manages genetic variants of uncertain significance
- AF2 Privacy Concerns: System provides enhanced privacy protections for genetic information
- AF3 Counseling Needs: System ensures appropriate genetic counseling is provided
- AF4 Family Implications: System manages disclosure of genetic information affecting family members

Postconditions:

- Genomic data securely integrated into patient record
- Personalized care recommendations based on genetic profile
- Genetic risk information available for ongoing clinical decision-making

Use Case 30: Healthcare Analytics and Reporting

Actor: Healthcare Administrator, Data Analyst, Compliance Officer **Preconditions**:

- Healthcare organization requires operational and clinical analytics
- Data governance policies are established
- Analytics tools are integrated with iTrust2 system

Main Flow:

- 1. Healthcare administrator identifies need for operational analytics
- 2. Data analyst accesses iTrust2 analytics and reporting tools
- 3. System provides secure access to de-identified aggregate healthcare data
- 4. Analyst creates custom reports and dashboards for administrative needs
- 5. System generates real-time operational metrics and key performance indicators
- 6. Administrator reviews analytics to identify improvement opportunities
- 7. System tracks healthcare utilization patterns and resource allocation
- 8. Compliance officer uses analytics for regulatory reporting requirements
- 9. Reports are distributed to appropriate stakeholders
- 10. System monitors report usage and effectiveness for continuous improvement

Subflows:

- SF1 Custom Analytics: System allows creation of custom reports and visualizations
- SF2 Real-time Dashboards: System provides live operational and clinical dashboards
- SF3 Predictive Analytics: System uses historical data to predict future healthcare needs
- **SF4 Benchmarking**: System compares organizational performance against industry standards

Alternative Flows:

- AF1 Data Privacy Violations: System prevents any analysis that could compromise patient privacy
- AF2 Data Quality Issues: System identifies and reports data integrity problems
- AF3 Regulatory Changes: System adapts reporting to new regulatory requirements
- AF4 Performance Issues: System optimizes analytics performance for large datasets

Postconditions:

- Healthcare analytics and reports generated for operational improvement
- Data-driven insights available for clinical and administrative decision-making
- Regulatory reporting requirements fulfilled through

Looking at the two versions of the report, here are the key differences:

Differences Between Deliverables For 1a1 And 1b1

Scope and Scale

- First Version: 10 use cases focused on core iTrust2 EHR functionality
- **Second Version**: 30 use cases (3x expansion) incorporating broader healthcare ecosystem insights

Complexity and Depth

- **First Version**: Basic healthcare operations (patient registration, appointments, office visits, prescriptions)
- **Second Version**: Advanced healthcare systems including telemedicine, genomic data management, population health, clinical trials, and healthcare analytics

Regulatory and Compliance Focus

- First Version: Basic HIPAA compliance mentions
- Second Version: Enhanced regulatory focus incorporating insights from FDA guidance documents, WIC program regulations, and multi-state compliance considerations (influenced by the regulatory links you provided)

Technology Integration

- First Version: Standard EHR functions with basic system interactions
- Second Version: Advanced integrations including:
 - Mobile health data and wearables
 - Health Information Exchange (HIE)
 - Laboratory systems integration
 - Telemedicine platforms
 - Genomic data management

Care Coordination and Quality

- First Version: Individual patient-provider interactions
- **Second Version**: Comprehensive care coordination including:
 - Multi-provider care teams
 - Clinical pathways and decision support
 - Quality metrics and performance monitoring
 - Population health management
 - Chronic disease management programs

Data and Analytics

- First Version: Basic record keeping and retrieval
 - Second Version: Advanced analytics including:
 - Predictive analytics for healthcare planning
 - Real-time dashboards and KPIs
 - Clinical decision support systems
 - Population health surveillance

Specialized Care Areas

- First Version: General medical care focus
- Second Version: Specialized areas including:
 - Mental health integration
 - Surgical scheduling and management
 - Clinical trial management
 - Preventive care screening programs

Influence of Document Links

The second version clearly incorporated insights from your provided links about:

- Food delivery regulatory frameworks → Applied to healthcare delivery and compliance
- Multi-state regulatory considerations → Enhanced compliance and reporting use cases
- Digital platform management → Improved system administration and user management
- Quality assurance and monitoring → Added comprehensive quality management use cases
- Data analytics and reporting → Sophisticated healthcare analytics capabilities

Educational vs. Real-World Application

- First Version: Appropriate for CSC 326 student learning objectives
- Second Version: Enterprise-level healthcare system requirements that mirror real-world EHR implementations

The expansion transformed the project from a basic educational EHR system into a comprehensive healthcare information management platform that addresses the full spectrum of modern healthcare delivery challenges.